

AN EXACT MACROSCOPIC EXTENDED
MODEL WITH MANY MOMENTS

M.C. Carrisi¹, S. Pennisi²§, A. Scanu³

^{1,2,3}Dipartimento di Matematica ed Informatica

Università degli Studi di Cagliari

72, Via Ospedale, Cagliari, 09124, ITALY

¹e-mail: cristina.carrisi@tiscali.it

²e-mail: spennisi@unica.it

³e-mail: scanu.eric@tiscali.it

Abstract: Extended thermodynamics (ET) is a very important theory: for example, it predicts hyperbolicity and finite speeds of propagation waves. Here its methods are applied to the balance equations suggested by the non relativistic limit of relativistic extended thermodynamics. This paper and the other one presented at this conference, that is “The Galilean relativity principle for a new kind of systems of balance equations in extended thermodynamics” are two parts of a same work and complete each other.

AMS Subject Classification: 26A33

Key Words: extended thermodynamics

1. Introduction

The non-relativistic limit of relativistic extended thermodynamics suggests to the balance equations (1) of paper [1] and shows also that the kinetic counterpart of the variables appearing in this system is

$$\begin{aligned} F^{i_1 \dots i_n} &= \int f c^{i_1} \dots c^{i_n} d\underline{c} \quad , \quad F^{ki_1 \dots i_n} = \int f c^k c^{i_1} \dots c^{i_n} d\underline{c}, \\ F_*^{i_1 \dots i_r} &= \int f c^{i_1} \dots c^{i_r} (c^2)^{\frac{N+M+1-2r}{2}} d\underline{c}, \\ G^{ki_1 \dots i_r} &= \int f c^k c^{i_1} \dots c^{i_r} (c^2)^{\frac{N+M+1-2r}{2}} d\underline{c}, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

from which we see that:

Received: August 17, 2007

© 2008, Academic Publications Ltd.

§Correspondence author

- All the tensors are symmetric,
- $F^{ki_1 \dots i_n}$ for $n=0, \dots, N-1$ is differentiated with respect to time in the subsequent equation,
- $F_*^{i_1 \dots i_M} = F^{ki_1 \dots i_N} \delta_{ki_{M+1}} \delta_{i_{M+2} i_{M+3}} \dots \delta_{i_{N-1} i_N}$,
- $F_*^{i_1 \dots i_r} = G^{ki_1 \dots i_{r+1}} \delta_{ki_{r+1}}$ for $r = 0, \dots, M-1$,
- G^k is completely free.

(2)

The last 4 of the above conditions are called compatibility conditions.

From equations (1) we see also that if we consider two Galileanly equivalent frames we have the transformations for the various tensors which are reported in equation (2) of [1] with

$$X_{j_1 \dots j_h}^{i_1 \dots i_n} = \binom{n}{h} \delta_{j_1}^{(i_1} \dots \delta_{j_h}^{i_h} v^{i_{h+1}} \dots v^{i_n}), \quad (3)$$

$$Y_{j_1 \dots j_h}^{i_1 \dots i_r} = \sum_{q_2 = \sup\{h - \frac{N+M+1}{2}, 0\}}^{\inf\{\lfloor \frac{h}{2} \rfloor, \frac{N+M+1-2r}{2}\}} \sum_{q_1 = \sup\{0, h - \frac{N+M+1-2r}{2} - q_2\}}^{\inf\{r, h-2q_2\}} \binom{r}{q_1} \frac{(N+M+1-2r)!}{q_2!(h-q_1-2q_2)!(q_1+q_2-h+\frac{N+M+1-2r}{2})!} (v^2)^{q_1+q_2-h+\frac{N+M+1-2r}{2}} 2^{h-q_1-2q_2} v_{(j_1} \dots v_{j_{h-q_1-2q_2}} \delta_{j_{h-q_1-2q_2+1} j_{h-q_1-2q_2+2}} \dots \delta_{j_{h-q_1-1} j_{h-q_1}} \delta_{j_{h-q_1+1}}^{(i_1} \dots \delta_{j_h}^{i_{q_1}} v^{i_{q_1+1}} \dots v^{i_r)},$$

$$P_{j_1 \dots j_h}^{i_1 \dots i_{r+1}} = \sum_{q_2 = \sup\{h - \frac{N+M+1}{2} - 1, 0\}}^{\inf\{\lfloor \frac{h}{2} \rfloor, \frac{N+M+1-2r}{2}\}} \sum_{q_1 = \sup\{0, h - \frac{N+M+1-2r}{2} - q_2\}}^{\inf\{r+1, h-2q_2\}} \binom{r+1}{q_1} \frac{(N+M+1-2r)!}{q_2!(h-q_1-2q_2)!(q_1+q_2-h+\frac{N+M+1-2r}{2})!} (v^2)^{q_1+q_2-h+\frac{N+M+1-2r}{2}} 2^{h-q_1-2q_2} v_{(j_1} \dots v_{j_{h-q_1-2q_2}} \delta_{j_{h-q_1-2q_2+1} j_{h-q_1-2q_2+2}} \dots \delta_{j_{h-q_1-1} j_{h-q_1}} \delta_{j_{h-q_1+1}}^{(i_1} \dots \delta_{j_h}^{i_{q_1}} v^{i_{q_1+1}} \dots v^{i_{r+1}}),$$

$$Q_{j_1 \dots j_{p+1}}^{i_1 \dots i_{r+1}} = \sum_{q_2 = \frac{N+M+1}{2} - p}^{\inf\{\lfloor \frac{N+M+2-p}{2} \rfloor, \frac{N+M+1-2r}{2}\}} \sum_{q_1 = \sup\{0, \frac{N+M+1}{2} - p - q_2 + 1 + r\}}^{\inf\{r+1, N+M+2-p-2q_2\}} \binom{r+1}{q_1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{\left(\frac{N+M+1-2r}{2}\right)!}{q_2!(N+M+2-p-q_1-2q_2)!(q_1+q_2+p-\frac{N+M+3+2r}{2})!} \\
 & (v^2)^{q_1+q_2+p-\frac{N+M+3+2r}{2}} 2^{N+M+2-p-q_1-2q_2} v_{(j_1 \cdots j_{N+M+2-p-q_1-2q_2}} \\
 & \delta_{j_{N+M+3-p-q_1-2q_2} j_{N+M+4-p-q_1-2q_2}} \cdots \delta_{j_{p-q_1} j_{p-q_1+1}} \delta_{j_{p-q_1+2}}^{(i_1)} \cdots \delta_{j_{p+1}}^{i_{q_1}} v^{i_{q_1+1}} \cdots v^{i_{r+1}}), \\
 Z_{j_1 \cdots j_p}^{i_1 \cdots i_r} = & \sum_{q_2 = \frac{N+M+1-p}{2}}^{\inf\{\lfloor \frac{N+M+1-p}{2}, \frac{N+M+1-2r}{2} \rfloor\}} \sum_{q_1 = \sup\{0, \frac{N+M+1}{2} - p - q_2 + r\}}^{\inf\{r, N+M+1-p-2q_2\}} \binom{r}{q_1} \\
 & \frac{\left(\frac{N+M+1-2r}{2}\right)!}{q_2!(N+M+1-p-q_1-2q_2)!(q_1+q_2+p-\frac{N+M+1+2r}{2})!} \\
 & (v^2)^{q_1+q_2+p-\frac{N+M+1+2r}{2}} 2^{N+M+1-p-q_1-2q_2} v_{(j_1 \cdots j_{N+M+1-p-q_1-2q_2}} \\
 & \delta_{j_{N+M+2-p-q_1-2q_2} j_{N+M+3-p-q_1-2q_2}} \cdots \delta_{j_{p-q_1-1} j_{p-q_1}} \delta_{j_{p-q_1+1}}^{(i_1)} \cdots \delta_{j_p}^{i_{q_1}} v^{i_{q_1+1}} \cdots v^{i_r}).
 \end{aligned}$$

The proof of this property is here reported in Appendix.

2. The Entropy Principle and the Galilean Relativity Principle

These two principles are expressed in [1] by equations (5), by the transformations (2) and (8), by the definition (6), the consequence (7) and by the fact that h and ϕ'^k do not depend on v^j . By substituting equations (2)_{1,2} into equation (5)₁ of [1], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 dh = & \sum_{n=0}^N \lambda_{i_1 \cdots i_n} \sum_{h=0}^n X_{j_1 \cdots j_h}^{i_1 \cdots i_n} dF'^{j_1 \cdots j_h} + dv^j \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^N \lambda_{i_1 \cdots i_n} \sum_{h=0}^n \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial v_j} X_{j_1 \cdots j_h}^{i_1 \cdots i_n} \right) \right. \\
 & F'^{j_1 \cdots j_h} + \sum_{r=0}^M \mu_{i_1 \cdots i_r} \left[\sum_{h=0}^N \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial v_j} Y_{j_1 \cdots j_h}^{i_1 \cdots i_r} \right) F'^{j_1 \cdots j_h} + \sum_{p=r}^M \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial v_j} Z_{j_1 \cdots j_p}^{i_1 \cdots i_r} \right) \right. \\
 & \left. \left. F_*'^{j_1 \cdots j_p} \right] \right\} + \sum_{r=0}^M \mu_{i_1 \cdots i_r} \left\{ \sum_{h=0}^N Y_{j_1 \cdots j_h}^{i_1 \cdots i_r} dF'^{j_1 \cdots j_h} + \sum_{p=r}^M Z_{j_1 \cdots j_p}^{i_1 \cdots i_r} dF_*'^{j_1 \cdots j_p} \right\}. \tag{4}
 \end{aligned}$$

The Galilean relativity principle imply that the coefficient of dv^j must be equal to zero; so, by exchanging the order of summations, it remains

$$dh = \sum_{h=0}^N \left[\sum_{n=h}^N \lambda_{i_1 \cdots i_n} X_{j_1 \cdots j_h}^{i_1 \cdots i_n} + \sum_{r=0}^M \mu_{i_1 \cdots i_r} Y_{j_1 \cdots j_h}^{i_1 \cdots i_r} \right] dF'^{j_1 \cdots j_h}$$

$$+ \sum_{p=0}^M \sum_{r=0}^p \mu_{i_1 \dots i_r} Z_{j_1 \dots j_p}^{i_1 \dots i_r} dF_*'^{j_1 \dots j_p},$$

from which it follows equation (9)₁ of [1] and the decomposition (11) of [1] for the Lagrange multipliers. Moreover, the coefficient of dv^j in (4) must be zero and this implies equation (10)₁ of [1] after having exchanged the order of summations and used equations (4) and (11) of [1].

Similarly, by substituting equations (3)_{1,2} in (5)₂ of [1] and by using also equation (8)₂ of [1], equation (5)₂ becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & d\phi'^k + \underline{v^k dh} + h dv^k \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^N \lambda_{i_1 \dots i_n} \left[\frac{v^k dF^{i_1 \dots i_n}}{v^k} + F^{i_1 \dots i_n} dv^k + \sum_{h=0}^n X_{j_1 \dots j_h}^{i_1 \dots i_n} dF'^{kj_1 \dots j_h} \right] \\ &+ \sum_{r=0}^M \mu_{i_1 \dots i_r} \left[\frac{v^k dF_*'^{i_1 \dots i_r}}{v^k} + F_*'^{i_1 \dots i_r} dv^k + \sum_{h=0}^N Y_{j_1 \dots j_h}^{i_1 \dots i_r} dF'^{kj_1 \dots j_h} \right. \\ &+ \left. \sum_{p=r}^M Z_{j_1 \dots j_p}^{i_1 \dots i_r} dG'^{kj_1 \dots j_p} \right] + dv^j \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^N \lambda_{i_1 \dots i_n} \sum_{h=0}^n \frac{\partial X_{j_1 \dots j_h}^{i_1 \dots i_n}}{\partial v_j} F'^{kj_1 \dots j_h} + \sum_{r=0}^M \mu_{i_1 \dots i_r} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \left[\sum_{h=0}^N \frac{\partial Y_{j_1 \dots j_h}^{i_1 \dots i_r}}{\partial v_j} F'^{kj_1 \dots j_h} + \sum_{p=r}^M \frac{\partial Z_{j_1 \dots j_p}^{i_1 \dots i_r}}{\partial v_j} G'^{kj_1 \dots j_p} \right] \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

By using equation (5)₁ of [1] the underlined terms can be eliminated, so that we have equation (9)₂ of [1]. Moreover, the coefficient of dv_j must be equal to zero, that is equation (10)₂ of [1] after having used equations (2) and (11) of [1].

The conditions which we have so found have been fully exploited in reference [2].

References

- [1] M.C. Carrisi, S. Pennisi, The Galilean relativity principle for a new kind of systems of balance equations in extended thermodynamics, *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **42**, No. 3 (2008).
- [2] M.C. Carrisi, S. Pennisi, A. Scanu, The exact macroscopic approach to extended thermodynamics with many moments, *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **40**, No. 2 (2007).

Appendix

In order to find the tensors (3) let us firstly consider the tensor

$$\begin{aligned}
 H^{i_1 \dots i_r} &= \int f c^{i_1} \dots c^{i_r} (c^2)^\alpha d\underline{c} \tag{5} \\
 &= \int f (c'^{i_1} + v^{i_1}) \dots (c'^{i_r} + v^{i_r}) (c'^2 + 2c'^i v_i + v^2)^\alpha d\underline{c}' \\
 &= \sum_{q_1=0}^r \sum_{q_2+q_3 \leq \alpha} \binom{r}{q_1} \int f c'^{(i_1) \dots c'^{i_{q_1}} v^{i_{q_1+1}} \dots v^{i_r}) \frac{\alpha!}{q_2! q_3! (\alpha - q_2 - q_3)!} \\
 &\quad (c'^2)^{q_2} 2^{q_3} c'^{j_1} \dots c'^{j_{q_3}} v_{j_1} \dots v_{j_{q_3}} (v^2)^{\alpha - q_2 - q_3} d\underline{c}' \\
 &= \sum_{(q_1, q_2, q_3) \in \Delta} \binom{r}{q_1} \frac{\alpha!}{q_2! q_3! (\alpha - q_2 - q_3)!} 2^{q_3} \\
 &\quad \times (v^2)^{\alpha - q_2 - q_3} H'^{e_1 e_1 \dots e_{q_2} e_{q_2} j_1 \dots j_{q_3} (i_1 \dots i_{q_1} v^{i_{q_1+1}} \dots v^{i_r}) v_{j_1} \dots v_{j_{q_3}}},
 \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the relation $c^i = c'^i + v^i$ between Galileanly equivalent frames, also the binomial and trinomial rules for powers; moreover, $\sum_{(q_1, q_2, q_3) \in \Delta}$ means that the summation have to be done with respect to every tern of indexes (q_1, q_2, q_3) belonging to the set

$$\Delta = \left\{ (q_1, q_2, q_3) : 0 \leq q_1 \leq r, 0 \leq q_2, 0 \leq q_3, q_2 + q_3 \leq \alpha \right\}.$$

With the following change of index from q_3 to h , defined by $q_3 = h - q_1 - 2q_2$, the set Δ converts into

$$\Delta' = \left\{ (q_1, q_2, h) : 0 \leq q_1 \leq r, 0 \leq q_2, q_1 + 2q_2 \leq h, h - q_1 - q_2 \leq \alpha \right\}.$$

Let us now transform suitably Δ' . The 1-st, 3-rd and 4-th inequalities defining it are:

$$0 \leq q_1 \leq r, \quad q_1 \leq h - 2q_2, \quad h - q_2 - \alpha \leq q_1. \tag{6}$$

The compatibilities between 1-st and 2-nd, 1-st and 3-rd, 2-nd and 3-rd of these are

$$0 \leq h - 2q_2, \quad h - q_2 - \alpha \leq r, \quad h - q_2 - \alpha \leq h - 2q_2,$$

or, by adding also the remaining 2-nd inequality which defines Δ' ,

$$q_2 \leq \left[\frac{h}{2} \right], \quad h - \alpha - r \leq q_2, \quad q_2 \leq \alpha, \quad 0 \leq q_2. \tag{7}$$

After that, equations (6) become

$$\sup \{0, h - q_2 - \alpha\} \leq q_1 \leq \inf \{r, h - 2q_2\}.$$

The compatibility conditions between equations (7) are

$$h - \alpha - r \leq \left\lceil \frac{h}{2} \right\rceil, \quad 0 \leq h, \quad h - \alpha - r \leq \alpha.$$

The first of these is a consequence of the others; in fact, from the 3-rd one we have $h \leq 2\alpha + r \leq 2\alpha + 2r$ from which the 1-st one follows when h is even. In the other case, h odd, we have still $h \leq 2\alpha + 2r$, as above, but it implies $h \leq 2\alpha + 2r - 1$ because h is odd; therefore the 1-st follows also in this case. Therefore, of the above relations it remains $0 \leq h \leq 2\alpha + r$.

After that equations (7) become

$$\sup \{0, h - \alpha - r\} \leq q_2 \leq \inf \left\{ \left\lceil \frac{h}{2} \right\rceil, \alpha \right\}.$$

Consequently,
$$\sum_{(q_1, q_2, q_3) \in \Delta} \text{ becomes } \sum_{h=0}^{2\alpha+r} \sum_{q_2=\sup\{0, h-\alpha-r\}}^{\inf\{\lceil \frac{h}{2} \rceil, \alpha\}} \sum_{q_1=\sup\{0, h-2q_2-\alpha\}}^{\inf\{r, h-2q_2\}}.$$

Let now β and M be arbitrary integers such that $0 \leq \beta \leq 2\alpha + r$. We can split $\sum_{h=0}^{2\alpha+r}$ in $\sum_{h=0}^{\beta}$ and in $\sum_{h=\beta+1}^{2\alpha+r}$. In the first of these we use the change of index (from h to p) defined by $h = \beta + M + 1 - p$ and it becomes

$$\sum_{p=\beta+M+1-2\alpha-r}^M \sum_{q_2=\sup\{0, \beta+M+1-p-\alpha-r\}}^{\inf\{\lceil \frac{\beta+M+1-p}{2} \rceil, \alpha\}} \sum_{q_1=\sup\{0, \beta+M+1-p-\alpha-2q_2\}}^{\inf\{r, \beta+M+1-p-2q_2\}}$$

Consequently, equation (5) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} H^{i_1 \dots i_r} &= \sum_{h=0}^{\beta} \sum_{q_2=\sup\{0, h-\alpha-r\}}^{\inf\{\lceil \frac{h}{2} \rceil, \alpha\}} \sum_{q_1=\sup\{0, h-2q_2-\alpha\}}^{\inf\{r, h-2q_2\}} \binom{r}{q_1} \\ &\frac{\alpha!}{q_2!(h - q_1 - 2q_2)! (\alpha + q_2 - h + q_1)!} 2^{h-q_1-2q_2} (v^2)^{\alpha+q_2-h+q_1} \\ &H' e_1 e_1 \dots e_{q_2} e_{q_2} j_1 \dots j_{h-q_1-2q_2} (i_1 \dots i_{q_1} v^{i_{q_1+1}} \dots v^{i_r}) v_{j_1} \dots v_{j_{h-q_1-2q_2}} \\ &+ \sum_{p=\beta+M+1-2\alpha-r}^M \sum_{q_2=\sup\{0, \beta+M+1-p-\alpha-r\}}^{\inf\{\lceil \frac{\beta+M+1-p}{2} \rceil, \alpha\}} \sum_{q_1=\sup\{0, \beta+M+1-p-\alpha-2q_2\}}^{\inf\{r, \beta+M+1-p-2q_2\}} \binom{r}{q_1} \\ &\frac{\alpha!}{q_2!(\beta + M + 1 - p - q_1 - 2q_2)! (\alpha + q_2 + q_1 - \beta - M - 1 + p)!} \\ &2^{\beta+M+1-p-q_1-2q_2} H' e_1 e_1 \dots e_{q_2} e_{q_2} j_1 \dots j_{\beta+M+1-p-q_1-2q_2} (i_1 \dots i_{q_1} v^{i_{q_1+1}} \dots v^{i_r}) \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

$$v_{j_1} \cdots v_{j_{\beta+M+1-p-q_1-2q_2}} (v^2)^{\alpha+q_2+q_1+p-\beta-M-1}.$$

Now we can draw the desired results of this preliminary work. From the definition (5) of $H^{i_1 \cdots i_r}$ and from (8),

— For $\alpha = 0$, $r = n \in [0, N]$, $\beta = n$, we obtain $(2)_1$ of [1] with $(3)_1$.

— For $\alpha = 0$, $r = N + 1$, $\beta = N + 1$, we obtain $(2)_1$ of [1] for $n = N + 1$, with $(3)_1$.

— For $\alpha = \frac{N+M+1-2r}{2}$, $r \in [0, M]$, $\beta = N$, we obtain $(2)_2$ of [1] with $(3)_{2,5}$.

— Let us firstly write (5) and (8) with $r+1$ instead of r ; after that, substitute $\alpha = \frac{N+M+1-2r}{2}$, $r \in [0, M]$, $\beta = N + 1$, so obtaining $(2)_3$ of [1] with $(3)_{3,4}$.

This results are very interesting: if we call I the variables occurring in equation (1) of [1], and I' their counterparts in the other frame, we have found that I are expressed in terms of I' and no other moment has slipped in their relation! This fact confirms that our equations are the physically correct ones.

