

THE GENERALIZED NONLINEAR HEAT EQUATION
AND ITS SPECTRUM

Wanchak Satsanit¹, Amnuay Kananthai^{2 §}

^{1,2}Department of Mathematics
Chiang Mai University
Chiang Mai, 50200, THAILAND
e-mail: aunphue@live.com

Abstract: In this paper, we study the nonlinear equation of the form

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}u(x, t) + c^2(-\otimes)^k u(x, t) = f(x, t, u(x, t)),$$

where \otimes^k is the operator iterated k -times, defined by

$$\otimes^k = \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^p \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} \right)^3 + \left(\sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} \right)^3 \right]^k,$$

where $p + q = n$ is the dimension of the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n , $u(x, t)$ is an unknown for $(x, t) = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty)$, k is a positive integer and c is a positive constant, f is the given function in nonlinear form depending on x, t and $u(x, t)$. On suitable conditions for f, p, q, k and the spectrum, we obtain the unique solution $u(x, t)$ of such equation. Moreover, if we put $q = 0, k = 1$, we obtain the solution of non-linear heat equation.

AMS Subject Classification: 35K05, 42A38

Key Words: diamond operator, ultra-hyperbolic, tempered distribution, Fourier transform

Received: July 28, 2009

© 2009 Academic Publications

[§]Correspondence author

1. Introduction

It is well known that for the heat equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(x, t) = c^2 \Delta u(x, t) \tag{1.1}$$

with the initial condition

$$u(x, 0) = f(x),$$

where $\Delta = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2}$ is the Laplace operator and $(x, t) = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty)$, we obtain

$$u(x, t) = \frac{1}{(4c^2\pi t)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\left(-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4c^2t}\right) f(y) dy \tag{1.2}$$

as the solution of (1.1).

Now, (1.2) can be written $u(x, t) = E(x, t) * f(x)$ where

$$E(x, t) = \frac{1}{(4c^2\pi t)^{n/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|x|^2}{4c^2t}\right). \tag{1.3}$$

$E(x, t)$ is called *the heat kernel*, where $|x|^2 = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_n^2$ and $t > 0$, see [2], pp. 208-209.

In 1996, A. Kananthai [3] has introduced the diamond operator \diamond defined by

$$\diamond = \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2}\right)^2 - \left(\sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2}\right)^2, \quad p + q = n,$$

or \diamond can be written as the product of the operators in the form $\diamond = \Delta \square = \square \Delta$, where $\Delta = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2}$ is the Laplacian and $\square = \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} - \sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2}$ is the ultra-hyperbolic. The Fourier transform of the diamond operator also has been studied and the elementary solution of such operator, see [4].

Next, K. Nonlaopon and A. Kananthai (see [5]) study the equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(x, t) = c^2 \square u(x, t).$$

In this paper, we study the nonlinear equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(x, t) + c^2 (-\otimes)^k u(x, t) = f(x, t, u(x, t)). \tag{1.4}$$

The operator \circledast^k can be expressed in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \circledast^k &= \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^p \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} \right)^3 + \left(\sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} \right)^3 \right]^k \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} + \sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} \right)^k \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^p \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} \right)^2 - \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \cdot \left. \left(\sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} \right) + \left(\sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} \right)^2 \right]^k \\ &= \Delta^k \left(\Delta^2 - \frac{3}{4}(\Delta + \square)(\Delta - \square) \right)^k = \left(\frac{3}{4}\diamond\square + \frac{1}{4}\Delta^3 \right)^k, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta &= \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} + \dots + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_n^2}, \\ \square &= \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} + \dots + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_p^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{p+1}^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{p+2}^2} - \dots - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{p+q}^2}, \\ \diamond &= \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} + \dots + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_p^2} \right)^2 - \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{p+1}^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{p+2}^2} + \dots + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{p+q}^2} \right)^2, \end{aligned}$$

which is in the form of nonlinear heat equation. We consider the equation (1.4) with the following conditions on u and f as follows:

(1) $u(x, t) \in C^{(6k)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for any $t > 0$ where $C^{(6k)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the space of continuous function with $6k$ -derivatives.

(2) f satisfies the Lipchitz condition,

$$|f(x, t, u) - f(x, t, w)| \leq A|u - w|$$

where A is constant with $0 < A < 1$.

(3) $\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x, t, u(x, t))| dx dt < \infty$ for $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $0 < t < \infty$ and $u(x, t)$ is continuous function on $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty)$.

Under such conditions of f and u and for the spectrum of $E(x, t)$, we obtain the convolution

$$u(x, t) = E(x, t) * f(x, t, u(x, t))$$

as a unique solution of (1.4) where $E(x, t)$ is an elementary solution of (1.4).

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. Let $f(x) \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the space of integrable function in \mathbb{R}^n . The Fourier transform of $f(x)$ is defined by

$$\widehat{f}(\xi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-i(\xi,x)} f(x) dx, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n)$, $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $(\xi, x) = \xi_1 x_1 + \xi_2 x_2 + \dots + \xi_n x_n$ is the usual inner product in \mathbb{R}^n and $dx = dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_n$. Also, the inverse of Fourier transform is defined by

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i(\xi,x)} \widehat{f}(\xi) d\xi. \tag{2.2}$$

If f is a distribution with compact supports by [6], Theorem 7.4-3, p. 187 equation (2.1) can be written as

$$\widehat{f}(\xi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \langle f(x), e^{-i(\xi,x)} \rangle. \tag{2.3}$$

Definition 2.2. The spectrum of the kernel $E(x, t)$ defined by (2.6) is the bounded support of the Fourier transform $\widehat{E}(\xi, t)$ for any fixed $t > 0$.

Definition 2.3. Let $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n)$ be a point in \mathbb{R}^n and write

$$u = \xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2 + \dots + \xi_p^2 - \xi_{p+1}^2 - \xi_{p+2}^2 - \dots - \xi_{p+q}^2, \quad p + q = n.$$

Denote by $\Gamma_+ = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : \xi_1 > 0 \text{ and } u > 0\}$ the set of an interior of the forward cone and denote by $\overline{\Gamma}_+$ the closure of Γ_+ . Let Ω be the spectrum of $E(x, t)$ for any fixed $t > 0$ and $\Omega \subset \overline{\Gamma}_+$. Let $\widehat{E}(\xi, t)$ be the Fourier transform of $E(x, t)$ and define

$$\widehat{E}(\xi, t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \exp \left[-c^2 t \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^p \xi_i^2 \right)^3 + \left(\sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} \xi_j^2 \right)^3 \right) \right], & \text{for } \xi \in \Gamma_+, \\ 0, & \text{for } \xi \notin \Gamma_+. \end{cases} \tag{2.4}$$

Lemma 2.1. (The Fourier Transform of $(-\otimes)^k \delta$)

$$\mathcal{F}(-\otimes)^k \delta = \frac{(-1)^{4k}}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \left[(\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2 + \dots + \xi_p^2)^3 + (\xi_{p+1}^2 + \xi_{p+2}^2 + \dots + \xi_{p+q}^2)^3 \right]^k,$$

where \mathcal{F} is the Fourier transform defined by equation (2.1) and if the norm of

ξ is given by $\|\xi\| = (\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2 + \dots + \xi_n^2)^{1/2}$ then

$$\mathcal{F}(-\otimes)^k \delta \leq \frac{3}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \|\xi\|^{6k},$$

that is $\mathcal{F}(-\otimes)^k$ is bounded and continuous on the space \mathcal{S}' of the tempered distribution. Moreover, by equation (2.2)

$$(-\otimes)^k \delta = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \left[(\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2 + \dots + \xi_p^2)^3 + (\xi_{p+1}^2 + \xi_{p+2}^2 + \dots + \xi_{p+q}^2)^3 \right]^k.$$

Proof. By equation (2.3)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(-\otimes)^k \delta &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \left\langle (-\otimes)^k \delta, e^{-i(\xi \cdot x)} \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \left\langle \delta, (-\otimes)^k e^{-i(\xi \cdot x)} \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \left\langle \delta, (-\otimes)^{k-1} (-\otimes) e^{-i(\xi \cdot x)} \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \left\langle \delta, (-\otimes)^{k-1} \left(-\frac{3}{4} \diamond \square - \frac{1}{4} \triangle^3 \right) e^{-i(\xi \cdot x)} \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \left\langle \delta, (-\otimes)^{k-1} \left(-\frac{3}{4} \diamond \square \right) e^{-i(\xi \cdot x)} \right\rangle \\ &+ \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \left\langle \delta, (-\otimes)^{k-1} \left(-\frac{1}{4} \square^3 \right) e^{-i(\xi \cdot x)} \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \left\langle \delta, (-\otimes)^{k-1} \frac{3}{4} (-1)^4 \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^p \xi_i^2 \right)^2 - \left(\sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} \xi_j^2 \right)^2 \right] \right. \\ &\quad \cdot \left. \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \xi_i^2 - \sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} \xi_j^2 \right) e^{-i(\xi \cdot x)} \right\rangle \\ &+ \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \left\langle \delta, (-\otimes)^{k-1} \frac{1}{4} (-1)^4 \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i^2 \right)^3 e^{-i(\xi \cdot x)} \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \left\langle \delta, (-\otimes)^{k-1} \frac{3}{4} (-1)^4 \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^p \xi_i^2 \right)^2 - \left(\sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} \xi_j^2 \right)^2 \right] \right. \\ &\quad \cdot \left. \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \xi_i^2 - \sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} \xi_j^2 \right) + \left(\frac{1}{4} (-1)^4 \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i^2 \right)^3 \right) e^{-i(\xi \cdot x)} \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{(-1)^4}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \left\langle \delta, (-\otimes)^{k-1} \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^p \xi_i^2 \right)^3 + \left(\sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} \xi_j^2 \right)^3 \right) e^{-i(\xi \cdot x)} \right\rangle.$$

By keeping on operator $(-\otimes)$ with $k - 1$ times, we obtain

$$\mathcal{F}(-\otimes)^k \delta = \frac{(-1)^{4k}}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \left[(\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2 + \dots + \xi_p^2)^3 + (\xi_{p+1}^2 + \xi_{p+2}^2 + \dots + \xi_{p+q}^2)^3 \right]^k.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{F}(-\otimes)^k \delta| &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \left| (\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2 + \dots + \xi_p^2)^3 + (\xi_{p+1}^2 + \xi_{p+2}^2 + \dots + \xi_{p+q}^2)^3 \right|^k \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} |\xi_1^2 + \dots + \xi_n^2|^k \left| 3(\xi_1^2 + \dots + \xi_n^2)^2 \right|^k \leq \frac{3}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \|\xi\|^{6k}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\|\xi\| = (\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2 + \dots + \xi_n^2)^{1/2}$, $\xi_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, n) \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence we obtain $\mathcal{F}(-\otimes)^k \delta$ is bounded and continuous on the space \mathcal{S}' of the tempered distribution.

Since \mathcal{F} is 1 - 1 transformation from the space \mathcal{S}' of the tempered distribution to the real space \mathbb{R} , then by (2.2)

$$\otimes \delta = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \left[(\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2 + \dots + \xi_p^2)^3 + (\xi_{p+1}^2 + \xi_{p+2}^2 + \dots + \xi_{p+q}^2)^3 \right].$$

That completes the proof. □

Lemma 2.2. Let L be the operator defined by

$$L = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + c^2(-\otimes)^k, \tag{2.5}$$

where \otimes^k is the operator iterated k -times defined by

$$\otimes^k = \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^p \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} \right)^3 + \left(\sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} \right)^3 \right]^k,$$

$p + q = n$ is the dimension of $\mathbb{R}^n (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n, t \in (0, \infty), k$ is a positive integer and c is the positive constant. Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E(x, t) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \\ &\times \int_{\Omega} \exp \left[-c^2 t \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^p \xi_i^2 \right)^3 + \left(\sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} \xi_j^2 \right)^3 + i(\xi, x) \right) \right] d\xi \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

as the elementary solution of (1.4) in the spectrum $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ for $t > 0$.

Proof. Let $LE(x, t) = \delta(x, t)$ where $E(x, t)$ is the kernel or the elementary solution of the operator L and δ is the Dirac-delta distribution. Thus

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} E(x, t) + c^2(-\otimes)^k E(x, t) = \delta(x)\delta(t)$$

takes the Fourier transform defined by (2.1) to both sides of the equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \widehat{E(\xi, t)} + c^2 \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^p \xi_i^2 \right)^3 + \left(\sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} \xi_j^2 \right)^3 \right] \widehat{E(\xi, t)} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \delta(t).$$

Thus

$$\widehat{E(\xi, t)} = \frac{H(t)}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \exp \left[-c^2 t \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^p \xi_i^2 \right)^3 + \left(\sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} \xi_j^2 \right)^3 \right) \right],$$

where $H(t)$ is the Heaviside function. Since $H(t) = 1$ for $t > 0$,

$$\widehat{E(\xi, t)} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \exp \left[-c^2 t \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^p \xi_i^2 \right)^3 + \left(\sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} \xi_j^2 \right)^3 \right) \right],$$

so we have

$$E(\xi, t) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i(\xi, x)} \widehat{E(\xi, t)} d\xi.$$

By (2.3),

$$E(\xi, t) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\Omega} e^{i(\xi, x)} \widehat{E(\xi, t)} d\xi,$$

where Ω is the spectrum of $E(x, t)$. Thus

$$E(x, t) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\Omega} \exp \left[-c^2 t \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^p \xi_i^2 \right)^3 + \left(\sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} \xi_j^2 \right)^3 \right) + i(\xi, x) \right] d\xi.$$

for $t > 0$. □

Definition 2.4. We can extend $E(x, t)$ to $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ by setting

$$E(x, t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\Omega} \exp \left[-c^2 t \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^p \xi_i^2 \right)^3 + \left(\sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} \xi_j^2 \right)^3 \right) + i(\xi, x) \right] d\xi, & \text{for } t > 0, \\ 0, & \text{for } t \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 2.3. (The Properties of $E(x, t)$) *The kernel $E(x, t)$ defined by (2.6) have the following properties:*

(1) $E(x, t) \in C^\infty$ is the space of continuous function for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > 0$ with infinitely differentiable.

(2) $(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + c^2(-\otimes)^k) E(x, t) = 0$ for $t > 0$.

(3) $|E(x, t)| \leq \frac{2^{2-n}}{\pi^{n/2}} \frac{M(t)}{\Gamma(p/2)\Gamma(q/2)}$ for $t > 0$ where $M(t)$ is a function of t in the spectrum and Γ denote the Gamma function. Thus $E(x, t)$ is bounded for any fixed $t > 0$.

(4) $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} E(x, t) = \delta$.

Proof. (1) From (2.6)

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial^n}{\partial x^n} E(x, t) \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial^n}{\partial x^n} \exp \left[-c^2 t \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^p \xi_i^2 \right)^3 + \left(\sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} \xi_j^2 \right)^3 \right) + i(\xi, x) \right] d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $E(x, t) \in C^\infty$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > 0$.

(2) By computing directly, we obtain

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + c^2(-\otimes)^k \right) E(x, t) = 0.$$

(3) We have

$$E(x, t) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\Omega} \exp \left[-c^2 t \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^p \xi_i^2 \right)^3 + \left(\sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} \xi_j^2 \right)^3 \right) + i(\xi, x) \right] d\xi.$$

Thus

$$|E(x, t)| \leq \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\Omega} \exp \left[-c^2 t \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^p \xi_i^2 \right)^3 + \left(\sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} \xi_j^2 \right)^3 \right) \right] d\xi.$$

By changing to bipolar coordinates $\xi_1 = rw_1, \xi_2 = rw_2, \dots, \xi_p = rw_p$ and $\xi_{p+1} = sw_{p+1}, \xi_{p+2} = sw_{p+2}, \dots, \xi_{p+q} = sw_{p+q}$, where

$$\sum_{i=1}^p w_i^2 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} w_j^2 = 1.$$

Thus

$$|E(x, t)| \leq \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\Omega} \exp \left[-c^2 t (r^6 + s^6)^k \right] r^{p-1} s^{q-1} dr ds d\Omega_p d\Omega_q,$$

where $d\xi = r^{p-1}s^{q-1}drdsd\Omega_p d\Omega_q$, $d\Omega_p$ and $d\Omega_q$ are the elements of surface area of the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^p and \mathbb{R}^q respectively. Since $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is the spectrum of $E(x, t)$ and suppose $0 \leq r \leq R$ and $0 \leq s \leq L$, where R and L are constants. Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |E(x, t)| &\leq \frac{\Omega_p \Omega_q}{(2\pi)^n} \int_0^R \int_0^L \exp[-c^2 t (r^6 + s^6)^k] r^{p-1} s^{q-1} dr ds \\ &= \frac{\Omega_p \Omega_q}{(2\pi)^n} M(t) \quad \text{for any fixed } t > 0 \text{ in the spectrum } \Omega \\ &= \frac{2^{2-n}}{\pi^{n/2}} \frac{M(t)}{\Gamma(p/2)\Gamma(q/2)}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

where $M(t) = \int_0^R \int_0^L \exp[-c^2 t (r^6 + s^6)^k] r^{p-1} s^{q-1} dr ds$ is a function for $t > 0$, $\Omega_p = \frac{2\pi^{p/2}}{\Gamma(p/2)}$ and $\Omega_q = \frac{2\pi^{q/2}}{\Gamma(q/2)}$. Thus for any fixed $t > 0$, $E(x, t)$ is bounded.

(4) From (2.5),

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} E(x, t) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\Omega} e^{i(\xi, x)} d\xi = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i(\xi, x)} d\xi = \delta(x),$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, see [1], p. 396, equation (10.2.19b). □

3. Main Results

Theorem 3.1. *Given the nonlinear equation*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(x, t) + c^2 (-\otimes)^k u(x, t) = f(x, t, u(x, t)) \tag{3.1}$$

for $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty)$, k is a positive number and with the following conditions on u and f as follows:

(1) $u(x, t) \in C^{(6k)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for any $t > 0$, where $C^{(6k)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the space of continuous function with $6k$ -derivative.

(2) f satisfies the Lipchitz condition,

$$|f(x, t, u) - f(x, t, w)| \leq A|u - w|,$$

where A is constant with $0 < A < 1$.

(3) $\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x, t, u(x, t))| dx dt < \infty$ for $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $0 < t < \infty$ and $u(x, t)$ is continuous function on $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty)$.

Then obtain the convolution

$$u(x, t) = E(x, t) * f(x, t, u(x, t)) \tag{3.2}$$

as a unique solution of (3.1) for $x \in \Omega$, where Ω is a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^n and $0 \leq t \leq T$ with T is constant and $E(x, t)$ is an elementary solution defined by (2.6) and also $u(x, t)$ is bounded for any fixed $t > 0$. In particular, if we put $k = 1$ and $q = 0$ in (3.1), then (3.1) reduces to the nonlinear heat equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(x, t) - c^2 \Delta^3 u(x, t) = f(x, t, u(x, t))$$

which is relate to the heat equation.

Proof. Convolving both sides of (3.1) with $E(x, t)$, that is

$$E(x, t) * \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(x, t) + c^2 (-\otimes)^k u(x, t) \right] = E(x, t) * f(x, t, u(x, t))$$

or

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} E(x, t) + c^2 (-\otimes)^k E(x, t) \right] * u(x, t) = E(x, t) * f(x, t, u(x, t)),$$

so

$$\delta(x, t) * u(x, t) = E(x, t) * f(x, t, u(x, t)).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, t) &= E(x, t) * f(x, t, u(x, t)) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} E(r, s) f(x - r, t - s, u(x - r, t - s)) dr ds, \end{aligned}$$

where $E(r, s)$ is given by definition (2.5). We next show that $u(x, t)$ is bounded on $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} |u(x, t)| &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |E(r, s)| |f(x - r, t - s, u(x - r, t - s))| dr ds \\ &\leq \frac{2^{2-n} N M(t)}{\pi^{n/2} \Gamma(p/2) \Gamma(q/2)} \quad \text{by condition (3) and (2.6),} \end{aligned}$$

where $N = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x - r, t - s, u(x - r, t - s))| dr ds$. Thus $u(x, t)$ is bounded on $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty)$. To show that $u(x, t)$ is unique. Next we show that $u(x, t)$ is unique. Let $w(x, t)$ be another solution of (3.1), then

$$w(x, t) = E(x, t) * f(x, t, w(x, t))$$

for $(x, t) \in \Omega_0 \times (0, T]$ the compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty)$ and $E(x, t)$ is defined by (2.6).

Now, define $\|u(x, t)\| = \sup_{\substack{x \in \Omega_0 \\ 0 < t \leq T}} |u(x, t)|$.

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |u(x, t) - w(x, t)| &= |E(x, t) * f(x, t, u(x, t)) - E(x, t) * f(x, t, w(x, t))| \\
 &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |E(r, s)| \cdot |f(x - r, t - s, u(x - r, t - s)) \\
 &\quad - f(x - r, t - s, w(x - r, t - s))| dr ds \\
 &\leq A|E(r, s)| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(x - r, t - s) - w(x - r, t - s)| dr ds
 \end{aligned}$$

by (2.6) and the condition (2) of the theorem. Now, for $(x, t) \in \Omega_0 \times (0, T]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |u - w| &\leq A|E(r, s)| \|u - w\| \int_0^T ds \int_{\Omega_0} dr \\
 &= A|E(r, s)| TV(\Omega_0) \|u - w\|,
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

where $V(\Omega_0)$ is the volume of the surface on Ω_0 .

Choose $A|E(r, s)|TV(\Omega_0) \leq 1$ or $A \leq \frac{1}{|E(r,s)|TV(\Omega_0)}$. Thus from (3.3),

$$\|u - w\| \leq \alpha \|u - w\|, \quad \text{where } \alpha = A|E(r, s)|TV(\Omega_0) \leq 1.$$

It follows that $\|u - w\| = 0$, thus $u = w$. That is the solution u of (3.1) is unique. In particular, if we put $k = 1$ and $q = 0$ in (3.1), then (3.1) reduces to the nonlinear heat equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(x, t) - c^2 \Delta^3 u(x, t) = f(x, t, u(x, t))$$

which has solution

$$u(x, t) = E(x, t) * f(x, t, u(x, t)),$$

where $E(x, t)$ is defined by (2.6) with $k = 1$ and $q = 0$. □

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank The Thailand Research Fund for financial support.

References

- [1] R. Haberman, *Elementary Applied Partial Differential Equations*, Second Edition, Prentice-Hall International (1983).
- [2] F. John, *Partial Differential Equations*, 4-th Edition, Springer-Verlag, New York (1982).

- [3] A. Kananthai, On the solution of the n -dimensional diamond operator, *Applied Mathematics and Computational*, **88** (1997), 27-37.
- [4] A. Kananthai, On the Fourier transform of the diamond kernel of Marcel Riesz, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, **101** (1999), 151-158.
- [5] K. Nonlaopon, A. Kananthai, On the ultra-hyperbolic heat kernel, *Applied Mathematics*, **13**, No. 2 (2003), 215-225.
- [6] A.H. Zemanian, *Distribution Theory and Transform Analysis*, McGraw-Hill, New York (1965).