

A COMPARISON BETWEEN THE SELBERG AND
THE BRUGGEMAN-KUZNETSOV TRACE FORMULAS II

C.J. Mozzochi

P.O. Box 1424, Princeton, NJ 08542, USA

e-mail: cjm@ix.netcom.com

Abstract: Determining the n -level correlation of the eigenvalues of the hyperbolic Laplacian for the modular surface is a notoriously difficult problem, and very little of substance is known.

In Part I we showed that with regard to one aspect of this problem one gets a dramatically better result by the Bruggeman-Kuznetsov trace formula. In this Part II we show that with regard to another aspect of the problem one gets a dramatically better result by the Selberg trace formula.

In this paper, in addition to the Selberg trace formula, we employ the Rudnick-Sarnak construction, which was created to analyze the n -level correlation of the zeros of the Riemann zeta function and principal L -functions, together with the weighted Bruggeman-Kuznetsov trace formula, as formulated by Sarnak and Iwaniec.

To the memory of Atle Selberg.

AMS Subject Classification: 11F03, 11F11, 11F12, 11F72

Key Words: modular group, pair correlation, eigenvalues, Laplacian, Selberg trace formula, Bruggeman-Kuznetsov trace formula

1. Introduction

We consider $\Gamma = \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$.

Hence we have that

$\lambda_0 = 0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \lambda_3 < \dots$ are the eigenvalues

(i.e. the point spectrum) of the hyperbolic Laplacian associated with Γ and

Received: April 21, 2008

© 2008, Academic Publications Ltd.

$$\lambda_j = s_j(1 - s_j) \text{ with } s_j = \frac{1}{2} + it_j,$$

so

$$\lambda_j = \frac{1}{4} + t_j^2 \text{ with } t_j > 0, j = 1, 2, 3, \dots .$$

The Weyl-Selberg formula states that for $\Gamma = \text{PSL}(2, \mathbf{Z})$,

$$M(T) = \sum_{0 < t_j \leq T} m(t_j) = \frac{1}{12}T^2 + c_1T \log T + O(T),$$

where $m(t_j)$ denotes the multiplicity of λ_j , which is equal to the dimension of the eigenspace of λ_j (cf. [13], p. 142).

This estimate follows from the Selberg trace formula by an appropriate use of test functions, say $h(t), g(x)$, where (as in every such application of the trace formula)

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(t)e^{-ixt} dt,$$

$$h(t) \text{ is holomorphic in the strip } |\text{Im } t| \leq \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon,$$

$$h(t) \ll (|t| + 1)^{-2-\varepsilon} \text{ in the strip } |\text{Im } t| \leq \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon,$$

and

$$h(t) \text{ is even.}$$

Then for the group Γ the Selberg trace formula states

$$h\left(\frac{i}{2}\right) + \sum_{t_j} m(t_j)h(t_j) = I + E + P + H,$$

where I is the contribution of the identity and E, P and H , respectively, are the contributions of the conjugacy classes of the elliptic, parabolic, and hyperbolic elements of Γ . Specifically,

$$I = \frac{1}{12} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t \tanh(\pi t) h(t) dt,$$

$$E = \sum_{\{R\}} \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \frac{1}{2m \sin\left(\frac{\pi k}{m}\right)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-2\pi k/m}}{1 + e^{-2\pi t}} h(t) dt,$$

where $\{R\}$ denotes an elliptic class in Γ , and $m = \text{ord}\{R\} = 2, 3$,

$$P = P_{\text{PSL}(2, \mathbf{Z})} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right) + \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}(1 + it) \right\} h(t) dt$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ g(0) \log \frac{\pi}{2} + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n} g(2 \log n), \\
 H = &\sum_{\{P\}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\log NP}{(NP)^{k/2} - (NP)^{-k/2}} g(k \log NP),
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\{P\}$ denotes a primitive hyperbolic class in Γ and NP denotes its norm.

All series and integrals converge absolutely.

H. Iwaniec gives a nice presentation of the Selberg trace formula in [4].

The notation in this paper closely follows that in [11].

Throughout, c denotes an easily computed, absolute constant, but not necessarily the same constant in each occurrence.

Let

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \int_{R^n} \phi(\alpha) \delta(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n) e(-x \cdot \alpha) d\alpha, \tag{1.1}$$

where ϕ is symmetric and compactly supported C^2 function on R^n and δ is the Dirac delta function.

It is not difficult to see that:

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \text{ is symmetric.} \tag{1.2}$$

$$f(x + t(1, \dots, 1)) = f(x) \text{ for } t \in R. \tag{1.3}$$

$$f(x) \rightarrow 0 \text{ rapidly as } |x| \rightarrow \infty \text{ in the hyperplane } \sum_{j=1}^n x_j = 0. \tag{1.4}$$

Let

$$C_n(f, h, T) = \sum_{t_1} \dots \sum_{t_n} h_1\left(\frac{t_1}{T}\right) \dots h_n\left(\frac{t_n}{T}\right) f\left(\frac{L}{2\pi}t_1, \dots, \frac{L}{2\pi}t_n\right), \tag{1.5}$$

where $L = \log T$ except in Part 5, where $L = 2\pi cT$.

$$c = \frac{\text{Vol}(\Gamma/N)}{4\pi} = \frac{1}{12},$$

$$h_j(r) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_j(u) e^{iru} du \text{ with even } g_j \in C_c^\infty(R). \tag{1.6}$$

Let

$$N(T) = |\{t_j \mid 0 < t_j \leq N\}| \sim cT^2. \tag{1.7}$$

Let

$$\bar{t}_j = ct_j^2. \quad (1.8)$$

Let

$$C_n(f, T) = \sum_{0 < t_1 \leq T} \cdots \sum_{0 < t_n \leq T} f(\bar{t}_1, \dots, \bar{t}_n). \quad (1.9)$$

We note the obvious abuse of notation with regard to the fact that in the definition of $C_n(f, h, T)$ and $C_n(f, T)$ we drop the j subscript on the t 's.

Let

$$k(\bar{h}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |r|^n h_1(r) \cdots h_n(r) dr. \quad (1.10)$$

In the first parts of this paper we establish by the Bruggeman-Kuznetsov trace formula under the assumption that the support of ϕ is included in

$$\left\{ (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \mid \sum_{j=1}^n |\alpha_j| \leq (4 - \varepsilon_0) \right\} \\ \cap \sim \left\{ (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \mid |\alpha_i| \geq \varepsilon_1 \text{ for any fixed arbitrarily small } \varepsilon_1 > 0 \right\}.$$

Theorem 1.1. For each $n \geq 2$

$$C_n(f, h, T) = O(T^{n(2-\varepsilon)}).$$

Theorem 1.2. For each $n \geq 2$

$$C_n(f, T) = O\left(\frac{T^{n(2-\varepsilon)+1}}{\log T}\right),$$

where in both theorems $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{Q}$, and Q is large and computable.

Then in the last part of the paper we establish by means of the Selberg trace formula with a weaker assumption on the support of ϕ , namely, just that it is compact and with the assumption that $L = 2\pi cT$.

Theorem 1.3. For each $n \geq 2$

$$C_n(f, h, T) = O(T^{n+1}).$$

Theorem 1.4. For each $n \geq 2$ and for each $\varepsilon > 0$

$$C_n(f, T) = O(T^{n+1+\varepsilon}).$$

2. Proof of Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.2

By straightforward manipulation we have

$$C_n(f, h, T) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \prod_{i=1}^n \left\{ \sum_{t_i} h_i \left(\frac{t_i}{T} \right) e^{-iLt_i\alpha_i} \right\} \phi(\alpha) \delta(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n) d\alpha.$$

Clearly,

$$\sum_{t_j} h \left(\frac{t_j}{T} \right) e^{-iLt_j\alpha} = \sum_{0 < t_j} h(t_j, \alpha, T),$$

where

$$h(t, \alpha, T) = h \left(\frac{t}{T} \right) e^{-iLt\alpha} + h \left(\frac{t}{T} \right) e^{iLt\alpha} = h_+(t, \alpha, T) + h_-(t, \alpha, T).$$

In Section 4 we will establish by means of the weighted Bruggeman-Kuznetsov trace formula

Lemma 2.1.

$$\sum_{0 < t_j} h(t_j, \alpha, T) = T^2 g(\alpha T) + O(T^{2-\epsilon}), \quad \text{if } |\alpha| \leq (4 - \epsilon_0),$$

where

$$g(\theta) = \frac{1}{12} \int_0^\infty x h(x) \cos(\theta \log Tx) dx.$$

Lemma 2.2. For any fixed arbitrarily small $\epsilon_1 > 0$

$$g(\theta) \ll \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for all } \theta, \\ \frac{1}{|\theta|^2} & \epsilon_1 \leq |\theta|. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Immediate by partial integration twice. □

Hence by Lemma 2.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} C(f, h, T) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \prod_{j=1}^n (T^2 g_j(\alpha_j T) + O(T^{2-\epsilon})) \phi(\alpha) \delta(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n) d\alpha \\ &= T^{2n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \prod_{j=1}^n g_j(\alpha_j T) \phi(\alpha) \delta(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n) d\alpha + O(T^{n(2-\epsilon)}). \end{aligned}$$

This is established by unfolding the integral and then applying Lemma 2.2 in the obvious way to each $g_j(\alpha_j T)$ in each resulting product term, where the $\epsilon_1 > 0$ in that lemma is the same $\epsilon_1 > 0$ in the definition of the set, in which

the support of ϕ is included.

Let $y_j = T\alpha_j$. Since $y_1 + \cdots + y_n = 1$, by change of variables we have

$$C(f, h, T) = T^{n+1} \int_V \prod_{j=1}^n g_j(y_j) \phi\left(\frac{y}{T^2}\right) \delta(y_1 + \cdots + y_n) dy + O(T^{n(2-\varepsilon)}),$$

where

$$V = \{(y_1, \dots, y_n) \in R^n \mid y_k \ll T\}.$$

By expanding ϕ in a Taylor series about 0 and truncating the series after the first term, we have

$$\phi\left(\frac{y}{T^2}\right) = \phi(0) + O(1),$$

so that by Lemma 2.2

$$C_n(f, h, T) = T^{n+1} \phi(0) \int_V \prod_{j=1}^n g_j(y_j) \delta(y_1 + \cdots + y_n) dy + O(T^{n(2-\varepsilon)}).$$

Lemma 2.3.

$$\int_V \prod_{j=1}^n g_j(y_j) \delta(y_1 + \cdots + y_n) dy = \int_{R^n} \prod_{j=1}^n g_j(y_j) \delta(y_1 + \cdots + y_n) dy + O(1).$$

Proof. Indeed, the difference between the two integrals is an integral over a set contained in the union

$$\bigcup_{k=1}^n V_k, \text{ where } V_k = \{(y_1, \dots, y_n) \in R^n \mid |y_k| \gg T\}. \quad \square$$

It suffices to estimate the integral over each such V_k , say $k = n$. Then by Lemma 2.2

$$\int_{V_n} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} g_j(y_j) g_n(-(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1})) dy_1, \dots, y_{n-1} \ll 1.$$

But since h is even, $|x|h(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(\theta) \in^{i\theta x} d\theta$ so that by Parseval's equation (cf. [14], Volume 2, p. 248) we have

$$\int_{R^n} \prod_{j=1}^n g_j(y_j) \delta(y_1 + \cdots + y_n) dy = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int |r|^n h_1(r) \cdots h_n(r) dr,$$

and Theorem 1.1 is established.

We now establish Theorem 1.2 by first showing that in Theorem 1.1 we can take $h_j = \chi_E$, the characteristic function of the set $E = [-1, 1] - [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$.

In Theorem 1.1 we established that for h_j as in (1.6) and f satisfying (1.1)

$$\frac{1}{T^{n(2-\varepsilon_1)}} \sum h_1 \left(\frac{t_1}{T}\right) \cdots h_n \left(\frac{t_n}{T}\right) f \left(\frac{L}{2\pi}t_1, \dots, \frac{L}{2\pi}t_n\right) - k(\bar{h})u(f, T) \rightarrow 0, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\mu(f, T) = cT^{2(1-n)+n\varepsilon_1}\phi(0)$.

By taking linear combinations, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{T^{n(2-\varepsilon_1)}} \sum h \left(\frac{\vec{t}}{T}\right) f \left(\frac{L}{2\pi}\vec{t}\right) - k(\bar{h})u(f, T) \rightarrow 0, \tag{2.2}$$

where (\vec{r}) is a finite linear combination of functions of the form $|r|h_1(r_1) \cdots |r|h_n(r_n)$

$$k(\bar{h}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |r^n|h(r, \dots, r)dr.$$

We extend the validity of (2.2) by noting that if

$$H(\vec{r}) = \chi_E(x_1) \cdots \chi_E(x_n),$$

then given $\varepsilon > 0$ there are finite linear combinations h_1, h_2 as above so that $h_1 \leq H \leq h_2$ and $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |r^n|(h_1 - h_1)(r, \dots, r)dr < \varepsilon$.

We use these to show that (2.2) is valid for H . Indeed, given f as above, we can find an $f_+ > 0$ with $|f| < f_+$ and f_+ admissible for (2.2) (since we assume ϕ is C^2).

If we set

$$D(H, f; T) = \frac{1}{T^{n(2-\varepsilon_1)}} \sum H \left(\frac{\vec{t}}{T}\right) f \left(\frac{L}{2\pi}\vec{t}\right) - k(H)u(f, T),$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} |D(H, f; T)| &\leq |D(h_1, f; T)| + |D(H - h_1, f; T)| \\ &\leq |D(h_1, f; T)| + \frac{1}{T^2} \sum (h_2 - h_1) \left(\frac{\vec{t}}{T}\right) f_+ \left(\frac{L}{2\pi}\vec{t}\right) + K(H - h_1)|u(f, T)| \\ &\leq |D(h_1, f; T)| + |D(h_2 - h_1, f_+; T)| + k(h_2 - h_1)|u(f_+, T)| + k(H - h_1)|u(f, T)|, \end{aligned}$$

and since (2.2) is valid for the first two terms, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_T |D(H, f; T)| &\leq k(h_1 - h_1)|u(f_+, T)| + k(H - h_1)|u(f, T)| \\ &< \varepsilon(|u(f_+, T)| + |u(f, T)|). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we have $D(H, f; T) \rightarrow 0$.

Next, we need to discuss the passage from the normalizations $(\frac{L}{2\pi})t$ appearing in the definition of the smooth sums $C_n(f, h, T)$ in (1.5), and the nor-

malizations $\bar{t} = ct^2$, initially used to define $C_n(f, T)$ in (1.9). We explain it for the pair correlation (i.e. $n = 2$). Consider for $\psi(x) = f(x + y, y)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{C}(\psi, T) &= \sum_{\substack{T \leq t_1 \leq 2T \\ T \leq t_2 \leq 2T}} \psi(ct_1^2 - ct_2^2), \\ C(\psi, T) &= \sum_{\substack{T \leq t_1 \leq 2T \\ T \leq t_2 \leq 2T}} \psi\left(\frac{L}{2\pi}(t_1 - t_2)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Then we claim that

$$S(\psi, T) = \tilde{C}(\psi, T) - C(\psi, T) = O(T^{n(2-\epsilon)}).$$

This will show that the different normalizations lead to the same main term.

$$S(\psi, T) = S_1(\psi, T) + S_2(\psi, T) + S_3(\psi, T),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} S_1(\psi, T) &= \sum_{\substack{T \leq t_1 \leq 2T \\ T \leq t_2 \leq 2T \\ t_1 = t_2}} \left(\psi(ct_1^2 - ct_2^2) - \psi\left(\frac{L}{2\pi}(t_1 - t_2)\right) \right), \\ S_2(\psi, T) &= \sum_{\substack{T \leq t_1 \leq 2T \\ T \leq t_2 \leq 2T \\ t_2 < t_1}} \left(\psi(ct_1^2 - ct_2^2) - \psi\left(\frac{L}{2\pi}(t_1 - t_2)\right) \right), \\ S_3(\psi, T) &= \sum_{\substack{T \leq t_1 \leq 2T \\ T \leq t_2 \leq 2T \\ t_1 < t_2}} \left(\psi(ct_1^2 - ct_2^2) - \psi\left(\frac{L}{2\pi}(t_1 - t_2)\right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

By the Weyl-Selberg law and partial integration we have

$$S_1(\psi, T) \ll T^2.$$

By the mean value theorem it is easy to see that

$$S_2(\psi, T) \ll T \sum_{\substack{T \leq t_1 \leq 2T \\ T \leq t_2 \leq 2T \\ t_2 < t_1}} \psi'(\delta(t_1, t_2, T)) |t_1 - t_2|, \tag{2.3}$$

where $0 < \frac{L}{2\pi}(t_1 - t_2) < \delta(t_1, t_2, T) < c(t_1^2 - t_2^2)$ and

$$S_3(\psi, T) \ll T \sum_{\substack{T \leq t_1 \leq 2T \\ T \leq t_2 \leq 2T \\ t_1 < t_2}} |\psi'(\delta(t_1, t_2, T))| |t_1 - t_2|, \tag{2.4}$$

where

$$c(t_1^2 - t_2^2) < \delta(t_1, t_2, T) < \frac{L}{2\pi}(t_1 - t_2) < 0.$$

For this fixed ψ let $\psi_1 \geq |\psi'|$ be a rapidly decreasing function on R , which is even and monotone on $[0, \infty]$. Then by (2.3)

$$S_2(\psi, T) \ll \frac{T}{\log T} \sum_{\substack{T \leq t_1 \leq 2T \\ T \leq t_2 \leq 2T \\ t_2 < t_1}} \psi_1 \left(\frac{L}{2\pi}(t_1 - t_2) \right) \left| \frac{L}{2\pi}(t_1 - t_2) \right|,$$

so that

$$S_2(\psi, T) \ll \frac{T}{\log T} \sum_{\substack{T \leq t_1 \leq 2T \\ T \leq t_2 \leq 2T}} \psi_1 \left(\frac{L}{2\pi}(t_1 - t_2) \right) \left| \frac{L}{2\pi}(t_1 - t_2) \right|.$$

We can find a majorant ψ_1 and h which are admissible in Theorem 1.1 satisfying $\psi_+(x) \geq |x\psi_1(x)$ and $h \geq \chi_{[1,2]}$. Then with these choices, we have

$$S_2(\psi, T) \ll \frac{T}{\log T} \sum_{t_1} \sum_{t_2} h \left(\frac{t_1}{T} \right) h \left(\frac{t_2}{T} \right) \psi_+ \left(\frac{L}{2\pi}(t_1 - t_2) \right),$$

so that

$$S_2(\psi, T) \ll \frac{T^{m(2-\varepsilon)+1}}{\log T}$$

by Theorem 1.1. By a similar argument, we have $S_3(\psi, T) \ll \frac{T^{m(2-\varepsilon)+1}}{\log T}$.

3. The Weighted Bruggeman-Kuznetsov Trace Formula

Theorem 3.1. (Bruggeman-Kuznetsov) *Let h satisfy the Selberg trace formula conditions (cf. [4]). Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{1 \leq j} h(t_j) \bar{\mathcal{V}}_j(m) \mathcal{V}_j(n) &+ \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(t) \bar{\mathcal{N}}(m, t) \mathcal{N}(n, t) dt \\ &= \delta_{mn} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t \tanh(\pi t) h(t) dt + \sum_{c=1}^{\infty} \frac{s(m, n, c)}{c} h^+ \left(\frac{4\pi \sqrt{|mn|}}{c} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $h^+(x) = 2i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} J_{2it}(x) \frac{h(t)t}{\cosh \pi t} dt$ and where $\mathcal{V}_j(n), \mathcal{V}_j(m), \mathcal{N}(n, t), \mathcal{N}(m, t)$ are Fourier coefficients of any arithmetical system of Maass forms, and the eigenpacket of Eisenstein series.

For a nice proof of this theorem, see [4].

Now choose the unique Hecke basis $\{\phi_j\}$. Then

$$\mathcal{V}_j(n) = \lambda_j(n)\gamma_{\phi_j}$$

and

$$\mathcal{V}_j(m) = \lambda_j(m)\gamma_{\phi_j},$$

where

$$\gamma_{\phi_j} = \left(\frac{L(1, \text{sym}^2 \phi_j)}{2\pi} \right)^{1/2}, \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_j(1) = 1.$$

Letting $n = m$ we get the so-called weighted Bruggeman-Kuznetsov trace formula.

Theorem 3.2.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{1 \leq j} h(t_j) |\lambda_j(n)|^2 \gamma_{\phi_j}^2 + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(t) |\mathcal{N}(n, t)|^2 dt \\ = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t \tanh(\pi t) h(t) dt + \sum_{c=1}^{\infty} \frac{s(n, n, c)}{c} h^+ \left(\frac{4\pi n}{c} \right). \end{aligned}$$

4. Proof of Lemma 2.1

The techniques used in this section were first used by Iwaniec in [2]. They were refined and elaborated by Sarnak in [12]. See also [5] and [9].

Lemma 4.0.

$h(z, \alpha, T)$ is even,

$h(z, \alpha, T)$ is entire,

$$h(z, \alpha, T) \ll_k \frac{T^{|\alpha|} |y| \varepsilon^{\frac{c|y|}{T}}}{\left(1 + \frac{|z|}{T}\right)^k}.$$

Proof. The proof follows from partial summation and the elementary properties of the Fourier transform. Note that the lemma is true if $h(z, \alpha, T)$ is replaced with $h_+(z, \alpha, T)$ or $h_-(z, \alpha, T)$. □

Lemma 4.1. $t_j^{-\epsilon} \ll_{\epsilon} |\gamma_{\phi_j}|^2 \ll \log t_j$.

Proof. This is established in [11]. □

Lemma 4.2. $\sum_{0 < t_j \leq T^2} |h(t_j, \alpha, T)| = O(T^2)$.

Proof. The proof follows by partial summation. □

Let

$$S(\phi_j) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_{\phi_j}^2 |\lambda_j(n)|^2 g\left(\frac{n}{M}\right), \tag{4.1}$$

where $M = T^\Delta$ for $0 < \Delta < \frac{1}{100}$.

Lemma 4.3. $S(\phi_j) = E_1(t_j)$, where $E_1(t_j) = O(M^{\frac{5}{4}} |t_j^\epsilon|)$.

Proof. This is immediate from the estimate due to Kim and Sarnak (cf. [4])

$$|\lambda_j(n)| \ll n^{\frac{7}{64} + \epsilon}$$

and Lemma 4.1. □

In Lemma 1 in [6] let $\sigma = 1 - \delta$, where $\delta > 0$ is chosen so that $(\delta b + \epsilon) < \frac{1}{10}$. Consider $0 < t_j \leq T^2$. Define $R = \{\rho = \beta + i\gamma \mid 0 < \delta \leq 1/2, (1 - \delta) \leq \beta < 1, |\gamma| \leq \log^3 T^2\}$. Define $B = \{t_j \mid 0 < t_j \leq T^2 \text{ and } L(\rho, \text{sym}^2 \phi_j) = 0 \text{ if } \rho \in R\}$. By Lemma 1 in [6] we have

$$\sum_{t_j \in B} m(t_j) \ll T^{\frac{1}{10}}. \tag{4.2}$$

Define $G = \{t_j \mid 0 < t_j \leq T^2\} - B$. Clearly, if $t_j \in G$, then $L(s, \text{sym}^2 \phi_j)$ has no zero in the domain $(1 - \delta) < \sigma < 1, |t| \leq \log^3 T^2$. Hence by Lemma 2 in [6] in the domain $(1 - \delta/2) < \sigma < 1, |t| \leq \log^2 T$ we have

$$L(s, \text{sym}^2 \phi_j) \ll_\epsilon T^\epsilon \quad \text{for any } \epsilon > 0. \tag{4.3}$$

Lemma 4.4. *If $t_j \in G$,*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_j(n)|^2 g\left(\frac{n}{M}\right) = \frac{ML(1, \text{sym}^2 \phi_j)}{\zeta(2s)} + O\left(M^{1-\delta} T^\epsilon\right).$$

Proof.

$$S = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_j(n)|^2 g\left(\frac{n}{M}\right) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\text{Re } s=2} \frac{L(s, \text{sym}^2 \phi_j)}{\zeta(s)} \tilde{g}(s) M^s ds,$$

where $\tilde{g}(s) = \int_0^\infty g(x)x^{s-1} dx$. By moving the line of integration to $(1 - \delta)$ we get

$$S = \frac{ML(1, \text{sym}^2 \phi_j)}{\zeta(2)} + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\text{Re } s=1-\delta} \frac{L(s, \text{sym}^2 \phi_j)}{\zeta(2s)} \tilde{g}(s) M^s ds,$$

and the result follows from (4.3). □

Lemma 4.5. *If $t_j \in G$, then*

$$S(\phi_j) = \frac{12}{\pi}M + E_2(T), \quad \text{where } E_2(T) = O\left(M^{1-\delta}T^\epsilon\right).$$

Proof. This follows immediately from Lemma 4.4 and the fact that $\zeta(2) = \frac{\pi^2}{6}$, $\gamma_{\phi_j}^2 = \frac{2\pi}{L(1, \text{sym}^2 \phi_j)}$ and, by Lemma 4.1, $\gamma_{\phi_j}^2 \ll t_j^\epsilon$. □

Lemma 4.6. $\sum_{T^2 < t_j} h(t_j, \alpha, T) = O(1)$.

Proof. The proof follows from partial summation. □

Lemma 4.7. $\sum_{T^2 < t_j} S(\phi_j)h(t_j, \alpha, T) = O(M^{5/4}T^\epsilon)$.

Proof. The proof follows from Lemma 4.3 and partial summation. □

Lemma 4.8. $\sum_{n=1}^\infty g\left(\frac{n}{M}\right) = M + O(1)$.

Proof. Immediate by partial summation, and the trivial observation $\Delta(x) = \sum_{n=1}^x 1 = x + O(1)$. □

Lemma 4.9.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^\infty g\left(\frac{n}{M}\right) \left(\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^\infty t \tanh(\pi t) h(t, \alpha, T) dt\right) \\ = \frac{4MT^2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty xW(x) \cos(\alpha T \log Tx) dx + O(T^2). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof follows in a straightforward manner from the easily established decomposition

$$\frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty t h_+(t, \alpha, T) dt + \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty t h_-(t, \alpha, T) dt + O(1)$$

and Lemma 4.8. □

Lemma 4.10. *Assume $0 < x$ and $0 < t$. Let $z = (\frac{x^2}{4} + t^2)^{1/2}$. Then*

$$J_{2it}(x) = \pi^{-1/2} z^{-1/2} e^{-\frac{\pi i}{4}} e^{\pi t} e^{\left(\frac{z}{\pi} - \frac{t}{\pi} \log\left(\frac{2(z+t)}{x}\right)\right)} \cdot \left\{1 + O\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)\right\}.$$

Proof. This is established in [1]. □

Clearly, $h_T^+(x) = 2i \int_{-\infty}^\infty J_{2it}(x) \left(\frac{h_+(t, \alpha, T) + h_-(t, \alpha, T)}{\cosh \pi t}\right) t dt$.

Using the fact that $J_{2it}(x) = \overline{J_{-2it}(x)}$, we have

$$h_T^+(x) = 4i^2 \operatorname{Im} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} J_{2it}(x) \frac{h_+(t, \alpha, T)t}{\cosh \pi t} dt.$$

Lemma 4.11. *For every $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{100}$ there exists $0 < \Delta(\varepsilon) < \frac{1}{100}$ such that if $0 < \Delta < \Delta(\varepsilon)$*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g\left(\frac{n}{M}\right) \sum_{c=1}^{T^{1-\varepsilon}} \frac{S(n, n, c)}{c} h_T^+(x) \ll T^2.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} h_T^*(x) &= 4i^2 \operatorname{Im} \int_0^B \frac{J_{2it}(x)h_+(t, \alpha, T)t dt}{\cosh \pi t} - 4i^2 \operatorname{Im} \int_0^A \bar{J}_{2it}(x)h_+(-t, \alpha, T)t dt \\ &\ll \int_0^B |J_{2it}(x)| \frac{|h_+(t, \alpha, T)|t dt}{\cosh \pi t} \\ &\ll \int_0^1 \frac{|J_{2it}(x)|t dt}{\cosh \pi t} + \int_1^B \frac{|J_{2it}(x)|h_+(t, \alpha, T)t dt}{\cosh \pi t} = I_a + I_b \end{aligned}$$

We first consider I_a

$$I_a \ll \int_0^1 \frac{\left(\frac{x^2}{4} + t^2\right)^{-1/4} \varepsilon^{\pi t} t dt}{\cosh \pi t} + \int_0^1 \frac{\left(\frac{x^2}{4} + t^2\right)^{-1/4} \varepsilon^{\pi t} dt}{\cosh \pi t}.$$

But it is easy to see that

$$\left(\frac{x^2}{4} + t^2\right)^{-1/4} \ll T^{1/2-\varepsilon/2}.$$

Hence $I_a \ll T^{1/2-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}$. We now consider I_b .

$$I_b \ll \int_1^B \frac{t^{1/2} \varepsilon^{\pi t} |h_+(t, \alpha, T)|}{\left(1 + \frac{x^2}{4t^2}\right)^{1/4} \cosh \pi t} dt \ll \int_1^B t^{1/2} |h_+(t, \alpha, T)| dt.$$

By Lemma 4.0 and a simple change of variable we have

$$I_b \ll T^{3/2}.$$

The proof is completed by straightforward application of the Weil bound for Kloosterman sums, namely

$$S(n, n, c) \ll n^{1/2} c^{1/2+\varepsilon_2}. \quad \square \tag{4.4}$$

The Taylor series for $J_{2it}(x)$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_{2it}(x) &= \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2it} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k! \Gamma(k+1+2it)} \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^k \\
 &= \frac{\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2it}}{\Gamma(1+2it)} + \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2it} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k! \Gamma(k+1+2it)} \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2k} = J_{2it}^a(x) + J_{2it}^b(x).
 \end{aligned}$$

And if $0 < x < 1$ we have

$$J_{2it}^b(x) \ll \frac{x^2}{|\Gamma(1+2it)|},$$

since $|\Gamma(1+v)| \leq |\Gamma(k+1+v)|$.

Lemma 4.12. For every $0 < \varepsilon_0 < \frac{1}{100}$ there exists $0 < \varepsilon'_0 < \frac{1}{100}$ and $0 < \Delta(\varepsilon_0) < \frac{1}{100}$ such that for all $0 < \Delta \leq \Delta(\varepsilon_0)$ for all $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon'_0/2$ (where ε_0 is as specified in Lemma 2.1)

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g\left(\frac{n}{M}\right) \sum_{c=T^{1-\varepsilon}}^{\infty} \frac{S(n, n, c)}{c} \left(4i^2 \operatorname{Im} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} J_{2it}^a(x) \frac{h_+(t, \alpha, T)}{\cosh \pi t} \right) \ll T^2.$$

Proof. Consider

$$I_a = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2it} h_+(t, \alpha, T)}{\Gamma(1+2it)} dt.$$

It is immediate from Stirling's formula that:

$$\text{For } 0 < t_0 \leq t : \quad \frac{1}{\Gamma(\sigma + 2it)} \ll_{\sigma} e^{\pi t} t^{1/2-\sigma}. \tag{3.5}$$

In I_a we move the line of integration to $-i\theta$, where $\theta = (\frac{1}{4} + \varepsilon_2)$, where ε_2 is that of (3.4) obtaining

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_a &= \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2\theta} \int_{-A}^B \frac{\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2it} h_+(t - i\theta, \alpha, T) t dt}{\Gamma(1 + 2\theta + 2it) \cosh(\pi(t - i\theta))} \\
 &\quad - i\theta \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2\theta} \int_{-A}^B \frac{\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2it} h_+(t - i\theta, \alpha, T) dt}{\Gamma(1 + 2\theta + 2it) \cosh(\pi(t - i\theta))}.
 \end{aligned}$$

By a simple change of variable, using the fact that $\frac{1}{\Gamma(z)}$ is entire and $\Gamma(z) = \overline{\Gamma(\bar{z})}$ together with (3.5) we obtain

$$I_a \ll \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2\theta} \int_{t_0}^B |h_+(t - i\theta, \alpha, T)| t^{(1/2-2\theta)} dt$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2\theta} \int_{t_0}^A |h_+(-t - i\theta, \alpha, T)| t^{(1/2-2\theta)} dt \\
 &+ \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2\theta} \int_{t_0}^B |h_+(t - i\theta, \alpha, T)| t^{(-1/2-2\theta)} dt \\
 &+ \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2\theta} \int_{t_0}^A |h_+(-t - i\theta, \alpha, T)| t^{(-1/2-2\theta)} dt + O\left(\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2\theta}\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Then by Lemma 4.0 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_a &\ll \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2\theta} T^{|\alpha|\theta} \int_{t_0}^B t^{(1/2-2\theta)} \frac{1}{\left(1 + \left|\frac{t}{T} - \frac{i\theta}{T}\right|\right)^k} dt \\
 &+ \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2\theta} T^{|\alpha|\theta} \int_{t_0}^A t^{(1/2-2\theta)} \frac{1}{\left(1 + \left|\frac{t}{T} + \frac{i\theta}{T}\right|\right)^k} dt \\
 &+ \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2\theta} T^{|\alpha|\theta} \int_{t_0}^B t^{(-1/2-2\theta)} \frac{1}{\left(1 + \left|\frac{t}{T} - \frac{i\theta}{T}\right|\right)^k} dt \\
 &+ \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2\theta} T^{|\alpha|\theta} \int_{t_0}^A t^{(-1/2-2\theta)} \frac{1}{\left(1 + \left|\frac{t}{T} + \frac{i\theta}{T}\right|\right)^k} dt + O\left(\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2\theta}\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

So that

$$I_a \ll \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2\theta} T^{|\alpha|\theta} \int_{t_0}^A \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{|t|}{T}\right)^k} dt + O\left(\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2\theta}\right),$$

and by a simple change of variable

$$I_a \ll \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2\theta} T^{|\alpha|\theta+1}.$$

The above moving of the line of integration is valid if

$$I_B = \int_0^\theta J_{2iz}^a(x) \frac{h_+(z, \alpha, T) z dz}{\cosh \pi z} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for each } x \text{ and } T,$$

as $B \rightarrow \infty$, where $z(t) = B - it$ for $0 \leq t \leq \theta$, and

$$I_A = \int_0^\theta J_{2iz}^a(x) \frac{h_+(z, \alpha, T)zdz}{\cosh \pi z} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for each } x \text{ and } T,$$

as $A \rightarrow \infty$, where $z(t) = -A - it$ for $0 \leq t \leq \theta$.

By direct substitution and using the fact that

$$\frac{1}{|\Gamma(\sigma + it)|} \leq e^{\frac{\pi t}{2}}(ct)^{1/2-\sigma}$$

we have

$$I_B \ll \int_0^\theta \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2t} |h_+(B - it, \alpha, T)|B(cB)^{-1/2-2t} dt + \int_0^\theta \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2t} |h_+(B - it, \alpha, T)|t(cB)^{-1/2-2t} dt$$

so that by Lemma 4.0 we have that $I_B \rightarrow 0$ for each x, T as $B \rightarrow \infty$. In a similar way we show that $I_A \rightarrow 0$ for each x, T as $A \rightarrow \infty$. The proof of the lemma is completed by straightforward application of the Weil bound for Kloosterman sums. \square

Lemma 4.13. *For every $0 < \varepsilon_0 < \frac{1}{100}$ there exists $0 < \varepsilon'_0 < \frac{1}{100}$ and $0 < \Delta(\varepsilon_0) < \frac{1}{100}$ such that for all $0 < \Delta \leq \Delta(\varepsilon_0)$ for all $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon'_0/2$ (where ε_0 is as specified in Lemma 2.1)*

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty g\left(\frac{n}{M}\right) \sum_{c=T^{1-\varepsilon}}^\infty \frac{S(n, n, c)}{c} \left(4i^2 \text{Im} \int_{-\infty}^\infty J_{2it}^b(x) \frac{h_+(L(t, \alpha, T))t dt}{\cosh \pi t}\right) \ll T^2.$$

Proof. Consider

$$I_b = \int_{-\infty}^\infty J_{2it}^b(x) \frac{h_+(L(t, \alpha, T))t dt}{\cosh \pi t}.$$

By a simple change of variable using the fact that $\frac{1}{\Gamma(z)}$ is entire and $\Gamma(z) = \overline{\Gamma(\bar{z})}$ together with (3.5) we obtain

$$I_b \ll x^2 \int_{t_0}^B |h_+(L(t, \alpha, T))|t^{1/2} dt + x^2 \int_{t_0}^A |h_+(L(-t, \alpha, T))|t^{1/2} dt + O(x^2).$$

Then by Lemma 4.0 and by a simple change of variable we have

$$I_b \ll x^2 T^{3/2}.$$

The proof of the lemma is completed by straightforward application of the Weil bound for Kloosterman sums. □

Lemma 4.14.

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g\left(\frac{n}{M}\right) \left(\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H_T(t) |\mathcal{N}(n, t)|^2 dt \right) = O(TM^{1+\epsilon}).$$

Proof. The result follows by straightforward calculation from the well-known facts and definitions:

$$\mathcal{N}(n, t) \doteq \left(\frac{4\pi|n|}{\cosh \pi t} \right)^{1/2} \varphi(n, 1/2 + it),$$

where

$$\varphi(n, s) = \pi^s \Gamma^{-1}(s) \zeta(2s)^{-1} |n|^{-1/2} \sum_{ab=|n|} \left(\frac{a}{b} \right)^{s-1/2},$$

$$\frac{1}{\zeta(s)} = O(\log^\Delta(t)),$$

uniformly for $1 \leq \sigma$, and Sterling’s asymptotic formula in the form

$$\Gamma(\sigma + at) = (2\pi)^{1/2} t^{\sigma-1/2} e^{-\frac{\pi t}{2}} \left(\frac{t}{e} \right)^{it} (1 + O(t^{-1}))$$

if $t > 0$, where the implied constant depends on σ . □

We now prove Lemma 2.1.

$$\text{Let } S = \sum_{0 < t_j} S(\phi_j) h(t_j, \alpha, T),$$

$$S = \sum_{0 < t_j \leq T^2} S(\phi_j) h(t_j, \alpha, T) + \sum_{T^2 < t_j} S(\phi_j) h(t_j, \alpha, T).$$

By Lemma 4.5 we have

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \frac{12M}{\pi} \sum_{\substack{0 < t_j \leq T^2 \\ t_j \in G}} h(t_j, \alpha, T) + \sum_{\substack{0 < t_j \leq T^2 \\ t_j \in G}} E_2(T) h(t_j, \alpha, T) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{0 < t_j \leq T^2 \\ t_j \in B}} S(\phi_j) h(t_j, \alpha, T) + \sum_{T^2 < t_j} S(\phi_j) h(t_j, \alpha, T) \end{aligned}$$

$$\pm \frac{12M}{\pi} \sum_{\substack{0 < t_j \leq T^2 \\ t_j \in B}} h(t_j, \alpha, T) \pm \frac{12M}{\pi} \sum_{T^2 < t_j} h(t_j, \alpha, T).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \frac{12M}{\pi} \sum_{0 < t_j} h(t_j, \alpha, T) + \sum_{\substack{0 < t_j \leq T^2 \\ t_j \in G}} E_2(T)h(t_j, \alpha, T) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{0 < t_j \leq T^2 \\ t_j \in B}} S(\phi_j)h(t_j, \alpha, T) + \sum_{T^2 < t_j} S(\phi_j)h(t_j, \alpha, T) \\ &\quad - \frac{12M}{\pi} \sum_{\substack{0 < t_j \leq T^2 \\ t_j \in B}} h(t_j, \alpha, T) - \frac{12M}{\pi} \sum_{T^2 < t_j} h(t_j, \alpha, T). \end{aligned}$$

Hence by Lemma 4.2, Lemma 4.3, Lemma 4.5, Lemma 4.6 and Lemma 4.7 we have

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \frac{12M}{\pi} \sum_{0 < t_j} h(t_j, \alpha, T) + O(M^{1-\delta}T^{2+\epsilon}) + O\left(M^{5/4}T^{\frac{1}{10}+\epsilon}\right) \\ &\quad + O(M^{5/4}T^\epsilon) + O(MT^{1/10}) + O(M); \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$S = \frac{12M}{\pi} \sum_{0 < t_j} h(t_j, \alpha, T) + O(M^{1-\delta}T^{2+\epsilon}) + O\left(M^{5/4}T^{\frac{1}{10}+\epsilon}\right).$$

But by interchanging the order of summation we have

$$S = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g\left(\frac{n}{M}\right) \left(\sum_{0 < t_j} h(t_j, \alpha, T) |\lambda_j(n)|^2 \gamma_{\phi_j}^2 \right).$$

Then Lemma 2.1 follows from Theorem 3.2, Lemma 4.9, Lemma 4.11, Lemma 4.12, Lemma 4.13 and Lemma 4.14.

5. A Proof of Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4

The following well known, important lemma can be established by the Selberg trace formula in a straightforward manner.

Lemma 5.1. *For the modular surface and for $T > 0$*

$$N(T + 1) - N(T) = O(T).$$

We first establish Theorem 1.3. Since the h functions are rapidly decreasing, we may assume

$$C_n(f, h, T) = \sum_{t_1}^T \cdots \sum_{t_n}^T h_1\left(\frac{t_1}{T}\right) \cdots h\left(\frac{t_n}{T}\right) f\left(\frac{cT}{2\pi}t_1, \dots, \frac{cT}{2\pi}t_n\right)$$

and by (1.3)

$$C_n(f, h, T) = \sum_{t_1}^T \cdots \sum_{t_n}^T h\left(\frac{t_1}{T}\right) \cdots h\left(\frac{t_n}{T}\right) f\left(0, \frac{cT}{2\pi}(t_2 - t_1), \dots, \frac{cT}{2\pi}(t_n - t_1)\right).$$

Without loss of generality we may assume f is non-negative, and since f is rapidly decreasing we may further assume

$$\begin{aligned} t_1 - t_2 &\ll \frac{1}{T} \\ &\vdots \\ t_1 - t_n &\ll \frac{1}{T}, \end{aligned}$$

and Theorem 1.3 follows from Lemma 5.1 and the Weyl-Selberg formula.

Theorem 1.4 follows from Theorem 1.3 in essentially, but not exactly, the same way that Theorem 1.2 follows from Theorem 1.1.

Acknowledgements

I thank Henryk Iwaniec and Peter Sarnak for several helpful conversations. The statement and proof of Theorem 1.3 were communicated to me by Peter Sarnak.

References

- [1] T.M. Dunster, Bessel functions of purely imaginary order, with an application to second-order linear differential equations having a large parameter, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **21**, No. 4 (1990), 995-1018.
- [2] H. Iwaniec, Prime geodesic theorem, *J. Reine Angew Math.*, **349** (1984), 136-159.

- [3] H. Iwaniec, W. Luo, P. Sarnak, Low lying zeros of families of L -functions, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, No. 91 (2000), 55-131.
- [4] H. Iwaniec, *Spectral Methods of Automorphic Forms*, Second Edition Graduate Studies in Mathematics, **53**, American Mathematical Society, Providence (2002).
- [5] X. Li, P. Sarnak, Number variance for $SL(2, Z)\backslash\mathbb{H}$, To Appear.
- [6] W. Luo, Values of symmetric square L -functions at 1, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **506** (1999), 215-235.
- [7] C.J. Mozzochi, On the pair correlation of the eigenvalues of the hyperbolic Laplacian for $PSL(2, \mathbb{Z})\backslash H$, *Journal of Number Theory*, **104** (2004), 62-74.
- [8] C.J. Mozzochi, On the pair correlation of the eigenvalues of the hyperbolic Laplacian for $PSL(2, \mathbb{Z})\backslash H$, II, *Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics*, **75** (2006), 281-291.
- [9] C.J. Mozzochi, On a letter from Sarnak to Rudnick, To Appear.
- [10] C.J. Mozzochi, A comparison between the Selberg and the Bruggeman-Kuznetsov trace formulas, *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **45**, No. 4 (2008).
- [11] Z. Rudnick, P. Sarnak, Zeros of principal L -functions and random matrix theory, *Duke Math. J.*, **81** (1996), 269-322.
- [12] P. Sarnak, *A Letter to Rudnick*, August (2002).
- [13] A.B. Venkov, Spectral theory of automorphic functions, and some problems of analytic number theory and mathematical physics, *Russian Math. Surveys*, **34**, No. 3 (1979), 79-153.
- [14] A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric Series*, Volumes 1 and 2, Cambridge University Press, New York (1959).