

HYERS-ULAM-RASSIAS STABILITY OF
HOMOMORPHISMS OF VARIOUS FUNCTIONAL
EQUATIONS IN QUASI-BANACH ALGEBRAS

K. Ravi^{1 §}, R. Murali²

^{1,2}Department of Mathematics

Sacred Heart College

Tirupattur, Tamil Nadu, 635 601, INDIA

¹e-mail: shckravi@yahoo.co.in

²e-mail: shcmurali@yahoo.com

Abstract: In this paper, we investigate the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of homomorphisms of general Cauchy, Jensen's, Cauchy-Jensen's, Apollonius functional equations in quasi-Banach algebras. This idea is also applied to additive mapping of first form and second form. We also investigate isomorphisms between quasi-Banach algebras.

AMS Subject Classification: 39B52, 46B03

Key Words: Cauchy functional equation, Jensen functional equation, Cauchy-Jensen functional equation, Apollonius functional equation, additive mapping of first form and second form, homomorphisms in quasi-Banach algebra, Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Stability, p -Banach algebra

1. Introduction

The stability problems of functional equations originates from the fundamental question: When is it true that a mathematical object satisfying a certain property approximately must be close to an object satisfying the property exactly?

In connection with the above question: In 1940, S.M. Ulam [33] raised a question concerning the stability of homomorphisms:

Received: August 24, 2007

© 2007, Academic Publications Ltd.

§Correspondence author

Let G_1 be a group and let G_2 be a metric group with the metric $d(., .)$. Given $\epsilon > 0$, does there exist a $\delta > 0$ such that if a function $h : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ satisfies the inequality $d(h(xy), h(x)h(y)) < \delta$ for all $x, y \in G_1$, then there exists a homomorphism $H : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ with $d(h(x), H(x)) < \epsilon$ for all $x \in G_1$?

The first partial solution to Ulam's question was given by D.H. Hyers [10]. He considered the case of approximately additive functions $f : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ where G_1 and G_2 are Banach spaces and f satisfies Hyers inequality

$$\|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \epsilon$$

for all $x, y \in G_1$. It was shown that the limit

$$a(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n}$$

exists for all $x \in G_1$ and that $a : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ is the unique additive mapping satisfying

$$\|f(x) - a(x)\| \leq \epsilon.$$

Moreover, it was proved that if $f(tx)$ is continuous in t for each fixed $x \in G_1$ then a is linear.

In 1978, Th.M. Rassias [28] provided a generalized version of the theorem of Hyers which permitted the Cauchy difference to become unbounded. Th.M. Rassias [28] introduced the following inequality, that we call Cauchy-Rassias inequality.

Assume that there exist constant $\theta \geq 0$ and $p \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$\|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \theta (\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$$

for all $x, y \in G_1$, then Th.M. Rassias showed that there exists a unique \mathbb{R} -linear mapping $a : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ such that

$$\|f(x) - a(x)\| \leq \frac{2\theta}{2-2^p} \|x\|^p$$

for any $x \in G_1$. Moreover, if $f(tx)$ is continuous in t for each fixed $x \in G_1$ then a is linear. The above inequality has produced a lot of influence on the development of what we now call the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of functional equations.

A generalization of this result was proved by J.M. Rassias [23]. The generalized result includes the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. (see [23]) *Let G_1 be a real normed linear space and G_2 a real complete normed linear space. Assume that $f : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ is an approximately additive mapping for which there exist constants $\theta \geq 0$ and $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$*

such that $r = p + q \neq 1$ and f satisfies the Cauchy-Gavruta-Rassias inequality

$$\|f(x + y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \theta \|x\|^p \|y\|^q$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then there exists a unique additive mapping $a : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ satisfying

$$\|f(x) - a(x)\| \leq \frac{\theta}{|2^r - 2|} \|x\|^r$$

for all $x \in G_1$. If, in addition, $f : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ mapping such that the transformation $t \rightarrow f(tx)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for each fixed $x \in G_1$, then a is an \mathbb{R} -linear mapping.

Other interesting stability results have been achieved by the following authors: Aczel [1], Boreli and Forti [5], Cholewa [6], Czerwik [7], Drljeric [8] and Kannappan [12]. In 1982-1998, J.M. Rassias solved the above Ulam problem for different mappings. In 1983, Skof [32] was the first author to solve the Ulam problem for additive mappings on a restricted domain. However, in 2002, J.M. Rassias and M.J. Rassias [27] considered and investigated quadratic equations involving a product of powers of norms in which an approximate quadratic mapping degenerates to a genuine quadratic mapping. Beside Euler-Lagrange quadratic mappings were introduced by J.M. Rassias [25], the Euler-Lagrange quadratic mappings that were introduced and investigated by J.M. Rassias [25], were named Euler-Lagrange-Rassias mappings and the corresponding Euler-Lagrange quadratic equations were called Euler-Lagrange-Rassias equations. The introduction of Euler-Lagrange mappings and equations in functional equations and inequalities provided an interesting corner stone in analysis and it is of particular interest in probability theory and stochastic analysis. The stability problems of several functional equations have been extensively investigated by a number of authors and many interesting results are available concerning this problem (see [3, 5-11, 13, 27-29]).

In this paper, we prove the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of homomorphisms in quasi-Banach algebras associated to the general Cauchy functional equation, particular type of Jensen functional equation, Cauchy-Jensen functional equation, Apollonius functional equations in quasi-Banach algebras. We also applied the same idea to the additive mapping of first form and second form. We also investigated isomorphisms between quasi-Banach algebras.

Before we present our main result, we will introduce some basic definitions concerning quasi-Banach spaces and some preliminary results that will be useful in proving our main theorems.

Definition 1.2. (see [2, 31]) Let X be a real linear space. A quasi-norm

is a real-valued function on X satisfying the following:

- (i) $\|x\| \geq 0$ for all $x \in X$ and $\|x\| = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$.
- (ii) $\|\lambda x\| = |\lambda| \|x\|$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and all $x \in X$.
- (iii) There is a constant $K \geq 1$ such that $\|x + y\| \leq K(\|x\| + \|y\|)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

It follows from condition (iii) that

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{2n} x_i \right\| \leq K^n \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \|x_i\|, \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} x_i \right\| \leq K^{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} \|x_i\|$$

for all integers $n \geq 1$ and all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2n+1} \in X$.

The pair $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is called a quasi-normed space if $\|\cdot\|$ is a quasi-norm on X . The smallest possible K is called the modulus of concavity of $\|\cdot\|$. A quasi-Banach space is a complete quasi-normed space.

A quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|$ is called a p -norm ($0 < p \leq 1$) if

$$\|x + y\|^p \leq \|x\|^p + \|y\|^p$$

for all $x, y \in X$. In this case, a quasi-Banach space is called a p -Banach space.

By the Aoki-Rolewicz Theorem, [31], each quasi-norm is equivalent to some p -norm. Since it is much easier to work with p -norms than quasi-norms, henceforth we restrict our attention mainly to p -norms.

Definition 1.3. Let $(A, \|\cdot\|)$ be a quasi-normed space. The quasi-normed space $(A, \|\cdot\|)$ is called a quasi-normed algebra if A is an algebra and there is a constant $C > 0$ such that $\|xy\| \leq C\|x\|\|y\|$ for all $x, y \in A$.

A quasi-Banach algebra is a complete quasi-normed algebra.

If the quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|$ is a p -norm then the quasi-Banach algebra is called a p -Banach algebra.

2. Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Stability of Homomorphisms in Quasi-Banach Algebras

Throughout this section, we assume X is a quasi-normed algebra with quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_X$ and that Y is a p -Banach algebra with p -norm $\|\cdot\|_Y$. Let K be the modulus of concavity of $\|\cdot\|_Y$.

(A) We prove the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of homomorphisms in quasi-Banach algebra, associated to the general form of the Cauchy functional equa-

tion

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^k x_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^k f(x_i), \tag{E_1}$$

where $k \geq 2 \in \mathbb{N}$ and k is finite.

We now define

$$Df_1(x_1, \dots, x_k) = f\left(\sum_{i=1}^k x_i\right) - \sum_{i=1}^k f(x_i).$$

Theorem 2.1. Let $r > \frac{1}{k}, k \in \mathbb{N} \geq 2$ and θ be a positive real numbers and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping such that

$$\|Df_1(x_1, \dots, x_k)\|_Y \leq \theta \prod_{i=1}^k \|x_i\|_X^r, \tag{2.1}$$

$$\|f(x_1x_2) - f(x_1)f(x_2)\|_Y \leq \theta \|x_1\|_X^r \|x_2\|_X^r, \tag{2.2}$$

for all $x_i \in X$. If $f(tx)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for each fixed $x \in X$, then there exists a unique homomorphism $H : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\|_Y \leq \frac{\theta}{(k^{kpr} - k^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \|x\|_X^{kr}. \tag{2.3}$$

Proof. Setting $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_k = x$ in (2.1), we get

$$\|f(kx) - kf(x)\|_Y \leq \theta \|x\|_X^{kr}, \text{ for all } x \in X. \tag{2.4}$$

Replacing x by x/k , we get

$$\left\|f(x) - kf\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)\right\|_Y \leq \frac{\theta}{k^{kr}} \|x\|_X^{kr}, \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

Replacing x by x/k^l and multiplying by k^l , we get

$$\left\|k^l f\left(\frac{x}{k^l}\right) - k^{l+1} f\left(\frac{x}{k^{l+1}}\right)\right\|_Y \leq \frac{\theta k^l}{k^{kr} k^{ktr}} \|x\|_X^{kr}, \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

Since Y is a p -Banach algebra,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\|k^l f\left(\frac{x}{k^l}\right) - k^{l+1} f\left(\frac{x}{k^{l+1}}\right)\right\|_Y^p &\leq \frac{\theta^p k^{lp}}{k^{pkr} k^{ktrp}} \|x\|_X^{kpr}, \text{ for all } x \in X, \\ \left\|k^l f\left(\frac{x}{k^l}\right) - k^m f\left(\frac{x}{k^m}\right)\right\|_Y^p &\leq \frac{\theta^p}{k^{pkr}} \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \frac{k^{pj}}{k^{prj}} \|x\|_X^{kpr}, \text{ for all } x \in X \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

for all non-negative integers m and l with $l < m$ and for all $x \in X$. It follows from (2.5) that the sequence $\{k^n f(\frac{x}{k^n})\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in X$. Since Y is complete, the sequence $\{k^n f(\frac{x}{k^n})\}$ converges. So one can define the

mapping $H : X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$H(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k^n f\left(\frac{x}{k^n}\right), \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

It follows from (2.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|DH(x_1, \dots, x_k)\|_Y &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k^n \left\| f\left(\frac{1}{k^n} \sum_{i=1}^k x_i\right) - \sum_{i=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_i}{k^n}\right) \right\|_Y \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k^n \theta}{k^{knr}} \prod_{i=1}^k \|x_i\|_X^r = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_i \in X$. Therefore $H\left(\sum_{i=1}^k x_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^k H(x_i)$. Moreover, letting $l = 0$ and allowing the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.5) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x) - H(x)\|_Y^p &\leq \frac{\theta^p}{k^{kpr}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{k^{pj}}{k^{prj}} \|x\|_X^{kpr}, \\ \|f(x) - H(x)\|_Y^p &\leq \frac{\theta^p}{k^{kpr} - k^p} \|x\|_X^{kpr}, \\ \|f(x) - H(x)\|_Y &\leq \frac{\theta}{(k^{kpr} - k^p)^{1/p}} \|x\|_X^{kr} \text{ which is same as (2.3).} \end{aligned}$$

By the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem of [28], the mapping $H : X \rightarrow Y$ is \mathbb{R} -linear. It follows from (2.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|H(x_1x_2) - H(x_1)H(x_2)\|_Y &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k^n \left\| f\left(\frac{x_1x_2}{k^n k^n}\right) - f\left(\frac{x_1}{k^n}\right) f\left(\frac{x_2}{k^n}\right) \right\|_Y \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k^n \theta}{k^{nr}} \|x_1\|_X^r \|x_2\|_X^r = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. So $H(x_1x_2) = H(x_1)H(x_2)$ for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$.

Now let $T : X \rightarrow Y$ be another Cauchy additive mapping satisfying (2.3). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|H(x) - T(x)\|_Y &= k^n \left\| H\left(\frac{x}{k^n}\right) - T\left(\frac{x}{k^n}\right) \right\|_Y \\ &\leq k^n K \left[\left\| H\left(\frac{x}{k^n}\right) - f\left(\frac{x}{k^n}\right) \right\|_Y + \left\| T\left(\frac{x}{k^n}\right) - f\left(\frac{x}{k^n}\right) \right\|_Y \right] \\ &\leq \frac{2Kk^n \theta}{k^{knr} (k^{kpr} - k^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \|x\|_X^{kr} \end{aligned}$$

which tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x \in X$. We can conclude that $H(x) = T(x)$ for all $x \in X$. This proves the uniqueness of H . Thus the mapping $H : X \rightarrow Y$ is a unique homomorphism satisfying (2.3). \square

Theorem 2.2. *Let $r < \frac{1}{k}, k \in \mathbb{N} \geq 2$ and θ be a positive real numbers and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying (2.1) and (2.2). If $f(tx)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for each fixed $x \in X$, then there exists a unique homomorphism $H : X \rightarrow Y$ such that*

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\|_Y \leq \frac{\theta}{(k^p - k^{kpr})^{1/p}} \|x\|_X^{kr} \tag{2.6}$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. It follows from (2.4) that

$$\left\| f(x) - \frac{1}{k} f(kx) \right\|_Y \leq \frac{\theta}{k} \|x\|_X^{2r}.$$

Replacing x by $k^l x$ and dividing by k^l , we get

$$\left\| \frac{f(k^l x)}{k^l} - \frac{1}{k^{l+1}} f(k^{l+1} x) \right\|_Y \leq \frac{\theta k^{klr}}{k k^l} \|x\|_X^{kr}.$$

Since Y is a p -Banach algebra, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{f(k^l x)}{k^l} - \frac{1}{k^{l+1}} f(k^{l+1} x) \right\|_Y^p &\leq \frac{\theta^p k^{klpr}}{k^p k^{lp}} \|x\|_X^{pkr} \\ \Rightarrow \left\| \frac{f(k^l x)}{k^l} - \frac{1}{k^m} f(k^m x) \right\|_Y^p &\leq \frac{\theta^p}{k^p} \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \frac{k^{kprj}}{k^{pj}} \|x\|_X^{kpr}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

for all non-negative integers m and l with $l < m$ and for all $x \in X$. It follows from (2.7) that the sequence $\left\{ \frac{1}{k^n} f(k^n x) \right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in X$. Since Y is complete the sequence $\left\{ \frac{1}{k^n} f(k^n x) \right\}$ converges. So we can define the mapping $H : X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$H(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(k^n x)}{k^n}, \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1 □

(B) *We prove the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of homomorphisms in quasi-Banach algebras, associated to the Jensen functional equation*

$$\begin{aligned} 3f\left(\frac{x+y+z}{3}\right) + f(x) + f(y) + f(z) \\ = 2 \left[f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) + f\left(\frac{y+z}{2}\right) + f\left(\frac{z+x}{2}\right) \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{E_2}$$

Now we define

$$D f_2(x, y, z) = 3f\left(\frac{x+y+z}{3}\right) + f(x) + f(y) + f(z)$$

$$- 2 \left[f \left(\frac{x+y}{2} \right) + f \left(\frac{y+z}{2} \right) + f \left(\frac{z+x}{2} \right) \right].$$

Theorem 2.3. Let $r < \frac{1}{3}$ and θ be a positive real numbers and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ with $f(0) = 0$ satisfying (2.2) such that

$$\|D f_2(x, y, z)\|_Y \leq \theta \|x\|_X^r \|y\|_X^r \|z\|_X^r \tag{2.8}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. If $f(tx)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for each fixed $x \in X$, then there exists a unique homomorphism $H : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\|_Y \leq \frac{4^{2r}\theta}{(4^p - 4^{3pr})^{1/p}} \|x\|_X^{3r} \tag{2.9}$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. Setting $y = x$ and $z = -2x$ in (2.8), we get

$$\left\| f(-2x) - 4f \left(\frac{-x}{2} \right) \right\|_Y \leq \theta 2^r \|x\|_X^{3r}.$$

Replacing x by $-2x$, we get

$$\|f(4x) - 4f(x)\|_Y \leq \theta 4^r \|x\|_X^{3r}. \tag{2.10}$$

Replacing x by $4^l x$ and dividing by 4^l , we get

$$\left\| \frac{1}{4^l} f(4^l x) - \frac{1}{4^{l+1}} f(4^{l+1} x) \right\|_Y \leq \frac{\theta 4^{2r}}{44^l} 4^{3lr} \|x\|_X^{3r}.$$

Since Y is a p -Banach algebra, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{1}{4^l} f(4^l x) - \frac{1}{4^{l+1}} f(4^{l+1} x) \right\|_Y^p \leq \frac{\theta^p 4^{2pr}}{4^p 4^{pl}} 4^{3plr} \|x\|_X^{3pr}. \\ \Rightarrow & \left\| \frac{1}{4^l} f(4^l x) - \frac{1}{4^m} f(4^m x) \right\|_Y^p \leq \theta^p \frac{4^{2pr}}{4^p} \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \frac{4^{3prj}}{4^{pj}} \|x\|_X^{3pr} \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

for all non-negative integers m and l with $l < m$ and for all $x \in X$. It follows from (2.11) that the sequence $\{\frac{1}{4^n} f(4^n x)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in X$. Since Y is complete the sequence $\{\frac{1}{4^n} f(4^n x)\}$ converges. So we can define the mapping $H : X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$H(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(4^n x)}{4^n}, \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

It follows from (2.8) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|D H(x, y, z)\|_Y & \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4^n} \|D f_2(4^n x, 4^n y, 4^n z)\|_Y \\ & \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\theta}{4^n} 4^{3nr} \|x\|_X^r \|y\|_X^r \|z\|_X^r = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. So

$$3H\left(\frac{x+y+z}{3}\right) + H(x) + H(y) + H(z) = 2\left[H\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) + H\left(\frac{y+z}{2}\right) + H\left(\frac{z+x}{2}\right)\right],$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Moreover, letting $l = 0$ and allowing the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.11), we get the inequality (2.9).

By the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem of [28], the mapping $H : X \rightarrow Y$ is \mathbb{R} -linear. It follows that from (2.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|H(x_1x_2) - H(x_1)H(x_2)\|_Y &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4^n} \|f(4^n x_1 4^n x_2) - f(4^n x_1)f(4^n x_2)\|_Y \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\theta 4^{2nr}}{4^n} \|x_1\|_X^r \|x_2\|_X^r = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. So $H(x_1x_2) = H(x_1)H(x_2)$ for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$.

Now, let $T : X \rightarrow Y$ be another Jensen additive mapping satisfying (2.9). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|H(x) - T(x)\|_Y &= \frac{1}{4^n} \|H(4^n x) - T(4^n x)\|_Y \\ &\leq \frac{K}{4^n} [\|H(4^n x) - f(4^n x)\|_Y + \|T(4^n x) - f(4^n x)\|_Y] \\ &\leq \frac{2K4^{3nr}}{4^n} \frac{4^{2r}}{(4^p - 4^{3pr})^{1/p}} \|x\|_X^{3r} \end{aligned}$$

which tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x \in X$. We can conclude that $H(x) = T(x)$ for all $x \in X$. This proves the uniqueness of H . □

Theorem 2.4. *Let $r > 1/3$ and θ be a positive real numbers and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ with $f(0) = 0$ satisfying (2.2) and (2.8). If $f(tx)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for each fixed $x \in X$, then there exists a unique homomorphism $H : X \rightarrow Y$ such that*

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\|_Y \leq \frac{4^{2r}\theta}{(4^{3pr} - 4^p)^{1/p}} \|x\|_X^{3r} \tag{2.12}$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. It follows from (2.10) that

$$\left\|f(x) - 4f\left(\frac{x}{4}\right)\right\|_Y \leq \frac{\theta}{4^r} \|x\|_X^{3r}.$$

Replacing x by $\frac{x}{4^l}$ and multiplying by 4^l , we get

$$\left\| 4^l f\left(\frac{x}{4^l}\right) - 4^{l+1} f\left(\frac{x}{4^{l+1}}\right) \right\|_Y \leq \frac{\theta 4^l}{4^r 4^{3lr}} \|x\|_X^{3r}.$$

Since Y is a p -Banach algebra, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| 4^l f\left(\frac{x}{4^l}\right) - 4^{l+1} f\left(\frac{x}{4^{l+1}}\right) \right\|_Y^p &\leq \frac{\theta^p 4^{pl}}{4^{pr} 4^{3lrp}} \|x\|_X^{3rp}. \\ \Rightarrow \left\| 4^l f\left(\frac{x}{4^l}\right) - 4^m f\left(\frac{x}{4^m}\right) \right\|_Y^p &\leq \frac{\theta^p}{4^{pr}} \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \frac{4^{pj}}{4^{3prj}} \|x\|_X^{3rp} \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

for all non-negative integers m and l with $l < m$ and for all $x \in X$. It follows from (2.13) that the sequence $\{4^n f(\frac{x}{4^n})\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in X$. Since Y is complete, the sequence $\{4^n f(\frac{x}{4^n})\}$ converges. So one can define the mapping $H : X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$H(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^n f(x/4^n), \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1. □

(C) *We prove the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of homomorphisms in quasi-Banach algebra associated to the Cauchy-Jensen functional equation*

$$2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2} + z\right) = f(x) + f(y) + 2f(z). \tag{E_3}$$

Now we define

$$Df_3(x, y, z) = 2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2} + z\right) - f(x) - f(y) - 2f(z).$$

Theorem 2.5. *Let $r > 1/3$ and θ be a positive real numbers and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying (2.2) such that*

$$\|Df_3(x, y, z)\|_Y \leq \theta \|x\|_X^r \|y\|_X^r \|z\|_X^r \tag{2.14}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. If $f(tx)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for each fixed $x \in X$, then there exists a unique homomorphism $H : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\|_Y \leq \frac{\theta}{2(8^{pr} - 2^p)^{1/p}} \|x\|_X^{3r} \tag{2.15}$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. Setting $x = y = z$ in (2.14), we get

$$\|f(2x) - 2f(x)\|_Y \leq \frac{\theta}{2} \|x\|_X^{3r}. \tag{2.16}$$

Replacing x by $x/2$, we get

$$\|f(x) - 2f(x/2)\|_Y \leq \frac{\theta}{2} \frac{1}{8^r} \|x\|_X^{3r}.$$

Replacing x by $x/2^l$ and multiplying by 2^l , we get

$$\left\| 2^l f\left(\frac{x}{2^l}\right) - 2^{l+1} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{l+1}}\right) \right\|_Y \leq \frac{\theta 2^l}{2^{8^r 8^{lr}}} \|x\|_X^{3r}.$$

Since Y is a p -Banach algebra, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| 2^l f\left(\frac{x}{2^l}\right) - 2^{l+1} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{l+1}}\right) \right\|_Y^p &\leq \frac{\theta^p 2^{lp}}{2^{p 8^{pr} 8^{plr}}} \|x\|_X^{3pr}. \\ \Rightarrow \left\| 2^l f\left(\frac{x}{2^l}\right) - 2^m f\left(\frac{x}{2^m}\right) \right\|_Y^p &\leq \frac{\theta^p}{2^{p 8^{pr}}} \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \frac{2^{pj}}{8^{prj}} \|x\|_X^{3pr} \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

for all non-negative integers m and l with $l < m$ and for all $x \in X$. It follows from (2.17) that the sequence $\{2^n f(\frac{x}{2^n})\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in X$. Since Y is complete, the sequence $\{2^n f(\frac{x}{2^n})\}$ converges. So one can define the mapping $H : X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$H(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f(x/2^n), \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

By (2.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|DH(x, y, z)\|_Y &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n \left\| 2f\left(\frac{1}{2^n} \left(\frac{x+y}{2} + z\right)\right) - f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) - f\left(\frac{y}{2^n}\right) - 2f\left(\frac{z}{2^n}\right) \right\|_Y \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\theta 2^n}{8^{nr}} \|x\|_X^r \|y\|_X^r \|z\|_X^r = 0, \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. So

$$2H\left(\frac{x+y}{2} + z\right) = H(x) + H(y) + 2H(z)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. Moreover, letting $l = 0$ and allowing the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.17), we get the inequality (2.15).

By the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem of [28], the mapping $H : X \rightarrow Y$ is \mathbb{R} -linear. It follows from (2.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|H(x_1 x_2) - H(x_1)H(x_2)\|_Y &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n \left\| f\left(\frac{x_1 x_2}{2^n 2^n}\right) - f\left(\frac{x_1}{2^n}\right) f\left(\frac{x_2}{2^n}\right) \right\|_Y \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^n \theta}{2^{n r}} \|x_1\|_X^r \|x_2\|_X^r = 0, \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. So $H(x_1 x_2) = H(x_1)H(x_2)$ for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$.

Now let $T : X \rightarrow Y$ be another Cauchy-Jensen additive mapping satisfying (2.15). Then we have

$$\|H(x) - T(x)\|_Y = 2^n \left\| H\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) - T\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right\|_Y$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq 2^n K \left(\left\| H\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) - f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right\|_Y + \left\| T\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) - f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right\|_Y \right) \\ &\leq \frac{2^n K \theta}{8^{nr}(8^{pr} - 2^p)^{1/p}} \|x\|_X^{3r} \end{aligned}$$

which tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x \in X$. So we can conclude that $H(x) = T(x)$ for all $x \in X$. This proves the uniqueness of H . Thus the mapping $H : X \rightarrow Y$ is a unique homomorphism satisfying (2.15). \square

Theorem 2.6. *Let $r < \frac{1}{3}$ and θ be a positive real numbers and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying (2.2) and (2.14). If $f(tx)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for each fixed $x \in X$, then there exists a unique homomorphism $H : X \rightarrow Y$ such that*

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\|_Y \leq \frac{\theta}{2(2^p - 8^{pr})^{1/p}} \|x\|_X^{3r} \tag{2.18}$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. It follows from (2.16) that

$$\left\| f(x) - \frac{1}{2}f(2x) \right\|_Y \leq \frac{\theta}{4} \|x\|_X^{3r}$$

for all $x \in X$. Replacing x by $2^l x$ and dividing by 2^l , we get

$$\left\| \frac{1}{2^l}f(2^l x) - \frac{1}{2^{l+1}}f(2^{l+1}x) \right\|_Y \leq \frac{\theta 8^{lr}}{4 \cdot 2^l} \|x\|_X^{3r}.$$

Since Y is a p -Banach algebra, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \frac{1}{2^l}f(2^l x) - \frac{1}{2^{l+1}}f(2^{l+1}x) \right\|_Y^p \leq \frac{\theta^p 8^{plr}}{4^p 2^{pl}} \|x\|_X^{3pr}. \\ \Rightarrow &\left\| \frac{1}{2^l}f(2^l x) - \frac{1}{2^m}f(2^m x) \right\|_Y^p \leq \frac{\theta^p}{4^p} \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \frac{8^{prj}}{2^{pj}} \|x\|_X^{3pr} \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

for all non-negative integers m and l with $l < m$ and for all $x \in X$. It follows from (2.19) that the sequence $\left\{ \frac{1}{2^n}f(2^n x) \right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in X$. Since Y is complete, the sequence $\left\{ \frac{1}{2^n}f(2^n x) \right\}$ converges. So one can define the mapping $H : X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$H(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n}f(2^n x), \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1. \square

(D) *We prove the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of homomorphisms in quasi-Banach algebra, associated to the Apollonius type additive mapping*

$$f(z - x) + f(z - y) = -\frac{1}{2}f(x + y) + 2f\left(z - \frac{x + y}{4}\right). \tag{E_4}$$

Now we define

$$D f_4(x, y, z) = f(z - x) + f(z - y) + \frac{1}{2}f(x + y) - 2f\left(z - \frac{x + y}{4}\right).$$

Theorem 2.7. *Let $r > \frac{1}{3}$ and θ be a positive real numbers and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (2.2) such that*

$$\|D f_4(x, y, z)\|_Y \leq \theta \|x\|_X^r \|y\|_X^r \|z\|_X^r \tag{2.20}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. If $f(tx)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for each fixed $x \in X$, then there exists a unique homomorphism $H : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\|_Y \leq \frac{2^{2r+1}\theta}{(4^{3pr} - 4^p)^{1/p}} \|x\|_X^{3r} \tag{2.21}$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. Setting $x = y = 2z$ in (2.20), we get

$$\|f(4z) - 4f(z)\|_Y \leq 2\theta 4^r \|z\|_X^{3r}, \text{ for all } z \in X. \tag{2.22}$$

Replacing z by $z/4$ and z by x , we get

$$\left\|f(x) - 4f\left(\frac{x}{4}\right)\right\|_Y \leq 2\theta \frac{\|x\|_X^{3r}}{16^r}, \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

Replacing x by $\frac{x}{4^l}$ and multiplying by 4^l , we get

$$\left\|4^l f\left(\frac{x}{4^l}\right) - 4^{l+1} f\left(\frac{x}{4^{l+1}}\right)\right\|_Y \leq 2\theta \frac{4^l}{16^r 4^{3rl}} \|x\|_X^{3r}.$$

Since Y is a p -Banach algebra, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\|4^l f\left(\frac{x}{4^l}\right) - 4^{l+1} f\left(\frac{x}{4^{l+1}}\right)\right\|_Y^p &\leq 2^p \theta^p \frac{4^{pl}}{16^{pr} 4^{3prl}} \|x\|_X^{3pr}. \\ \Rightarrow \left\|4^l f\left(\frac{x}{4^l}\right) - 4^m f\left(\frac{x}{4^m}\right)\right\|_Y^p &\leq \frac{2^p \theta^p}{16^{pr}} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \frac{4^{pj}}{4^{3prj}} \|x\|_X^{3pr} \end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

for all non-negative integers m and l with $l < m$ and for all $x \in X$. It follows from (2.23) that the sequence $\{4^n f(\frac{x}{4^n})\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in X$. Since Y is complete, the sequence $\{4^n f(\frac{x}{4^n})\}$ converges. So one can define the mapping $H : X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$H(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^n f(x/4^n), \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

By (2.20), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|D H(x, y, z)\|_Y &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^n \left\|D f_4\left(\frac{x}{4^n}, \frac{x}{4^n}, \frac{x}{4^n}\right)\right\|_Y \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\theta}{4^n} 4^{3nr} \|x\|_X^r \|y\|_X^r \|z\|_X^r = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. So

$$H(z - x) + H(z - y) = -\frac{1}{2}H(x + y) + 2H\left(z - \frac{x + y}{4}\right)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Moreover, letting $l = 0$ and allowing the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.23), we get the inequality (2.21).

By the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem of [28], the mapping $H : X \rightarrow Y$ is \mathbb{R} -linear. It follows from (2.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|H(x_1x_2) - H(x_1)H(x_2)\|_Y &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 16^n \left\| f\left(\frac{x_1x_2}{4^n4^n}\right) - f\left(\frac{x_1}{4^n}\right)f\left(\frac{x_2}{4^n}\right) \right\|_Y \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{16^n\theta}{16^{nr}} \|x_1\|_X^r \|x_2\|_X^r = 0, \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. So $H(x_1x_2) = H(x_1)H(x_2)$ for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$.

Now let $T : X \rightarrow Y$ be another Apollonius-type additive mapping satisfying (2.23). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|H(x) - T(x)\|_Y &= 4^n \left\| f\left(\frac{x}{4^n}\right) - T\left(\frac{x}{4^n}\right) \right\|_Y \\ &\leq 4^n K \left[\left\| H\left(\frac{x}{4^n}\right) - f\left(\frac{x}{4^n}\right) \right\|_Y + \left\| T\left(\frac{x}{4^n}\right) - f\left(\frac{x}{4^n}\right) \right\|_Y \right] \\ &\leq \frac{4^{n+r+1}K\theta}{4^{3nr}(4^{3pr} - 4^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \|x\|_X^{3r} \end{aligned}$$

which tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x \in X$. We can conclude that $H(x) = T(x)$ for all $x \in X$. This proves the uniqueness of H . Thus the mapping $H : X \rightarrow Y$ is a unique homomorphism satisfying (2.21). \square

Theorem 2.8. *Let $r < \frac{1}{3}$ and θ be a positive real numbers and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying (2.20) and (2.2). If $f(tx)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for each fixed $x \in X$, then there exists a unique homomorphism $H : X \rightarrow Y$ such that*

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\|_Y \leq \frac{\theta 2^{2r+1}}{(4^p - 4^{3pr})^{1/p}} \|x\|_X^{3r} \tag{2.24}$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. It follows from (2.23) that

$$\|f(x) - \frac{1}{4}f(4x)\|_Y \leq \frac{\theta 4^r}{2} \|x\|_X^{3r}$$

for all $x \in X$. Replacing x by $4^l x$ and dividing 4^l , we get

$$\left\| \frac{1}{4^l}f(4^l x) - \frac{1}{4^{l+1}}f(4^{l+1}x) \right\|_Y \leq \frac{\theta 4^r 4^{3rl}}{2 \cdot 4^l} \|x\|_X^{3r}.$$

Since Y is a p -Banach algebra, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{4^l} f(4^l x) - \frac{1}{4^{l+1}} f(4^{l+1} x) \right\|_Y^p &\leq \frac{\theta^p 4^{pr} 4^{3prl}}{2^p 4^{pl}} \|x\|_X^{3pr}. \\ \Rightarrow \left\| \frac{1}{4^l} f(4^l x) - \frac{1}{4^m} f(4^m x) \right\|_Y^p &\leq \frac{4^{pr} \theta^p}{2^p} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \frac{4^{3prj}}{4^{pj}} \|x\|_X^{3pr} \end{aligned} \tag{2.25}$$

for all non-negative integers m and l with $l < m$ and for all $x \in X$. It follows from (2.25) that the sequence $\{\frac{1}{4^n} f(4^n x)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in X$. Since Y is complete, the sequence $\{\frac{1}{4^n} f(4^n x)\}$ converges. So one can define the mapping $H : X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$H(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4^n} f(4^n x), \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1. □

(E) *We prove the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of homomorphisms in quasi-Banach algebra, associated to the additive type functional equation*

$$f(2x - y) + f(x - 2y) = 3f(x) - 3f(y). \tag{E5}$$

Now we define

$$D f_5(x, y) = f(2x - y) + f(x - 2y) - 3f(x) + 3f(y).$$

Theorem 2.9. *Let $r > 1/2$ and θ be a positive real numbers and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (2.2) such that*

$$\|D f_5(x, y)\|_Y \leq \theta \|x\|_X^r \|y\|_X^r \tag{2.26}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. If $f(tx)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for each fixed $x \in X$, then there exists a unique homomorphism $H : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\|_Y \leq \frac{2K\theta}{(9^{pr} - 3^p)^{1/p}} \|x\|_X^{2r} \tag{2.27}$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. Setting $y = x$ in (2.26), we get

$$\|f(x) + f(-x)\|_Y \leq \theta \|x\|_X^{2r}. \tag{2.28}$$

Letting $y = -x$ in (2.26), we get

$$\|3f(x) - 2f(3x) - 3f(-x)\|_Y \leq \theta \|x\|_X^{2r}. \tag{2.29}$$

Thus

$$\|f(3x) - 3f(x)\|_Y \leq 2K\theta \|x\|_X^{2r} \tag{2.30}$$

for all $x \in X$. So

$$\left\| f(x) - 3f\left(\frac{x}{3}\right) \right\|_Y \leq \frac{2K\theta}{9^r} \|x\|_X^{2r}$$

for all $x \in X$. Replacing x by $\frac{x}{3^l}$ and multiplying by 3^l , we get

$$\left\| 3^l f\left(\frac{x}{3^l}\right) - 3^{l+1} f\left(\frac{x}{3^{l+1}}\right) \right\|_Y \leq \frac{2K\theta 3^l}{9^r 9^{rl}} \|x\|_X^{2r}.$$

Since Y is a p -Banach algebra, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| 3^l f\left(\frac{x}{3^l}\right) - 3^{l+1} f\left(\frac{x}{3^{l+1}}\right) \right\|_Y^p &\leq \frac{2^p K^p \theta^p}{9^{pr}} \frac{3^{pl}}{9^{prl}} \|x\|_X^{2pr}. \\ \Rightarrow \left\| 3^l f\left(\frac{x}{3^l}\right) - 3^m f\left(\frac{x}{3^m}\right) \right\|_Y^p &\leq \frac{2^p K^p \theta^p}{9^{pr}} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \frac{3^{pj}}{9^{prj}} \|x\|_X^{2pr} \end{aligned} \tag{2.31}$$

for all non-negative integers m and l with $l < m$ and for all $x \in X$. It follows from (2.31) that the sequence $\{3^n f(\frac{x}{3^n})\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in X$. Since Y is complete, the sequence $\{3^n f(\frac{x}{3^n})\}$ converges. So one can define the mapping $H : X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$H(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 3^n f(x/3^n), \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

It follows from (2.26) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|D H(x, y)\|_Y &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 3^n \left\| D f_5\left(\frac{x}{3^n}, \frac{x}{3^n}\right) \right\|_Y \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\theta}{3^n} 9^{nr} \|x\|_X^r \|y\|_X^r = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. So

$$H(2x - y) + H(x - 2y) = 3H(x) - 3H(y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Moreover, letting $l = 0$ and allowing the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.31), we get the inequality (2.27).

By the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem of [28], the mapping $H : X \rightarrow Y$ is \mathbb{R} -linear. It follows from (2.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|H(x_1 x_2) - H(x_1)H(x_2)\|_Y &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 9^n \left\| f\left(\frac{x_1 x_2}{3^n 3^n}\right) - f\left(\frac{x_1}{3^n}\right) f\left(\frac{x_2}{3^n}\right) \right\|_Y \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{9^n \theta}{9^{nr}} \|x_1\|_X^r \|x_2\|_X^r = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. So $H(x_1 x_2) = H(x_1)H(x_2)$ for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$.

Now let $T : X \rightarrow Y$ be another additive mapping satisfying (2.29). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|H(x) - T(x)\|_Y &= 3^n \left\| f\left(\frac{x}{3^n}\right) - T\left(\frac{x}{3^n}\right) \right\|_Y \\ &\leq 3^n K \left[\left\| H\left(\frac{x}{3^n}\right) - f\left(\frac{x}{3^n}\right) \right\|_Y + \left\| T\left(\frac{x}{3^n}\right) - f\left(\frac{x}{3^n}\right) \right\|_Y \right] \\ &\leq \frac{3^n 4K\theta}{9^{nr} (9^{pr} - 3^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \|x\|_X^{2r} \end{aligned}$$

which tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x \in X$. We can conclude that $H(x) = T(x)$ for all $x \in X$. This proves the uniqueness of H . Thus the mapping $H : X \rightarrow Y$ is a unique homomorphism satisfying (2.27). \square

Theorem 2.10. *Let $r < \frac{1}{2}$ and θ be a positive real numbers and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying (2.26) and (2.2). If $f(tx)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for each fixed $x \in X$, then there exists a unique homomorphism $H : X \rightarrow Y$ such that*

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\|_Y \leq \frac{2K\theta}{(3^p - 9^{pr})^{1/p}} \|x\|_X^{2r} \tag{2.32}$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. It follows from (2.30) that

$$\|f(x) - \frac{1}{3}f(3x)\|_Y \leq \frac{2K\theta}{3} \|x\|_X^{2r}$$

for all $x \in X$. Replacing x by $3^l x$ and dividing by 3^l , we get

$$\left\| \frac{1}{3^l} f(3^l x) - \frac{1}{3^{l+1}} f(3^{l+1} x) \right\|_Y \leq \frac{2K\theta}{3} \frac{9^{rl}}{3^l} \|x\|_X^{2r}.$$

Since Y is a p -Banach algebra, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{3^l} f(3^l x) - \frac{1}{3^{l+1}} f(3^{l+1} x) \right\|_Y^p &\leq \frac{2^p K^p \theta^p}{3^p} \frac{9^{prl}}{3^{pl}} \|x\|_X^{2pr}, \\ \left\| \frac{1}{3^l} f(3^l x) - \frac{1}{3^m} f(3^m x) \right\|_Y^p &\leq \frac{2^p K^p \theta^p}{3^p} \sum_{j=1}^{m-l} \frac{9^{prj}}{3^{pj}} \|x\|_X^{2pr} \end{aligned} \tag{2.33}$$

for all non-negative integers m and l with $l < m$ and for all $x \in X$. It follows from (2.33) that the sequence $\{\frac{1}{3^n} f(3^n x)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in X$. Since Y is complete, the sequence $\{\frac{1}{3^n} f(3^n x)\}$ converges. So one can define the mapping $H : X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$H(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{3^n} f(3^n x), \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1. \square

(F) *We prove the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of homomorphisms in quasi-Banach algebra, associated to the additive mapping of first form*

$$f(x + y) + f(x - y) = 2f(x). \tag{E6}$$

Now we define

$$D f_6(x, y) = f(x + y) + f(x - y) - 2f(x).$$

Theorem 2.11. *Let $r > \frac{1}{2}$ and θ be a positive real numbers and let*

$f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (2.2) such that

$$\|D f_6(x, y)\|_Y \leq \theta \|x\|_X^r \|y\|_X^r \tag{2.34}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. If $f(tx)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for each fixed $x \in X$, then there exists a unique homomorphism $H : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - f(0) - H(x)\|_Y \leq \frac{\theta}{(4^{pr} - 2^p)^{1/p}} \|x\|_X^{2r} \tag{2.35}$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. Setting $y = x$ in (2.34), we get

$$\|f(2x) + f(0) - 2f(x)\|_Y \leq \theta \|x\|_X^{2r}. \tag{2.36}$$

Replacing x by $x/2$, we get

$$\|f(x) + f(0) - 2f(x/2)\|_Y \leq \frac{\theta}{4^r} \|x\|_X^{2r},$$

$$\|g(x) - 2g(x/2)\|_Y \leq \frac{\theta}{4^r} \|x\|_X^{2r},$$

where $g(x) = f(x) - f(0)$.

Replacing x by $\frac{x}{2^l}$ and multiplying by 2^l , we get

$$\left\| 2^l g\left(\frac{x}{2^l}\right) - 2^{l+1} g\left(\frac{x}{2^{l+1}}\right) \right\|_Y \leq \frac{\theta 2^l}{4^r 4^{rl}} \|x\|_X^{2r}.$$

Since Y is a p -Banach algebra, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| 2^l g\left(\frac{x}{2^l}\right) - 2^{l+1} g\left(\frac{x}{2^{l+1}}\right) \right\|_Y^p &\leq \frac{\theta^p 2^{lp}}{4^{pr} 4^{prl}} \|x\|_X^{2pr}. \\ \Rightarrow \left\| 2^l g\left(\frac{x}{2^l}\right) - 2^m g\left(\frac{x}{2^m}\right) \right\|_Y^p &\leq \frac{\theta^p}{4^{pr}} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \frac{2^{pj}}{4^{prj}} \|x\|_X^{2pr} \end{aligned} \tag{2.37}$$

for all non-negative integers m and l with $l < m$ and for all $x \in X$. It follows from (2.37) that the sequence $\{2^n g(\frac{x}{2^n})\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in X$. Since Y is complete, the sequence $\{2^n g(\frac{x}{2^n})\}$ converges. So one can define the mapping $H : X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$\begin{aligned} H(x) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n g(x/2^n), \quad \text{for all } x \in X, \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n [f(x/2^n) - f(0)], \quad \text{for all } x \in X, \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f(x/2^n), \quad \text{for all } x \in X. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (2.34) that

$$\|D H(x, y)\|_Y \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n \left\| D f_6\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right\|_Y$$

$$\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\theta 2^n}{4^{nr}} \|x\|_X^r \|y\|_X^r = 0$$

for all $x, y \in X$. So

$$H(x + y) + H(x - y) = 2H(x).$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Moreover, letting $l = 0$ and allowing the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.37), we get the inequality (2.35).

By the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem of [28], the mapping $H : X \rightarrow Y$ is \mathbb{R} -linear. It follows from (2.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|H(x_1 x_2) - H(x_1)H(x_2)\|_Y &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^n \left\| f\left(\frac{x_1 x_2}{2^n}\right) - f\left(\frac{x_1}{2^n}\right) f\left(\frac{x_2}{2^n}\right) \right\|_Y \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{4^n \theta}{4^{nr}} \|x_1\|_X^r \|x_2\|_X^r = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. So $H(x_1 x_2) = H(x_1)H(x_2)$ for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$.

Now let $T : X \rightarrow Y$ be another additive mapping satisfying (2.35). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|H(x) - T(x)\|_Y &= 2^n \left\| f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) - T\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right\|_Y \\ &\leq 2^n K \left[\left\| H\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) - f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right\|_Y + \left\| T\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) - f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right\|_Y \right] \\ &\leq \frac{2^{n+1} K \theta}{4^{nr} (4^{pr} - 2^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \|x\|_X^{2r} \end{aligned}$$

which tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x \in X$. We can conclude that $H(x) = T(x)$ for all $x \in X$. This proves the uniqueness of H . Thus the mapping $H : X \rightarrow Y$ is a unique homomorphism satisfying (2.35). \square

Theorem 2.12. *Let $r < \frac{1}{2}$ and θ be a positive real numbers and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying (2.34) and (2.2). If $f(tx)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for each fixed $x \in X$, then there exists a unique homomorphism $H : X \rightarrow Y$ such that*

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\|_Y \leq \frac{\theta}{(2^p - 4^{pr})^{1/p}} \|x\|_X^{2r} \tag{2.38}$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. It follows from (2.36) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| f(x) - \frac{f(0)}{2} - \frac{1}{2}f(2x) \right\|_Y &\leq \frac{\theta}{2} \|x\|_X^{2r}, \\ \left\| g(x) - \frac{1}{2}g(2x) \right\|_Y &\leq \frac{\theta}{2} \|x\|_X^{2r}, \end{aligned}$$

where $g(x) = f(x) - f(0)$ for all $x \in X$.

Replacing x by $2^l x$ and dividing by 2^l , we get

$$\left\| \frac{1}{2^l} g(2^l x) - \frac{1}{2^{l+1}} g(2^{l+1} x) \right\|_Y \leq \frac{\theta 4^{rl}}{22^l} \|x\|_X^{2r}.$$

Since Y is a p -Banach algebra, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{2^l} g(2^l x) - \frac{1}{2^{l+1}} g(2^{l+1} x) \right\|_Y^p &\leq \frac{\theta^p 4^{prl}}{2^p 2^{lp}} \|x\|_X^{2pr}. \\ \Rightarrow \left\| \frac{1}{2^l} g(2^l x) - \frac{1}{2^m} g(2^m x) \right\|_Y^p &\leq \frac{\theta^p}{2^p} \sum_{j=1}^{m-l} \frac{4^{prj}}{2^{pj}} \|x\|_X^{2pr} \end{aligned} \tag{2.39}$$

for all non-negative integers m and l with $l < m$ and for all $x \in X$. It follows from (2.39) that the sequence $\{\frac{1}{2^n} g(2^n x)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in X$. Since Y is complete, the sequence $\{\frac{1}{2^n} g(2^n x)\}$ converges. So one can define the mapping $H : X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$\begin{aligned} H(x) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n g(x/2^n), \quad \text{for all } x \in X, \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n [f(x/2^n) - f(0)], \quad \text{for all } x \in X, \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f(x/2^n), \quad \text{for all } x \in X. \end{aligned}$$

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1. □

(G) *We prove the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of homomorphisms in quasi-Banach algebra, associated to the additive mapping of second form*

$$f(x + y) + f(x - y) = 2f(y). \tag{E7}$$

Now we define

$$D f_7(x, y) = f(x + y) + f(x - y) - 2f(y).$$

Theorem 2.13. *Let $r > \frac{1}{2}$ and θ be a positive real numbers and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (2.2) such that*

$$\|D f_7(x, y)\|_Y \leq \theta \|x\|_X^r \|y\|_X^r \tag{2.40}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. If $f(tx)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for each fixed $x \in X$, then there exists a unique homomorphism $H : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) + f(0) - H(x)\|_Y \leq \frac{\theta}{(4^{pr} - 2^p)^{1/p}} \|x\|_X^{2r} \tag{2.41}$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. Setting $y = x$ in (2.40), we get

$$\|f(2x) - f(0) - 2f(x)\|_Y \leq \theta \|x\|_X^{2r}. \tag{2.42}$$

Replacing x by $x/2$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x) - f(0) - 2f(x/2)\|_Y &\leq \frac{\theta}{4^r} \|x\|_X^{2r} \\ \|g(x) - 2g(x/2)\|_Y &\leq \frac{\theta}{4^r} \|x\|_X^{2r}, \end{aligned}$$

where $g(x) = f(x) + f(0)$.

Replacing x by $\frac{x}{2^l}$ and multiplying by 2^l , we get

$$\left\| 2^l g\left(\frac{x}{2^l}\right) - 2^{l+1} g\left(\frac{x}{2^{l+1}}\right) \right\|_Y \leq \frac{\theta 2^l}{4^r 4^{lr}} \|x\|_X^{2r}.$$

Since Y is a p -Banach algebra, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| 2^l g\left(\frac{x}{2^l}\right) - 2^{l+1} g\left(\frac{x}{2^{l+1}}\right) \right\|_Y^p &\leq \frac{\theta^p 2^{pl}}{4^{pr} 4^{prl}} \|x\|_X^{2pr}. \\ \Rightarrow \left\| 2^l g\left(\frac{x}{2^l}\right) - 2^m g\left(\frac{x}{2^m}\right) \right\|_Y^p &\leq \frac{\theta^p}{4^{pr}} \sum_{j=1}^{m-l} \frac{2^{pj}}{4^{prj}} \|x\|_X^{2pr}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.43}$$

for all non-negative integers m and l with $l < m$ and for all $x \in X$. It follows from (2.43) that the sequence $\{2^n g(\frac{x}{2^n})\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in X$. Since Y is complete, the sequence $\{2^n g(\frac{x}{2^n})\}$ converges. So one can define the mapping $H : X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$\begin{aligned} H(x) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n g(x/2^n), \text{ for all } x \in X, \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n [f(x/2^n) + f(0)], \text{ for all } x \in X, \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f(x/2^n), \text{ for all } x \in X. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (2.40) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|D H(x, y)\|_Y &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n \left\| D f_7\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right\|_Y \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\theta 2^n}{4^{nr}} \|x\|_X^r \|y\|_X^r = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. So

$$H(x + y) + H(x - y) = 2H(y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Moreover, letting $l = 0$ and allowing the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.43), we get the inequality (2.41).

By the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem of [28], the mapping $H : X \rightarrow Y$ is \mathbb{R} -linear. It follows from (2.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|H(x_1 x_2) - H(x_1)H(x_2)\|_Y &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^n \left\| f\left(\frac{x_1 x_2}{2^n 2^n}\right) - f\left(\frac{x_1}{2^n}\right) f\left(\frac{x_2}{2^n}\right) \right\|_Y \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{4^n \theta}{4^{nr}} \|x_1\|_X^r \|x_2\|_X^r = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. So $H(x_1x_2) = H(x_1)H(x_2)$ for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$.

Now let $T : X \rightarrow Y$ be another additive mapping satisfying (2.41). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|H(x) - T(x)\|_Y &= 2^n \left\| f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) - T\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right\|_Y \\ &\leq 2^n K \left[\left\| H\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) - f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right\|_Y + \left\| T\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) - f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right\|_Y \right] \\ &\leq \frac{2^{n+1}K\theta}{4^{nr}(4^{pr} - 2^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \|x\|_X^{2r} \end{aligned}$$

which tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x \in X$. We can conclude that $H(x) = T(x)$ for all $x \in X$. This proves the uniqueness of H . Thus the mapping $H : X \rightarrow Y$ is a unique homomorphism satisfying (2.41). \square

Theorem 2.14. *Let $r < \frac{1}{2}$ and θ be a positive real numbers and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying (2.40) and (2.2). If $f(tx)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for each fixed $x \in X$, then there exists a unique homomorphism $H : X \rightarrow Y$ such that*

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\|_Y \leq \frac{\theta}{(2^p - 4^{pr})^{1/p}} \|x\|_X^{2r} \tag{2.44}$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. It follows from (2.42) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| f(x) + \frac{f(0)}{2} - \frac{1}{2}f(2x) \right\|_Y &\leq \frac{\theta}{2} \|x\|_X^{2r} \\ \left\| g(x) - \frac{1}{2}g(2x) \right\|_Y &\leq \frac{\theta}{2} \|x\|_X^{2r} \end{aligned}$$

where $g(x) = f(x) + f(0)$ for all $x \in X$.

Replacing x by $2^l x$ and dividing by 2^l , we get

$$\left\| \frac{1}{2^l}g(2^l x) - \frac{1}{2^{l+1}}g(2^{l+1}x) \right\|_Y \leq \frac{\theta 4^{rl}}{2 \cdot 2^l} \|x\|_X^{2r}.$$

Since Y is a p -Banach algebra, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{2^l}g(2^l x) - \frac{1}{2^{l+1}}g(2^{l+1}x) \right\|_Y^p &\leq \frac{\theta^p 4^{prl}}{2^p 2^{lp}} \|x\|_X^{2pr}. \\ \Rightarrow \left\| \frac{1}{2^l}g(2^l x) - \frac{1}{2^m}g(2^m x) \right\|_Y^p &\leq \frac{\theta^p}{2^p} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \frac{4^{prj}}{2^{pj}} \|x\|_X^{2pr} \end{aligned} \tag{2.45}$$

for all non-negative integers m and l with $l < m$ and for all $x \in X$. It follows from (2.45) that the sequence $\left\{ \frac{1}{2^n}g(2^n x) \right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in X$. Since Y is complete, the sequence $\left\{ \frac{1}{2^n}g(2^n x) \right\}$ converges. So one can define the

mapping $H : X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$\begin{aligned} H(x) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n g(x/2^n), \text{ for all } x \in X \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n [f(x/2^n) + f(0)], \text{ for all } x \in X \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f(x/2^n), \text{ for all } x \in X. \end{aligned}$$

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1. □

3. Isomorphisms between Quasi-Banach Algebra

Through this section, assume that X is a quasi-Banach algebra with quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_X$ and unit e and that Y is a p -Banach algebra with p -norm $\|\cdot\|_Y$ and unit e' . Let K be the modulus of concavity of $\|\cdot\|_Y$.

(A) *We investigate isomorphisms between quasi-Banach algebras, associated to the general form of Cauchy functional equation (E₁).*

Theorem 3.1. *Let $r > \frac{1}{k}$, $k \in \mathbb{N} \geq 2$ and θ be positive real numbers, let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a bijective mapping satisfying (2.1) such that*

$$f(x_1x_2) = f(x_1)f(x_2) \tag{3.1}$$

for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$. If $f(tx)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for each fixed $x \in X$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k^n f\left(\frac{e}{k^n}\right) = e'$, then the mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. Since $f(x_1x_2) - f(x_1)f(x_2) = 0$ for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$, the mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies (2.2). By Theorem 2.1, there exists a homomorphisms $H : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (2.3). The mapping $H : X \rightarrow Y$ is defined by

$$H(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k^n f\left(\frac{x}{k^n}\right)$$

for all $x \in X$. It follows from (3.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} H(x) &= H(ex) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k^n f\left(\frac{ex}{k^n}\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k^n f\left(\frac{e}{k^n} \cdot x\right) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k^n f\left(\frac{e}{k^n}\right) f(x) = e' f(x) = f(x) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$. So the bijective mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an isomorphism. □

Theorem 3.2. *Let $r < \frac{1}{k}$, $k \in \mathbb{N} \geq 2$ and θ be positive real numbers, let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a bijective mapping satisfying (2.1) and (3.1). If $f(tx)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for each fixed $x \in X$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k^n} f(k^n e) = e'$, then the mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Since $f(x_1x_2) - f(x_1)f(x_2) = 0$ for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$, the mapping

$f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies (2.2). By Theorem 2.2, there exists a homomorphisms $H : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (2.6). The mapping $H : X \rightarrow Y$ is defined by

$$H(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k^n} f(k^n x)$$

for all $x \in X$. It follows from (3.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} H(x) &= H(ex) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k^n} f(ek^n x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k^n} f(k^n e.x) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k^n} f(k^n e) f(x) = e' f(x) = f(x) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$. So the bijective mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an isomorphism. \square

(B) *We investigate isomorphisms between quasi-Banach algebras, associated to the Jensen functional equation (E₂).*

Theorem 3.3. *Let $r < 1/3$ and θ be positive real numbers, let $f : X \rightarrow B$ be a bijective mapping with $f(0) = 0$ satisfying (2.8) and (3.1). If $f(tx)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for each fixed $x \in X$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4^n} f(4^n e) = e'$, then the mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Since $f(x_1 x_2) - f(x_1) f(x_2) = 0$ for all $x_1, x_2 \in A$, the mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies (2.2). By Theorem 2.3, there exists a homomorphisms $H : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (2.9). The mapping $H : X \rightarrow Y$ is defined by

$$H(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4^n} f(4^n x)$$

for all $x \in X$.

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.2. \square

Theorem 3.4. *Let $r > 1/3$ and θ be positive real numbers, let $f : X \rightarrow B$ be a bijective mapping with $f(0) = 0$ satisfying (2.8) and (3.1). If $f(tx)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for each fixed $x \in X$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^n f\left(\frac{e}{4^n}\right) = e'$, then the mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Since $f(x_1 x_2) - f(x_1) f(x_2) = 0$ for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$, the mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies (2.2). By Theorem 2.4, there exists a homomorphisms $H : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (2.12). The mapping $H : X \rightarrow Y$ is defined by

$$H(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^n f\left(\frac{x}{4^n}\right)$$

for all $x \in X$.

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1. \square

(C) *We investigate isomorphisms between quasi-Banach algebras, associated to the Cauchy-Jensen functional equation (E₃).*

Theorem 3.5. *Let $r > 1/3$ and θ be positive real numbers, let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a bijective mapping satisfying (2.14) and (3.1). If $f(tx)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for each fixed $x \in X$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f\left(\frac{e}{2^n}\right) = e'$, then the mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Since $f(x_1x_2) - f(x_1)f(x_2) = 0$ for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$, the mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies (2.2). By Theorem 2.5, there exists a homomorphisms $H : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (2.15). The mapping $H : X \rightarrow Y$ is defined by

$$H(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)$$

for all $x \in X$.

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1. □

Theorem 3.6. *Let $r < 1/3$ and θ be positive real numbers, let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a bijective mapping satisfying (2.14) and (3.1). If $f(tx)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for each fixed $x \in X$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n e) = e'$, then the mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Since $f(x_1x_2) - f(x_1)f(x_2) = 0$ for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$, the mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies (2.2). By Theorem 2.6, there exists a homomorphisms $H : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (2.18). The mapping $H : X \rightarrow Y$ is defined by

$$H(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n x)$$

for all $x \in X$.

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.2. □

(D) *We investigate isomorphisms between quasi-Banach algebras, associated to the Apollonius-type additive functional equation (E_4) .*

Theorem 3.7. *Let $r > 1/3$ and θ be positive real numbers, let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a bijective mapping satisfying (2.20) and (3.1). If $f(tx)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for each fixed $x \in X$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^n f\left(\frac{e}{4^n}\right) = e'$, then the mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Since $f(x_1x_2) - f(x_1)f(x_2) = 0$ for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$, the mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies (2.2). By Theorem 2.7, there exists a homomorphisms $H : X \rightarrow B$ satisfying (2.21). The mapping $H : X \rightarrow Y$ is defined by

$$H(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^n f\left(\frac{x}{4^n}\right)$$

for all $x \in X$.

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1. \square

Theorem 3.8. *Let $r < 1/3$ and θ be positive real numbers, let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a bijective mapping satisfying (2.20) and (3.1). If $f(tx)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for each fixed $x \in X$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^n f\left(\frac{e}{4^n}\right) = e'$, then the mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Since $f(x_1x_2) - f(x_1)f(x_2) = 0$ for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$, the mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies (2.2). By Theorem 2.8, there exists a homomorphisms $H : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (2.24). The mapping $H : X \rightarrow Y$ is defined by

$$H(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4^n} f(4^n x)$$

for all $x \in X$.

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.2. \square

(E) *We investigate isomorphisms between quasi-Banach algebras, associated to the additive type functional equation (E_5) .*

Theorem 3.9. *Let $r > 1/2$ and θ be positive real numbers, let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a bijective mapping satisfying (2.26) and (3.1). If $f(tx)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for each fixed $x \in X$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 3^n f\left(\frac{e}{3^n}\right) = e'$, then the mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Since $f(x_1x_2) - f(x_1)f(x_2) = 0$ for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$, the mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies (2.2). By Theorem 2.9, there exists a homomorphisms $H : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (2.27). The mapping $H : X \rightarrow Y$ is defined by

$$H(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 3^n f\left(\frac{x}{3^n}\right)$$

for all $x \in X$.

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1. \square

Theorem 3.10. *Let $r < 1/2$ and θ be positive real numbers, let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a bijective mapping satisfying (2.26) and (3.1). If $f(tx)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for each fixed $x \in X$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{3^n} f(3^n e) = e'$, then the mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Since $f(x_1x_2) - f(x_1)f(x_2) = 0$ for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$, the mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies (2.2). By Theorem 2.10, there exists a homomorphisms $H : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (2.27). The mapping $H : X \rightarrow Y$ is defined by

$$H(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{3^n} f(3^n x)$$

for all $x \in X$.

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1. \square

(F) We investigate isomorphisms between quasi-Banach algebras, associated to the additive mapping of first form (E_6).

Theorem 3.11. Let $r > \frac{1}{2}$ and θ be positive real numbers, let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a bijective mapping satisfying (2.34) and (3.1). If $f(tx)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for each fixed $x \in X$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f\left(\frac{e}{2^n}\right) = e'$, then the mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. Since $f(x_1x_2) - f(x_1)f(x_2) = 0$ for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$, the mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies (2.2). By Theorem 2.11, there exists a homomorphisms $H : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (2.35). The mapping $H : X \rightarrow Y$ is defined by

$$H(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)$$

for all $x \in X$.

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1. \square

Theorem 3.12. Let $r < 1/2$ and θ be positive real numbers, let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a bijective mapping satisfying (2.34) and (3.1). If $f(tx)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for each fixed $x \in X$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n e) = e'$, then the mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. Since $f(x_1x_2) - f(x_1)f(x_2) = 0$ for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$, the mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies (2.2). By Theorem 2.12, there exists a homomorphisms $H : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (2.38). The mapping $H : X \rightarrow Y$ is defined by

$$H(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n x)$$

for all $x \in X$.

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.2. \square

(G) We investigate isomorphisms between quasi-Banach algebras, associated to the additive mapping of second form (E_7).

Theorem 3.13. Let $r > 1/2$ and θ be positive real numbers, let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be X bijective mapping satisfying (2.40) and (3.1). If $f(tx)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for each fixed $x \in X$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f\left(\frac{e}{2^n}\right) = e'$, then the mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. Since $f(x_1x_2) - f(x_1)f(x_2) = 0$ for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$, the mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies (2.2). By Theorem 2.13, there exists a homomorphisms

$H : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (2.41). The mapping $H : X \rightarrow Y$ is defined by

$$H(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)$$

for all $x \in X$.

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1. \square

Theorem 3.14. *Let $r < 1/2$ and θ be positive real numbers, let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a bijective mapping satisfying (2.40) and (3.1). If $f(tx)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for each fixed $x \in X$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n e) = e'$, then the mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Since $f(x_1 x_2) - f(x_1)f(x_2) = 0$ for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$, the mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies (2.2). By Theorem 2.14, there exists a homomorphism $H : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (2.44). The mapping $H : X \rightarrow Y$ is defined by

$$H(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n x) \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.2. \square

References

- [1] J. Aczel, J. Dhombres, *Functional Equations in Several Variables*, Cambridge Univ. Press (1989).
- [2] J.M. Almira, U. Luther, Inverse closedness of approximation algebras, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **314** (2006), 30-44.
- [3] C. Baak, Cauchy-Rassias stability of Cauchy-Jensen additive mappings in Banach spaces, *Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series Nov.*, **22** (2006), 1789-1796.
- [4] Y. Benyamini, J. Lindenstrauss, *Geometric Nonlinear Functional Analysis*, Volume 1, Colloq. Publ., Volume 48, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (2000).
- [5] C. Boreli, G.L. Forti, On a general Hyers-Ulam stability result, *Internat. J. Math. Sci.*, **18** (1995), 229-236.
- [6] P.W. Cholewa, Remarks on the stability of functional equations, *Aequationes Math.*, **27** (1984), 76-86.
- [7] St. Czerwik, On the stability of the quadratic mapping in normed spaces, *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg*, **62** (1992).

- [8] H. Drljevic, On the stability of the functional quadratic on A -orthogonal vectors, *Publ. Inst. Math.*, Beograd, **36**, No. 50 (1984), 111-118.
- [9] P. Gavruta, A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **184** (1994), 431-436.
- [10] D.H. Hyers, On the stability of the linear functional equation, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA*, **27** (1941), 222-224.
- [11] K.W. Jun, Y.H. Lee, A generalization of the on Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of Jensen's equation, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **238** (1999), 305-315
- [12] Pl. Kannappan, Quadratic functional equation and inner product spaces, *Results in Mathematics*, **27** (1995), 365-372.
- [13] C. Park, On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach modules, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **275** (2002), 711-720.
- [14] C. Park, Modified Trif's functional equations in Banach modules over a C^* -algebra and approximate algebra homomorphisms, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **278** (2003), 93-108.
- [15] C. Park, On an approximate automorphism on a C^* -algebra, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **132** (2004), 1739-1745.
- [16] C. Park, Lie $*$ -homomorphisms between Lie C^* -algebra and Lie $*$ derivations on Lie C^* algebra, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **293** (2004), 419-434.
- [17] C. Park, Homomorphisms between Lie JC^* -algebra and Cauchy-Rassias stability of Lie JC^* -algebra derivations, *J. Lie Theory*, **15** (2005), 393-414.
- [18] C. Park, Approximate homomorphisms on JB^* -triples, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **306** (2005), 375-381.
- [19] C. Park, Homomorphisms between Poisson JC^* -algebra, *Bull. Braz. Math. Soc.*, **36** (2005), 79-97.
- [20] C. Park, Isomorphisms between unital C^* -algebra, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **307** (2005), 753-762.
- [21] J.M. Rassias, On approximation of approximately linear mappings by linear mappings, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **46** (1982), 126-130.
- [22] J.M. Rassias, On approximation of approximately linear mappings by linear mappings, *Bull. Sci. Math.*, **108** (1984), 445-446.

- [23] J.M. Rassias, Solution of a problem of Ulam, *J. Approx. Theory*, **57** (1989), 268-273.
- [24] J.M. Rassias, Solution of a stability problem of Ulam, *Discuss. Math.*, **12** (1992), 95-103.
- [25] J.M. Rassias, On the stability of the Euler-Lagrange functional equation, *Chinese J. Math.*, **20** (1992), 185-190.
- [26] J.M. Rassias, Complete solution of the multi-dimensional problem of Ulam, *Discuss. Math.*, **14** (1994), 101-107.
- [27] J.M. Rassias, M.J. Rassias, On some approximately quadratic mappings being exactly quadratic, *J. Indian Math. Soc.*, **69** (2002), 155-160.
- [28] Th.M. Rassias, On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **72** (1978), 297-300.
- [29] Th.M. Rassias, On the stability of the functional equations in Banach spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **251** (2000), 264-284.
- [30] Th.M. Rassias, On the stability of functional equations and a problem of Ulam, *Acta Appl. Math.*, **62** (2000), 23-130.
- [31] S. Rolewicz, *Metric Linear Spaces*, PWN-Polish Sci.Publ., Warszawa, Reidel, Dordrecht (1984).
- [32] F. Skof, Proprieta locali e approssimazione di operatori, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Fis. Milano*, **53** (1983), 113-129, In Italian.
- [33] S.M. Ulam, *Problems in Modern Mathematics*, Wiley, New York (1960).