

ON THE CORRELATIONS, SELBERG INTEGRAL
AND SYMMETRY OF SIEVE FUNCTIONS
IN SHORT INTERVALS, II

Giovanni Coppola

¹Dipartimento di Ingegneria dell'Informazione e
Matematica Applicata – DIIMA
Università degli Studi di Salerno
Fisciano, Salerno, 84084, ITALY
e-mail: gcoppola@diima.unisa.it, giocop@interfree.it

Abstract: We give estimates for the correlations, the Selberg integral and the symmetry integral of divisor sums.

AMS Subject Classification: 11N37, 11N25

Key Words: short interval, Selberg integral

1. Introduction and Statement of the Results

We pursue our study of arithmetical functions f in short intervals, started in Coppola [1]; in particular, here we will confine ourselves with the case of “divisor sums” in specified ranges (all can be reduced to dyadic ranges):

$$f(n) = d_{A,B}(n) \stackrel{def}{=} \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ A < d \leq B}} 1,$$

where we may assume $A, B \in \mathbb{N}$ and $A < B$. Also, we will set $d_Q(n) \stackrel{def}{=} \sum_{d|n, d \leq Q} 1$ (instead of $d_{0,Q}$). In the sequel, we abbreviate $L \stackrel{def}{=} \log N$, where $N \rightarrow \infty$. In summations, $m \sim X$ is for dyadic $X < m \leq 2X$.

Definition. The (auto-)correlation of the divisor sum $d_{Q,2Q}$ is

$$\mathfrak{C}_Q(a) \stackrel{def}{=} \sum_{n \sim N} d_{Q,2Q}(n)d_{Q,2Q}(n-a).$$

We state, now, our main result for these correlations. (Hereon $(a,b) = 1$ means a, b are coprime.)

Theorem 1. Fix $\delta > 0$ small. Assume $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a \neq 0$, $|a| = o(N)$ and $Q^2 \gg |a|N^{1-2\delta/3}$, $Q = o(N^{1-\delta})$, when $N \rightarrow \infty$. Then $\forall \varepsilon > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{C}_Q(a) = N \sum_{\ell|a} \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{q \sim \frac{Q}{\ell}} \frac{1}{q} \sum_{\substack{d \sim \frac{Q}{\ell} \\ (d,q)=1}} \frac{1}{d} + \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon(N^\varepsilon(Q + |a|)) \\ + \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon\left(N^\varepsilon(Q^{3/2}N^\delta + Q^3N^{\delta-1} + N^{1-\delta})\right). \end{aligned}$$

Definition. We will call $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ “essentially bounded” $\stackrel{def}{\iff} \forall \varepsilon > 0$ $|g(n)| \ll_\varepsilon n^\varepsilon$ (esp., $d_{A,B}$ is such $\forall A, B$), writing

$$F \lll G \stackrel{def}{\iff} \forall \varepsilon > 0 \quad F \ll_\varepsilon N^\varepsilon G, \quad \text{when } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

An immediate consequence (hence, no explicit proof) of this first Theorem is the following

Corollary 1. Fix $\delta > 0$ small. Assume $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a \neq 0$, $|a| = o(N^{1-2\delta})$ and $\sqrt{|a|}N^{1-\delta/2} \ll Q \ll N^{2/3-2\delta}$, when $N \rightarrow \infty$. Then

$$\left| \mathfrak{C}_Q(a) - N \sum_{\ell|a} \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{q \sim \frac{Q}{\ell}} \frac{1}{q} \sum_{\substack{d \sim \frac{Q}{\ell} \\ (d,q)=1}} \frac{1}{d} \right| \lll N^{1-\delta}.$$

Remark. This estimate is uniform in a with $0 < |a| = o(N^{1-\delta})$.

It is clear that, from these asymptotic formulæ, we can get the correlations of more general divisor sums, namely $d_{A,B}$, provided that A has the right lower bound and B the right upper one; however, we will refrain from doing this, due to the technical complications involved.

Instead, we will study their consequences as regards the (“dyadic”) symmetry integral of $d_{Q,2Q}$, i.e.

$$I_Q(N, h) \stackrel{def}{=} \int_N^{2N} \left| \sum_{|n-x| \leq h} \text{sgn}(n-x) \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d \sim Q}} 1 \right|^2 dx$$

and the (“dyadic”) Selberg integral of $d_{Q,2Q}$, i.e.

$$J_Q(N, h) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_N^{2N} \left| \sum_{0 < |n-x| \leq h} \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d \sim Q}} 1 - M_Q(2h) \right|^2 dx,$$

where

$$M_Q(2h) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 2h \sum_{d \sim Q} \frac{1}{d} = 2h \left(\log 2 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{Q}\right) \right)$$

is the “mean-value” of $d_{Q,2Q}(n)$ in the short interval $[x - h, x + h]$. Hereon the integer part of $r \in \mathbb{R}$ will be indicated (as no confusion arises with the intervals notation), as usual, $[r] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \max_{n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \leq r} n$.

From our previous result about (single) correlations, we obtain (averaging with suitable weights):

Theorem 2. Fix $\delta > 0$ small and $\theta < \frac{1}{2}$. Let $h = [N^\theta]$, with $h \rightarrow \infty$ if $N \rightarrow \infty$. Assume that $Q^2 \gg hN^{1-2\delta/3}$, $Q = o(N^{1-\delta})$. Then

$$I_Q(N, h) \llll N h + Q h^2 + (Q^{3/2} N^\delta + Q^3 N^{\delta-1} + N^{1-\delta}) h^2,$$

$$J_Q(N, h) \llll N h + Q h^2 + (Q^{3/2} N^\delta + Q^3 N^{\delta-1} + N^{1-\delta}) h^2.$$

Also in this case, we have immediately the

Corollary 2. Fix $\delta > 0$ small and $\theta < \frac{1}{2}$. Let $h = [N^\theta]$, with $h \rightarrow \infty$ if $N \rightarrow \infty$. Assume that $\sqrt{hN^{1-\delta/2}} \ll Q \ll N^{2/3-2\delta}$, when $N \rightarrow \infty$. Then $I_Q(N, h) \llll N h^2 N^{-\delta}$, $J_Q(N, h) \llll N h^2 N^{-\delta}$.

These estimates are the same for both the Selberg and the symmetry integral, like in Coppola [1] results; by the way, these cover the “low range”, i.e. $Q \ll \sqrt{hN^{1-\delta/2}}$, since this is the case of $\lambda < \frac{1+\theta}{2}$, compare the Corollary in Coppola [1].

For the “high range”, $Q \gg N^{2/3-2\delta}$, we cannot follow the same lines, applying proof of Theorem 1 (actually, Theorem 2 follows from this) the Weil bound for Kloosterman sums (actually, no wonder: in the literature this method’s limit is well known: the exponent 2/3, here). This limitation for both Theorems 1 and 2 is structural, since we want an individual asymptotic estimate for the correlations. Actually, for h large enough (i.e., intervals $[x - h, x + h]$ not too short!), the (elementary) methods of Coppola [1] are capable of producing non-trivial bounds beyond this limitation, exploiting the fact that any estimate for the two integrals is “easier”, in some sense, than estimating correlations individually.

However, for the symmetry integral we may follow an elementary approach

(“flipping” the divisors) in

Theorem 3. Fix $\theta < \frac{1}{2}$. Let $h = [N^\theta]$ and $h \rightarrow \infty$ if $N \rightarrow \infty$. Then, uniformly $\forall D \in \mathbb{N}$, $I_D(N, h) \lll Nh$.

Applying (to the inner d -sum) a dissection into dyadic intervals (they are $\mathcal{O}(L)$, with $D \ll Q$)

$$\begin{aligned} I_{d_Q}(N, h) &\stackrel{def}{=} \int_N^{2N} \left| \sum_{|n-x| \leq h} \text{sgn}(n-x) d_Q(n) \right|^2 dx \\ &= \int_N^{2N} \left| \sum_{|n-x| \leq h} \text{sgn}(n-x) \sum_{d|n, d \leq Q} 1 \right|^2 dx \end{aligned}$$

may be estimated (through Theorem 3) uniformly $\forall Q \in \mathbb{N}$ as into our

Corollary 3. Fix $\theta < \frac{1}{2}$. Let $h = [N^\theta]$, with $h \rightarrow \infty$ if $N \rightarrow \infty$. Then, uniformly $\forall Q \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$I_{d_Q}(N, h) \lll Nh.$$

(This result is used in Coppola [2], even if there the integral is from h to N ; the adaption to that case is immediate.)

In the same way, we get the uniform estimate $I_{A,B}(N, h) \lll Nh$, in all the ranges $[A, B]$, for the symmetry integral $I_{A,B}(N, h)$ of the divisor sums $d_{A,B}(n)$, here (of course, in the same hypotheses).

Unfortunately, this is possible nor for the single correlations, neither for the Selberg integral: they both have “main terms” that have a bad behaviour, when applying the flipping of the divisors!

The paper is organized as follows:

- in next section we state and prove the Lemmas;
- in section 3 we prove our Theorems.

2. Statements and Proofs of the Lemmas

We start from a sort of “flipping” for the symmetry sums of $d_{A,B}$:

$$S_{A,B}^\pm(x) \stackrel{def}{=} \sum_{|n-x| \leq h} \text{sgn}(n-x) \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ A < d \leq B}} 1 = \sum_{A < d \leq B} \chi_d(x),$$

where, say,

$$\chi_q(x) \stackrel{def}{=} \sum_{\left| m - \frac{x}{q} \right| \leq \frac{h}{q}} \operatorname{sgn} \left(m - \frac{x}{q} \right)$$

are “character-like” functions, which values are $-1, 0, 1$ and are q -periodic (but not multiplicative; actually, they vanish whenever $\left[\frac{x-h}{q}, \frac{x+h}{q} \right] \cap \mathbb{N} = \emptyset$, here).

We “flip” the divisors d in $S_{A,B}^\pm$ as follows.

Lemma A. *Let $N, h \in \mathbb{N}$ with $h \rightarrow \infty$ and $h = o(N)$ when $N \rightarrow \infty$. Assume $A, B \in \mathbb{R}$, $A < B$ and $A, B \rightarrow \infty$ if $N \rightarrow \infty$. Then, uniformly $\forall x \in [N, 2N]$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{B}{A} &> 1 + \frac{2h}{N-h} \\ \Rightarrow S_{A,B}^\pm(x) &= \sum_{\frac{x}{B} < q \leq \frac{x}{A}} \chi_q(x) + \mathcal{O} \left(h \left(\frac{B}{N} + \frac{1}{h} + \frac{1}{A} + \frac{h}{N} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Of course, we assume $A, B \in \mathbb{N}$ (gives same error-terms):

$$\begin{aligned} S_{A,B}^\pm(x) &= \sum_{\substack{m, A < d \leq B \\ |md-x| \leq h}} \operatorname{sgn}(md-x) = \sum_{\frac{x-h}{B} \leq m < \frac{x+h}{A}} \sum_{\substack{|d-\frac{x}{m}| \leq \frac{h}{m} \\ A < d \leq B}} \operatorname{sgn} \left(d - \frac{x}{m} \right) \\ &= \Sigma(B) + \sum_{\frac{x+h}{B} < m < \frac{x-h}{A}} \chi_m(x) + \Sigma(A), \end{aligned}$$

(using $\frac{B}{A} > 1 + \frac{2h}{N-h}$ to get $\frac{x+h}{B} < \frac{x-h}{A}$ uniformly $\forall x \in [N, 2N]$), where, say,

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma(B) &\stackrel{def}{=} \sum_{\frac{x-h}{B} \leq m \leq \frac{x+h}{B}} \sum_{\substack{|d-\frac{x}{m}| \leq \frac{h}{m} \\ A < d \leq B}} \operatorname{sgn} \left(d - \frac{x}{m} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\frac{x-h}{B} \leq m \leq \frac{x+h}{B}} \sum_{\frac{x-h}{m} < d \leq B} \operatorname{sgn} \left(d - \frac{x}{m} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma(A) &\stackrel{def}{=} \sum_{\frac{x-h}{A} \leq m < \frac{x+h}{A}} \sum_{\substack{|d-\frac{x}{m}| \leq \frac{h}{m} \\ A < d \leq B}} \operatorname{sgn} \left(d - \frac{x}{m} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\frac{x-h}{A} \leq m < \frac{x+h}{A}} \sum_{A < d \leq \frac{x+h}{m}} \operatorname{sgn} \left(d - \frac{x}{m} \right). \end{aligned}$$

However (and this will be useful in the sequel)

$$S_{A,B}^\pm(x) = \sum_{\frac{x}{B} < q \leq \frac{x}{A}} \chi_q(x) + \Sigma(A) + \Sigma(B) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{h}{A} + 1\right),$$

which we will now use together with the estimates

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma(A) &\ll \sum_{\frac{x-h}{A} \leq m \leq \frac{x+h}{A}} \left(\frac{h}{m} + 1\right) \ll \frac{Ah}{N} \left(\frac{h}{A} + 1\right) + \left(\frac{h}{A} + 1\right) \\ &\ll h \left(\frac{h}{N} + \frac{A}{N} + \frac{1}{A} + \frac{1}{h}\right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma(B) &\ll \sum_{\frac{x-h}{B} \leq m \leq \frac{x+h}{B}} \left(\frac{h}{m} + 1\right) \ll \frac{Bh}{N} \left(\frac{h}{B} + 1\right) + \left(\frac{h}{B} + 1\right) \\ &\ll h \left(\frac{h}{N} + \frac{B}{N} + \frac{1}{B} + \frac{1}{h}\right). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Define $\bar{d}(\text{mod } q)$, the *reciprocal residue* of $d(\text{mod } q)$, $\forall (d, q) = 1$, as $\bar{d}d \equiv 1(\text{mod } q)$ and, $\forall a \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a \neq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} R_Q(|a|) &= R(|a|, g, Q, N) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} - \sum_{\substack{\ell|a \\ b:=\frac{|a|}{\ell}}} \sum_{q \sim \frac{Q}{\ell}} g(\ell q) \sum_{\substack{d \sim \frac{Q}{\ell} \\ (d,q)=1}} g(\ell d) \\ &\times \left(B_1\left(\frac{[2N/\ell d] - \bar{d}b}{q}\right) - B_1\left(\frac{[N/\ell d] - \bar{d}b}{q}\right) \right) - \sum_{\substack{\ell|a \\ b:=\frac{|a|}{\ell}}} \sum_{q \sim \frac{Q}{\ell}} g(\ell q) \sum_{\substack{d \sim \frac{Q}{\ell} \\ (d,q)=1}} g(\ell d) \\ &\times \left(B_1\left(\frac{[2N/\ell d] + \bar{d}b}{q}\right) - B_1\left(\frac{[N/\ell d] + \bar{d}b}{q}\right) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where we recall the *first Bernoulli function* (1-periodicized of the 1-st Bernoulli polynomial) definition :

$$B_1(\alpha) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\alpha\} - 1/2, \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$$

(here $\{\alpha\} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \alpha - [\alpha]$ is the *fractional part* of $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$), $B_1 = 0$ on \mathbb{Z} . Hereon we use $e(\beta) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} e^{2\pi i \beta}$ and

$$\|\alpha\| \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \min_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\alpha - n|,$$

the *distance from the integers*.

In what follows we will explicitly avoid the case $Q \ll |a|$ (assuming $\frac{Q}{\ell} \gg 1$)

in following proof of Lemma), since

$$a \neq 0, \quad Q \ll |a|, \quad g \lll 1 \Rightarrow R_Q(|a|) \lll \sum_{\ell|a} \frac{a^2}{\ell^2} \lll a^2$$

can be considered a very good bound (recall we will use it when $|a| \ll h$, with $\theta < 1/2$, giving $R_Q(|a|) \ll N^{1-\delta}$).

However, this will be not explicitly remarked in our

Lemma B. Fix $\delta > 0$ enough small (say $\delta < \frac{1}{100}$). Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a \neq 0$, $|a| = o(N)$; assume $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ supported into $]Q, 2Q]$, where $Q \in \mathbb{N}$ with $Q^2 \gg |a|N^{1-2\delta/3}$ and $Q = o(N^{1-\delta})$ when $N \rightarrow \infty$. Also, assume that g is essentially bounded. Then $\forall \varepsilon > 0$

$$R_Q(|a|) = 2 \sum_{\substack{\ell|a \\ b:=\frac{|a|}{\ell}}} \sum_{q \sim \frac{Q}{\ell}} \frac{g(\ell q)}{q} \sum_{\substack{d \sim \frac{Q}{\ell} \\ (d,q)=1}} g(\ell d) \sum_{t|q} \sum_{\substack{j \leq \frac{tJ}{q} \\ (j,t)=1}} \cot \frac{\pi j}{t} \\ \times \left(\sin \frac{2\pi[2N/\ell d]j}{t} - \sin \frac{2\pi[N/\ell d]j}{t} \right) \cos \frac{2\pi j \bar{d} b}{t} + \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon \left(N^{1-\delta+\varepsilon} \right),$$

where $J = J(\ell, d, q, \delta, N) \stackrel{def}{=} \lfloor \ell d q / N^{1-\delta} \rfloor$ (see that $J \rightarrow \infty$ and $J = o(Q/\ell)$ from our assumptions for Q).

Proof. The finite Fourier expansion (see, esp., Lemma 3 in the file example on my webpage):

$$B_1 \left(\frac{n}{q} \right) = -\frac{1}{q} \sum_{j \leq \frac{q}{2}} \cot \frac{\pi j}{q} \sin \frac{2\pi j n}{q} \quad \forall q \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

(see that, whenever $q = 1$, the sum is empty and in fact $B_1 = 0$) gives (now on $b = a/\ell$ in the sums)

$$R_Q(|a|) = 2 \sum_{\ell|a} \sum_{q \sim \frac{Q}{\ell}} \frac{g(\ell q)}{q} \sum_{\substack{d \sim \frac{Q}{\ell} \\ (d,q)=1}} g(\ell d) \sum_{j \leq \frac{q}{2}} F \left(\frac{j}{q} \right),$$

with $F \left(\frac{j}{q} \right) = F_{\bar{d}, N/\ell d} \left(\frac{j}{q} \right)$ defined as $F_{\bar{d}, N/\ell d} \left(\frac{j}{q} \right) \stackrel{def}{=} \cot \frac{\pi j}{q}$

$$\stackrel{def}{=} \cot \frac{\pi j}{q} \left(\sin \frac{2\pi[2N/\ell d]j}{q} - \sin \frac{2\pi[N/\ell d]j}{q} \right) \cos \frac{2\pi j \bar{d} b}{q}$$

Then, split the sum over j at $J = \lfloor \ell d q / N^{1-\delta} \rfloor$ and use the fact that F

depends only on j/q :

$$\sum_{j \leq \frac{q}{2}} F\left(\frac{j}{q}\right) = \sum_{j \leq J} F\left(\frac{j}{q}\right) + \sum_{J < j \leq \frac{q}{2}} F\left(\frac{j}{q}\right)$$

and

$$\sum_{j \leq J} F\left(\frac{j}{q}\right) = \sum_{t|q} \sum_{\substack{j' \leq \frac{J}{t} \\ (j', \frac{q}{t})=1}} F\left(\frac{j'}{q/t}\right) = \sum_{t|q} \sum_{\substack{j \leq \frac{tJ}{q} \\ (j,t)=1}} F\left(\frac{j}{t}\right)$$

(where we *flipped* the divisors t into q/t), with non-empty sum on $j > J$, due to our hypotheses.

Applying *partial summation* (Tenenbaum [7]) to $\sum_n e(n\alpha) \ll \frac{1}{\|\alpha\|}$ (Davenport [5, Chapter 26]) for the exponentials $e_q(\theta) \stackrel{def}{=} e(\theta/q), \forall \theta \in \mathbb{R}$ (Vinogradov [8]):

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{J < j \leq \frac{q}{2}} F\left(\frac{j}{q}\right) \\ & \ll q \int_J^{\frac{q}{2}} \left| \sum_{J < j \leq v} e_q(j([2N/\ell d] \pm \bar{d}b)) \right| + \left| \sum_{J < j \leq v} e_q(j([N/\ell d] \pm \bar{d}b)) \right| \frac{dv}{v^2} \\ & \ll \frac{q}{J} \left(\frac{1}{\left\| \frac{[2N/\ell d] \pm \bar{d}b}{q} \right\|} + \frac{1}{\left\| \frac{[N/\ell d] \pm \bar{d}b}{q} \right\|} \right), \end{aligned}$$

whenever none of these four distances from the integers vanish, i.e. $q \nmid d \left[\frac{cN}{\ell d} \right] \pm b \forall c = 1, 2$, while

$$q \left| d \left[\frac{cN}{\ell d} \right] \pm b \right| \Rightarrow \sum_{J < j \leq \frac{q}{2}} F\left(\frac{j}{q}\right) \llll q,$$

from the trivial

$$\sum_{J < j \leq v} e(j\alpha) \ll v, \quad \forall v \in [J, q/2].$$

Thus, it remains to estimate, say,

$$\Sigma = \Sigma(|a|, g, J) \stackrel{def}{=} 2 \sum_{\ell|a} \sum_{q \sim \frac{Q}{\ell}} \frac{g(\ell q)}{q} \sum_{\substack{d \sim \frac{Q}{\ell} \\ (d,q)=1}} g(\ell d) \sum_{J < j \leq \frac{q}{2}} F\left(\frac{j}{q}\right) \llll$$

$$\llll \frac{N^{1-\delta}}{Q^2} \sum_{\ell|a} \ell \sum_{\substack{d, q \sim \frac{Q}{\ell} \\ d[\frac{cN}{\ell d}] \neq \pm b(q)}} \frac{1}{\left\| \frac{[cN/\ell d] \mp \bar{d}b}{q} \right\|} + \sum_{\ell|a} \sum_{\substack{d, q \sim \frac{Q}{\ell} \\ q|d[\frac{cN}{\ell d}] \pm b}} 1$$

where we mean, with this right-hand-side, the more complicate expression

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{N^{1-\delta}}{Q^2} \sum_{\ell|a} \ell \left(\sum_{\substack{d, q \sim \frac{Q}{\ell} \\ d[\frac{2N}{\ell d}] \neq b(q)}} \frac{1}{\left\| \frac{[\frac{2N}{\ell d}] - \bar{d}b}{q} \right\|} + \sum_{\substack{d, q \sim \frac{Q}{\ell} \\ d[\frac{2N}{\ell d}] \neq -b(q)}} \frac{1}{\left\| \frac{[\frac{2N}{\ell d}] + \bar{d}b}{q} \right\|} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{\substack{d, q \sim \frac{Q}{\ell} \\ d[\frac{N}{\ell d}] \neq b(q)}} \frac{1}{\left\| \frac{[\frac{N}{\ell d}] - \bar{d}b}{q} \right\|} + \sum_{\substack{d, q \sim \frac{Q}{\ell} \\ d[\frac{N}{\ell d}] \neq -b(q)}} \frac{1}{\left\| \frac{[\frac{N}{\ell d}] + \bar{d}b}{q} \right\|} \right) \\ & \quad + \sum_{\ell|a} \sum_{d \sim \frac{Q}{\ell}} \left(\sum_{q|d[\frac{2N}{\ell d}] - b} 1 + \sum_{q|d[\frac{2N}{\ell d}] + b} 1 + \sum_{q|d[\frac{N}{\ell d}] - b} 1 + \sum_{q|d[\frac{N}{\ell d}] + b} 1 \right) \end{aligned}$$

and (obviously) we pursue that abbreviation; from the above, using: $d[cN/\ell d] \pm b = 0 \Rightarrow d|b$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma \llll \frac{N^{1-\delta}}{Q^2} \sum_{\ell|a} \ell \sum_{q \sim \frac{Q}{\ell}} \sum_{0 < |r| \leq \frac{q}{2}} \frac{q}{|r|} \sum_{\substack{d \sim \frac{Q}{\ell} \\ d[cN/\ell d] \pm b \equiv rd(q)}} 1 \\ \quad + \sum_{\ell|a} \left(\sum_{\substack{d \sim \frac{Q}{\ell} \\ d|b}} \sum_{q|d[\frac{cN}{\ell d}] \pm b} 1 + \sum_{d|b} \frac{Q}{\ell} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and, finally, $d[cN/\ell d] \pm b = rd \Rightarrow d|b$ (whatever is the integer $r \neq 0$) and $n \neq 0 \Rightarrow \sum_{m|n} 1 \llll 1$ give

$$\Sigma \llll \frac{N^{1-\delta}}{Q^2} \sum_{\ell|a} \ell \left(\sum_{d \sim \frac{Q}{\ell}, d|b} \frac{Q}{\ell} + \sum_{d|b} \left(\frac{Q}{\ell} \right)^2 \right) + Q \llll N^{1-\delta}. \quad \square$$

Lemma C. Let $h \rightarrow \infty$ and $h = o(N)$ when $N \rightarrow \infty$. Assume that $1 \leq A < B$ are real numbers depending eventually on N and h (say, $A \rightarrow \infty$

and $B \ll N$). Then

$$\int_N^{2N} \left| \sum_{\frac{x}{B} < q \leq \frac{x}{A}} \chi_q(x) \right|^2 dx \ll NhL^4 + NhL^5 \left(\frac{N}{A^2} \right).$$

Proof. We recall (see Coppola et al [3])

$$\chi_q(x) = \sum_{\substack{d|q \\ d>1}} \frac{d}{q} \sum_{j \leq d}^* c_{j,d} e_d(jx), \text{ with } \sum_{j \leq d}^* |c_{j,d}|^2 \leq \sum_{j \leq d} |c_{j,d}|^2 \ll \frac{h}{d}$$

(hereon \sum^* is over reduced classes, i.e. over $(j, d) = 1$) whence, using a dyadic dissection of d -range,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{\frac{x}{B} < q \leq \frac{x}{A}} \chi_q(x) \right| &= \left| \sum_{1 < d \leq \frac{x}{A}} \left(\sum_{\frac{x}{dB} < k \leq \frac{x}{dA}} \frac{1}{k} \right) \sum_{j \leq d}^* c_{j,d} e_d(jx) \right| \\ &\ll L \max_{D \ll \frac{N}{A}} \left| \sum_{d \sim D} \alpha_d(x) \sum_{j \leq d}^* c_{j,d} e_d(jx) \right|, \end{aligned}$$

say, with $\alpha_d(x) \ll L$ and abbreviate $X_1 \stackrel{def}{=} \max(N, d_1 k_1 A, d_2 k_2 A)$, $X_2 \stackrel{def}{=} \min(2N, d_1 k_1 B, d_2 k_2 B)$, indicating I the integral to bound,

$$I \ll L^4 \max_{D \ll \frac{N}{A}} \max_{k_1, k_2 \ll \frac{N}{AD}} \sum_{\substack{(j_1, d_1)=1 \\ (j_2, d_2)=1}} |c_{j_1, d_1}| |c_{j_2, d_2}| \left| \int_{X_1}^{X_2} e \left(\left(\frac{j_1}{d_1} - \frac{j_2}{d_2} \right) x \right) dx \right|,$$

having expanded the square and exchanged the sums with the integral (that “doesn’t see” points):

$$\int_{X_1}^{X_2} e \left(\left(\frac{j_1}{d_1} - \frac{j_2}{d_2} \right) x \right) dx \ll \min \left(X_2 - X_1, \frac{1}{\left\| \frac{j_1}{d_1} - \frac{j_2}{d_2} \right\|} \right)$$

(compare Davenport [5, Chapter 26]); this gives

$$I \ll L^4 \max_{D \ll \frac{N}{A}} \sum_{d_1 \sim D} \sum_{d_2 \sim D} \sum_{j_1 \leq d_1}^* \sum_{j_2 \leq d_2}^* |c_{j_1, d_1}| |c_{j_2, d_2}| \min \left(N, \frac{1}{\left\| \frac{j_1}{d_1} - \frac{j_2}{d_2} \right\|} \right).$$

Since

$$c_{j,d} := \frac{1}{d} \sum_{|s| \leq h} \text{sgn}(s) e_d(js) \Rightarrow C_{j/d} := d c_{j,d} \text{ depends only on } j/d$$

we have

$$\sum_{d_1, d_2 \sim D} \sum_{j_1 \leq d_1}^* \sum_{j_2 \leq d_2}^* |c_{j_1, d_1}| \cdot |c_{j_2, d_2}| \min \left(N, \frac{1}{\left\| \frac{j_1}{d_1} - \frac{j_2}{d_2} \right\|} \right)$$

$$\ll \frac{N}{D^2} \sum_{\kappa \in \mathcal{F}} |C_\kappa|^2 + \frac{1}{D^2} \sum_{\substack{\kappa_1, \kappa_2 \in \mathcal{F} \\ \kappa_1 \neq \kappa_2}} \frac{|C_{\kappa_1}| \cdot |C_{\kappa_2}|}{\|\kappa_1 - \kappa_2\|}$$

with $\mathcal{F} \stackrel{def}{=} \{j/d : (j, d) = 1, d \sim D\}$ Farey fractions with denominators $d \sim D$; then,

$$\begin{aligned} N \sum_{\kappa \in \mathcal{F}} |C_\kappa|^2 + \sum_{\substack{\kappa_1, \kappa_2 \in \mathcal{F} \\ \kappa_1 \neq \kappa_2}} \frac{|C_{\kappa_1}| \cdot |C_{\kappa_2}|}{\|\kappa_1 - \kappa_2\|} &\ll (N + D^2L) \sum_{\kappa \in \mathcal{F}} |C_\kappa|^2 \\ &\ll (N + D^2L) \sum_{d \sim D} d^2 \sum_{j \leq d}^* |c_{j,d}|^2, \end{aligned}$$

compare Coppola et al [3], Lemma 2; (from $c_{j,d}$ bounds) this is $\ll (N + D^2L)hD^2$, whence, gathering last bounds,

$$\int_N^{2N} \left| \sum_{\frac{x}{B} < q \leq \frac{x}{A}} \chi_q(x) \right|^2 dx \ll L^4 h \left(N + \frac{N^2 L}{A^2} \right). \quad \square$$

3. Proofs of the Theorems

A kind of “elementary dispersion”(see Coppola [1]) gives, for all essentially bounded functions $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \forall \varepsilon > 0$,

$$J_f(N, h) = \sum_{a \neq 0} S(a) \mathfrak{C}_f(a) - M_f^2(2h)N + \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon(N^\varepsilon(Nh + h^3 + Qh^2))$$

and

$$I_f(N, h) = \sum_{a \neq 0} W(a) \mathfrak{C}_f(a) + \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon(N^\varepsilon(Nh + h^3)),$$

where $Q = \max$ of $g \stackrel{def}{=} f * \mu$ support (i.e., $f(n) = \sum_{d|n, d \leq Q} g(d)$; for details, Coppola [1]). Thus, we start proving Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. Use $\mathfrak{C}_Q(a)$ def.: $a > 0 \Rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_Q(-a) = \mathfrak{C}_Q(a) + \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon(N^\varepsilon a)$,

$$a \neq 0 \Rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_Q(a) = \frac{\mathfrak{C}_Q(a) + \mathfrak{C}_Q(-a)}{2} + \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon(N^\varepsilon |a|)$$

and we first want to get the *main term* of this correlation ($a > 0$):

$$\mathfrak{C}_Q(a) = \sum_{d, q \sim Q} \sum_{\substack{n \sim N \\ n \equiv 0(d) \\ n \equiv a(q)}} 1 = \sum_{\ell|a} \sum_{\substack{d, q \sim \frac{Q}{\ell} \\ (d, q) = \ell}} \sum_{\substack{k \sim \frac{N}{d} \\ kd \equiv a(q)}} 1$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{\ell|a \\ b:=\frac{a}{\ell}}} \sum_{\substack{d,q\sim\frac{Q}{\ell} \\ (d,q)=1}} \sum_{\substack{m\sim\frac{N}{\ell d} \\ m\equiv\bar{d}b(q)}} 1 := \mathcal{M}_Q(a) + \mathcal{E}_Q(a) + \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon(N^\varepsilon Q)$$

(now on $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$), say, with error due to $q \mid d \left[\frac{cN}{\ell d} \right] - b$:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{\ell|a \\ b:=\frac{a}{\ell}}} \sum_{d\sim\frac{Q}{\ell}} \sum_{q\sim\frac{Q}{\ell}, q \mid d \left[\frac{cN}{\ell d} \right] - b}^* 1 &:= \sum_{\ell|a} \sum_{d\sim\frac{Q}{\ell}} \left(\sum_{\substack{q\sim\frac{Q}{\ell} \\ q \mid d \left[\frac{cN}{\ell d} \right] - \frac{a}{\ell}}}^* 1 + \sum_{\substack{q\sim\frac{Q}{\ell} \\ q \mid d \left[\frac{2N}{\ell d} \right] - \frac{a}{\ell}}}^* 1 \right) \\ &\ll \sum_{\substack{\ell|a \\ b:=\frac{a}{\ell}}} \sum_{d\sim\frac{Q}{\ell}} \sum_{q\sim\frac{Q}{\ell}, q \mid d \left[\frac{cN}{\ell d} \right] - b} 1 \ll \sum_{\ell|a} \left(\frac{Q}{\ell} \sum_{d|b} 1 + \sum_{d\sim\frac{Q}{\ell}, d \nmid b} N^\varepsilon \right) \lll Q, \end{aligned}$$

and we define the following (“main term”)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_Q(a) &\stackrel{def}{=} \sum_{\ell|a} \sum_{q\sim\frac{Q}{\ell}} \sum_{d\sim\frac{Q}{\ell}}^* \frac{1}{q} \left(\left[\frac{2N}{\ell d} \right] - \left[\frac{N}{\ell d} \right] \right) \\ &= N \sum_{\ell|a} \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{q\sim\frac{Q}{\ell}} \frac{1}{q} \sum_{d\sim\frac{Q}{\ell}}^* \frac{1}{d} + \mathcal{O} \left(\sum_{\ell|a} \sum_{q\sim\frac{Q}{\ell}} \frac{1}{q} \sum_{d\sim\frac{Q}{\ell}} 1 \right) \end{aligned}$$

(fractional parts give $\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon(N^\varepsilon Q)$ as above), with (“error term”)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_Q(a) &\stackrel{def}{=} - \sum_{\substack{\ell|a \\ b:=\frac{a}{\ell}}} \sum_{q\sim\frac{Q}{\ell}} \sum_{d\sim\frac{Q}{\ell}}^* \left(B_1 \left(\frac{[2N/\ell d] - \bar{d}b}{q} \right) - B_1 \left(\frac{[N/\ell d] - \bar{d}b}{q} \right) \right) \\ &\ll \sum_{\ell|a} \left(\frac{Q^2}{\ell^2} + 1 \right) \lll Q^2 \end{aligned}$$

a trivial estimate, which gives $\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon(N^{1-\delta+\varepsilon})$, from $Q^2 \ll N^{1-\delta+\varepsilon}$. However, $Q^2 \gg |a|N^{1-\delta+\varepsilon}$, $Q = o(N^{1-\delta})$ into Lemma B give $\forall a \neq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_Q(a) + \mathcal{E}_Q(-a) &= R_Q(|a|) = 2 \sum_{\substack{\ell|a \\ b:=\frac{|a|}{\ell}}} \sum_{q\sim\frac{Q}{\ell}} \frac{1}{q} \sum_{d\sim\frac{Q}{\ell}}^* \sum_{t|q} \sum_{\substack{j \leq \frac{tJ}{q} \\ (j,t)=1}} \cot \frac{\pi j}{t} \\ &\times \left(\sin \frac{2\pi[2N/\ell d]j}{t} - \sin \frac{2\pi[N/\ell d]j}{t} \right) \cos \frac{2\pi j \bar{d}b}{t} + \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon(N^{1-\delta+\varepsilon}) \end{aligned}$$

(now on $b := \frac{|a|}{\ell}$); recall $J \in \mathbb{N}$, $J \rightarrow \infty$ and $J = o(Q/\ell) \quad \forall \ell|a$. Write $\sin \frac{2\pi[cN/\ell d]j}{t}$ ($c = 1, 2$) both for $\sin \frac{2\pi[N/\ell d]j}{t}$, $\sin \frac{2\pi[2N/\ell d]j}{t}$:

$$\sin \frac{2\pi[cN/\ell d]j}{t} = \sin \frac{2\pi c N j}{\ell d t} + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{J}{q} \right), \quad \forall c = 1, 2; \text{ whence}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{d \sim \frac{Q}{t}}^* \left(\sin \frac{2\pi[2N/\ell d]j}{t} - \sin \frac{2\pi[N/\ell d]j}{t} \right) \cos \frac{2\pi j \bar{d} b}{t} \\ &= \sum_{d \sim \frac{Q}{t}}^* \left(\sin \frac{4\pi N j}{\ell d t} - \sin \frac{2\pi N j}{\ell d t} \right) \cos \frac{2\pi j \bar{d} b}{t} + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{Q^2 N^\delta}{\ell N} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and this gives for, say, $E_Q(|a|) \stackrel{def}{=} \sum_{\ell|a} \sum_{q \sim \frac{Q}{t}} \frac{1}{q} \sum_{\substack{t|q \\ t \geq \frac{q}{2}}} \sum_{\substack{j \leq \frac{tL}{q} \\ (j,t)=1}} \cot \frac{\pi j}{t} \times$

$$\times \sum_{d \sim \frac{Q}{t}}^* \left(\sin \frac{2\pi[2N/\ell d]j}{t} - \sin \frac{2\pi[N/\ell d]j}{t} \right) \cos \frac{2\pi j \bar{d} b}{t}$$

which is $\frac{R_Q(|a|)}{2}$ main term (\Rightarrow of $\frac{\mathcal{E}_Q(a) + \mathcal{E}_Q(-a)}{2}$, too), that

$$\begin{aligned} E_Q(|a|) &= \sum_{\ell|a} \sum_{q \sim \frac{Q}{t}} \frac{1}{q} \sum_{\substack{t|q \\ t \geq \frac{q}{2}}} \sum_{\substack{j \leq \frac{tL}{q} \\ (j,t)=1}} \cot \frac{\pi j}{t} \sum_{d \sim \frac{Q}{t}}^* \left(\sin \frac{4\pi N j}{\ell d t} - \sin \frac{2\pi N j}{\ell d t} \right) \cos \frac{2\pi j \bar{d} b}{t} \\ &\quad + \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon \left(N^{\delta+\varepsilon} \frac{Q^3}{N} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We leave the negligible $\llll \frac{Q^3}{N} N^\delta$ -terms and pass to bound ($\forall c = 1, 2$)

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{d \sim \frac{Q}{t}}^* \sin \frac{2\pi c N j}{\ell d t} \cos \frac{2\pi j \bar{d} b}{t} \\ & \ll \frac{N j}{Q t} \left| \sum_{d \sim \frac{Q}{t}}^* \cos \frac{2\pi j \bar{d} b}{t} \right| + \int_{\frac{Q}{t}}^{\frac{2Q}{t}} \left| \sum_{\frac{Q}{t} < d \leq v, (d,q)=1} \cos \frac{2\pi j \bar{d} b}{t} \right| \frac{N j}{\ell t v^2} dv \\ & \llll \frac{N j}{\ell t^2} \sqrt{(b, t)} \sqrt{t}, \end{aligned}$$

obtained (from $\frac{Q}{t} \gg q \gg t \Rightarrow \frac{Q}{\ell t} \gg 1$ and) using *partial summation* (Tenenbaum [7]) *with Weil bound* (Iwaniec et al [6, Chapter 11])

$$D'_2 - D'_1 \ll t \Rightarrow \sum_{\substack{D'_1 < d \leq D'_2 \\ (d,t)=1}} \cos \frac{2\pi j \bar{d} b}{t} \llll \sqrt{(b, t)} \sqrt{t} \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N}, (j, t) = 1$$

into the elementary bound, $\forall (j, t) = 1$, where $\frac{Q}{t} \ll D_1, D_2 \ll \frac{Q}{t}$:

$$\sum_{\substack{D_1 < d \leq D_2 \\ (d,t)=1 \\ (d,q/t)=1}} \cos \frac{2\pi j \bar{d} b}{t} = \sum_{\substack{k|\frac{q}{t} \\ (k,t)=1}} \mu(k) \sum_{\substack{\frac{D_1}{k} < d \leq \frac{D_2}{k} \\ (d,t)=1}} \cos \frac{2\pi j \bar{k} b \bar{d}}{t} \lll \frac{D_2 - D_1}{t} \sqrt{(b,t)} \sqrt{t}.$$

Finally (use $J = o(Q/\ell) \forall \ell \Rightarrow J = o(q) \Rightarrow j = o(t) \Rightarrow \frac{j}{t} \cot \frac{\pi j}{t} \ll 1$)

$$E_Q(|a|) \lll N \sum_{\ell|a} \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{q \sim \frac{Q}{\ell}} \frac{1}{q} \sum_{t|q, t \geq \frac{q}{J}} \frac{1}{t} \sum_{j \leq \frac{Jt}{q}} \sqrt{(b,t)} \sqrt{t} \lll Q N^\delta \sum_{\ell|a} \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{\frac{Q}{\ell J} \leq t \leq \frac{2Q}{\ell}} \frac{\sqrt{(b,t)}}{\sqrt{t}} \lll Q^{\frac{3}{2}} N^\delta,$$

since $J \ll \frac{Q^2 N^\delta}{\ell N} \Rightarrow \sum_{\substack{q \sim \frac{Q}{\ell}, q \leq tJ \\ q=0(t)}} \frac{1}{q} \sum_{j \leq \frac{Jt}{q}} 1 \ll \frac{J}{t} \sum_{k \sim \frac{Q}{\ell t}} \frac{1}{k^2} \ll \frac{J\ell}{Q} \ll \frac{Q N^\delta}{N}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{T_1 \leq t \leq T_2} \frac{\sqrt{(b,t)}}{\sqrt{t}} &= \sum_{\beta|b} \sqrt{\beta} \sum_{T_1 \leq t \leq T_2, (t,b)=\beta} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \\ &= \sum_{\beta|b} \sum_{\frac{T_1}{\beta} \leq t' \leq \frac{T_2}{\beta}, (t',b/\beta)=1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t'}} \lll \sqrt{T_2} + 1. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 2. We prove the easiest bound, for the symmetry integral.

As already pointed out (it follows from Coppola [1, Lemma 1] that)

$$I_Q(N, h) = \sum_{a \neq 0} W(a) \mathfrak{C}_Q(a) + \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon(N^\varepsilon (Nh + h^3)),$$

recalling that $d_{A,B}$ is essentially bounded (unif.ly in A, B), i.e. $d_{Q,2Q} \lll 1$, in this case (now on “ $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ ” is implicit, whenever the \mathcal{O}_ε -symbol appears). Here W is even (with zero mean-value)

$$W(a) \stackrel{def}{=} \begin{cases} 2h - 3a & \text{if } 0 \leq a \leq h; \\ a - 2h & \text{if } h \leq a \leq 2h; \\ 0 & \text{if } a \geq 2h. \end{cases}$$

Since $W(a) \ll h$, uniformly $\forall a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and the support of W is within $[-2h, 2h]$ (whence $a \ll h$ in the sum),

$$\left| I_Q(N, h) - \sum_{a \neq 0} W(a) N \sum_{\ell|a} \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{q \sim \frac{Q}{\ell}} \frac{1}{q} \sum_{d \sim \frac{Q}{\ell}}^* \frac{1}{d} \right| \lll N h + Q h^2 + (Q^{3/2} N^\delta + Q^3 N^{\delta-1} + N^{1-\delta}) h^2$$

is immediate from Theorem 1 (use $\theta < \frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow h^3 \lll N h$). Thus, it will suffice to bound the following:

$$N \sum_{a \neq 0} W(a) \sum_{\ell|a} \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{q \sim \frac{Q}{\ell}} \frac{1}{q} \sum_{d \sim \frac{Q}{\ell}}^* \frac{1}{d} = 2N \sum_{\ell \leq 2h} \left(\sum_{b>0} W(\ell b) \right) \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{q \sim \frac{Q}{\ell}} \frac{1}{q} \sum_{d \sim \frac{Q}{\ell}}^* \frac{1}{d}$$

as $\lll N h$, thanks to the bound $\sum_{b>0} W(\ell b) \ll h$, uniformly $\forall \ell \in \mathbb{N}$, from Coppola [1, Lemma 4].

We prove, then, the other bound, for the Selberg integral.

As before (it follows from Coppola [1, Lemma 2] that)

$$J_Q(N, h) = \sum_{a \neq 0} S(a) \mathfrak{C}_Q(a) - N M_Q^2(2h) + \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon(N^\varepsilon(Nh + h^3 + Qh^2)), \quad (*)$$

again, from: $d_{A,B}$ especially bounded (unif. in A, B), i.e. $d_{Q,2Q} \lll 1$, now. Here S is even (again, but has non-zero mean-value!) and defined as $S(a) \stackrel{def}{=} \max(2h - |a|, 0)$, $\forall a \in \mathbb{Z}$; we proceed as above for I_Q , with the errors from Theorem 1; however, we've (*) main term:

$$2N \sum_{\ell \leq 2h} \left(\sum_{b>0} S(\ell b) \right) \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{q \sim \frac{Q}{\ell}} \frac{1}{q} \sum_{d \sim \frac{Q}{\ell}}^* \frac{1}{d} = 4N h^2 \sum_{\ell \leq 2h} \frac{1}{\ell^2} \sum_{q \sim \frac{Q}{\ell}} \frac{1}{q} \sum_{d \sim \frac{Q}{\ell}}^* \frac{1}{d} + \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon(N^{1+\varepsilon} h),$$

since, uniformly $\forall \ell \leq 2h$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{b>0} S(\ell b) &= \sum_{b \leq \frac{2h}{\ell}} (2h - \ell b) = 2h \left[\frac{2h}{\ell} \right] - \frac{\ell}{2} \left[\frac{2h}{\ell} \right] \left(\left[\frac{2h}{\ell} \right] + 1 \right) \\ &= \frac{2h^2}{\ell} + \mathcal{O}(h), \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} 4N h^2 \sum_{\ell \leq 2h} \frac{1}{\ell^2} \sum_{q \sim \frac{Q}{\ell}} \frac{1}{q} \sum_{d \sim \frac{Q}{\ell}}^* \frac{1}{d} &= N(2h)^2 \sum_{\ell \leq 2h} \sum_{q' \sim Q} \frac{1}{q'} \sum_{\substack{d' \sim Q \\ (d', q') = \ell}} \frac{1}{d'} \\ &= N(2h)^2 \sum_{\ell=1}^\infty \sum_{q \sim Q} \frac{1}{q} \sum_{\substack{d \sim Q \\ (d, q) = \ell}} \frac{1}{d} + \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon(N^{1+\varepsilon} h) = N(2h)^2 \sum_{q \sim Q} \frac{1}{q} \sum_{d \sim Q} \frac{1}{d} + \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon(N^{1+\varepsilon} h) \end{aligned}$$

$$= N \left(2h \sum_{q \sim Q} \frac{1}{q} \right)^2 + \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon(N^\varepsilon Nh) = NM_Q^2(2h) + \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon(N^\varepsilon Nh). \quad \square$$

Proof of Theorem 3. First, we need the (local) definition of “symmetry sum”

$$S_D^\pm(x) := \sum_{|n-x| \leq h} \operatorname{sgn}(n-x) \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d \sim D}} 1 \quad (\text{instead of } S_{D,2D}^\pm)$$

which has $\lll N h$ mean-square, $\forall D \ll \sqrt{N h}$ (Coppola [1, Theorem 1] and $\theta < \frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow h^3 \lll N h$). When $D \gg \sqrt{N}$, we “flip” the divisors :

$$S_D^\pm(x) = \sum_{\frac{x}{2D} < q \leq \frac{x}{D}} \chi_q(x) + \mathcal{O} \left(h \left(\frac{D}{N} + \frac{1}{h} + \frac{1}{D} + \frac{h}{N} \right) \right),$$

from Lemma A (which we may apply, due to $\frac{B}{A} = 2 > 1 + \frac{2h}{N-h}$, being $h = o(N)$ here), the remainders contribute, $\forall D \ll N/h$, to the final mean-square

$$\lll N h^2 \left(\frac{1}{h^2} + \frac{1}{D^2} + \frac{h^2}{N^2} \right) \lll N h$$

(last inequality from $\theta := \frac{\log h}{\log N} < \frac{1}{2}$), while from Lemma C (compare Coppola et al [3] and Coppola et al [4])

$$\int_N^{2N} \left| \sum_{\frac{x}{2D} < q \leq \frac{x}{D}} \chi_q(x) \right|^2 dx \lll \left(N + \left(\frac{N}{D} \right)^2 \right) h \lll N h$$

(use $D \gg \sqrt{N}$, now).

We’re left with the range $\frac{N}{h} \ll D \ll N$ (since $x \ll N$, all our divisors d into $I_{A,B}$, whatever A and B , are $d \ll N$, whence $D \ll N$). For this, our Lemma A doesn’t suffice, as the single remainder $\mathcal{O} \left(h \frac{D}{N} \right)$ gets (as D approaches $\mathcal{O}(N)$, here) near the trivial bound $S_D^\pm = \mathcal{O}(h)$. However, see Lemma A proof, this “bad” remainder comes from $\Sigma(A)$ and $\Sigma(B)$, here with $A = D$ and $B = 2D$, actually (the A case is similar to the B case following):

$$\begin{aligned} \int_N^{2N} \left| \Sigma(B) \right|^2 dx &= \int_N^{2N} \left| \sum_{\frac{x-h}{B} \leq m \leq \frac{x+h}{B}} \sum_{\frac{x-h}{m} < d \leq B} \operatorname{sgn} \left(d - \frac{x}{m} \right) \right|^2 dx \\ &= \int_N^{2N} \sum_{\frac{x-h}{B} \leq m \leq \frac{x+h}{B}} \left| \sum_{\frac{x-h}{m} < d \leq B} \operatorname{sgn} \left(d - \frac{x}{m} \right) \right|^2 dx, \end{aligned}$$

expanding the m -sum-square: here $h = N^\theta$, $\theta < \frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow h = o(\sqrt{N})$

$$B = 2D \gg \frac{N}{h}, h = o(\sqrt{N}) \Rightarrow h = o(B) \Rightarrow \left| \left[\frac{x-h}{B}, \frac{x+h}{B} \right] \right| < 1,$$

uniformly $\forall x \sim N$ (here $| \cdot |$ is the length), i.e. this interval can contain at most one integer m :

$$\sum_{\frac{x-h}{B} \leq m_1, m_2 \leq \frac{x+h}{B}} = \sum_{\frac{x-h}{B} \leq m \leq \frac{x+h}{B}} \quad (m \text{ if exists is unique!}),$$

something like a “squeezing” on the diagonal. Exchange \sum and \int :

$$\int_N^{2N} |\Sigma(B)|^2 dx \ll \sum_{\frac{N-h}{B} \leq m \leq \frac{2N+h}{B}} h \left(\frac{h}{m} \right)^2 \ll h^3 \frac{B}{N} \ll h^3 \lll N h. \quad \square$$

Acknowledgments

The author wishes to thank Professor Perelli for his kind patience and precious advices during illuminating and helpful discussions on these topics.

References

- [1] G. Coppola, On the correlations, Selberg integral and symmetry of sieve functions in short intervals, available online on the web, <http://arxiv.org/abs/0709.3648v3> - 9pp.
- [2] G. Coppola, On the symmetry of arithmetical functions in almost all short intervals, V, <http://arxiv.org/abs/0901.4738v1> - 4pp.
- [3] G. Coppola, S. Salerno, On the symmetry of the divisor function in almost all short intervals, *Acta Arith.* **113**, No. 2 (2004), 189-201.
- [4] G. Coppola, S. Salerno, On the symmetry of arithmetical functions in almost all short intervals, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Soc. R. Can.* **26**, No. 4 (2004), 118-125.
- [5] H. Davenport, *Multiplicative Number Theory*, Third Edition, GTM 74, Springer, New York (2000).
- [6] H. Iwaniec, E. Kowalski, *Analytic Number Theory*, American Math. Society Colloquium Publications, 53. AMS, Providence, RI(2004), xii+615pp., ISBN:0-8218-3633-1.

- [7] G. Tenenbaum, *Introduction to Analytic and Probabilistic Number Theory*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, **46**, Cambridge University Press (1995).
- [8] I.M. Vinogradov, *The Method of Trigonometrical Sums in the Theory of Numbers*, Interscience Publishers LTD, London (1954).