

ON WEAKLY COMPACT FRIENDLY OPERATORS

Ömer Gök^{1 §}, Pinar Albayrak²

^{1,2}Department of Mathematics

Faculty of Art and Science

Davutpasa Campus

Yıldız Technical University

Esenler, Istanbul, 34210, TURKEY

¹e-mail: gok@yildiz.edu.tr

²e-mail: pinarkanar@yahoo.com

Abstract: In this paper, it is introduced the definition of weakly compact-friendly operator and generalized some well-known results of compact-friendly, compact operators on Banach lattices.

AMS Subject Classification: 47A15, 47B60

Key Words: Banach lattice, AM-space, AL-space, weakly compact-friendly operator, invariant subspaces

1. Introduction

In this work, we investigate the results on weakly compact-friendly operators that is a generalization of compact-friendly operators.

Firstly, we introduce some known results, definitions and theorems.

Definition 1. An ordered vector space E is called a vector lattice if every pair of vectors has a supremum and an infimum. A (semi)norm p on a vector lattice E is said to be a lattice (semi)norm if $|x| \leq |y|$ implies $p(x) \leq p(y)$ for every $x, y \in E$. A vector lattice equipped with a complete lattice norm is referred to as a Banach lattice.

Definition 2. $T : E \rightarrow E$ is a bounded operator on a Banach space and V is a subspace of E . Then V is non-trivial if $V \neq \{0\}$ and $V \neq E$. V is invariant under T or simply T -invariant if $T(V) \subseteq V$. Also, V is said to be

T-hyperinvariant whenever V is invariant under every bounded operator on E that commutes with T.

Definition 3. A Banach lattice E is called an AM-space if $\|x \vee y\| = \max\{\|x\|, \|y\|\}$ for every disjoint x and y in E. A Banach lattice E is called an AL-space if $\|x + y\| = \|x\| + \|y\|$ for every disjoint x and y in E.

Theorem 1. (see Aliprantis et al [4]) *If a positive operator B on a Banach lattice is dominated by a compact operator, then B^3 is compact.*

Theorem 2. (see Aliprantis et al [4]) *If a positive operator on a AM- or AL-space is weakly compact operator, then its square is compact.*

Theorem 3. (see Abramovich et al [2]) *Let $B, S : E \rightarrow E$ be two commuting non-zero positive operators on a Banach lattice. If one of them is quasinilpotent at a non-zero positive vector and the other dominates a non-zero compact operator, then B and S have common non-trivial closed invariant ideal.*

Definition 4. A positive operator $B : E \rightarrow E$ is said to be weakly compact-friendly if there exists a positive operator in the commutant of B dominates a non-zero operator which in turn is dominated by positive weakly compact operator. That is, B is weakly compact-friendly if and only if there exists three non-zero operators $R, C, K : E \rightarrow E$ with R, K positive and K weakly compact such that

$$RB = BR, \quad |Cx| \leq R(|x|), \quad \text{and} \quad |Cx| \leq K(|x|)$$

for every $x \in E$.

Lemma 1. (see Abramovich et al [2]) *For a Banach lattice with a quasi-interior $u > 0$ the following properties hold.*

(i) *For every non-zero element $y \in E_u$ there exists an operator $V : E \rightarrow E$ which carries y to a non-zero positive vector and V is dominated by the identity operator, i.e.*

$$V(y) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad |Vx| \leq |x| \quad \text{for all } x \in E.$$

(ii) *For every element v satisfying $0 \leq v \leq u$ there exists an operator $U : E \rightarrow E$ which carries u to v and U is dominated by identity operator, i.e.,*

$$U(u) = v \quad \text{and} \quad |Ux| \leq |x| \quad \text{for all } x \in E.$$

Of course, the zero subspace and the whole space are always invariant for every operator, so we will be looking for non-trivial invariant subspaces. It is easy to see that Ker T and Range T are T-hyperinvariant.

2. On Weakly Compact-Friendly Operators

Proposition. *Let $B : E \rightarrow E$ be a weakly compact-friendly operator and let $S : E \rightarrow E$ be an order isomorphism. Then the operator SBS^{-1} is also weakly compact-friendly.*

Proof. For an operator $T : E \rightarrow E$ and for an invertible operator $S : E \rightarrow E$ we will denote the composition STS^{-1} by T_S . A straightforward verification shows that if $R, T, K : E \rightarrow E$ are such that R and K are positive, K weakly compact, and

$$RB = BR, |Tx| \leq R(|x|), \text{ and } |Tx| \leq K(|x|),$$

then for any order isomorphism $S : E \rightarrow E$ we have that R_S and K_S are positive, K_S is weakly compact, and

$$R_S B_S = B_S R_S, |T_S x| \leq R_S(|x|), \text{ and } |T_S x| \leq K_S(|x|).$$

Thus B_S is a weakly compact-friendly operator. □

Theorem 4. *If a non-zero weakly compact-friendly operator $B : E \rightarrow E$ on a AL- or AM-space E is quasinilpotent at some $x_0 > 0$, then B has a non-trivial closed invariant ideal.*

Moreover, if another positive operator $T : E \rightarrow E$ commutes with B , then T and B have a common non-trivial closed invariant ideal.

Proof. Let $B : E \rightarrow E$ be a positive non-zero weakly compact-friendly operator on a Banach lattice which is quasinilpotent at some $x_0 > 0$. Let $R, C, K : E \rightarrow E$ be non-zero operators with R, K positive and K weakly compact such that

$$RB = BR, |Cx| \leq R(|x|), \text{ and } |Cx| \leq K(|x|)$$

for every $x \in E$.

Without loss of generality, we can suppose that $\|B + T\| < 1$ and define

$$A = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (B + T)^j.$$

Clearly, the positive operator A commutes with both B and T and satisfies $Ax \geq x$ for each $x \geq 0$. Also, for each $x > 0$, let $J[x]$ denote the non-zero principal ideal generated by Ax , i.e.

$$J[x] = \{y \in E : |y| \leq \lambda Ax \text{ for some } \lambda > 0\}.$$

If $\overline{J[x]} \neq E$ for some $x > 0$, then ideal $\overline{J[x]}$ is a non-trivial closed $(B + T)$ -invariant ideal. This ideal $\overline{J[x]}$ is, of course, also invariant under B and T . So, we can assume that

$$\overline{J[x]} = E$$

for each $x > 0$, i.e., Ax is a quasi-interior point in E for each $x > 0$.

Since $C \neq 0$ there exists some $x_1 > 0$ such that $Cx_1 \neq 0$. Since $A|Cx_1|$ is a quasi-interior point and $|Cx_1| \leq A|Cx_1|$ holds, it follows from Lemma 1, that there exists an operator $V_1 : E \rightarrow E$ dominated by the identity operator such that $x_2 = V_1Cx_1 > 0$. Put $M_1 = V_1C$, and note that M_1 is dominated both by the weakly compact operator K and by the operator R .

From $\overline{J[x_2]} = E$ and $C \neq 0$, we see that there exists some element $0 \leq y \leq Ax_2$ such that $Cy \neq 0$. Since Ax_2 is a quasi-interior point, it follows from Lemma 1, that there exists an operator $U : E \rightarrow E$ dominated by the identity operator such that $UAx_2 = y$. Now note that $A|Cy|$ is a quasi-interior point. Since $|Cy| \leq A|Cy|$, it follows from Lemma 1 that there exists another operator $V_2 : E \rightarrow E$ dominated by the identity operator such that $x_3 = V_2Cy = V_2CUAx_2 > 0$. Let $M_2 = V_2CUA$ and note that M_2 is dominated both by the weakly compact operator KA and by the operator RA .

If we repeat the preceding arguments with the vector x_2 replaced by x_3 , then we obtain one more operator $M_3 : E \rightarrow E$ which satisfies $M_3x_3 > 0$ and which is dominated both by the weakly compact operator KA and by the operator RA .

From $M_3M_2M_1x_1 = M_3x_3 > 0$, we see that $M_3M_2M_1$ is a non-zero operator. Since $|M_3M_2M_1(x)| \leq KAKAK(x)$ holds and $KAKAK$ is the positive compact operator, it follows from Theorem 2, $(M_3M_2M_1)^3$ is the positive compact operator. Moreover, an easy argument shows that

$$\left| (M_3M_2M_1)^3(x) \right| \leq \left[(RARAR)^3 + T \right] (|x|)$$

for every $x \in E$.

Now consider the non-zero positive operator $S = (RARAR)^3 + T$. Then B and S commute, S dominates the non-zero compact operator $(M_3M_2M_1)^3$, and B is quasinilpotent at x_0 . By Theorem 3, S and B have a common non-trivial closed invariant ideal. This ideal is invariant under both B and T . \square

References

- [1] Y.A. Abramovich, C.D. Aliprantis, O. Burkinshaw, Invariant subspaces for positive operators, *J. Func. Anal.*, **124** (1994), 95-111.
- [2] Y.A. Abramovich, C.D. Aliprantis, O. Burkinshaw, The invariant subspace problem: Some recent advances, *Rend. Istit. Mat. Univ. Trieste*, **29** (1998), 3-79.

- [3] Y.A. Abramovich, C.D. Aliprantis, *An Invitation to Operator Theory*, American Mathematical Society (2002).
- [4] C.D. Aliprantis, O. Burkinshaw, *Positive Operators*, Academic Press, New York-London (1985).

