

THE CHARACTERIZATION FOR
THE ROTATION-INVARIANT SEGAL-BARGMANN SPACE

Areerak Chaiworn¹ §, Wicharn Lewkeeratiyutkul²

¹Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science
Burapha University
Chonburi, 20131, THAILAND

e-mail: areerak@buu.ac.th

²Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science
Chulalongkorn University
Bangkok, 10330, THAILAND
e-mail: Wicharn.L@chula.ac.th

Abstract: The Segal-Bargmann space is the set of holomorphic functions on \mathbb{C}^d that are square-integrable with respect to the complex Gaussian measure $\mu_t(z)dz = (\pi t)^{-d}e^{-z^2/t}dz$, and is denoted by $\mathcal{HL}^2(\mathbb{C}^d, \mu_t)$.

In this work, we consider the rotation-invariant subspace of the Segal-Bargmann space. The complex rotation-invariant function F is determined by its values on $(z, 0, \dots, 0) \cong \mathbb{C}^1$ and it is a complex even function. Conversely, any even holomorphic function on \mathbb{C}^1 has an extension to a complex rotation-invariant holomorphic function on \mathbb{C}^d . Thus the space of complex rotation-invariant functions in $\mathcal{HL}^2(\mathbb{C}^d, \mu_t)$ can be expressed as an L^2 -space of holomorphic functions on \mathbb{C}^1 with respect to some non-Gaussian measure. This non-Gaussian measure is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{C} . We give a characterization for a complex function to be in the rotation-invariant subspace of the Segal-Bargmann space.

AMS Subject Classification: 32Axx, 46E50

Key Words: Segal-Bargmann space, rotation-invariance, Segal-Bargmann transform

Received: March 22, 2010

© 2010 Academic Publications

§Correspondence author

1. Introduction

The Segal-Bargmann space is the set of holomorphic functions on \mathbb{C}^d that are square-integrable with respect to the complex Gaussian measure $\mu_t(z)dz = (\pi t)^{-d}e^{-z^2/t}dz$, and is denoted by $\mathcal{HL}^2(\mathbb{C}^d, \mu_t)$. Here we use notation $z^2 = z_1^2 + z_2^2 + \cdots + z_d^2$ for $z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_d) \in \mathbb{C}^d$. The Segal-Bargmann space is unitarily equivalent to the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \rho_t)$ where $\rho_t(x) = (2\pi t)^{-d/2}e^{-x^2/2t}dz$ by the unitary map B_t given by the formula

$$(B_t f)(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) \frac{e^{-(z-x)^2/2t}}{(2\pi t)^{d/2}} dx$$

for all $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \rho_t)$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}^d$.

In this work, we consider the rotation-invariant subspace of the Segal-Bargmann space. The complex rotation-invariant function F is determined by its values on $(z, 0, \dots, 0) \cong \mathbb{C}^1$ and it is a complex even function. Conversely, any even holomorphic function on \mathbb{C}^1 has an extension to a complex rotation-invariant holomorphic function on \mathbb{C}^d . Thus the space of complex rotation-invariant functions in $\mathcal{HL}^2(\mathbb{C}^d, \mu_t)$ can be expressed as an L^2 -space of holomorphic functions on \mathbb{C}^1 with respect to some non-Gaussian measure. This non-Gaussian measure is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{C} . We give a characterization for a complex function to be in the rotation-invariant subspace of the Segal-Bargmann space.

2. Main Results

Denote by $SO(d)$ the set of $d \times d$ real orthogonal matrices with determinant one and by $SO(d, \mathbb{C})$ the set of $d \times d$ complex orthogonal matrices with determinant one. Define a bilinear form (\cdot, \cdot) on \mathbb{F}^d by

$$(x, y) = x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2 + \cdots + x_d y_d$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{F}^d$ where \mathbb{F} is \mathbb{C} or \mathbb{R} . Then the elements of $SO(d)$ and $SO(d, \mathbb{C})$ preserve the bilinear form on \mathbb{R}^d and \mathbb{C}^d , respectively.

Definition 1. Let F be a function on \mathbb{F}^d and let G be a group of $d \times d$ matrices. We say that F is G -invariant if

$$F(Ax) = F(x) \quad \text{for all } A \in G \text{ and all } x \in \mathbb{F}^d.$$

Notice that if F is an $SO(d)$ -invariant holomorphic function on \mathbb{C}^d , then by analytic continuation it is $SO(d, \mathbb{C})$ -invariant.

Denote by $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C}^d)^{SO(d, \mathbb{C})}$ the set of all $SO(d, \mathbb{C})$ -invariant holomorphic functions on \mathbb{C}^d , and $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})^e$ the set of all holomorphic even functions on \mathbb{C} .

For any $d \geq 2$, the map $\psi: \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})^e \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C}^d)^{SO(d, \mathbb{C})}$ defined by

$$\psi(f)(z) = f\left(\sqrt{(z, z)}\right)$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})^e$ and all $z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_d) \in \mathbb{C}^d$ is a linear isomorphism whose inverse is given by

$$\phi(F)(w) = F(w, 0, \dots, 0)$$

for all $F \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})^{SO(d, \mathbb{C})}$ and all $w \in \mathbb{C}$.

Denote by $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^d)$ the Borel σ -algebra in \mathbb{C}^d and by $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C})$ the Borel σ -algebra in \mathbb{C} and define $\Phi_i: (\mathbb{C}^d, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^d), \mu_t) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}))$, $i = 1, 2$, to be the branch of $\sqrt{(z, z)}$ with a smaller and larger argument respectively and for each $E \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C})$ define

$$\lambda_i(E) = \mu_t(\Phi_i^{-1}(E)),$$

and let $\lambda = (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)/2$. In [10] we show that λ is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure with a non-Gaussian density.

Define

$$\mathcal{HL}^2(\mathbb{C}^d, \mu_t)^{SO(d, \mathbb{C})} = \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C}^d)^{SO(d, \mathbb{C})} \cap L^2(\mathbb{C}^d, \mu_t)$$

and

$$\mathcal{HL}^2(\mathbb{C}, \lambda)^e = \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})^e \cap L^2(\mathbb{C}, \lambda).$$

Then they are Hilbert spaces and the map $\psi: \mathcal{HL}^2(\mathbb{C}, \lambda)^e \rightarrow \mathcal{HL}^2(\mathbb{C}^d, \mu_t)^{SO(d, \mathbb{C})}$ is unitary.

Lemma 2. *The set $\{w^{2n}\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is an orthogonal basis for the Hilbert space $\mathcal{HL}^2(\mathbb{C}, \lambda)^e$. In particular, the set $\{(z, z)^{2n}\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is an orthogonal basis for the Hilbert space $\mathcal{HL}^2(\mathbb{C}^d, \mu_t)^{SO(d, \mathbb{C})}$. Moreover, for any $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$*

$$\|w^{2n}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{C}, \lambda)}^2 = \frac{a_0}{\Gamma(d/2)} 2^{2n+d} t^{2n} \Gamma(n+1) \Gamma(n+d/2),$$

where a_0 is a constant independent of n and d . In particular

$$\|w^{2(n+1)}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{C}, \lambda)}^2 = 4t^2(n+1)(n+d/2) \|w^{2n}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{C}, \lambda)}^2. \quad (1)$$

Proof. The proof of this lemma uses the same technique as in [1], [4] and [6] and will be omitted. \square

Define the operators A and D on $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})^e$ as follows

$$(Af)(w) = \frac{w^2}{t^2} f(w),$$

$$(Df)(w) = \frac{d^2}{dw^2}f(w) + \frac{d-1}{w} \frac{d}{dw}f(w).$$

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define

$$\mathcal{D}(A^n) = \{f \in \mathcal{HL}^2(\mathbb{C}, \lambda)^e \mid A^n f \in \mathcal{HL}^2(\mathbb{C}, \lambda)^e\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{D}(D^n) = \{f \in \mathcal{HL}^2(\mathbb{C}, \lambda)^e \mid D^n f \in \mathcal{HL}^2(\mathbb{C}, \lambda)^e\}.$$

Note that A^n and D^n are densely defined on $\mathcal{HL}^2(\mathbb{C}, \lambda)^e$ because their domains contain the set of complex even polynomials, which is dense in $\mathcal{HL}^2(\mathbb{C}, \lambda)^e$.

Theorem 3. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{D}(A^n) = \mathcal{D}(D^n)$.

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{HL}^2(\mathbb{C}, \lambda)^e$ and write $f(w) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n w^{2n}$ for all $w \in \mathbb{C}$. It follows from (1) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|Af\|_{L^2(\mathbb{C}, \lambda)}^2 &= \frac{1}{t^4} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 \|w^{2n+2}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{C}, \lambda)}^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{t^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 4(n+1)(n+d/2) \|w^{2n}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{C}, \lambda)}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|Df\|_{L^2(\mathbb{C}, \lambda)}^2 &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 \|Dw^{2n}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{C}, \lambda)}^2 \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 4n^2(2n+d-2)^2 \|w^{2n-2}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{C}, \lambda)}^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{t^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 4n(n-1+d/2) \|w^{2n}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{C}, \lambda)}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Since $4(n+1)(n+d/2) = 4n(n-1+d/2) + 8n + 2d$, it follows that

$$\|Af\|_{L^2(\mathbb{C}, \lambda)}^2 = \|Df\|_{L^2(\mathbb{C}, \lambda)}^2 + \frac{8}{t^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 n \|w^{2n}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{C}, \lambda)}^2 + \frac{2d}{t^2} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{C}, \lambda)}^2.$$

Thus $\|Af\|_{L^2(\mathbb{C}, \lambda)}^2 < \infty$ implies that $\|Df\|_{L^2(\mathbb{C}, \lambda)}^2 < \infty$. On the other hand, if $\|Df\|_{L^2(\mathbb{C}, \lambda)}^2 < \infty$, then by (3) $\frac{8}{t^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 n \|w^{2n}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{C}, \lambda)}^2 \leq \|Df\|_{L^2(\mathbb{C}, \lambda)}^2$. Hence $\|Af\|_{L^2(\mathbb{C}, \lambda)}^2 < \infty$, so we have $\mathcal{D}(A) = \mathcal{D}(D)$. Now assume that $\mathcal{D}(A^k) = \mathcal{D}(D^k)$. Thus we have that

$$f \in \mathcal{D}(D^{k+1}) \iff D^{k+1}f \in L^2(\mathbb{C}, \lambda)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\iff Df \in \mathcal{D}(D^k) \\
&\iff Df \in \mathcal{D}(A^k) \\
&\iff w^{2k}Df \in L^2(\mathbb{C}, \lambda).
\end{aligned}$$

Next we will show that $w^{2k}Df \in L^2(\mathbb{C}, \lambda)$ if and only if $D(w^{2k}f) \in L^2(\mathbb{C}, \lambda)$.

Since $f(w) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n w^{2n}$ for all $w \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$\|w^{2k}Df\|_{L^2(\mathbb{C}, \lambda)}^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 4n^2 (2n + d - 2)^2 \|w^{2(n+k-1)}\|^2$$

and

$$\|D(w^{2k}f)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{C}, \lambda)}^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 4(n+k)^2 (2n+2k+d-2)^2 \|w^{2(n+k-1)}\|^2.$$

Thus $\|D(w^{2k}f)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{C}, \lambda)}^2 < \infty$ implies that $\|w^{2k}(Df)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{C}, \lambda)}^2 < \infty$. Since

$$(n+k)^2 (2n+2k+d-2)^2 \leq Mn^2 (2n+d-2)^2,$$

where M is a constant depending on K , $\|w^{2k}(Df)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{C}, \lambda)}^2 < \infty$ implies that

$\|D(w^{2k}f)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{C}, \lambda)}^2 < \infty$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
f \in \mathcal{D}(D^{k+1}) &\iff D(w^{2k}f) \in L^2(\mathbb{C}, \lambda) \\
&\iff w^{2k}f \in \mathcal{D}(D) \\
&\iff w^{2k}f \in \mathcal{D}(A) \\
&\iff w^{2k+2}f \in L^2(\mathbb{C}, \lambda) \\
&\iff f \in \mathcal{D}(A^{k+1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\mathcal{D}(A^k) = \mathcal{D}(D^k)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

Theorem 4. *The operators A and D are densely defined on $\mathcal{HL}^2(\mathbb{C}, \lambda)^e$ with $A^* = D$.*

Proof. Let $g \in \mathcal{D}(A^*)$. Then there exists $h \in \mathcal{HL}^2(\mathbb{C}, \lambda)^e$ such that $\langle Af, g \rangle = \langle f, h \rangle$ for all $f \in \mathcal{HL}^2(\mathbb{C}, \lambda)^e$. Write

$$f(w) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n w^{2n}, \quad g(w) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n w^{2n} \quad \text{and} \quad h(w) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n w^{2n},$$

for all $w \in \mathbb{C}$. Then $\langle Af, g \rangle = \langle f, h \rangle$ implies

$$\frac{1}{t^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \bar{b}_{n+1} \|w^{2n+2}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{C}, \lambda)}^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \bar{c}_n \|w^{2n}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{C}, \lambda)}^2.$$

Thus by using (1) we have that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} c_n &= \frac{b_{n+1} \|w^{2n+2}\|^2}{t^2 \|w^{2n}\|^2} \\ &= \frac{4b_{n+1}t^2(n+1)(n+d/2)\|w^{2n}\|^2}{t^2\|w^{2n}\|^2} \\ &= b_{n+1}(2n+2)(2n+d). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $h(w) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n+1}D(w^{2(n+1)}) = (Dg)(w)$ for all $w \in \mathbb{C}$ and therefore $\mathcal{D}(A^*) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(D)$. Let $f \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ and $g \in \mathcal{D}(D)$. If $f(w) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n w^{2n}$ and $g(w) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n w^{2n}$ for all $w \in \mathbb{C}$, then

$$\langle Af, g \rangle = \frac{1}{t^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \bar{b}_{n+1} \|w^{2n+2}\|^2 = \frac{1}{t^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \bar{b}_{n+1} 4t^2(n+1)(n+\frac{d}{2}) \|w^{2n}\|^2$$

and

$$\langle f, Dg \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \bar{b}_{n+1} 2(n+1)(2n+d) \|w^{2n}\|^2 = \langle Af, g \rangle.$$

Thus $D(g) = A^*(g)$ and $g \in \mathcal{D}(A^*)$. Hence $\mathcal{D}(D) = \mathcal{D}(A^*)$ and $A^* = D$. \square

Proposition 5. *For any $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$, if $w^2 f \in L^2(\mathbb{C}, \lambda)$, then $f \in L^2(\mathbb{C}, \lambda)$. In particular, if $Af \in \mathcal{H}L^2(\mathbb{C}, \lambda)^e$ then $f \in \mathcal{H}L^2(\mathbb{C}, \lambda)^e$.*

Proof. Let $\mathbb{D} = \{w \in \mathbb{C} : |w| \leq 1\}$. Then $\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{C}-\mathbb{D}, \lambda)} \leq \|w^2 f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{C}-\mathbb{D}, \lambda)} < \infty$. Next, we will show that there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{C}, \lambda)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{C}-\mathbb{D}, \lambda)}.$$

Let $w \in \mathbb{D}$. Denote by $\mathcal{C}(w)$ the annulus $\{v \in \mathbb{C} : 2 \leq |v-w| \leq 3\}$. For any $v \in \mathcal{C}(w)$, we use the polar coordinates with the origin at w so that $v-w = re^{i\theta}$. Since $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$, we expand f as a power series around $v = w$:

$$f(v) = f(w) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n (v-w)^n.$$

Hence,

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}(w)} f(v) dv = 5\pi f(w) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_2^3 \int_0^{2\pi} a_n r^{n+1} e^{in\theta} d\theta dr = 5\pi f(w).$$

Thus

$$f(w) = \frac{1}{5\pi} \int_{\mathcal{C}(w)} f(v) dv$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{5\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}-\mathbb{D}} \chi_{\mathcal{C}(w)}(v) \frac{1}{\Lambda(v)} f(v) \Lambda(v) dv \\
&= \frac{1}{5\pi} \left\langle \chi_{\mathcal{C}(w)} \frac{1}{\Lambda}, f \right\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{C}-\mathbb{D}, \lambda)}.
\end{aligned}$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we have that

$$|f(w)| \leq \frac{1}{5\pi} \|\chi_{\mathcal{C}(w)} \frac{1}{\Lambda}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{C}-\mathbb{D}, \lambda)} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{C}-\mathbb{D}, \lambda)}.$$

Since Λ is strictly positive and continuous on $\mathcal{C}(w)$, $\frac{1}{\Lambda}$ is bounded on $\mathcal{C}(w)$. Thus the first L^2 -norm is finite and

$$\frac{1}{5\pi} \|\chi_{\mathcal{C}(w)} \frac{1}{\Lambda}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{C}-\mathbb{D}, \lambda)} \leq \frac{1}{5\pi} \|\chi_{\mathcal{C}^*} \frac{1}{\Lambda}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{C}-\mathbb{D}, \lambda)} < \infty,$$

where $\mathcal{C}^* = \{v \in \mathbb{C} : 1 < |z| < 4\}$ which $\mathcal{C}(w) \subset \mathcal{C}^*$ for all $w \in \mathbb{D}$. It follows that there exists a constant c such that

$$|f(w)| \leq c \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{C}-\mathbb{D}, \lambda)}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{C}} |f(w)|^2 d\lambda(w) &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(w)|^2 d\lambda(w) + \int_{\mathbb{C}-\mathbb{D}} |f(w)|^2 d\lambda(w) \\
&\leq c^2 \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{C}-\mathbb{D}, \lambda)}^2 \lambda(\mathbb{D}) + \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{C}-\mathbb{D}, \lambda)}^2 \\
&\leq C \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{C}-\mathbb{D}, \lambda)}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

so the proposition is proved. \square

Theorem 6. $f \in \cap_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{D}(D^n)$ if and only if for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is $C > 0$ such that

$$|f(w)|^2 \leq \frac{C e^{|w|^2/t}}{(1 + |w|^{d-1})(1 + |w|^{2n})^2} \quad \text{for all } w \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (4)$$

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
f \in \cap_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{D}(D^n) &\iff f \in \mathcal{D}(A^n) \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, \\
&\iff w^{2n} f \in \mathcal{HL}^2(\mathbb{C}, \lambda)^e \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from Theorem 10 in [10] that, for all $f \in \mathcal{HL}^2(\mathbb{C}, \lambda)^e$,

$$|f(w)| \leq \frac{e^{|w|^2/2t} \|w^{2n} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{C}, \lambda)}}{(1 + |w|^{d-1})^{1/2}}.$$

Then for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$w^{2n} f \in \mathcal{HL}^2(\mathbb{C}, \lambda)^e \implies |w^{2n} f(w)| \leq \frac{e^{|w|^2/2t} \|w^{2n} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{C}, \lambda)}}{(1 + |w|^{d-1})^{1/2}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \implies |f(w)| &\leq \frac{e^{|w|^2/2t}(\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{C},\lambda)} + \|w^{2n}f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{C},\lambda)})}{(1 + |w|^{d-1})^{1/2}(1 + |w|^{2n})} \\ \implies |f(w)|^2 &\leq \frac{Ce^{|w|^2/t}}{(1 + |w|^{d-1})(1 + |w|^{2n})^2}. \end{aligned}$$

(\Leftarrow) Assume that (4) holds. Following Corollary 8 of [10] we know that the L^2 -norm with respect to λ is equivalent to the L^2 -norm with respect to the measure $\beta(w)dw$ where

$$\beta(w) = \frac{e^{-|w|^2/t}}{\pi t} |w|^{d-1}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|w^{2n}f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{C},\beta)}^2 &= \frac{1}{\pi t} \int_{\mathbb{C}} |w^{2n}f(w)|^2 e^{-|w|^2/t} |w|^{d-1} dw \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\pi t} \int_{\mathbb{C}} |w|^{4n} \frac{e^{|w|^2/t}}{(1 + |w|^{d-1})(1 + |w|^{2n+2})^2} e^{-|w|^2/t} |w|^{d-1} dw \\ &= \frac{C}{\pi t} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{|w|^{4n+d-1}}{(1 + |w|^{d-1})(1 + |w|^{2n+2})^2} dw < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $w^{2n}f \in \mathcal{HL}^2(\mathbb{C}, \lambda)^e$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. □

Define the operator $\mathcal{T}: \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C}^d)^{SO(d,\mathbb{C})} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C}^d)^{SO(d,\mathbb{C})}$ by

$$(\mathcal{T}F)(z) = (z, z)F(z)$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{C}^d$ where $(z, z) = z_1^2 + \dots + z_d^2$.

Lemma 7. For any $F \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C}^d)^{SO(d,\mathbb{C})}$ and any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\|\mathcal{T}^n(F)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{C}^d, \mu_t)} = t^{2n} \|A^n(\phi(F))\|_{L^2(\mathbb{C}, \lambda)}.$$

Proof. Let $F \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C}^d)^{SO(d,\mathbb{C})}$ and $f = \phi(F)$. Then for all $w \in \mathbb{C}$, $f(w) = F(w, 0, \dots, 0)$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{T}^n(F)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{C}^d, \mu_t)}^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{C}^d} |(\mathcal{T}^n F)(z)|^2 \mu_t(z) dz \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{C}} |\phi(\mathcal{T}^n F)(w)|^2 \lambda(w) dw \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{C}} |(\mathcal{T}^n F)(w, 0, \dots, 0)|^2 \lambda(w) dw \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{C}} |w^{2n} F(w, 0, \dots, 0)|^2 \lambda(w) dw \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{C}} |w^{2n} f(w)|^2 \lambda(w) dw \end{aligned}$$

$$= t^{2n} \|A^n(f)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{C}, \lambda)}^2,$$

so the lemma is proved. \square

Theorem 8. *Let $F \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C}^d)^{SO(d, \mathbb{C})}$. Then $\mathcal{T}^n(F) \in \mathcal{HL}^2(\mathbb{C}^d, \mu_t)^{SO(d, \mathbb{C})}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ if and only if for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is $C > 0$ such that*

$$|F(z)|^2 \leq \frac{C e^{|(z, z)|/t}}{\left(1 + |(z, z)|^n\right)^2 \left(1 + |(z, z)|^{(d-1)/2}\right)} \quad \text{for all } z \in \mathbb{C}^d. \quad (5)$$

Proof. Let $F \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C}^d)^{SO(d, \mathbb{C})}$ and $f = \phi(F)$.

(\Rightarrow) Suppose $\mathcal{T}^n(F) \in \mathcal{HL}^2(\mathbb{C}^d, \mu_t)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $A^n(f) \in \mathcal{HL}^2(\mathbb{C}, \lambda)^e$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus by Theorem 6, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a constant C such that

$$|f(w)|^2 \leq \frac{C e^{|w|^2/t}}{(1 + |w|^{2n})^2 (1 + |w|^{d-1})} \quad \text{for all } w \in \mathbb{C}^d.$$

This implies that

$$|F(z)|^2 \leq \frac{C e^{|(z, z)|/t}}{\left(1 + |(z, z)|^n\right)^2 \left(1 + |(z, z)|^{(d-1)/2}\right)}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $z \in \mathbb{C}^d$.

(\Leftarrow) Assume that (5) holds. Then

$$|f(w)|^2 \leq \frac{C e^{|w|^2/t}}{(1 + |w|^{2n})^2 (1 + |w|^{d-1})} \quad \text{for all } w \in \mathbb{C}.$$

By Theorem 6, it follows that $A^n(f) \in \mathcal{HL}^2(\mathbb{C}, \lambda)^e$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and that $\mathcal{T}^n(F) \in \mathcal{HL}^2(\mathbb{C}^d, \lambda)^{SO(d, \mathbb{C})}$. \square

References

- [1] V. Bargmann, On a Hilbert space of analytic functions and an associated integral transform, Part I, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **14** (1961), 187-214.
- [2] B. Driver, On the Kakutani-Itô-Segal-Gross and Segal-Bargmann-Hall isomorphisms, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **133** (1995), 69-128.
- [3] M. Engliš, Weighted Bergman kernels and quantization, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **227** (2002), 211-241.
- [4] L. Gross, P. Malliavin, Hall's transform and the Segal-Bargmann map, In: *Ito's Stochastic Calculus and Probability Theory* (Ed-s: M. Fukushima, N. Ikeda, S. Watanabe), Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York (1996), 73-116.

- [5] B.C. Hall, The Segal-Bargmann “coherent state” transform for compact Lie groups, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **122** (1994), 103-151.
- [6] B.C. Hall, Holomorphic methods in analysis and mathematical physics, In: *First Summer School in Analysis and Mathematical Physics* (Ed-s: S. Pèrez Esteva, C. Villegas Blas), Contemp. Math., Volume 260, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (2000), 1-59.
- [7] B.C. Hall, Harmonic analysis with respect to heat kernel measure, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, **38** (2001), 43-78.
- [8] B.C. Hall, W. Lewkeeratiyutkul, Holomorphic Sobolev spaces and the generalized Segal-Bargmann transform, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **217** (2004), 192-220.
- [9] B.C. Hall, A.N. Sengupta, The Segal-Bargmann transform for path-groups, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **152** (1998), 220-254.
- [10] A. Kaewthep, W. Lewkeeratiyutkul, A pointwise bound for rotation-invariant holomorphic functions that are square integrable with respect to a Gaussian measure, *Taiwanese J. Math.*, **11** (2007), 1443-1455.