

AN ALTERNATIVE DEFINITION OF CONTINUOUS  
COHOMOLOGY AND A VANISHING THEOREM

Ioannis Farmakis

The Doctorate-Graduating Institution  
The City University of New York (CUNY)  
365, Fifth Ave., New York, NY 10016, USA  
e-mail: 1112y@optonline.net

**Abstract:** Given a locally compact group  $G$  and a continuous representation  $\rho$  of  $G$  on a real or complex Banach space  $V$  we obtain the corresponding cohomology groups  $H^n(G, V, \rho)$  using a recursive construction adapted from [1]. As a consequence we prove under certain conditions (equivalent with the existence of a non-trivial simultaneous fixed point of the associated affine map) that all cohomology groups vanish.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 22D12, 22E41, 57T10

**Key Words:** continuous cohomology of groups and its vanishing, continuous representation, affine map, fixed point, short and long exact sequence,  $n$ -cochain,  $n$ -coboundary

1. Introduction

This note concerns an alternative definition of cohomology of groups and their continuous representations. Here we adopt the following notation:  $G$  is a locally compact second countable group, with  $(V, \rho)$  a continuous Banach  $G$ -space. We denote by  $V^G$  the  $G$ -fixed vectors of  $V$ , by  $Id$  the identity map on  $V$  and by  $Z(G)$  the center of  $G$ . Also, we will use without distinction the notations  $\rho(g)(v)$ , or  $g \cdot v$  ( $g \in G$  and  $v \in V$ ).

Given a locally compact second countable group  $G$  and a continuous  $k$ -linear ( $k = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ ) representation  $\rho$  on a resp. real or complex Banach space  $V$ , the standard definition of group cohomology  $H^n(G, V)$  is due to Hochschild and Mostow and is found in [3], or Borel and Wallach in [2]. We will use

the notation of [3]. Here  $F^n(G, V)$  stands for the space of  $n$ -cochains, i.e. all continuous functions from  $G^n \rightarrow V$ .  $F^n(G, V)$  is a  $G$ -space under the action of  $G$  by right translation. An important feature of the cohomology of [3] is that given a short exact sequence of continuous Banach  $G$ -spaces,

$$(0) \rightarrow W \rightarrow V \rightarrow U \rightarrow (0),$$

one obtains a long exact sequence of the corresponding cohomology groups,

$$\dots \rightarrow H^n(G, W) \rightarrow H^n(G, V) \rightarrow H^n(G, U) \rightarrow H^{n+1}(G, W) \rightarrow \dots$$

Our objective here is to adapt an idea of Atiyah and Wall [1] to our situation and give a definition of the cohomology groups which we prove is equivalent to that of [3] and which has the advantage of being *recursive*. We will then use this recursive definition to prove a vanishing theorem which has important applications (particularly when  $G$  is nilpotent).

## 2. The Recursive Definition of the Cohomology

The recursive definition of the cohomology is as follows:

The 0-dimensional cohomology group of  $G$  with coefficients in  $V$  is  $H^0(G, V) = V^G$ . We define  $H^1(G, V)$  to be the quotient group  $\mathcal{Z}^1/\mathcal{B}^1$ , where  $\mathcal{Z}^1$  is the space of the crossed homomorphisms (or 1-cocycles)

$$\varphi : G \longrightarrow V : \varphi(gh) = \varphi(g) + g\varphi(h),$$

and  $\mathcal{B}^1$  consists of those  $\varphi$  (or 1-coboundaries) having the form  $\varphi(g) = g \cdot v_0 - v_0$ , for some  $v_0$  in  $V$  and all  $g$  in  $G$ .

To define the higher cohomological groups  $H^n(G, V)$ , let

$$V' = \{\varphi : G \longrightarrow V, \varphi \text{ continuous}\}.$$

Here  $V'$  is a  $k$ -vector space when equipped with the usual pointwise operations. It becomes a  $G$ -space with  $G$  acting on  $V'$  by

$$g \cdot \varphi : G \longrightarrow V : h \mapsto g \cdot \varphi(h).$$

Now we consider the embedding of  $G$ -Banach spaces  $\varepsilon : V \hookrightarrow V'$  defined by

$$v \mapsto \varepsilon_v : G \longrightarrow V : g \mapsto g \cdot v.$$

The space  $\varepsilon(V)$  is a closed subspace of  $V'$ . In order to see this we recall the topology on  $F^n(G, V)$  is that of uniform convergence on compacta of  $G^n$ , which implies pointwise convergence. Let  $\varphi \in V'$  be the limit of a sequence  $\varepsilon(v_n)$ , where  $v_n$  is an arbitrary sequence in  $V$ . Because of the definition of the map  $\varepsilon$  fixing a  $g \in G$ ,  $g \cdot v_n$  converges to  $\varphi(g)$  for  $n$  sufficiently large. Now

$g \cdot V = V$  and therefore each  $g \cdot V$  is closed in  $V$ . Hence  $\varphi(g) = g \cdot v$  for some  $v \in V$ . Hence we can take the quotient space  $V^\sharp = V/\varepsilon(V)$ , which is also a Banach space. We now define  $H^n(G, V)$  inductively for  $n \geq 2$  by setting  $H^n(G, V) = H^{n-1}(G, V^\sharp)$ .

In order to show this definition is equivalent to the standard one, we shall first prove  $V'$  is acyclic, that is  $H^n(G, V') = (0)$ , for each  $n > 0$ .

**Lemma 2.1.**  $H^n(G, V') = (0)$ , for all  $n > 0$ .

*Proof.* Consider the  $n$ -cochain  $f \in F^n(G, V')$ . This is a map

$$f : \underbrace{G \times \dots \times G}_{n\text{-times}} \longrightarrow V'.$$

Since the elements of the space  $V'$  are themselves maps from  $G$  to  $V$ , we can regard  $f$  as a map

$$f : \underbrace{G \times \dots \times G \times G}_{(n+1)\text{-times}} \longrightarrow V.$$

We write

$$(f(g_1, \dots, g_n))(g_0) = f(g_0, g_1, \dots, g_n).$$

Now the coboundary operators,

$$\partial^n : F^n(G, V') \longrightarrow F^{n+1}(G, V')$$

give us (see [3], or [2])

$$\begin{aligned} [( \partial^n f)(g_1, \dots, g_{n+1})](g_0) &= [(-1)^{n+1} f(g_1, \dots, g_n) + g_1 f(g_2, \dots, g_{n+1}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i f(g_1, \dots, g_i g_{i+1}, \dots, g_{n+1})](g_0). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\partial^n f(g_0, \dots, g_{n+1}) = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i f(g_0, g_1, \dots, g_i g_{i+1}, \dots, g_{n+1}) + (-1)^{n+1} f(g_0, \dots, g_n).$$

Now, consider the maps

$$d^n : F^n(G, V') \longrightarrow F^{n-1}(G, V'),$$

defined by

$$(d^n f)(g_0, \dots, g_{n-1}) = f(1_G, g_0, \dots, g_{n-1}).$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} [d^{n+1}(\partial^n f)](g_0, \dots, g_n) &= (\partial^n f)(1_G, g_0, \dots, g_n) \\ &= f(g_0, \dots, g_n) - f(1_G, g_0 g_1, \dots, g_n) + \dots + (-1)^n f(1_G, g_0, g_1, \dots, g_{n-1} g_n) \end{aligned}$$

$$+ (-1)^{n+1} f(1_G, g_0, g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}).$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} [\partial^{n-1}(d^n f)](g_0, \dots, g_n) &= (d^n f)(g_0 g_1, \dots, g_n) + \dots + (-1)^{n-1} (d^n f)(g_0, g_1, \dots, g_{n-1} g_n) \\ &\quad + (-1)^n (d^n f)(g_0, g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}) = f(1_G, g_0 g_1, \dots, g_n) \\ &\quad + \dots + (-1)^{n+1} f(1_G, g_0, g_1, \dots, g_{n-1} g_n) + (-1)^n f(1_G, g_0, g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, letting  $Id$  stand for  $Id_{F^n(G, V')}$  we get,

$$[d^{n+1}(\partial^n f) + \partial^{n-1}(d^n f)](g_0, \dots, g_n) = f(g_0, \dots, g_n),$$

that is,

$$d^{n+1}\partial^n + \partial^{n-1}d^n = Id.$$

Hence, if  $f$  is a  $n$ -cocycle in  $\mathcal{Z}^n(G, V')$ , the above relation shows that it is also a  $n$ -coboundary, i.e.

$$\partial^{n-1}(d^n f) = f.$$

Therefore,

$$H^n(G, V') = (0), \text{ for each } n > 0. \quad \square$$

This acyclicity now yields the equivalence of the two definitions of cohomology:

**Theorem 2.2.**  $H^n(G, V) \cong H^{n-1}(G, V^\sharp)$ , for all  $n > 1$ .

*Proof.* As we saw  $V'$  and  $V^\sharp$  are  $G$ -Banach spaces and we have the following exact sequence:

$$(0) \longrightarrow V \longrightarrow V' \longrightarrow V^\sharp \longrightarrow (0).$$

This in turn gives us the long exact sequence,

$$\dots \longrightarrow H^{n-1}(G, V') \longrightarrow H^{n-1}(G, V^\sharp) \longrightarrow H^n(G, V) \longrightarrow H^n(G, V') \longrightarrow \dots$$

Since  $V'$  is acyclic this long exact sequence gives isomorphisms  $H^n(G, V) \cong H^{n-1}(G, V^\sharp)$  for all  $n > 1$ .  $\square$

### 3. An Application

We now give an application of the equivalence of the two definitions of cohomology. Consider the continuous linear representation  $\rho : G \longrightarrow GL(V)$  and

let  $\varphi$  be a 1-cocycle. Define the affine map,

$$\rho_\varphi : G \longrightarrow \text{Aff}(V) := G \ltimes GL(V),$$

given by

$$\rho_\varphi(g) : V \longrightarrow V \quad \text{such that} \quad \rho_\varphi(g)(v) := \rho(g)(v) + \varphi(g).$$

Because of the cocycle identity this map is a homomorphism. Suppose the affine map  $\rho_\varphi$  had a fixed point, that is there is a  $v_0$  in  $V$  with  $\rho_\varphi(g)(v_0) = v_0$ , for every  $g$  in  $G$ . Then  $\rho(g)(v_0) + \varphi(g) = v_0$  so that  $\varphi$  is a coboundary.

The following lemma is left to the reader, where here  $A \in GL(V)$  and  $b \in V$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *An affine map  $x \mapsto Ax + b$  has a fixed point in  $V$  if and only if  $Id - A$  is invertible.*

Our application of Theorem 2.2 is:

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact second countable group, and  $\rho$  be a representation of  $G$  on a Banach space  $V$  without non-trivial fixed points. If there is a  $z_0$  in  $Z(G)$  with  $Id - \rho(z_0)$  invertible, then  $H^n(G, V) = (0)$ ,  $n \geq 0$ .*

*Proof.* First,  $H^0(G, V) := V^G = (0)$  because  $\rho$  by assumption has no non-trivial fixed points. Now, let  $\phi : G \rightarrow V$  be a 1-cocycle. Consider the affine map  $\rho_\phi$  defined above. Because of the lemma if  $Id - \rho(z_0)$  is invertible, then the affine map  $\rho_\phi(z_0)$  has a fixed point. Namely,  $v_0 = [Id - \rho(z_0)]^{-1}(\phi(z_0))$ .

Now  $v_0$  is fixed under each  $\rho_\phi(g)$ ,  $g \in G$ . This is because  $z_0 \in Z(G)$  and  $\rho_\phi$  is a homomorphism. Hence  $\rho_\phi(z_0g) = \rho_\phi(gz_0) = \rho_\phi(g)(\rho_\phi(z_0)) = \rho_\phi(z_0)(\rho_\phi(g))$ . Therefore,  $\rho_\phi(g)(\rho_\phi(z_0))(v_0) = \rho_\phi(g)(v_0) = \rho_\phi(z_0)(\rho_\phi(g)(v_0))$ . It follows that  $\rho_\phi(g)(v_0) = \rho_\phi(z_0)(\rho_\phi(g)(v_0))$ . In other words,  $\rho_\phi(g)(v_0)$  is a fixed point of the map  $\rho_\phi(z_0)$ . Since the unique fixed point of this map is  $v_0$ ,  $\rho_\phi(g)(v_0) = v_0$  for each  $g \in G$ . That is,  $\phi(g) = v_0 - \rho(g)(v_0)$ ,  $g \in G$  and thus  $H^1(G, V) = (0)$ .

To see  $H^n(G, V) = (0)$  for  $n \geq 2$ , we use Theorem 2.2, that is,  $H^{n+1}(G, V) = H^n(G, V^\#)$ . If  $Id - \rho(z_0)$  is invertible on  $V$ , the corresponding representation on  $V'$  will satisfy the same condition, as will the associated representation on  $V^\#$ . By the above,  $H^1(G, V^\#) = (0)$ . Therefore,  $H^2(G, V) = H^1(G, V^\#) = (0)$ . By induction on  $n$  we get our conclusion.  $\square$

The definition of irreducibility is the usual one. A representation  $\rho$  is called irreducible if the only closed  $\rho$ -invariant subspace of  $V$  is  $(0)$ , or  $V$  itself.

The following important extension of Schur's Lemma (to arbitrary continuous representations on a Banach space) is proved in Warner, p. 239 of [4].

If  $\rho$  is an irreducible representation of a locally compact second countable

group  $G$  on a *complex* Banach space  $V$ , then the algebra of intertwining operators for  $\rho$  consists of only scalar multiples of the identity. Since the center,  $Z(G)$ , acts by scalar multiples of the identity, if  $\rho|_{Z(G)}$  is non-trivial,  $Id - \rho(z_0)$  is invertible for some  $z_0 \in Z(G)$ . We therefore have the following corollary of Theorem 3.2.

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let  $\rho$  be an irreducible representation of a locally compact second countable group on a complex Banach space  $V$  with  $\rho|_{Z(G)}$  non-trivial, then  $H^n(G, V) = (0)$  for all  $n > 0$ .*

### References

- [1] M.F. Atiyah, C.T.C. Wall, Cohomology of groups, In: *Algebraic Number Theory* (Ed-s: Cassels, Frohlich), Thompson, Washington, D.C. (1967), 94-115.
- [2] A. Borel, N. Wallach, *Continuous Cohomology, Discrete Subgroups and Representations of Reductive Groups*, AMS, Second Edition (2000).
- [3] G. Hochschild, G.D. Mostow, Cohomology of Lie groups, *Illinois J. of Math.*, **6** (1962), 367-401.
- [4] G. Warner, *Harmonic Analysis on Semi-Simple Lie Groups, I*, Springer-Verlag (1972).