

THE GALILEAN RELATIVITY PRINCIPLE FOR A NEW
KIND OF SYSTEMS OF BALANCE EQUATIONS
IN EXTENDED THERMODYNAMICS

M.C. Carrisi¹, S. Pennisi² §

^{1,2}Dipartimento di Matematica ed Informatica

Università degli Studi di Cagliari

72, Via Ospedale, Cagliari, 09124, ITALY

¹e-mail: cristina.carrisi@tiscali.it

²e-mail: spennisi@unica.it

Abstract: The classical limit of relativistic extended thermodynamics with many moments suggests to consider a new kind of balance equations: The evolution equations for moments of order $n = 0, \dots, N$ and suitable traces of some of higher order. In this paper we show the restrictions imposed to this system by the Galilean relativity principle, aided also by the entropy principle. To this end, we extend to our system a new method, found by S. Pennisi and T. Ruggeri for a less general case.

AMS Subject Classification: 26A33

Key Words: extended thermodynamics

1. Introduction

In paper [1] it has been shown that the non-relativistic limit of relativistic extended thermodynamics suggests to consider the balance equations

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t F^{i_1 \dots i_n} + \partial_k F^{ki_1 \dots i_n} = P^{i_1 \dots i_n} & \text{for } n = 0, \dots, N, \\ \partial_t F_*^{i_1 \dots i_r} + \partial_k G^{ki_1 \dots i_r} = Q^{i_1 \dots i_r} & \text{for } r = 0, \dots, M, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where N and M are two integers such that $N > M$ and $N + M$ is an odd number. Let us impose the Galilean relativity principle for this system. In [2] it is proved that, between two Galileanly equivalent frames we have the following transformations for the various tensors

Received: August 17, 2007

© 2008, Academic Publications Ltd.

§Correspondence author

$$F^{i_1 \dots i_n} = \sum_{h=0}^n X_{j_1 \dots j_h}^{i_1 \dots i_n}(\underline{v}) F'^{j_1 \dots j_h}, \tag{2}$$

$$F_*^{i_1 \dots i_r} = \sum_{h=0}^N Y_{j_1 \dots j_h}^{i_1 \dots i_r}(\underline{v}) F'^{j_1 \dots j_h} + \sum_{p=r}^M Z_{j_1 \dots j_p}^{i_1 \dots i_r}(\underline{v}) F_*'^{j_1 \dots j_p},$$

$$G^{i_1 \dots i_{r+1}} = \sum_{h=0}^{N+1} P_{j_1 \dots j_h}^{i_1 \dots i_{r+1}}(\underline{v}) F'^{j_1 \dots j_h} + \sum_{p=r}^M Q_{j_1 \dots j_{p+1}}^{i_1 \dots i_{r+1}}(\underline{v}) G'^{j_1 \dots j_{p+1}}.$$

In [2] the explicit expressions of $X_{j_1 \dots j_h}^{i_1 \dots i_n}$, $Y_{j_1 \dots j_h}^{i_1 \dots i_r}$, $Z_{j_1 \dots j_p}^{i_1 \dots i_r}$, $P_{j_1 \dots j_h}^{i_1 \dots i_{r+1}}$ and $Q_{j_1 \dots j_{p+1}}^{i_1 \dots i_{r+1}}$ are reported from which the following properties hold

$$F^{ki_1 \dots i_n} - v^k F^{i_1 \dots i_n} = \sum_{h=0}^n X_{j_1 \dots j_h}^{i_1 \dots i_n}(\underline{v}) F'^{kj_1 \dots j_h},$$

$$G^{ki_1 \dots i_r} - v^k F_*^{i_1 \dots i_r} = \sum_{h=0}^N Y_{j_1 \dots j_h}^{i_1 \dots i_r}(\underline{v}) F'^{kj_1 \dots j_h} + \sum_{p=r}^M Z_{j_1 \dots j_p}^{i_1 \dots i_r}(\underline{v}) G'^{kj_1 \dots j_p}, \tag{3}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial v^j} X_{j_1 \dots j_h}^{i_1 \dots i_n} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } n = h, \\ (h + 1) X_{j_1 \dots j_h j}^{i_1 \dots i_n} & \text{for } n = h + 1, \dots, N, \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial v^j} Y_{j_1 \dots j_h}^{i_1 \dots i_r} = \begin{cases} (h + 1) Y_{j_1 \dots j_h j}^{i_1 \dots i_r}, & \text{for } h = 0, \dots, N - 1, \\ (N + 1) Z_{(j_1 \dots j_M j_{M+1} j_{M+2} \dots j_{N-2} j_{N-1} j_{Nj})}^{i_1 \dots i_r} & \text{for } h = N. \end{cases}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial v^j} Z_{j_1 \dots j_p}^{i_1 \dots i_r} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } r = p, \\ (p - 1) \delta_{(j_1 j_2 j_3 \dots j_p)j} Z_{j_1 \dots j_p}^{i_1 \dots i_r} + (N + M + 3 - 2p) Z_{(j_1 \dots j_{p-1} j_p)j}^{i_1 \dots i_r} \delta_{j_p j}, & \text{for } r = 0, \dots, p - 1 \end{cases}$$

The entropy principle for our system (1), with usual passages [3], is equivalent to assume the existence of Lagrange multipliers $\lambda_{i_1 \dots i_n}$ and $\mu_{i_1 \dots i_r}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} dh &= \lambda_{i_1 \dots i_n} dF^{i_1 \dots i_n} + \mu_{i_1 \dots i_r} dF_*^{i_1 \dots i_r}, \\ d\phi^k &= \lambda_{i_1 \dots i_n} dF^{ki_1 \dots i_n} + \mu_{i_1 \dots i_r} dG^{ki_1 \dots i_r} \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

plus a residual inequality. So we are now ready for the next section.

2. The Galilean Relativity Principle

2.1. The First Method

Let us now impose the Galilean relativity principle to our system by using the classical methodology. We have to decompose the quantities into their convective and non-convective parts. In order to do it we define

$$v^i = \frac{F^i}{F} \quad (6)$$

and we use equation (2), where the quantity \underline{v} is defined in (6) and is not more the relative velocity between two frames. Moreover F'^{\dots} , $F_*'^{\dots}$ and G'^{\dots} are all non-convective quantities.

$$\text{From equations (2)}_1 \text{ and (6) we have that } F'^{j_1} = 0. \quad (7)$$

$$\text{The decomposition of } h \text{ and } \phi^k \text{ is } h = h' \quad \phi^k = \phi'^k + hv^k, \quad (8)$$

where h' and ϕ'^k are non-convective quantities. In [2] it is shown that, from these decompositions and from equation (5), it follows

$$\begin{aligned} dh &= \lambda'_{j_1 \dots j_h} dF'^{j_1 \dots j_h} + \mu'_{j_1 \dots j_p} dF_*'^{j_1 \dots j_p}, \\ d\phi'^k &= \lambda'_{j_1 \dots j_h} dF'^{kj_1 \dots j_h} + \mu'_{j_1 \dots j_p} dG'^{kj_1 \dots j_p}, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

$$\sum_{h=0}^{N-1} (h+1) \lambda'_{j_1 \dots j_h} F'^{j_1 \dots j_h} + (N+1) \mu'_{(j_1 \dots j_M} \delta_{j_{M+1} j_{M+2}} \dots \delta_{j_N j_{N+1}} F'^{j_1 \dots j_N} \delta_j^{j_{N+1}}$$

$$+ \sum_{p=1}^M \left[(p-1) \mu'_{j_1 \dots j_{p-2}} \delta_{j_{p-1} j_p} + (N+M+3-2p) \mu'_{j_1 \dots j_{p-1}} \delta_{j_p j} \right] F_*'^{j_1 \dots j_p} = 0 \quad (10)$$

$$\sum_{h=0}^{N-1} (h+1) \lambda'_{j_1 \dots j_h} F'^{j_1 \dots j_h k} + (N+1) \mu'_{(j_1 \dots j_M} \delta_{j_{M+1} j_{M+2}} \dots \delta_{j_N j_{N+1}}$$

$$F'^{j_1 \dots j_N k} \delta_j^{j_{N+1}} + \sum_{p=1}^M \left[(p-1) \mu'_{j_1 \dots j_{p-2}} \delta_{j_{p-1} j_p} + (N+M+3-2p) \mu'_{j_1 \dots j_{p-1}} \delta_{j_p j} \right]$$

$$G'^{j_1 \dots j_p k} + \left[\sum_{n=0}^N \lambda'_{i_1 \dots i_n} F'^{i_1 \dots i_n} + \sum_{r=0}^M \mu'_{i_1 \dots i_r} F_*'^{i_1 \dots i_r} - h \right] \delta^{kj} = 0,$$

$$\text{with } \lambda'_{j_1 \dots j_h} = \sum_{n=h}^N \lambda_{i_1 \dots i_n} X_{j_1 \dots j_h}^{i_1 \dots i_n} + \sum_{r=0}^M \mu_{i_1 \dots i_r} Y_{j_1 \dots j_h}^{i_1 \dots i_r},$$

$$\mu'_{j_1 \dots j_p} = \sum_{r=0}^p \mu_{i_1 \dots i_r} Z_{j_1 \dots j_p}^{i_1 \dots i_r}. \quad (11)$$

Equations (10) express the condition that h and ϕ'^k do not depend on the velocity v^j . From equation (9), in the independent variables $F'^{j_1 \dots j_h}$ and $F_*'^{j_1 \dots j_p}$, we find

$$\lambda'_{j_1 \dots j_h} = \frac{\partial h}{\partial F'^{j_1 \dots j_h}} \quad \text{for } h \neq 1, \quad \mu'_{j_1 \dots j_p} = \frac{\partial h}{\partial F_*'^{j_1 \dots j_p}}$$

which imply that $\lambda'_{j_1 \dots j_h}$, for $h \neq 1$, and $\mu'_{j_1 \dots j_p}$ are non-convective quantities.

Equation (11)₁ for $h = 1$ defines λ'_{j_1} . But we see in equation (10)₁ that $\lambda'_j F'$ appears for $h = 0$ and can be obtained from this equation in terms of quantities which are already proved to be non-convective, so that also λ'_j is non-convective too.

Let us define now \tilde{h} and $\tilde{\phi}^k$ from

$$\begin{aligned} h &= -\tilde{h} + \lambda'_{j_1 \dots j_h} F'^{j_1 \dots i_h} + \mu'_{j_1 \dots j_p} F_*'^{j_1 \dots j_p}, \\ \phi'^k &= -\tilde{\phi}^k + \lambda'_{j_1 \dots j_h} F'^{j_1 \dots i_h k} + \mu'_{j_1 \dots j_p} G'^{j_1 \dots j_p k}. \end{aligned}$$

Equations (9), with v_j , λ' , $\lambda'_{j_1 j_2}$, ..., $\lambda'_{j_1 \dots j_N}$, and $\mu'_{j_1 \dots j_p}$ as independent variables becomes

$$\begin{aligned} d\tilde{h} &= F'^{j_1 \dots i_h} d\lambda'_{j_1 \dots j_h} + F_*'^{j_1 \dots j_p} d\mu'_{j_1 \dots j_p} \\ d\tilde{\phi}^k &= F'^{j_1 \dots i_h k} d\lambda'_{j_1 \dots j_h} + G'^{j_1 \dots j_p k} d\mu'_{j_1 \dots j_p}, \end{aligned}$$

which, taking into account also equation (7), are equivalent to

$$F' = \frac{\partial \tilde{h}}{\partial \lambda'}, \quad \frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}^k}{\partial \lambda'} = F'^{jk} \frac{\partial \lambda'_j}{\partial \lambda'}, \quad (12)$$

$$F'^{j_1 \dots j_n} = \frac{\partial \tilde{h}}{\partial \lambda'_{j_1 \dots j_n}}, \quad \frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}^k}{\partial \lambda'_{j_1 \dots j_n}} = F'^{jk} \frac{\partial \lambda'_j}{\partial \lambda'_{j_1 \dots j_n}} + F'^{j_1 \dots j_n k} \quad \text{for } n = 2, \dots, N,$$

$$F_*'^{j_1 \dots j_p} = \frac{\partial \tilde{h}}{\partial \mu'_{j_1 \dots j_p}}, \quad \frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}^k}{\partial \mu'_{j_1 \dots j_p}} = F'^{jk} \frac{\partial \lambda'_j}{\partial \mu'_{j_1 \dots j_p}} + G'^{j_1 \dots j_p k} \quad \text{for } p = 0, \dots, M.$$

By using the above equations, the conditions (2) of [2] and equations (10) become

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}^k}{\partial \lambda'} &= \frac{\partial \tilde{h}}{\partial \lambda'_{j_k}} \frac{\partial \lambda'_j}{\partial \lambda'}, \\ \frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}^k}{\partial \lambda'_{j_1 \dots j_h}} &= \frac{\partial \tilde{h}}{\partial \lambda'_{j_k}} \frac{\partial \lambda'_j}{\partial \lambda'_{j_1 \dots j_h}} + \frac{\partial \tilde{h}}{\partial \lambda'_{i_1 \dots i_h k}} \quad \text{for } h = 2, \dots, N-1, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\partial \tilde{h}}{\partial \mu'_{j_1 \dots j_M}} &= \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}^k}{\partial \lambda'_{j_1 \dots j_N}} - \frac{\partial \tilde{h}}{\partial \lambda'_{jk}} \frac{\partial \lambda'_j}{\partial \lambda'_{j_1 \dots j_N}} \right) \delta_{kj_{M+1}} \delta_{j_{M+2} j_{M+3}} \dots \delta_{j_{N-1} j_N}, \\
 \frac{\partial \tilde{h}}{\partial \mu'_{j_1 \dots j_r}} &= \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}^k}{\partial \mu'_{j_1 \dots j_{r+1}}} - \frac{\partial \tilde{h}}{\partial \lambda'_{jk}} \frac{\partial \lambda'_j}{\partial \mu'_{j_1 \dots j_{r+1}}} \right) \delta_{kj_{r+1}} \quad \text{for } r = 0, \dots, M - 1, \\
 \frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}^{[k}}{\partial \lambda'_{j_1] \dots j_N}} &= \frac{\partial \tilde{h}}{\partial \lambda'_{j[k}} \frac{\partial \lambda'_j}{\partial \lambda'_{j_1] \dots j_N}}, \\
 \frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}^{[k}}{\partial \mu'_{j_1] \dots j_p}} &= \frac{\partial \tilde{h}}{\partial \lambda'_{j[k}} \frac{\partial \lambda'_j}{\partial \mu'_{j_1] \dots j_p}} \quad \text{for } p = 0, \dots, M \\
 \sum_{h=2}^{N-1} (h+1) \lambda'_{j_1 \dots j_h j} \frac{\partial \tilde{h}}{\partial \lambda'_{j_1 \dots j_h}} + \lambda'_j \frac{\partial \tilde{h}}{\partial \lambda'_j} \\
 + (N+1) \mu'_{(j_1 \dots j_M} \delta_{j_{M+1} j_{M+2}} \dots \delta_{j_N j_{N+1}} \frac{\partial \tilde{h}}{\partial \lambda'_{j_1 \dots j_N}} \delta_j^{j_{N+1}} \\
 + \sum_{p=1}^M \left[(p-1) \mu'_{j_1 \dots j_{p-2} j_{p-1} j_p} \delta_{j_{p-1} j_p} + (N+M+3-2p) \mu'_{j_1 \dots j_{p-1}} \delta_{j_p j} \right] \frac{\partial \tilde{h}}{\partial \mu'_{j_1 \dots j_p}} = 0, \\
 \sum_{h=2}^{N-1} (h+1) \lambda'_{j_1 \dots j_h j} \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}^k}{\partial \lambda'_{j_1 \dots j_h}} - \frac{\partial \tilde{h}}{\partial \lambda'_{jk}} \frac{\partial \lambda'_j}{\partial \lambda'_{j_1 \dots j_h}} \right) + 2 \lambda'_{j_1 j} \frac{\partial \tilde{h}}{\partial \lambda'_{j_1 k}} \\
 + (N+1) \mu'_{(j_1 \dots j_M} \delta_{j_{M+1} j_{M+2}} \dots \delta_{j_N j_{N+1}} \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}^k}{\partial \lambda'_{j_1 \dots j_N}} - \frac{\partial \tilde{h}}{\partial \lambda'_{jk}} \frac{\partial \lambda'_j}{\partial \lambda'_{j_1 \dots j_N}} \right) \delta_j^{j_{N+1}} \\
 + \sum_{p=1}^M \left[(p-1) \mu'_{j_1 \dots j_{p-2} j_{p-1} j_p} \delta_{j_{p-1} j_p} + (N+M+3-2p) \mu'_{j_1 \dots j_{p-1}} \delta_{j_p j} \right] \\
 \times \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}^k}{\partial \mu'_{j_1 \dots j_p}} - \frac{\partial \tilde{h}}{\partial \lambda'_{jk}} \frac{\partial \lambda'_j}{\partial \mu'_{j_1 \dots j_p}} \right) + \tilde{h} \delta^{kj} = 0. \tag{13}
 \end{aligned}$$

So we have to find the functions \tilde{h} , $\tilde{\phi}^k$ and λ'_j depending on λ' , $\lambda'_{j_1 j_2}, \dots, \lambda'_{j_1 \dots j_N}$, $\mu'_{j_1 \dots j_p}$ subject to the above restrictions. After that the constitutive functions are given by (12)₄ for $n = N$ and by (12)₆.

2.2. The Second Method

We want now to simplify equations (13), by extending to our balance equations a method already used in [4] for a less general case. To this end, let us consider

the following mathematical problem: Find the functions H and H^k of the variables $\lambda'_{j_1 \dots j_h}$ with $h = 0, \dots, N$ and $\mu'_{j_1 \dots j_p}$ with $p = 0, \dots, M$ subject to the following restrictions:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial H^k}{\partial \lambda'_{j_1 \dots j_h}} &= \frac{\partial H}{\partial \lambda'_{j_1 \dots j_h k}} \quad \text{for } h = 0, \dots, N - 1, \\ \frac{\partial H}{\partial \mu'_{j_1 \dots j_M}} &= \frac{\partial H^k}{\partial \lambda'_{j_1 \dots j_N}} \delta_{k j_{M+1}} \delta_{j_{M+2} j_{M+3}} \cdots \delta_{j_{N-1} j_N}, \\ \frac{\partial H}{\partial \mu'_{j_1 \dots j_r}} &= \frac{\partial H^k}{\partial \mu'_{j_1 \dots j_{r+1}}} \delta_{k j_{r+1}} \quad \text{for } r = 0, \dots, M - 1, \\ \frac{\partial H^{[k}}{\partial \lambda'_{j_1] \dots j_N}} &= 0, \\ \frac{\partial H^{[k}}{\partial \mu'_{j_1] \dots j_p}} &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{h=0}^{N-1} (h+1) \lambda'_{j_1 \dots j_h j} \frac{\partial H}{\partial \lambda'_{j_1 \dots j_h}} \\ &\quad + (N+1) \mu'_{(j_1 \dots j_M} \delta_{j_{M+1} j_{M+2}} \cdots \delta_{j_N j_{N+1}}) \frac{\partial H}{\partial \lambda'_{j_1 \dots j_N}} \delta_j^{j_{N+1}} \\ &\quad + \sum_{p=1}^M \left[(p-1) \mu'_{j j_1 \dots j_{p-2}} \delta_{j_{p-1} j_p} + (N+M+3-2p) \mu'_{j_1 \dots j_{p-1}} \delta_{j_p j} \right] \frac{\partial H}{\partial \mu'_{j_1 \dots j_p}} \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{h=0}^{N-1} (h+1) \lambda'_{j_1 \dots j_h j} \frac{\partial H^k}{\partial \lambda'_{j_1 \dots j_h}} \\ &\quad + (N+1) \mu'_{(j_1 \dots j_M} \delta_{j_{M+1} j_{M+2}} \cdots \delta_{j_N j_{N+1}}) \frac{\partial H^k}{\partial \lambda'_{j_1 \dots j_N}} \delta_j^{j_{N+1}} \\ &\quad + \sum_{p=1}^M \left[(p-1) \mu'_{j j_1 \dots j_{p-2}} \delta_{j_{p-1} j_p} + (N+M+3-2p) \mu'_{j_1 \dots j_{p-1}} \delta_{j_p j} \right] \frac{\partial H^k}{\partial \mu'_{j_1 \dots j_p}} \\ &\quad + H \delta^{kj} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

After that we define $\lambda'_j = \lambda'_j(\lambda', \lambda_{j_1 j_2}, \dots, \lambda_{j_1 \dots j_N}, \mu'_{j_1 \dots j_p})$ implicitly defined by $\frac{\partial H}{\partial \lambda'_j} = 0$.

If we call \tilde{h} and $\tilde{\phi}^k$ the functions H and H^k calculated for such value of

λ'_j it is easy to prove that, as consequence, they satisfy equations (13) and, consequently, they are the same functions of the first method. Uniqueness of the solution can also be proved. In such a way we have proved the equivalence of the two methods also for this new kind of system.

References

- [1] F. Borghero, F. Demontis, S. Pennisi, The non-relativistic limit of relativistic extended thermodynamics with many moments – Part I: The balance equations, In: *Proceedings of Wascom 05, 13-th Conference on Waves and Stability in Continuous Media*, Acireale (CT), Giugno (2005), 95.
- [2] M.C. Carrisi, S. Pennisi, A. Scanu, An exact macroscopic extended model with many moments, *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **42**, No. 3 (2008).
- [3] I. Müller, T. Ruggeri, *Rational Extended Thermodynamics*, Second Edition, Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin Heidelberg (1998).
- [4] S. Pennisi, T. Ruggeri, A new method to exploit the entropy principle and Galilean invariance in the macroscopic approach of extended thermodynamics, *Ricerche di Matematica*, Springer, **55** (2006), 319.

