

ON THE $L - (p, q)$ -TH ORDER OF WRONSKIANS

Sanjib Kumar Datta^{1 §}, Tanmay Biswas²

^{1,2}Department of Mathematics

University of North Bengal

Raja Rammohunpur, District Darjeeling

PIN Code 734013, West Bengal, INDIA

¹e-mail: sanjib_kr_datta@yahoo.co.in

²e-mail: Tanmaybiswas_math@rediffmail.com

Abstract: In the paper we study the relationship between the $L - (p, q)$ -th order of a transcendental meromorphic function and that of a wronskian generated by it, where p, q are positive integers and $p > q$.

AMS Subject Classification: 30D35

Key Words: Wronskian, transcendental meromorphic function, slowly changing function, L -order, L -lower order, (p, q) -th order, (p, q) -th lower order, $L - (p, q)$ -th order, $L - (p, q)$ -th lower order

1. Introduction, Definitions and Notations

We denote by \mathbb{C} the set of all finite complex numbers. Let f be a meromorphic function defined on \mathbb{C} . We use the standard notations and definitions in the theory of entire and meromorphic functions which are available in [5] and [1]. In the sequel we use the following notation: $\log^{[k]} x = \log \left(\log^{[k-1]} x \right)$ for $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ and $\log^{[0]} x = x$.

Received: October 25, 2008

© 2009 Academic Publications

[§]Correspondence address: 25, School Road, Kalianibash, Barrackpore, P.O.: Nonachandankur, Dist.: 24 Parganas (North), P.S.: Titagarh, PIN CODE: 743102, West Bengal, INDIA

The following definitions are well known.

Definition 1. A meromorphic function $a = a(z)$ is called small with respect to f if $T(r, a) = S(r, f)$.

Definition 2. Let a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k be linearly independent meromorphic functions and small with respect to f . We denote by $L(f) = W(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k, f)$ the Wronskian determinant of a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k, f i.e.,

$$L(f) = \begin{vmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & \dots & a_k & f & f' \\ a_1' & a_2' & \dots & a_k' & f' & f'' \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_1^{(k)} & a_2^{(k)} & \dots & a_k^{(k)} & f^{(k)} & f^{(k+1)} \end{vmatrix}.$$

Definition 3. If $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$, the quantity

$$\delta(a; f) = 1 - \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, a; f)}{T(r, f)} = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m(r, a; f)}{T(r, f)}$$

is called the Nevanlinna deficiency of the value a .

From the second fundamental theorem it follows that the set of values of $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ for which $\delta(a; f) > 0$ is countable and $\sum_{a \neq \infty} \delta(a; f) + \delta(\infty; f) \leq 2$ (cf.[1, p.43]). In particular, $\sum_{a \neq \infty} \delta(a; f) + \delta(\infty; f) = 2$, we say that f has the maximum deficiency sum.

Definition 4. The order ρ_f and lower order λ_f of a meromorphic function f are defined as

$$\rho_f = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r, f)}{\log r} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_f = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r, f)}{\log r}.$$

If f is entire then

$$\rho_f = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} M(r, f)}{\log r} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_f = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} M(r, f)}{\log r}.$$

Somasundaram and Thamizharasi [4] introduced the notions of L -order and L -lower order for entire functions, where $L = L(r)$ is a positive continuous function increasing slowly i.e., $L(ar) \sim L(r)$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ for every positive constant a' . Their definitions are as follows:

Definition 5. (see [4]) The L -order ρ_f^L and the L -lower order λ_f^L of an

entire function f are defined as follows:

$$\rho_f^L = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} M(r, f)}{\log [rL(r)]} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_f^L = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} M(r, f)}{\log [rL(r)]}.$$

When f is meromorphic, then

$$\rho_f^L = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r, f)}{\log [rL(r)]} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_f^L = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r, f)}{\log [rL(r)]}.$$

Juneja, Kapoor and Bajpai [2] defined the (p, q) -th order and (p, q) -th lower order of an entire function f respectively as follows:

$$\rho_f(p, q) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} M(r, f)}{\log^{[q]} r} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_f(p, q) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} M(r, f)}{\log^{[q]} r},$$

where p, q are positive integers and $p > q$.

When f is meromorphic, one can easily verify that

$$\rho_f(p, q) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p-1]} T(r, f)}{\log^{[q]} r} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_f(p, q) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p-1]} T(r, f)}{\log^{[q]} r},$$

where p, q are positive integers and $p > q$.

So with the help of the above notion one can easily define the L - (p, q) -th order and L - (p, q) -th lower order of entire and meromorphic functions.

Definition 6. The L - (p, q) -th order $\rho_f^L(p, q)$ and the L - (p, q) -th lower order $\lambda_f^L(p, q)$ of an entire function f are defined as

$$\rho_f^L(p, q) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} M(r, f)}{\log^{[q]} [rL(r)]} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_f^L(p, q) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} M(r, f)}{\log^{[q]} [rL(r)]},$$

where p, q are positive integers and $p > q$.

When f is meromorphic, one can easily verify that

$$\rho_f^L(p, q) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p-1]} T(r, f)}{\log^{[q]} [rL(r)]} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_f^L(p, q) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p-1]} T(r, f)}{\log^{[q]} [rL(r)]},$$

where p, q are positive integers and $p > q$.

The more generalised concept of L - (p, q) -th order and L - (p, q) -th lower order of entire and meromorphic functions are L^* - (p, q) -th order and L^* - (p, q) th lower order respectively. In order to prove our results we require the following definitions:

Definition 7. The L^* -order $\rho_f^{L^*}$ and the L^* -lower order $\lambda_f^{L^*}$ of a meromorphic function f are defined by

$$\rho_f^{L^*} = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r, f)}{\log [r e^{L(r)}]} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_f^{L^*} = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r, f)}{\log [r e^{L(r)}]}.$$

When f is entire, one can easily verify that

$$\rho_f^{L^*} = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} M(r, f)}{\log [re^{L(r)}]} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_f^{L^*} = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} M(r, f)}{\log [re^{L(r)}]}.$$

Definition 8. The L^* -(p, q)-th order $\rho_f^{L^*}(p, q)$ and the L^* -(p, q)-th lower order $\lambda_f^{L^*}(p, q)$ of an entire function f are defined as

$$\rho_f^{L^*}(p, q) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} M(r, f)}{\log^{[q]} [re^{L(r)}]} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_f^{L^*}(p, q) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} M(r, f)}{\log^{[q]} [re^{L(r)}]},$$

where p, q are positive integers and $p > q$.

When f is meromorphic, one can easily verify that

$$\rho_f^{L^*}(p, q) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p-1]} T(r, f)}{\log^{[q]} [re^{L(r)}]} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_f^{L^*}(p, q) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p-1]} T(r, f)}{\log^{[q]} [re^{L(r)}]},$$

where p, q are positive integers and $p > q$.

Since the natural extension of a derivative is a differential polynomial, in this paper we prove our results for a special type of linear differential polynomials viz. the Wronskians. In the paper we establish the relationship between the L -(p, q)-th order of a transcendental meromorphic function and that of a Wronskian generated by it.

2. Lemma

In this section we present a lemma which will be needed in the sequel.

Lemma 1. (see [3]) *Let f be a transcendental meromorphic function having the maximum deficiency sum. Then*

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, L(f))}{T(r, f)} = 1 + k - k\delta(\infty; f).$$

3. Theorems

In this section we present the main results of the paper.

Theorem 1. *Let f be a transcendental meromorphic function having the maximum deficiency sum. Then the L -(p, q)-th order of $L(f)$ and that of f are same, where p, q are positive integers and $p > q$.*

Proof. By Lemma 1, $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} T(r, L(f))}{\log^{[p]} T(r, f)}$ exists and is equal to 1.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So } \rho_{L(f)}^L(p, q) &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} T(r, L(f))}{\log^{[q]} [rL(r)]} \\ &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{\log^{[p]} T(r, f)}{\log^{[q]} [rL(r)]} \cdot \frac{\log^{[p]} T(r, L(f))}{\log^{[p]} T(r, f)} \right\} \\ &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} T(r, f)}{\log^{[q]} [rL(r)]} \cdot \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} T(r, L(f))}{\log^{[p]} T(r, f)} \\ &= \rho_f^L(p, q) \cdot 1 = \rho_f^L(p, q). \end{aligned}$$

This proves the theorem. □

Theorem 2. *Let f be a transcendental meromorphic function having the maximum deficiency sum. Then the L -(p, q)-th lower order of $L(f)$ and that of f are equal, where p, q are positive integers and $p > q$.*

We omit the proof of Theorem 2 because it can be carried out in the line of Theorem 1.

In the following theorem we establish the relationship between the L^* -(p, q)-th order of $L(f)$ and that of f .

Theorem 3. *If f be a transcendental meromorphic function having the maximum deficiency sum. Then the L^* -(p, q)-th order of $L(f)$ and that of f are same, where p, q are positive integers and $p > q$.*

Proof. In view of Lemma 1, $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} T(r, L(f))}{\log^{[p]} T(r, f)}$ exists and is equal to 1.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So } \rho_{L(f)}^{L^*}(p, q) &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} T(r, L(f))}{\log^{[q]} [re^{L(r)}]} \\ &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{\log^{[p]} T(r, f)}{\log^{[q]} [re^{L(r)}]} \cdot \frac{\log^{[p]} T(r, L(f))}{\log^{[p]} T(r, f)} \right\} \\ &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} T(r, f)}{\log^{[q]} [re^{L(r)}]} \cdot \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} T(r, L(f))}{\log^{[p]} T(r, f)} \\ &= \rho_f^{L^*}(p, q) \cdot 1 = \rho_f^{L^*}(p, q). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the theorem is established. □

Theorem 4. *Let f be a transcendental meromorphic function having the maximum deficiency sum. Then the L^* -(p, q)-th lower order of $L(f)$ and that of f are equal, where p, q are positive integers and $p > q$.*

We omit the proof of Theorem 4 because it can be carried out in the line of Theorem 3.

References

- [1] W.K. Hayman, *Meromorphic Functions*, The Clarendon Press, Oxford (1964).
- [2] O.P. Juneja, G.P. Kapoor, S.K. Bajpai, On the (p, q) -order and lower (p, q) -order of an entire function, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **282** (1976), 53-67.
- [3] I. Lahiri, A. Banerjee, Value distribution of a Wronskian, *Portugaliae Mathematica*, **61**, No. 2 (2004), 161-175.
- [4] D. Somasundaram, R. Thamizharasi, A note on the entire functions of L -bounded index and L -type, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **19**, No. 3 (March 1988), 284-293.
- [5] G. Valiron, *Lectures on the General Theory of Integral Functions*, Chelsea Publishing Company (1949).