

IMPOSING NEW NODES TO A LINEAR SYSTEM

E. Ballico

Department of Mathematics  
University of Trento

38 123 Povo (Trento) - Via Sommarive, 14, ITALY

e-mail: ballico@science.unitn.it

**Abstract:** Let  $\underline{C}$  be a disjoint union of smooth projective curves and  $L \in \text{Pic}(\underline{C})$  ample and spanned. Here we compute the maximal and the minimal dimension of a linear subsystems of  $|L|$  obtained imposing some nodes to  $\underline{C}$ .

**AMS Subject Classification:** 14H20, 14H55, 14H51

**Key Words:** nodal curve, linear series, morphism to  $\mathbb{P}^1$

\*

We start by introducing one of our players in the statement of the following result.

**Proposition 1.** Fix integers  $s \geq 1$ ,  $a_{ij} \geq 0$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq j \leq s$ ,  $d_i \geq 2$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq s$ , and  $s$  smooth projective curves  $C_1, \dots, C_s$ . Assume the existence of spanned  $L_i \in \text{Pic}^{d_i}(C_i)$  inducing a separable morphism  $h_{L_i} : C_i \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n_i}$ ,  $n_i := h^0(C_i, L_i)$ . Set  $X := \sqcup_{i=1}^s C_i$  and let  $L$  be the only line bundle on  $X$  such that  $L|_{C_i} \cong L_i$  for all  $i$ . Then there exist a nodal curve  $Y$  and a spanned  $R \in \text{Pic}(Y)$  such that  $X$  is the normalization of  $Y$  (call  $u : X \rightarrow Y$  the normalization map) and  $u^*(R) \cong L$ .

The set  $\Gamma$  of pairs  $(Y, R)$  satisfying the thesis of Proposition 1 (for the fixed data  $s, a_{ij}, d_i, C_i$ ) is parametrized by an integral projective variety. We want to study properties of the general  $(Y, R) \in \Gamma$  and study the pairs  $(Y, R)$  with some extremal property (roughly speaking, the more special elements of  $\Gamma$ ). We also introduced the following parameter spaces  $\Phi$  and  $\Phi'$ .

$\Phi'$ : Fix integers  $s \geq 1$ ,  $a_{ij} \geq 0$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq s$ ,  $i \neq j$ ,  $d_i \geq 2$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq s$ , and  $s$  integral projective curves  $E_1, \dots, E_s$ . Assume the existence of spanned  $L_i \in \text{Pic}^{d_i}(E_i)$  inducing a separable morphism  $h_{L_i} : E_i \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n_i}$ ,  $m_i := h^0(E_i, L_i)$ . Set  $E := \sqcup_{i=1}^s E_i$  and let  $L$  be the only line bundle on  $X$  such that  $L|_{C_i} \cong L_i$  for all  $i$ .

$\Phi$ : As in  $\Phi'$ , but we require  $n_i = 2$  for all  $i$ .

**Remark 1.** In the set-up of Theorem 1 set  $a_{ij} := a_{ji}$  if  $1 \leq j < i \leq s$ . The curve  $Y$  in the statement of Theorem 1 is connected if and only if there is no  $J \subsetneq \{1, \dots, s\}$ ,  $J \neq \emptyset$ , such that  $a_{ij} = 0$  for all  $(i, j) \in J \times (\{1, \dots, s\} \setminus J)$ . Obviously,  $Y$  is connected if and only if there is a permutation  $\tau$  of the set  $\{1, \dots, s\}$  such that for every  $i \in \{2, \dots, s\}$  there is  $j \in \{1, \dots, i-1\}$  with  $a_{\tau(j)\tau(i)} > 0$ . In this case for every  $1 \leq i \leq s$  the curve obtained from  $\sqcup_{j=1}^i Y_{\tau(j)}$  making the gluing determined by the matrix  $a_{\tau(i)\tau(j)}$  is connected.

*Proof of Proposition 1.* Since  $d_i > 0$  for all  $i$ ,  $L$  is ample. Since each  $L_i$  is spanned  $L$  is spanned. Hence it is easy to check that a general 2-dimensional linear subspace  $V$  of  $H^0(X, L)$  induces a morphism  $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  such that  $\phi^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)) \cong L$ ,  $\phi(C_i) = \mathbb{P}^1$  for all  $i$ . Since each  $h_{L_i}$  is separable and  $V$  is general,  $\phi$  is unramified outside finitely many points, i.e. each  $\phi|_{C_i}$  is separable. For every  $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$  fix  $a_{ii}$  general points  $P_{i,1}, \dots, P_{i,a_{ii}}$  and glue each  $P_{i,h}$  with another point of  $\phi^{-1}(P_{i,h}) \cap X_h$ . Such a point  $Q_{i,h}$  exists because  $\sharp(\phi^{-1}(P_{i,h}) \cap X_h) = d_i$  by the separability of the morphism  $\phi|_{C_i}$  and the generality of the point  $P_i$ . Let  $Y$  be the nodal curve obtained making these gluing. By construction  $X$  is the normalization of  $Y$  and the morphism  $\phi$  factors through a morphism  $\psi : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ . Set  $R := \psi^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1))$ . □

**Remark 2.** In the set-up of Proposition 1 let  $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, s\}$  be the set of all  $i$  such that  $C_i \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ . If  $i \in I$  and  $a_{ii} = 0$ , then we may allow  $d_i = 1$  in the statement of Proposition 1.

**Remark 3.** Take a general  $(Y, R) \in \Phi'$ . Here we show how to compute  $h^0(Y, R)$  in terms of the matrix  $(a_{ij})$ . We recall that  $Y$  is obtained from the curve  $X := \sqcup_{i=1}^s E_i$  making  $\alpha := \sum_{1 < j \leq s} a_{ij}$  gluing and that  $m_i := h^0(E_i, L_i)$ . We order the gluing prescribed by the matrix  $(a_{ij})$  and call  $Y[i]$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq \alpha$ , the curve obtained making the first  $i$  gluing in a general way. Let  $R[i]$  the associated line bundle. Set  $n[i] := h^0(Y[i], R[i])$ . Hence  $Y[0] = X$ ,  $R[0] = L$ ,  $n[0] = \sum_{i=1}^s m_i$ ,  $Y[\alpha] = Y$ ,  $R[\alpha] = R$ . The goal is to compute  $n[\alpha]$ . Fix  $1 \leq i \leq \alpha$  and assume known  $n[i-1]$ . For all integers  $a \in \{1, \dots, s\}$  and  $b \in \{0, \dots, \alpha\}$  let  $F_a[b]$  denote the image of the curve  $E_a$  in the projective space  $|R[b]|$ . Since each  $L_a$  is ample, every  $F_a[b]$  is a curve. Suppose that the  $i$ -th

gluing involves the curve  $E_u$  and  $E_v$ ,  $u \neq v$ . If  $F_u[i - 1]$  and  $F_v[i - 1]$  are the same line, then we set  $n[i] := n[i - 1]$  and the images of  $Y[i - 1]$  and  $Y[i]$  inside  $\mathbb{P}^{n[i]-1}$  are the same. In all other cases we set  $n[i] = n[i - 1] - 1$ . Here the image  $B'$  in  $|R[i]|^*$  of any subcurve  $B$  of  $X[i - 1] \subset |R[i - 1]|^*$  containing both  $F_u[i - 1]$  and  $F_v[i - 1]$  satisfies  $\dim(\langle B' \rangle) = \dim(\langle B \rangle) - 1$ . The curves  $F_u[i]$  and  $F_v[i]$  are the same line if and only if  $\langle F_u[i - 1] \cup F_v[i - 1] \rangle$  is a plane. A curve  $F_a[i]$  is a line if and only if either  $F_a[i - 1]$  is a line or  $F_a[i - 1]$  is a plane curve and a general line intersecting both  $F_u[i - 1]$  and  $F_v[i - 1]$  intersects the plane  $\langle F_a[i - 1] \rangle$ . The latter condition occurs if and only if either  $\langle F_u[i - 1] \cup F_v[i - 1] \rangle$  is a plane intersecting  $\langle F_a[i - 1] \rangle$  at least in a line or  $\langle F_u[i - 1] \cup F_v[i - 1] \rangle$  is a 3-dimensional linear space containing the plane  $\langle F_a[i - 1] \rangle$ .

**Remark 4.** Take a general  $(Y, L) \in \Gamma$ . Let  $E_i$  be the general curve obtained from  $C_i$  making  $a_{[ii]}$  gluing preserving a general 2-dimensional linear subspace of  $H^0(C_i, L_i)$ . Call  $R_i \in \text{Pic}^{d_i}(E_i)$  the degree  $d_i$  spanned line induced by  $L_i$ . It is easy to check that  $h^0(E_i, R_i) = \max\{2, h^0(C_i, L_i) - a_{ii}\}$ . Apply Remark 3 to the element of  $\phi'$  obtained by the pairs  $(E_i, R_i)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq s$ , and the matrix  $(a'_{ij})$  such that  $a'_{ij} = a_{ij}$  if  $i \neq j$ , while  $a'_{ii} = 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq s$ . In our set-up we have  $m_i = \max\{2, h^0(C_i, L_i) - a_{ii}\}$ .

Under certain assumptions we may give a sharp upper bound for  $h^0(Y, R)$  for every element  $(Y, R)$  of  $\Phi$ ,  $\Phi'$  or  $\Gamma$ . In characteristic zero in the next two statements we have  $k_i = d_i$ . In positive characteristic it seems that prescribing the integers  $k_1, \dots, k_s$  is better than prescribing the integers  $d_1, \dots, d_s$ .

**Proposition 2.** Assume that the data of  $\Phi$  are connected. Fix  $(Y, R) \in \Phi$ . Let  $k_i$  denote the separable degree of the morphism  $\phi_{R_i}$  induced by  $|R_i|$ . Assume  $\sum_{j \neq i} a_{ij} \leq k_i$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$ . Then

$$h^0(Y, R) \leq s + 1. \tag{1}$$

There are pairs  $(Y, R)$  for which (1) is an equality.

*Proof.* Since  $Y$  is connected, we may find an ordering  $T_1, \dots, T_s$  of its irreducible components such that each curve  $\cup_{j=1}^i T_j$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$  is connected. Let  $U$  be a reduced projective curve,  $M \in \text{Pic}(U)$ , and  $U_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , proper subcurves of  $U$  such that  $U = U_1 \cup U_2$  and  $U_1 \cap U_2 \neq \emptyset$ . Assume that  $M|_{U_1}$  is spanned at least at one of the points of  $U_1 \cap U_2$ . A Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence gives  $h^0(U, M) \leq h^0(U_1, M|_{U_1}) + h^0(U_2, M|_{U_2}) - 1$ . Thus  $h^0(U, M) \leq h^0(U_1, M|_{U_1}) + 1$  if  $h^0(U_2, M|_{U_2}) = 1$ . The inequality (1) follows applying  $s - 1$  times this observation, each time to a curve  $\cup_{j=1}^i T_j$ ,  $2 \leq i \leq s$ , with  $U_2 := +T_i$  and  $M := R|_{\cup_{j=1}^i T_j}$ .

We may build pairs  $(Y, R)$  for which (1) is an equality in the following way. Fix a general  $Q \in \mathbb{P}^1$ . Hence  $\#(\phi_{R_i}^{-1}(Q)) = k_i$ . Fix any  $\sum_{j \neq i} a_{ij}$  points of  $\phi_{R_i}^{-1}(Q)$  and attach to  $a_{ij}$  of them the label  $j$ . Glue the points of  $E_i$  with label  $j$  with the points of  $E_j$  with label  $i$ . The same Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence gives  $h^0(\cup_{j=1}^i T_j, R | \cup_{j=1}^i T_j) = h^0(\cup_{j=1}^{i-1} T_j, R | \cup_{j=1}^{i-1} T_j) + 1$  for all  $i \in \{2, \dots, s\}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.** *Assume that the data of  $\Gamma$  are connected and that  $m_i = 2$  for all  $i$ . Fix  $(Y, R) \in \Gamma$ . Let  $k_i$  denote the separable degree of the morphism  $\phi_{R_i}$  induced by  $|R_i|$ . Assume  $\sum_{j \neq i} a_{ij} \leq k_i$  and  $k_i \geq 2$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$ . Then the inequality (1) is satisfied. There are pairs  $(Y, R) \in \Gamma$  for which (1) is an equality.*

*Proof.* Since  $h^0(C_i, L_i) = 2$  and  $k_i \geq 2$ , there are gluing of the pair  $(C_i, RL_i)$  for which the associated pair  $(E_i, R_i)$  has the same number of sections and only these gluing are admissible to get the curve  $Y$ . Hence we may apply Proposition 2 to the associated datum of type  $\Phi$ .  $\square$

The connectedness of the data in  $\Phi$  or  $\Gamma$  required in Propositions 2 and 3 is not restrictive, because we may easily decompose any datum in its “connected components”.

### Acknowledgements

The author was partially supported by MIUR and GNSAGA of INdAM (Italy).