

SOME REFINEMENTS OF HARDY'S INEQUALITY

Gong Yan<sup>1</sup>, Gao Mingzhe<sup>2 §</sup>

<sup>1,2</sup>Department of Mathematics and Computer Science

Normal College

Jishou University

Jishou, Hunan, 416000, P.R. CHINA

<sup>1</sup>e-mail: hnxxjdsysjx@163.com

<sup>2</sup>e-mail: mingzhegao@163.com

**Abstract:** In this paper it is shown that the Hardy inequality for discrete form can be improved by means of a sharpening of Hölder's inequality. A similar result for the Hardy integral inequality is also proved. And the coefficient  $\left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^p$  of the classical Hardy inequality is further discussed.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 26D15

**Key Words:** Hardy's inequality, Hölder's inequality, parametric variable vector, integration by parts

1. Introduction

Let  $a_n \geq 0$ ,  $\beta_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$  and  $p > 1$ . Then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n^p < \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^p \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^p, \tag{1}$$

where the constant factor  $\left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^p$  is best possible. This is famous Hardy's inequality (see [3]). The correspondent integral form of it is that

$$\int_0^{\infty} \left(\frac{F(x)}{x}\right)^p dx < \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^p \int_0^{\infty} f^p(x) dx, \tag{2}$$

where  $f(x) \geq 0$  and  $F(x) = \int_0^x f(t) dt$ , and the constant factor  $\left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^p$  contained in (2) is also best possible. Because the applications of the inequalities

---

Received: February 20, 2008

© 2009 Academic Publications

§Correspondence author

(1) and (2) are very important in analysis and others, they have been studied and generalized by mathematicians (such as [4], [1], [2], etc.). The purpose of this paper is to build a new inequality which is a sharpening of Hölder’s inequality and then to realize significant improvements of the inequalities (1) and (2).

For convenience, we firstly introduce some notations:

$$(a^r, b^s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^r b_n^s, \quad \|a\|_p = \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^p \right)^{1/p} \quad \text{and} \quad \|a\|_2 = \|a\|;$$

$$(f^r, g^s) = \int_0^{+\infty} f^r(x)g^s(x)dx, \quad \|f\|_p = \left( \int_0^{\infty} f^p(x)dx \right)^{1/p} \quad \text{and} \quad \|f\|_2 = \|f\|.$$

We next introduce a function defined by

$$S_p(\alpha, x) = (\alpha^{p/2}, x)\|\alpha\|_p^{-p/2},$$

where  $x$  is a parametric variable vector which is a variable unit vector. Under general case, it is chosen properly such that the specific problems discussed are simplified. Clearly,  $S_p(\alpha, x) = 0$  when the vector  $x$  selected is orthogonal to  $\alpha^{p/2}$ .

Throughout this paper, the exponent  $m$  indicates that  $m = \min \left\{ \frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q} \right\}$ .

### 2. Lemmas and their Proofs

In order to verify our assertions, we need to build the following lemmas.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $a_n, b_n \geq 0, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$  and  $p > 1$ . If  $0 < \|a\|_p < +\infty$  and  $0 < \|b\|_q < +\infty$ , then*

$$(a, b) \leq \|a\|_p \|b\|_q (1 - r)^m, \tag{3}$$

where  $r = (S_p(a, c) - S_q(b, c))^2, \|c\| = 1$  and  $(a^{p/2}, c)(b^{q/2}, c) \geq 0$ . And the equality in (3) holds if and only if  $a^{p/2}$  and  $b^{q/2}$  are linearly dependent; or  $c$  is a linear combination of  $a^{p/2}$  and  $b^{q/2}$ , and  $(a^{p/2}, c) = 0$  or  $(b^{q/2}, c) = 0$ .

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, suppose that  $p > q > 1$ . Since  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ , we have  $p > 2$ . Putting  $R = \frac{p}{2}, Q = \frac{p}{p-2}$ , then  $\frac{1}{R} + \frac{1}{Q} = 1$ . By Hölder’s inequality we obtain

$$(a, b) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k b_k = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_k b_k^{q/p}) b_k^{1-q/p}$$

$$\leq \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_k b_k^{q/p})^R \right)^{\frac{1}{R}} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (b_k^{1-q/p})^Q \right)^{\frac{1}{Q}} = (a^{p/2}, b^{q/2})^{2/p} \|b\|_q^{q(1-2/p)}. \tag{4}$$

And the equality in (4) holds if and only if  $a^{p/2}$  and  $b^{q/2}$  are linearly dependent. In fact, the equality in (4) holds if and only if to any  $k$ , there exists  $c_1$  such that  $(a_k b_k^{q/p})^R = c_1 (b_k^{1-q/p})^Q$ . It is easy to deduce that  $b_k^{q/2} = c_0 a_k^{q/2}$ , where  $c_0 = c_1^{2/q}$ .

In our previous paper [5], with the help of the positive definiteness of Gram matrix it established an important inequality of the form

$$(\alpha, \beta)^2 \leq \|\alpha\|^2 \|\beta\|^2 - (\|\alpha\|x - \|\beta\|y)^2, \tag{5}$$

where  $x = (\beta, \gamma), y = (\alpha, \gamma), \|\gamma\| = 1$  and  $xy \geq 0$ . And the equality in (5) holds if and only if  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are linearly dependent; or the vector  $\gamma$  is a linear combination of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , and  $x = 0$  or  $y = 0$ . If  $\alpha, \beta$  and  $\gamma$  in (5) are replaced by  $a^{p/2}, b^{q/2}$  and  $c$  respectively, then we get

$$(a^{p/2}, b^{q/2})^2 \leq \|a\|_p^p \|b\|_q^q (1 - r), \tag{6}$$

where  $r = (S_p(a, c) - S_q(b, c))^2$ . And the equality in (6) holds if and only if  $a^{p/2}$  and  $b^{q/2}$  are linearly dependent; or  $c$  is a linear combination of  $a^{p/2}$  and  $b^{q/2}$ , and  $(a^{p/2}, c) = 0$  or  $(b^{q/2}, c) = 0$ . Substituting (6) into (4) we obtain after simplifications

$$(a, b) \leq \|a\|_p \|b\|_q (1 - r)^{1/p}. \tag{7}$$

Noticing the symmetry of  $p$  and  $q$ , the inequality (3) follows from (7). The proof of the lemma is completed.  $\square$

When  $p = 2$ , basing on (5) we attain the following result at once.

**Corollary 1.** *If  $0 < \|a\| < +\infty$  and  $0 < \|b\| < +\infty$ , then*

$$(a, b) \leq \|a\| \|b\| (1 - \tilde{r})^{1/2}, \tag{8}$$

where  $\tilde{r} = \left( \frac{(a,c)}{\|a\|} - \frac{(b,c)}{\|b\|} \right)^2, \|c\| = 1$  and  $(a, c)(b, c) \geq 0$ . And the equality in (8) holds if and only if  $a$  and  $b$  are linearly dependent, or  $c$  is a linear combination of  $a$  and  $b$ , and  $(a, c) = 0$  or  $(b, c) = 0$ .

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $f(x), g(x) \geq 0, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$  and  $p > 1$ . If  $0 < \|f\|_p < +\infty$  and  $0 < \|g\|_q < +\infty$ , then*

$$(f, g) \leq \|f\|_p \|g\|_q (1 - R)^m, \tag{9}$$

where  $R = (S_p(f, h) - S_q(g, h))^2, (f^{p/2}, h)(g^{q/2}, h) \geq 0$  and  $\|h\| = 1$ . And the equality in (9) holds if and only if  $f^{p/2}(x)$  and  $g^{q/2}(x)$  are linearly dependent or  $h(x)$  is a linear combination of  $f^{p/2}(x)$  and  $g^{q/2}(x)$ , and  $(f^{p/2}, h) = 0$  or  $(g^{q/2}, h) = 0$ .

Its proof is similar to that of Lemma 1, hence it is omitted here.

**Corollary 2.** *If  $0 < \|f\| < +\infty$  and  $0 < \|g\| < +\infty$ , then*

$$(f, g) \leq \|f\| \|g\| \left\{ 1 - \left( \frac{(g, h)}{\|g\|} - \frac{(f, h)}{\|f\|} \right)^2 \right\}^{1/2}, \tag{10}$$

where  $(g, h)(f, h) \geq 0$ . And the equality in (10) holds if and only if  $f$  and  $g$  are linearly dependent; or  $h$  is a linear combination of  $f$  and  $g$ , and  $(f, h) = 0$  or  $(g, h) = 0$ .

### 3. Theorems and their Corollaries

In this section we shall deal with Hardy’s inequality with the help of the above results.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $a_1 > 0, a_n \geq 0$  ( $n = 2, 3, \dots$ ),  $\beta_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$  and  $p > 1$ . If  $\|a\|_p < +\infty$ , then*

$$\|\beta\|_p < \left( \frac{p}{p-1} \right) (1-r)^m \|a\|_p, \tag{11}$$

where  $r = a_1^p \left( \|a\|_p^{-p/2} - \|\beta\|_p^{-p/2} \right)^2$ .

*Proof.* We apply Hardy’s techniques to estimate  $\sum_{n=1}^N \beta_n^p$ . At the first we estimate the difference of the following two terms:

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_n^p - \frac{p}{p-1} \beta_n^{p-1} a_n &= \beta_n^p - \frac{p}{p-1} (n\beta_n - (n-1)\beta_{n-1}) \beta_n^{p-1} \\ &= \beta_n^p \left( 1 - \frac{np}{p-1} \right) + \frac{(n-1)p}{p-1} \beta_n^{p-1} \beta_{n-1} \\ &= \beta_n^p \left( 1 - \frac{np}{p-1} \right) + \frac{(n-1)p}{p-1} ((\beta_n^p)^{p-1} (\beta_{n-1}^p))^{1/p}. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Applying arithmetic geometric mean inequality to the second term of the right-hand side of (12) we get

$$((\beta_n^p)^{p-1} (\beta_{n-1}^p))^{1/p} \leq \frac{1}{p} ((p-1)\beta_n^p + \beta_{n-1}^p) \tag{13}$$

It follows from (12) and (13) that

$$\beta_n^p - \frac{p}{p-1} \beta_n^{p-1} a_n \leq \beta_n^p \left( 1 - \frac{np}{p-1} \right) + \frac{n-1}{p-1} ((p-1)\beta_n^p + \beta_{n-1}^p)$$

$$= \frac{1}{p-1} ((n-1)\beta_{n-1}^p - n\beta_n^p).$$

Summing of the above inequality with respect to  $n$ , we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \beta_n^p - \frac{p}{p-1} \sum_{n=1}^N \beta_n^{p-1} a_n \leq -\frac{1}{p-1} (N\beta_N^p) \leq 0.$$

Whence  $\sum_{n=1}^N \beta_n^p \leq \frac{p}{p-1} \sum_{n=1}^N \beta_n^{p-1} a_n$ . Let  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . We attain that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n^p \leq \frac{p}{p-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n^{p-1} a_n. \tag{14}$$

Applying the inequality (3) to the right-hand side of (14) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n^p &\leq \frac{p}{p-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \beta_n^{p-1} \leq \frac{p}{p-1} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n^{(p-1)q} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} (1-r)^m \\ &= \frac{p}{p-1} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n^p \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} (1-r)^m. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\|\beta\|_p \leq \frac{p}{p-1} (1-r)^m \|a\|_p. \tag{15}$$

It remains to define only  $r$  in (15). According to the inequality (3), the real number  $r$  is defined by

$$r = (S_p(a, c) - S_q(\beta^{p-1}, c))^2. \tag{16}$$

We choose a sequence  $c = \{c_n | c_1 = 1, c_n = 0, n = 2, 3, \dots\}$ .

Clearly,  $\|c\| = 1$ . It is easy to deduce that  $(a^{p/2}, c) = a_1^{p/2}, (\beta^{(p-1)q/2}, c) = a_1^{p/2}$  and  $\|\beta^{p-1}\|_q^{-q/2} = \|\beta\|_p^{-p/2}$ . We obtain therefore from (16)

$$r = a_1^p (\|a\|_p^{-p/2} - \|\beta\|_p^{-p/2})^2. \tag{17}$$

Since  $a, \beta^{p-1}$  and  $c$  are linearly independent, it is impossible to have equality in (15). The theorem is proved.  $\square$

**Remark.** If the real number  $r$  in (11) is replaced by zero, then the inequality (1) is yielded. We find from (11) that  $r \neq 0$  provided that  $\|a\|_p \neq \|\beta\|_p$ . In other words, if  $\|a\|_p$  and  $p$  are finite and  $\|a\|_p \neq \|\beta\|_p$ , then the constant  $\left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^p$  in (1) is not best possible. And the inequality (11) is obviously an improvement of (1).

In particular, when  $p = 2$ , basing on (8) and (11) we have the following result.

**Corollary 3.** Let  $a_1 > 0, a_n \geq 0 (n = 2, 3, \dots)$  and  $\beta_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k$ . If  $\|a\| < +\infty$  then

$$\|\beta\| < 2(1 - \tilde{r})^{1/2} \|a\|, \tag{18}$$

where  $\tilde{r} = a_1^2 \left( \frac{1}{\|a\|} - \frac{1}{\|\beta\|} \right)^2$ .

**Theorem 2.** Let  $f(x) \geq 0, g(x) = \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x f(t)dt, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$  and  $p > 1$ . If  $0 < \int_0^\infty f^p(t)dt < +\infty$ , then

$$\|g\|_p < \frac{p}{p-1} (1 - R)^m \|f\|_p, \tag{19}$$

where  $R = (A\|f\|_p^{-p/2} - B\|g\|_p^{-p/2})^2$ . Here  $A$  and  $B$  are defined by  $A = \int_0^1 f^{p/2}(x)dx$  and  $B = \int_0^1 g^{p/2}(x)dx$ .

*Proof.* Using integration by parts we attain that

$$\|g\|_p^p = \int_0^\infty g^p(x)dx = \frac{p}{p-1} (f, g^{p-1}). \tag{20}$$

Applying the inequality (9) to estimate the inner product of the right-hand side of (20) as follows:

$$(f, g^{p-1}) \leq \|f\|_p \|g^{p-1}\|_q (1 - R)^m = \|f\|_p \|g\|_p^{p-1} (1 - R)^m. \tag{21}$$

Substituting (21) into (20) we obtain after simplifications

$$\|g\|_p \leq \left( \frac{p}{p-1} \right) (1 - R)^m \|f\|_p. \tag{22}$$

It remains to define  $R$  in (22). Basing on the inequality (9) we have

$$R = (S_p(f, h) - S_q(g^{p-1}, h))^2.$$

We choose a function  $h$  such that

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & 0 \leq x \leq 1 \\ 0 & x > 0. \end{cases}$$

Clearly,  $\|h\| = 1$ . It is easy to deduce that

$$S_p(f, h) = \|f\|_p^{-p/2} (f^{p/2}, h) = \|f\|_p^{-p/2} \int_0^1 f^{p/2}(x)dx$$

and  $S_q(g^{p-1}, h) = \|g^{p-1}\|_q^{-q/2} (g^{(p-1)q/2}, h) = \|g\|_p^{-p/2} \int_0^1 g^{p/2}(x)dx.$

Since  $f, g^{p-1}$  and  $h$  are linearly independent, it is impossible to have equality in (22). Thus the proof of the theorem is completed. □

**Remark.** If  $R$  in (19) is replaced by zero, then the inequality (2) is yielded. Being similar to the remark given at the end of the proof of Theorem 1, we find from (19) that if  $\|f\|_p$  and  $p$  are finite, then  $R \neq 0$ . Under such case, the

constant factor  $\left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^p$  in (2) is also not best possible. And the inequality (19) is obviously an improvement of (2).

When  $p = 2$ , we get from (19) and (10) the following result.

**Corollary 4.** *Let  $f(x) \geq 0, g(x) = \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x f(t)dt$ . If  $\|f\| < +\infty$ , then*

$$\|g\| < 2(1 - \tilde{R})^{1/2}\|f\|,$$

where  $\tilde{R} = \left(\|f\|^{-1} \int_0^1 f(t)dt - \|g\|^{-1} \int_0^1 g(t)dt\right)^2$ .

### Acknowledgements

Authors express their thanks to Professor L.G. Hsu for his encouragement.

Foundation item: A Project Supported by scientific Research Fund of Hunan Provincial Education Department (06C657).

### References

- [1] Yang Bicheng, Zeng Zhuohua, On new generalization of Hardy's integral inequality, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **217**, No. 1 (1998), 321-327.
- [2] Yang Bicheng, On Hardy's inequality, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **234**, No. 2 (1999), 717-722.
- [3] G.H. Hardy, J.E. Littlewood, G. Polya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (1952).
- [4] E. Landau, A note on a theorem concerning series of positive terms, *Journ. London Math. Soc.*, **1** (1926), 38-39.
- [5] Gao Mingzhe, On Heisenberg's inequality, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **234**, No. 2 (1999), 727-734.

