

ON (k, l) -KERNELS IN THE CORONA OF DIGRAPHS

Andrzej Włoch¹, Iwona Włoch² §

^{1,2}Faculty of Mathematics and Applied Physics

Technical University of Rzeszów

Ul. W. Pola 2, Rzeszów, 35-959, POLAND

¹email: awloch@prz.edu.pl

²email: iwloch@prz.edu.pl

Abstract: In this paper we give the necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of (k, l) -kernels in the corona of digraphs. Next we prove results for the corona to be (k, l) -kernel perfect. Moreover, we determine the total number of (k, l) -kernels, k -independent sets and l -dominating sets in this product.

AMS Subject Classification: 05C20

Key Words: (k, l) -kernel perfect, k -independent set, l -dominating set, counting, corona

1. Introduction

For concepts not defined here see [1]. Let D be a finite, directed graph (for short: a digraph) without loops and multiple arcs, where $V(D)$ is the set of vertices and $A(D)$ is the set of arcs of D . By the empty digraph we mean a digraph with $V(D) = \emptyset$. A path from a vertex x_1 to a vertex x_n , $n \geq 2$, is a sequence of vertices x_1, \dots, x_n and arcs $(x_i, x_{i+1}) \in A(D)$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$. By $d_D(x_i, x_j)$ we denote the length of the shortest path from x_i to x_j in D . If there is no a path from x_i to x_j in D , then we put $d_D(x_i, x_j) = \infty$. For any $X \subseteq V(D)$ and $x \in V(D)$ we put $d_D(x, X) = \min_{y \in X} d_D(x, y)$.

Let k, l be integers $k \geq 2$ and $l \geq 1$. We say that a subset $J \subset V(D)$ is a (k, l) -kernel of D if:

Received: May 19, 2009

© 2009 Academic Publications

§Correspondence author

- (1) for each $x_i, x_j \in J$ and $i \neq j$, $d_D(x_i, x_j) \geq k$ and
 (2) for each $x_i \notin J$ there exists $x_j \in J$ such that $d_D(x_i, x_j) \leq l$.

From the definition of the (k, l) -kernel immediately follows that if J is a (k, l) -kernel of D , then J is also a (k_0, l_0) -kernel of D , where $k_0 \leq k$ and $l_0 \geq l$. If the set J satisfies the condition in (1) or in (2), then we shall call it a k -independent set of D (also named as a k -stable set of D) or an l -dominating set of D , respectively. We notice that 2-independent set is an independent set and 1-dominating set is a dominating set of D . In addition we assume that a subset containing only one vertex and the empty set also are meant as k -independent sets. The set $V(D)$ is an l -dominating set of D . We assume that for the empty digraph D the empty set is a unique k -independent set of D , also the empty set is a unique l -dominating set of D . Consequently we assume that the empty set is a unique (k, l) -kernel of the empty digraph, for every k, l . A digraph D whose every induced subdigraph has a (k, l) -kernel is a (k, l) -kernel perfect digraph. The concept of (k, l) -kernels was introduced by Kwaśnik in [7]. A $(2, 1)$ -kernel is a kernel in Berge's sense. Moreover $(k, k - 1)$ -kernels (also named as k -kernels), $k \geq 2$ were considered for instance in [2], [7], [10]. Sufficient conditions for the existence of (k, l) -kernels in digraphs have been investigated for instance in [4], [5], [6], [8]. By $NkI(D)$, $NlD(D)$ and $NklK(D)$ we mean the number of all k -independent sets, l -dominating sets and (k, l) -kernels in the digraph D , respectively. The total number of k -independent sets and (k, l) -kernels in graphs and in some their products were studied for instance in [8] and [10].

Let D be a digraph with $V(D) = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, $n \geq 1$ and $\mathcal{H} = (H_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I} = \{1, \dots, n\}}$ be a sequence of vertex disjoint digraphs. For a nonempty digraph H_i , $i \in \mathcal{I}$ from the sequence \mathcal{H} we put $V(H_i) = \{y_1^i, \dots, y_{p_i}^i\}$, $p_i \geq 1$. The corona of the digraph D and the sequence \mathcal{H} is a digraph $D \circ \mathcal{H}$ such that $V(D \circ \mathcal{H}) = V(D) \cup \bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{I}} V(H_i)$ and $A(D \circ \mathcal{H}) = A(D) \cup \bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{I}} A(H_i) \cup \bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \{(y_t^i, x_i); t = 1, \dots, p_i\}$.

The corona $G \circ \mathcal{H}$ of a graph G and sequence \mathcal{H} of vertex disjoint graphs is known in literature, see for instance [9]. If all graphs in the sequence \mathcal{H} are isomorphic to the same graph H , then we obtain the corona of two graphs, see [3]. We have applied this definition for digraphs.

In this paper first we study the existence of (k, l) -kernels in $D \circ \mathcal{H}$. Next we prove the necessary and sufficient condition for (k, l) -kernel perfectness of corona. In the last section we calculate the total number of k -independent sets, l -dominating sets and (k, l) -kernels in corona of digraphs.

2. The Existence of (k, l) -Kernels in Corona of Digraphs

In this section we establish necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of (k, l) -kernels in $D \circ \mathcal{H}$ based upon factors. Our first theorem describes k -independent sets in the corona. Next we characterize l -dominating sets in $D \circ \mathcal{H}$.

Theorem 1. *Let $k \geq 2$ be integer. A subset $S^* \subset V(D \circ \mathcal{H})$ which meets $V(D)$ is a k -independent set of $D \circ \mathcal{H}$ if and only if $S \subset V(D)$ is a nonempty k -independent set of D such that $S^* = S \cup \bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{I}_1} S_i$, where $\mathcal{I}_1 = \{j; d_D(x_j, S) \geq k - 1\}$ and S_i is an arbitrary k -independent set of H_i , for every $i \in \mathcal{I}_1$.*

Proof. 1. Let $S \subseteq V(D)$ be a k -independent set of a digraph D , $\mathcal{I}_1 = \{j; d_D(x_j, S) \geq k - 1\}$ and let S_i be an arbitrary k -independent set of H_i , for every $i \in \mathcal{I}_1$. We shall prove that the set $S^* = S \cup \bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{I}_1} S_i$ is a k -independent set of $D \circ \mathcal{H}$. Evidently by assumptions of S and S_i with $i \in \mathcal{I}_1$, and by the definition of $D \circ \mathcal{H}$ immediately follows that S and S_i are k -independent sets of $D \circ \mathcal{H}$. Hence to prove that S^* is a k -independent set of $D \circ \mathcal{H}$ the following cases should be considered.

$$x_p \in S \text{ and } y_t^i \in S_i \text{ with } i \in \mathcal{I}_1. \tag{1.1}$$

First let observe that if $y_t^i \in S_i$ and $i \in \mathcal{I}_1$, then $d_D(x_i, S) \geq k - 1$. Consequently from the definition of the corona we receive that $(y_t^i, x_i) \in A(D)$, so $d_{D \circ \mathcal{H}}(y_t^i, S) \geq 1 + k - 1 = k$ and this implies that $d_{D \circ \mathcal{H}}(y_t^i, x_p) \geq k$. Moreover in view of the definition of the corona $D \circ \mathcal{H}$ there is no a path from x_p to any vertex of $V(H_p)$, whence $d_{D \circ \mathcal{H}}(x_p, y_t^i) > k$.

$$y_t^i \in S_i, y_m^j \in S_j, \text{ for } i, j \in \mathcal{I}_1 \text{ with } i \neq j. \tag{1.2}$$

The definition of the corona gives that for every $i, j \in \mathcal{I}_1$ with $i \neq j$ there is no path between vertices $y_t^i \in V(H_i)$, $y_m^j \in V(H_j)$, so $d_{D \circ \mathcal{H}}(y_t^i, y_m^j) = \infty > k$.

Taking the above possibilities into considerations we obtain that S^* is a k -independent set of $D \circ \mathcal{H}$.

2. Let $S^* \subset V(D \circ \mathcal{H})$ be a k -independent set of $D \circ \mathcal{H}$ which meets $V(D)$. Denote $S = S^* \cap V(D)$. Evidently the set S is a nonempty k -independent set of D . Moreover the definition of the set S and the definition of $D \circ \mathcal{H}$ imply that we can depict the set S^* as follows $S^* = S \cup \bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{I}_1} S_i$, where $\mathcal{I}_1 = \{i; d_D(x_i, S) \geq k - 1\}$ and $S_i \subseteq V(H_i)$. Because $S_i \subset S^*$ so S_i is k -independent. Consequently S_i is an arbitrary k -independent set of H_i , for every $i \in \mathcal{I}_1$.

Thus the theorem is proved. □

The next theorem directly follows from the definition of corona.

Theorem 2. *Let $k \geq 2$ be integer and \mathcal{I} be a set of indexes of vertices belonging to D . A subset $S^* \subset V(D \circ \mathcal{H})$ which does not meet $V(D)$ is a k -independent set of $D \circ \mathcal{H}$ if and only if $S^* = \bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{I}_1} S_i$, where \mathcal{I}_1 is an arbitrary subset of \mathcal{I} and S_i is an arbitrary k -independent set of H_i , for every $i \in \mathcal{I}_1$.*

Theorem 3. *Let $l \geq 1$ be integer and \mathcal{I} be a set of indexes of vertices belonging to D . A subset $Q^* \subseteq V(D \circ \mathcal{H})$ is an l -dominating set of $D \circ \mathcal{H}$ if and only if $Q \subseteq V(D)$ is an l -dominating set of D such that $Q^* = Q \cup \bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{I}_1} Q_i \cup \bigcup_{j \in (\mathcal{I} \setminus \mathcal{I}_1)} Q_j$, where $\mathcal{I}_1 = \{i; d_D(x_i, Q) = l\}$ and Q_i is an arbitrary l -dominating set of H_i , for every $i \in \mathcal{I}_1$, and Q_j is an arbitrary subset of $V(H_j)$, for every $j \in (\mathcal{I} \setminus \mathcal{I}_1)$.*

Proof. 1. Assume that $Q \subseteq V(D)$ is an l -dominating set of D . Denote $\mathcal{I}_1 = \{i; d_D(x_i, Q) = l\}$ and let Q_i be an arbitrary l -dominating set of H_i , for every $i \in \mathcal{I}_1$. Moreover let Q_j be an arbitrary subset of H_j , for every $j \in (\mathcal{I} \setminus \mathcal{I}_1)$. We shall prove that the set $Q^* = Q \cup \bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{I}_1} Q_i \cup \bigcup_{j \in (\mathcal{I} \setminus \mathcal{I}_1)} Q_j$ is an l -dominating set of $D \circ \mathcal{H}$. To prove it we distinguish possible cases:

(1.1) $x_p \notin Q^*$. Then from the definition of the set Q^* we have that $x_p \notin Q$. Because Q is l -dominating of D , so $d_D(x_p, Q) \leq l$. Moreover by the definition of the corona and by the set Q^* we have that $d_{D \circ \mathcal{H}}(x_p, Q^*) = d_D(x_p, Q) \leq l$.

(1.2) $y_s^i \notin Q^*$, where $i \in \mathcal{I}_1$ and $1 \leq s \leq p_i$. Because $i \in \mathcal{I}_1$, so in view of the set Q^* we have that there exists an l -dominating set Q_i of H_i such that $Q_i \subseteq Q^*$. Hence $d_{D \circ \mathcal{H}}(y_s^i, Q^*) = d_{H_i}(y_s^i, Q_i) \leq l$.

(1.3) $y_m^j \notin Q^*$, where $j \in (\mathcal{I} \setminus \mathcal{I}_1)$ and $1 \leq m \leq p_j$. Then from the fact that $j \notin \mathcal{I}_1$ it follows that for the vertex $x_j \in V(D)$ holds $d_D(x_j, Q) < l$. Of course $Q \subseteq Q^*$. Moreover for every $m = 1, \dots, p_j$ there exists an arc (y_m^j, x_j) in $D \circ \mathcal{H}$, whence $d_{D \circ \mathcal{H}}(y_m^j, Q^*) = 1 + d_D(x_j, Q) \leq l$.

All this together gives that Q^* is an l -dominating set of $D \circ \mathcal{H}$.

2. Let Q^* be an l -dominating set of $D \circ \mathcal{H}$. Denote $Q = Q^* \cap V(D)$. First we shall prove that Q is an l -dominating set of D . Assume on the contrary that Q is not l -dominating. Then there exists a vertex $x_p \in V(D)$ such that $d_D(x_p, Q) > l$. Moreover by the definition of the corona there is no a path from x_p to $(Q^* \setminus Q)$, a contradiction with the assumption of Q^* . Consequently $Q^* \subseteq Q \cup \bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{I}} V(H_i)$, where Q is an l -dominating set of D . Let $\mathcal{I}_1 \subset \mathcal{I}$ and

$\mathcal{I}_1 = \{i; d_D(x_i, Q) = l\}$. If $i \in \mathcal{I}_1$, then there exists a vertex $x_i \in V(D)$ such that $d_D(x_i, Q) = l$. Hence for every vertex $y_s^i \in V(H_i)$, $s = 1, \dots, p_i$ we have that $d_{D \circ \mathcal{H}}(y_s^i, Q) = l + 1$. Because Q^* is an l -dominating set of $D \circ \mathcal{H}$, so a subset $Q^* \cap V(H_i) = Q_i$ is an l -dominating set of H_i , for every $i \in \mathcal{I}_1$. If $j \in (\mathcal{I} \setminus \mathcal{I}_1)$ then for every vertex $x_j \in V(D)$ we have that $d_D(x_j, Q) < l$. Therefore from the definition of corona for every vertex $y_m^j \in V(H_j)$, $m = 1, \dots, p_j$ we calculate that $d_{D \circ \mathcal{H}}(y_m^j, Q) = 1 + d_D(x_j, Q) < l + 1 \leq l$. This implies that a subset $Q_j = Q^* \cap V(H_j)$ can be an arbitrary subset of $V(H_j)$. Finally the set Q^* can be depicted in the following way $Q^* = Q \cup \bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{I}_1} Q_i \cup \bigcup_{j \in (\mathcal{I} \setminus \mathcal{I}_1)} Q_j$, where Q , Q_i and Q_j are as in the statement of the theorem.

Thus the theorem is proved. □

Corollary 1. *Let $k \geq 2, l \geq 1$ be integers. If $D \circ \mathcal{H}$ has a (k, l) -kernel J then J meets $V(D)$.*

Lemma 1. *Let $k \geq 3, l < k - 1$ be integers. If $D \circ \mathcal{H}$ has a (k, l) -kernel J , then $J \subseteq V(D)$.*

Proof. If $|J| = 1$, then the lemma immediately follows by Corollary 1. Let $|J| \geq 2$. We proceed by contradiction. Assume that $D \circ \mathcal{H}$ has a (k, l) -kernel J , for $l < k - 1$ and there exists $i \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $y_p^i \in J, 1 \leq t \leq p_i$. From Corollary 1 the set J meets $V(D)$. Moreover the definition of corona implies that $J' = J \cap V(D)$ is a (k, l) -kernel of D . This means that for every vertex $x_i \in V(D)$ holds $d_D(x_i, J') = d_{D \circ \mathcal{H}}(x_i, J) \leq l < k - 1$. Hence for every $i \in \mathcal{I}$ and y_t^i with $t = 1, \dots, p_i, d_{D \circ \mathcal{H}}(y_t^i, J') \leq l + 1 < k$, a contradiction with the assumption about the set J , which completes the proof. □

Theorem 4. *Let $k \geq 3, l < k - 1$ be integers. Let $\mathcal{H} = (H_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ be a sequence of arbitrary nonempty vertex disjoint digraphs H_i . A digraph $D \circ \mathcal{H}$ has a (k, l) -kernel if and only if a digraph D has a $(k, l - 1)$ -kernel.*

Proof. Let k, l be as in the statement of the theorem. Assume that the digraph D has a $(k, l - 1)$ -kernel J . It is obvious that J is a (k, l) -kernel of digraph $D \circ \mathcal{H}$. Conversely let J be a (k, l) -kernel of $D \circ \mathcal{H}$ with $l < k - 1$. From Lemma 1 we have that $J \subseteq V(D)$. Of course J is a k -independent set of D . It suffices to prove that J is an $(l - 1)$ -dominating set of D . By assumption of J , for every vertex $y_t^i \in V(H_i), i \in \mathcal{I}$ holds $d_{D \circ \mathcal{H}}(y_t^i, J) \leq l$. Consequently $d_{D \circ \mathcal{H}}(x_i, J) = d_D(x_i, J) \leq l - 1$, which completes the proof. □

Theorem 5. *Let $k \geq 2$ be integer. A subset J^* is a $(k, k - 1)$ -kernel of $D \circ \mathcal{H}$ if and only if there exists a $(k, k - 1)$ -kernel J of D such that $J^* = J \cup \bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{I}_1} J_i$,*

where $\mathcal{I}_1 = \{i; d_D(x_i, J) = k - 1\}$ and J_i is a $(k, k - 1)$ -kernel of H_i , for every $i \in \mathcal{I}_1$.

Proof. 1. Let $J \subseteq V(D)$ be a $(k, k - 1)$ -kernel of D . Let $\mathcal{I}_1 = \{i; d_D(x_i, J) = k - 1\}$ and J_i be a $(k, k - 1)$ -kernel of H_i , for every $i \in \mathcal{I}_1$. We shall prove that $J^* = J \cup \bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{I}_1} J_i$ is a $(k, k - 1)$ -kernel of $D \circ \mathcal{H}$. By Theorem 1 the set J^* is k -independent of $D \circ \mathcal{H}$. Proving analogously as in Theorem 3 we obtain that J^* is a $(k - 1)$ -dominating set of $D \circ \mathcal{H}$. Consequently J^* is a $(k, k - 1)$ -kernel of $D \circ \mathcal{H}$.

2. Let $J^* \subseteq V(D \circ \mathcal{H})$ be a $(k, k - 1)$ -kernel of $D \circ \mathcal{H}$. By Corollary 1 the set J^* meets $V(D)$ whence denote $J = J^* \cap V(D)$. It is clear that the set J is a nonempty k -independent set of the digraph D . Moreover proving analogously as in Theorem 3 we have that J is a $(k - 1)$ -dominating set of the digraph D . Evidently by Theorem 1 we deduce that $(J^* \setminus J) \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{I}_1} V(H_i)$, where $\mathcal{I}_1 = \{i; d_D(x_i, J) = k - 1\}$. If $i \in \mathcal{I}_1$, then for the vertex x_i holds $d_D(x_i, J) = d_{D \circ \mathcal{H}}(x_i, J^*) = k - 1$. Hence for every vertex $y_t^i \in V(H_i)$, $t = 1, \dots, p_i$ we have that $d_{D \circ \mathcal{H}}(y_t^i, J) = k$. Because J^* is a $(k, k - 1)$ -kernel of $D \circ \mathcal{H}$ so immediately follows that $J_i = J^* \cap V(H_i)$ is a $(k, k - 1)$ -kernel of H_i . Finally $J^* = J \cup \bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{I}_1} J_i$, where $\mathcal{I}_1 = \{i; d_D(x_i, J) = k - 1\}$ and J_i is a $(k, k - 1)$ -kernel of H_i , for every $i \in \mathcal{I}_1$.

All this together completes the proof. □

Theorem 6. Let $k \geq 2$, $l \geq k$ be integers. A subset J^* is a (k, l) -kernel of $D \circ \mathcal{H}$ if and only if there exists a (k, l) -kernel J of D such that $J^* = J \cup \bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{I}_1} J_i \cup \bigcup_{j \in \mathcal{I}_2} J_j$, where $\mathcal{I}_1 = \{i; d_D(x_i, J) = l\}$ and J_i is a (k, l) -kernel of H_i for every $i \in \mathcal{I}_1$, and $\mathcal{I}_2 = \{j; k - 1 \leq d_D(x_j, J) < l\}$, where J_j is an arbitrary k -independent subset of H_j , for every $j \in \mathcal{I}_2$.

Proof. 1. Let $J \subseteq V(D)$ be a (k, l) -kernel of D , $\mathcal{I}_1 = \{i; d_D(x_i, J) = l\}$ and let J_i be a (k, l) -kernel of H_i , for every $i \in \mathcal{I}_1$. Moreover let $\mathcal{I}_2 = \{j; k - 1 \leq d_D(x_j, J) < l\}$ and let J_j be an arbitrary k -independent set of H_j , for every $j \in \mathcal{I}_2$. We now show that $J^* = J \cup \bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{I}_1} J_i \cup \bigcup_{j \in \mathcal{I}_2} J_j$ is a (k, l) -kernel of $D \circ \mathcal{H}$. Clearly by Theorem 1 the set J^* is k -independent of $D \circ \mathcal{H}$. Proving analogously as in Theorem 3 we obtain that J^* is an l -dominating set of $D \circ \mathcal{H}$. Consequently J^* is a (k, l) -kernel of $D \circ \mathcal{H}$.

2. Let $J^* \subseteq V(D \circ \mathcal{H})$ be a (k, l) -kernel of $D \circ \mathcal{H}$, where $l \geq k$. By Corollary 1 the set J^* meets $V(D)$ so let $J = J^* \cap V(D)$. Similarly as in Theorem 5

the set J is a (k, l) -kernel of D . Evidently $(J^* \setminus J) \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in (\mathcal{I}_1 \cup \mathcal{I}_2)} V(H_i)$, where $\mathcal{I}_1 = \{i; d_D(x_i, J) = l\}$ and $\mathcal{I}_2 = \{j; k - 1 \leq d_D(x_j, J) < l\}$. To describe the set J^* we consider the following cases:

(2.1) $i \in \mathcal{I}_1$. This means that for the vertex $x_i, i \in \mathcal{I}_1$, holds $d_D(x_i, J) = d_{D \circ \mathcal{H}}(x_i, J^*) = l$. So for every $y_t^i \in V(H_i), t = 1, \dots, p_i$ we have that $d_{D \circ \mathcal{H}}(y_t^i, J) = l + 1$. From the fact that J^* is a (k, l) -kernel kernel of $D \circ \mathcal{H}$ immediately follows that $J^* \cap V(H_i) = J_i$ is a (k, l) -kernel of H_i .

(2.2) $j \in \mathcal{I}_2$. It is clear that for every vertex $x_j \in V(D), j \in \mathcal{I}_2$ we have that $k - 1 \leq d_{D \circ \mathcal{H}}(x_j, J) < l$. Moreover for every $y_m^j \in V(H_j), m = 1, \dots, p_j$ immediately follows that $k < d_{D \circ \mathcal{H}}(y_m^j, J^*) = d_{D \circ \mathcal{H}}(y_m^j, J) < l + 1$. This implies that a subset $J_j = J^* \cap V(H_j)$ can be an arbitrary k -independent set of $V(H_j)$, for every $j \in \mathcal{I}_2$. All this together gives that $J^* = J \cup \bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{I}_1} J_i \cup \bigcup_{j \in \mathcal{I}_2} J_j$, where J, J_i, J_j are as in the statements of theorem.

Thus the theorem is proved. □

Corollary 2. *Let $k \geq 2, l \geq k - 1$ be integers. A digraph $D \circ \mathcal{H}$ has a (k, l) -kernel, $l \geq k - 1$ if and only if there exists a (k, l) -kernel J of a digraph D such that for every $i \in \mathcal{I}_1$, where $\mathcal{I}_1 = \{i; d_D(x_i, J) = l\}$ a digraph H_i has a (k, l) -kernel.*

3. (k, l) -Kernel Perfect Corona of Digraph

From the definition of $D \circ \mathcal{H}$ the following proposition is obvious.

Proposition 1. *Let \mathcal{I} be a set of indexes of vertices belonging to $V(D)$. Every induced subdigraph of $D \circ \mathcal{H}$ is:*

- (a) *an induced subdigraph of H_i for some $i \in \mathcal{I}$;*
- (b) *an induced subdigraph of D ;*
- (c) *a digraph of the form $\tilde{D} \circ \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$, where \tilde{D} is an induced subdigraph of D with $V(\tilde{D}) = \{x_t; t \in \tilde{\mathcal{I}}\}$, where $\tilde{\mathcal{I}} \subseteq \mathcal{I}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{H}} = (\tilde{H}_t)_{t \in \tilde{\mathcal{I}}}$, where \tilde{H}_t is an induced subdigraph of H_t ;*
- (d) *the union of the digraphs from (a), (b), (c).*

Theorem 7. *Let $k \geq 2, l \geq k - 1$ be integers and let \mathcal{I} be a set of indexes of vertices belonging to $V(D)$. A digraph $D \circ \mathcal{H}$ is (k, l) -kernel perfect if and only if D and H_i are (k, l) -kernel perfect, for every $i \in \mathcal{I}$.*

Proof. If a digraph $D \circ \mathcal{H}$ is (k, l) -kernel perfect, then D and H_i , for every $i \in \mathcal{I}$, are (k, l) -kernel perfect as induced subdigraphs of $D \circ \mathcal{H}$. Conversely assume that D and H_i , $i \in \mathcal{I}$ are (k, l) -kernel perfect. By Proposition 1 we need only to prove that $D \circ \mathcal{H}$ has a (k, l) -kernel. Let J be a (k, l) -kernel of the digraph D and let $\mathcal{I}_1 = \{i; d_D(x_i, J) = l\}$. Because every H_i , $i \in \mathcal{I}$ has a (k, l) -kernel, so H_i , $i \in \mathcal{I}_1 \subset \mathcal{I}$ has a (k, l) -kernel and by Corollary 2 the theorem follows. \square

4. The Total Number of (k, l) -kernels in the Corona

In this section we calculate the total number of k -independent sets, l -dominating sets and (k, l) -kernels of corona of digraphs.

Theorem 8. *Let $k \geq 2$ be integer. Let D be a digraph on n vertices, $n \geq 1$ and $\mathcal{H} = (H_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ be a sequence of vertex disjoint digraphs H_i , where \mathcal{I} is a set of indexes of vertices belonging to $V(D)$. Let $\mathcal{S} = \{S_1, \dots, S_t\}$, $t \geq 1$ be a family of all nonempty k -independent sets of the digraph D . Let $S_r \in \mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{I}_r = \{j; d_D(x_j, S_r) \geq k - 1\}$. Then*

$$NkI(D \circ \mathcal{H}) = \sum_{r=1}^t f_1(\mathcal{I}_r) + \prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}} NkI(H_i),$$

where

$$f_1(\mathcal{I}_r) = \begin{cases} \prod_{j \in \mathcal{I}_r} NkI(H_j), & \text{if } \mathcal{I}_r \neq \emptyset, \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let D be a given digraph on n vertices, $n \geq 1$. Assume that \mathcal{S}_1^* is a family of all k -independent sets of $D \circ \mathcal{H}$ which meets $V(D)$ and let \mathcal{S}_2^* be a family of all k -independent sets of $D \circ \mathcal{H}$ which does not meet $V(D)$. Evidently $NkI(D \circ \mathcal{H}) = |\mathcal{S}_1^*| + |\mathcal{S}_2^*|$. Theorem 1 implies that to obtain a k -independent set of $D \circ \mathcal{H}$ from the family $|\mathcal{S}_1^*|$ first we have to obtain a k -independent set of D . Let $\mathcal{S} = \{S_1, \dots, S_t\}$, $t \geq 1$ be a family of all nonempty k -independent sets of the digraph D . Assume that $S_r \in \mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{I}_r = \{j; d_D(x_j, S_r) \geq k - 1\}$. If $\mathcal{I}_r \neq \emptyset$, then in each H_j , $j \in \mathcal{I}_r$ we have to choose an arbitrary k -independent set. Evidently we can do it on $NkI(H_j)$ ways. If $\mathcal{I}_r = \emptyset$, then S_r is a k -independent set from the family \mathcal{S}_1^* . Hence from the fundamental combinatorial statements

we have $\sum_{r=1}^t f_1(\mathcal{I}_r)$ sets belonging to the family \mathcal{S}_1^* , where

$$f_1(\mathcal{I}_r) = \begin{cases} \prod_{j \in \mathcal{I}_r} NkI(H_j) & \text{if } \mathcal{I}_r \neq \emptyset, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Moreover Theorem 2 immediately gives that $|\mathcal{S}_2^*| = \prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}} NkI(H_i)$. All this

together gives that $NkI(D \circ \mathcal{H}) = \sum_{r=1}^t f_1(\mathcal{I}_r) + \prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}} NkI(H_i)$. Thus the theorem is proved. □

Theorem 9. *Let $l \geq 1$ be integer. Let D be a digraph on n vertices and $\mathcal{H} = (H_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ be a sequence of vertex disjoint digraphs H_i , where \mathcal{I} is the set of indexes of the vertices belonging to $V(D)$ and $|V(H_i)| = p_i, p_i \geq 0$. Let $\mathcal{Q} = \{Q_1, \dots, Q_t\}, t \geq 1$ be a family of all l -dominating sets of the digraph D . Let $Q_r \in \mathcal{Q}$ and $\mathcal{I}_r = \{j; d_D(x_j, S_r) = l\}$. Then*

$$NlD(D \circ \mathcal{H}) = \sum_{r=1}^t \left(f_2(\mathcal{I}_r) \prod_{s \in (\mathcal{I} \setminus \mathcal{I}_r)} 2^{p_s} \right),$$

where

$$f_2(\mathcal{I}_r) = \begin{cases} \prod_{j \in \mathcal{I}_r} NlD(H_j) & \text{if } \mathcal{I}_r \neq \emptyset, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let D be a given digraph on n vertices and $\mathcal{H} = (H_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ be a sequence of vertex disjoint digraphs $H_i, i \in \mathcal{I}$ on p_i vertices, $p_i \geq 0$. Let Q^* be a family of all l -dominating sets of $D \circ \mathcal{H}$. Of course $|Q^*| = NlD(D \circ \mathcal{H})$. Theorem 3 implies that to obtain an l -dominating set of $D \circ \mathcal{H}$ first we have to choose an l -dominating set of D . Let $\mathcal{Q} = \{Q_1, \dots, Q_t\}, t \geq 1$ be a family of all l -dominating sets of the digraph D . Let $Q_r \in \mathcal{Q}$ and $\mathcal{I}_r = \{j; d_D(x_j, Q_r) = l\}$. If $\mathcal{I}_r \neq \emptyset$, then by Theorem 3 in each $H_j, j \in \mathcal{I}_r$ we choose an arbitrary l -dominating set. Of course we can do it on $NlD(H_j)$ ways. If $\mathcal{I}_r = \emptyset$, then Q_r is an l -dominating set from the family Q^* . Moreover Theorem 3 implies that in each $H_s, s \in (\mathcal{I} \setminus \mathcal{I}_r)$ we can choose an arbitrary subset of $V(H_s)$. Evidently we have 2^{p_s} such subsets, so from the fundamental combinatorial statements

we have that $NlD(D \circ \mathcal{H}) = \sum_{r=1}^t \left(f_2(\mathcal{I}_r) \prod_{s \in (\mathcal{I} \setminus \mathcal{I}_r)} 2^{p_s} \right)$, where

$$f_2(\mathcal{I}_r) = \begin{cases} \prod_{j \in \mathcal{I}_r} NlD(H_j) & \text{if } \mathcal{I}_r \neq \emptyset, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus the theorem is proved. \square

Using the same method as in Theorem 8 and Theorem 9 we can prove.

Theorem 10. *Let $k \geq 2$, $l \geq k - 1$ be integers. Let D be a digraph on n vertices and $\mathcal{H} = (H_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ be a sequence of vertex disjoint digraphs H_i , where \mathcal{I} be a set of indexes of vertices from $V(D)$. Let $\mathcal{J} = \{J_1, \dots, J_t\}$, $t \geq 1$ be a family of all (k, l) -kernels of the digraph D . Let $J_r \in \mathcal{J}$ and $\mathcal{I}_r = \{j; d_D(x_j, J_r) = l\}$ and $\mathcal{I}_r^* = \{s; k - 1 \leq d_D(x_s, J_r) < l\}$. Then*

$$NklK(D \circ \mathcal{H}) = \begin{cases} \sum_{r=1}^t f_3(\mathcal{I}_r) & \text{if } l = k - 1, \\ \sum_{r=1}^t f_3(\mathcal{I}_r) f_4(\mathcal{I}_r) & \text{if } l \geq k, \end{cases}$$

where

$$f_3(\mathcal{I}_r) = \begin{cases} \prod_{j \in \mathcal{I}_r} NlD(H_j) & \text{if } \mathcal{I}_r \neq \emptyset, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$f_4(\mathcal{I}_r) = \begin{cases} \prod_{s \in \mathcal{I}_r^*} NkI(H_s) & \text{if } \mathcal{I}_r^* \neq \emptyset, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

References

- [1] R. Diestel, *Graph Theory*, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, New-York (2005).
- [2] G.H. Fricke, S.T. Hedetniemi, M.A. Henning, Distance independent domination of graphs, *Ars Combinatoria*, **41** (1995), 34-41.
- [3] R. Frucht, F. Harary, On the corona of two graphs, *Aequationes Mathematicae*, **4** (1970), 322-324.
- [4] H. Galeana-Sanchez, On the existence of (k, l) -kernels in digraphs, *Discrete Mathematics*, **85** (1990), 99-102.
- [5] H. Galeana-Sanchez, On the existence of kernels and h -kernels in directed graphs, *Discrete Mathematics*, **110** (1992), 221-225.
- [6] H. Galeana-Sanchez, Xueliang Li, Semikernels and (k, l) -kernels in digraph, *SIAM Journal Discrete Mathematics*, **11**, No. 2 (1998), 340-346.
- [7] M. Kwaśnik, *On (k, l) -Kernels in Graphs and their Products*, Doctoral Dissertation, Technical University of Wrocław, Wrocław (1980).

- [8] M. Kwaśnik, I. Włoch, The total number of generalized stable sets and kernels of graphs, *Ars Combinatoria*, **55** (2000), 139-146.
- [9] J. Topp, *Domination, Independence and Irredundance in Graphs*, Dissertationes Mathematicae, Warszawa (1995).
- [10] A. Włoch, I. Włoch, On (k, l) -kernels in generalized products, *Discrete Mathematics*, **164** (1997), 295-301.

