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1. Introduction

The Hausdorff measure and dimension are the most important concepts in fractal geometry, and their computations are very difficult. Recently, in order to study deeply the Hausdorff measure, [4] gave the notions “best covering” and “natural covering”, and posed eight open problems and six conjectures on Hausdorff measure. Using the notion upper convex density of a class of self-similar sets, [5] studied a class of self-similar sets — generating in an unit square on the plane, proved that the natural covering is the best one and the Hausdorff dimension of those set is euqal to \(\sqrt{2}^s\). In this paper, we address the problem...
of the exact computation of the Hausdorff measure of a class self similar sets — generating in an unit regular pentagon on plane.

For convenience, we first present some notions that will be used in the rest part of the paper.

**Definition 1.** Suppose $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $s \geq 0$ and $\delta > 0$. The Hausdorff measure of the set $E$ is defined as

$$H^s(E) = \lim_{\delta \to 0} \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |U_i|^s : |U_i| \leq \delta, E \subset \bigcup U_i \right\},$$

where $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is arbitrary covering of the set $E$; and the Hausdorff dimension of $E$ (denoted by $\dim_H E$) is defined as

$$\dim_H E = \sup \{ s : H^s(E) = \infty \} = \inf \{ s : H^s(E) = 0 \}.$$

**Definition 2.** Let $\delta > 0$, $s \geq 0$, $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, $x \in E$. Moreover $U_x$ is a convex set which contains point $x$, then the upper convex density of $E$ at $x$ is defined as

$$\bar{D}_C^s(E, x) = \lim_{\delta \to 0} \sup_{0 < |x| < \delta} \left\{ \frac{H^s(E \cap U_x)}{|U_x|^s} \right\}.$$  

The properties of upper convex density were discussed in [2].

**Definition 3.** (see Figure 1) Let $E_0$ be a unit regular pentagon $A_1A_2A_3A_4A_5$ on the plane $\mathbb{R}^2$, $E$ be the attractor generated by the iterated function system (IFS) $\{f_i | i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$, where

- $f_i(x) = \lambda_i x + b_i$, $0 < \lambda_i < 1$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$, $x = (x_1, x_2) \in E_0$,
- $b_1 = ((1 - \lambda_1) \sin 18^\circ, 0)$,
- $b_2 = ((1 - \lambda_2)(\sin 18^\circ + 1), 0)$,
- $b_3 = ((1 - \lambda_3)(2 \sin 18^\circ + 1), (1 - \lambda_3) \cos 18^\circ)$,
- $b_4 = ((1 - \lambda_4) \cos 18^\circ, (1 - \lambda_4)(\sin 18^\circ + \cos 18^\circ))$,
- $b_5 = (0, (1 - \lambda_5) \cos 18^\circ)$.

Then the self-similar set $E$ is called a Sierpinski carpet generating in a unit regular pentagon, where $s = \dim_H E$ satisfies $\sum_{i=1}^{5} \lambda_i^s = 1$.

### 2. Two Lemmas

In this section, we present two lemmas which will be used in the proof of the main result of this paper.
From Definition 3, it is easy to see that
\[ \bigcup_{i=1}^{5} f_i(E_0) \subset E_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \bigcup_{i=1}^{5} f_i(E) = E. \]
Let \( \mu \) be the unique probability measure satisfying the self-similar relation and
\[ \mu = \sum_{i=1}^{5} \lambda_i \mu f_i^{-1}, \]
then \( E \) is the support of \( \mu \) and \( \mu \) is a mass distribution on \( E \).

For \( i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 \), let \( E_iF_i \) be parallel to the opposite side of vertex \( A_i \) in a unit regular pentagon and intersect with \( f_i(E) \). Let \( d_i = \text{dist}(A_i, E_iF_i) \) be the distance between point \( A_i \) and line \( E_iF_i \). Denote \( t_i = \frac{d_i}{\cos 18^\circ} \), if \( 0 < \lambda_i < \frac{7-2\sqrt{5}}{9} \) and \( 0 < d_i < (\sqrt{5} + 1)\lambda_i \), then the line \( E_iF_i \) does not intersect with \( f_j(E) \) for \( i, j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\} \) and \( i \neq j \). Assume that \( \mu(t_i) \) is the measure of the triangle \( \Delta A_iE_iF_i \). Moreover, we use the notations:
\[ d(\mu, t_i) = \frac{\mu(t_i)}{t_i^3}, d_{\min}^{(i)} = \inf_{0 < t_i \leq 2 \sin 54^\circ} \{d(\mu, t_i)\}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, \]
\(M_1 = \{(i, j) | A_i A_j \text{ is the diagonal of } E_0, \ i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}\),
and
\(M_2 = \{(i, j) | A_i A_j \text{ is the side of } E_0, \ i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}\).
That is \(M_1 = \{(1, 3), (3, 1), (1, 4), (4, 1), (2, 4), (4, 2), (2, 5), (5, 2), (3, 5), (5, 3)\}\), and \(M_2 = \{(1, 2), (2, 1), (2, 3), (3, 2), (3, 4), (4, 3), (4, 5), (5, 4), (1, 5), (5, 1)\}\).

**Lemma 4.** Let \(K\) is a nonnegative integer. Then \(d(\mu, t_i)\) attains its infimum \(d_{\min}^{(i)}\) only at the following values of \(t_i\):

1. \(t_i = \lambda^K_{1} \cdot \frac{1 - \lambda_p}{\sin 18^\circ}, (i, p) \in M_1\), or
2. \(t_i = \lambda^K_{1} \cdot \min_{i \neq q, p \neq q} \{2 \sin 18^\circ (1 - \lambda_q)\}, (i, q) \in M_2\).

**Proof.** Since \(E\) is self-similar, then we need only to prove that the result is true when \(2\lambda_1 \sin 54^\circ < t_1 \leq 2\sin 54^\circ\) for \(i = 1\). Denote

- \(r_1 = \lambda_1 \sin 54^\circ\), \(r_2 = \min\{2(1 - \lambda_2) \sin 18^\circ, 2(1 - \lambda_5) \sin 18^\circ\}\),
- \(r_3 = 2\sin 54^\circ - \min\{2(1 - \lambda_2) \sin 18^\circ, 2(1 - \lambda_5) \sin 18^\circ\}\),
- \(r_3 = 2\sin 54^\circ - \max\{2\lambda_3 \sin 54^\circ, 2\lambda_4 \sin 54^\circ\}\).

**Case 1.** \(d(\mu, t_1)\) attains its infimum \(d_{\min}^{(1)}\) at the interval \((r_2, r_3)\). In this case, the line \(E_1 F_1\) intersects with \(f_2(E)\) or \(f_3(E)\). Therefore

\[
d(\mu, t_1) = \frac{\mu(t_1)}{t_1^s} = \frac{\lambda_1^s + \mu(t_1 - 2(1 - \lambda_2) \sin 18^\circ) + \mu(t_1 - 2(1 - \lambda_5) \sin 18^\circ)}{(r_2 + t_1 - r_2)^s} \\
\geq \frac{\lambda_1^s + \max\{\mu(t_1 - 2(1 - \lambda_2) \sin 18^\circ), \mu(t_1 - 2(1 - \lambda_5) \sin 18^\circ)\}}{(r_2 + t_1 - r_2)^s} \\
\geq \frac{\lambda_1^s + \max\{\mu(t_1 - (1 - \lambda_2)2 \sin 18^\circ), \mu(t_1 - (1 - \lambda_5)2 \sin 18^\circ)\}}{r_2^s + (t_1 - r_2)^s} \\
\geq \min \left\{ \frac{\lambda_1^s}{r_2^s}, \frac{\tau}{(t_1 - r_2)^s} \right\},
\]

where \(\tau = \max\{\mu(t_1 - (1 - \lambda_2)2 \sin 18^\circ), \mu(t_1 - (1 - \lambda_5)2 \sin 18^\circ)\}\). This contradicts the assumption that \(d(\mu, t_1)\) attains its infimum \(d_{\min}^{(1)}\) at the interval \((r_2, r_3)\).

**Case 2.** \(d(\mu, t_1)\) attains its infimum \(d_{\min}^{(1)}\) at \((r_4, 2\sin 54^\circ)\). In this case, the line \(E_1 F_1\) intersects with \(f_3(E)\) or \(f_4(E)\), then

\[
d(\mu, t_1) = \frac{\mu(t_1)}{t_1^s} = \frac{\lambda_1^s + \lambda_3^s + \max\{\mu(t_1 - 2\lambda_3 \sin 54^\circ), \mu(t_1 - 2\lambda_4 \sin 54^\circ)\}}{(r_4 + t_1 - r_4)^s}.
\]
two conditions:

This means that \( t_i = 2(1 - \lambda_2) \sin 18^\circ \) or \( t_i = 2(1 - \lambda_3) \sin 18^\circ \), and \( K = 0 \).

Case 4. \( d(\mu, t_1) \) attains its infimum \( d^{(1)}_{\min} \) at \((2\lambda_1 \sin 4^\circ, r_2)\). In this case, we have

\[
d(\mu, t_1) = \frac{\lambda_1^s}{t_1^i} \geq \frac{\lambda_1^s}{r_2^s}.
\]

This means that \( t_1 = 2(1 - \lambda_2) \sin 18^\circ \) or \( t_1 = 2(1 - \lambda_3) \sin 18^\circ \), and \( K = 0 \).

Similarly, if \( K > 0 \) and \( 2\lambda_1^{k+1} \sin 54^\circ < t_i < \lambda_1^k \sin 54^\circ \), we can prove that \( d(\mu, t_1) \) attains its infimum \( d^{(1)}_{\min} \) only at \( t_1 = \lambda_1^{k+1} \frac{1-\lambda_2}{2 \sin 18^\circ}, \lambda_1^k \frac{1-\lambda_2}{2 \sin 18^\circ}, 2\lambda_1^k (1 - \lambda_2) \sin 18^\circ \) and \( 2\lambda_1^k (1 - \lambda_3) \sin 18^\circ \).

**Lemma 5.** (see [1]) Let \( 0 < \alpha < 1, p \leq p_0, a \geq a_0, y \geq \lambda x^\alpha \). If \( 0 < x \leq \left( \frac{a_0 \lambda}{p_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} \), then \( \frac{p-y}{(a-x)^\alpha} \leq \frac{p}{a^\alpha} \).

**3. The Main Result**

Theorem 6. Let \( E \) be a self-similar set defined by Definition 3, \( 0 < \lambda_i < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2} - 2\sqrt{5}} \) \((i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5)\), \( s = \dim_H(E) \) and \( 0 < s < 1 \). Moreover the following two conditions:

1. \( \frac{\lambda_i^s + \lambda_j^s}{(1 - \lambda_i - \lambda_j)^s} \leq \left( \frac{1}{2 \sin 54^\circ} \right)^s \), for \( (i, j) \in M_2 \);

2. \( 2(\lambda_i + \lambda_j) \sin 54^\circ \leq \min\{2d^{(1)}_{\min} \sin 54^\circ, 2d^{(2)}_{\min} \sin 54^\circ\}^{\frac{1}{s}} \), for \( (i, j) \in M_1 \)
are satisfied. Then for any \( x \in E \), if the closed convex set \( U_x \) which contains \( x \) is the closure \( \bar{E}_0 \) of \( E_0 \), then
\[
\bar{D}_C^s(E, x) = \sup_{0 < |u_x|} \left\{ \frac{H^s(E \cap U_x)}{|U_x|^s} \right\} = \frac{H^s(E \cap \bar{E}_0)}{(2 \sin 54^\circ)^s} = 1.
\]

Proof. Let \( V \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \), \( V \cap E \neq \emptyset \) and \( V \subset \bar{E}_0 \) (if not, replacing \( V \) by \( V \cap \bar{E}_0 \). Denote
\[
d(V) = \frac{\mu(V)}{|V|^s}, \quad d_{\text{max}} = \sup_{0 < |v|} \{d(V), V \subset \bar{E}_0\},
\]
where \( \mu \) is the mass distribution of \( E \) defined as above. We now prove that if \( V = \bar{E}_0 \) then
\[
d_{\text{max}} = \frac{\mu(V)}{|V|^s} = \frac{\mu(\bar{E}_0)}{|E_0|^s}.
\]

Case 1. \( V \cap f_i(E) \neq \emptyset \) for all \( i \) (see Figure 2). In this case, we can select fine tangent lines of \( V \), denoted by \( E_iF_i \), such that \( E_iF_i \) is parallel to the opposite side of the vertex \( A_i \) for \( i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 \). Moreover, denote \( t_i = \frac{d_i}{\cos 18^\circ} \), where \( d_i \)
is the distance between vertex \( A_i \) and \( E_i F_i \), then
\[
|V| \geq 2 \sin 54^\circ - t_i - t_j, \text{ for } (i, j) \in M_1;
\]
\[
\mu(V) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{5} (\lambda_i^s - \mu(t_i)) = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{5} \mu(t_i).
\]
Therefore
\[
\frac{\mu(V)}{|V|^s} \leq \frac{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{5} \mu(t_i)}{(2 \sin 54^\circ - t_i - t_j)^s} \leq \frac{1 - (\mu(t_i) + \mu(t_j))}{(2 \sin 54^\circ - t_i - t_j)^s}.
\]
Replacing \( \alpha \) by \( s \), \( a \) and \( a_0 \) by \( 2 \sin 54^\circ \), \( p \) and \( p_0 \) by 1, respectively, in Lemma 5, employing Lemma 4, we have
\[
\frac{\mu(t_i) + \mu(t_j)}{(t_i + t_j)^s} \geq \frac{\mu(t_i) + \mu(t_j)}{t_i^s + t_j^s} \geq \min \left\{ \frac{\mu(t_i)}{t_i^s}, \frac{\mu(t_j)}{t_j^s} \right\} \geq \min \left\{ d_{\min}^{(i)}, d_{\min}^{(j)} \right\} \triangleq \lambda.
\]
Taking into account condition (2), we have
\[
0 < \lambda_i + \lambda_j \leq \min \left\{ \frac{2d_{\min}^{(i)} \sin 54^\circ, 2d_{\min}^{(j)} \sin 54^\circ}{2 \sin 54^\circ} \right\}^\frac{1}{1-s}
\]
\[
= \left[ \frac{(2 \sin 54^\circ)^s}{\sin 54^\circ} \right] \min \left\{ d_{\min}^{(i)}, d_{\min}^{(j)} \right\} \frac{1}{1-s}
\]
\[
\leq \left[ (2 \sin 54^\circ) \right] \frac{1}{1-s} \min \left\{ d_{\min}^{(i)}, d_{\min}^{(j)} \right\} \frac{1}{1-s} = \left( \frac{a_0 \lambda}{p_0} \right)^\frac{1}{1-s}.
\]
This means that the conditions of Lemma 5 are satisfied. Denote \( w = \lambda_i + \lambda_j \), then
\[
y = \mu(t_i) + \mu(t_j) \geq \lambda(t_i^s + t_j^s) \geq \lambda(t_i + t_j)^s = \lambda w.
\]
Therefore
\[
\frac{\mu(V)}{|V|^s} \leq \frac{p - y}{(a - w)^a} \leq \frac{1}{(2 \sin 54^\circ)^s}.
\]
That is
\[
d_{\max} = \frac{\mu(V)}{|V|^s} = \frac{\mu(\bar{E}_0)}{|\bar{E}_0|^s}.
\]

Case 2. There exist only four of five sets \( f_i(E) \) such that \( V \cap f_i(E) \neq \emptyset \). For convenience, let \( f_1(E), f_2(E), f_3(E) \) and \( f_4(E) \) be these four sets. Then
\[
|V| \geq 2 \sin 54^\circ - t_1 - t_3, \quad |V| \geq 2 \sin 54^\circ - t_1 - t_4, \quad |V| \geq 2 \sin 54^\circ - t_2 - t_4,
\]
and
\[
\mu(V) \leq 1 - \mu(t_1) - \mu(t_3), \quad \mu(V) \leq 1 - \mu(t_1) - \mu(t_4), \quad \mu(V) \leq 1 - \mu(t_2) - \mu(t_4).
\]
So,
\[ |V| \geq 2 \sin 54^\circ - t_i - t_j, \quad \mu(V) \leq 1 - \mu(t_i) - \mu(t_j), \] for \((i, j) \in M_3\),
where \(M_3 \triangleq M_1 \setminus \{(2, 5), (5, 2), (3, 5), (5, 3)\} \).

Therefore,
\[ \frac{\mu(V)}{|V|^s} \leq \frac{1 - (\mu(t_i) + \mu(t_j))}{(2 \sin 54^\circ - t_i - t_j)^s}, \quad (i, j) \in M_3. \]

Employing Lemma 5, we get
\[ \frac{\mu(V)}{|V|^s} \leq \frac{1}{(2 \sin 54^\circ)^s}. \]

That means that the result is still true.

**Case 3.** There exist only three of five sets \(f_i(E)\) such that \(V \cap f_i(E) \neq \emptyset\).
In this case, there exists \((i_0, j_0) \in M_1\) such that
\[ V \cap f_{i_0}(E) \neq \emptyset \text{ and } V \cap f_{j_0}(E) \neq \emptyset. \]

Similar to the proof of Case 2, we deduce that
\[ \frac{\mu(V)}{|V|^s} \leq \frac{1 - (\mu(t_{i_0}) + \mu(t_{j_0}))}{(2 \sin 54^\circ - t_{i_0} - t_{j_0})^s}. \]

Combining this result with Lemma 5, we obtain
\[ \frac{\mu(V)}{|V|^s} \leq \frac{1}{(2 \sin 54^\circ)^s}. \]

**Case 4.** There exist only two of five sets \(f_i(E)\) such that \(V \cap f_i(E) \neq \emptyset\).
Therefore, we can assume that there exists \((i, j)\) such that
\[ V \cap f_i(E) \neq \emptyset \text{ and } V \cap f_j(E) \neq \emptyset. \]
If \((i, j) \in M_1\) then we get the required result by Case 3. If \((i, j) \in M_2\), and assume \((i, j) = (1, 2)\), then
\[ \mu(V) \leq \lambda_1^s + \lambda_2^s - \mu(t_1) - \mu(t_2) \leq \lambda_1^s + \lambda_2^s \]
and
\[ |V| \geq 1 - \frac{d_1}{\cos 54^\circ} - \frac{d_2}{\cos 54^\circ} \geq 1 - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2. \]

From the condition (1), we have
\[ \frac{\mu(V)}{|V|^s} \leq \frac{\lambda_1^s + \lambda_2^s}{(1 - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^s} \leq \frac{1}{(2 \sin 54^\circ)^s}. \]

**Case 5.** There exists only one of five sets \(f_i(E)\) such that \(V \cap f_i(E) \neq \emptyset\),
for example, \( V \cap f_1(E) \neq \emptyset \). Notice the function of amplification of \( f_1^{-1} \), and

\[
\frac{\mu(V \cap f_1(E))}{|V \cap f_1(E)|^{s}} = \frac{\mu(f_1^{-1}(V \cap f_1(E)))}{\lambda_1^{-1}|V \cap f_1(E)|^{s}}.
\]

Denote \( V' = f_1^{-1}(V \cap f_1(E)) \), we can assume

\[
V' \cap f_i(E) \neq \emptyset, \quad V' \cap f_j(E) \neq \emptyset
\]

for some \((i, j)\) and the density is invariant, if not, then take \( f_1^{-1}(V') \) as \( V' \). Similar to the proof of the above case, we get the required result.

Therefore,

\[
d_{\max} = \frac{\mu(V)}{|V|^s} = \frac{\mu(\overline{E}_0)}{|\overline{E}_0|^s}.
\]

We finish the proof.

By the definition of probability of measure, we know that there exists constant number \( C \) such that \( \mu = CH^s \). So

\[
\bar{D}_C^s(E, x) = \sup_{0 < |u_x|} \left\{ \frac{H^s(E \cap U_x)}{|U_x|^s} \right\}
\]

attains the supremum at the set \( \overline{E}_0 \).

Combining Theorem 2.3 in [1] and Proposition 2 in [3], we get

\[
\bar{D}_C^s(E, x) = \sup_{0 < |u_x|} \left\{ \frac{H^s(E \cap U_x)}{|U_x|^s} \right\} = \frac{H^s(E \cap \overline{E}_0)}{(2 \sin 54^\circ)^s} = 1.
\]

Employing Theorem 6, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 7.** If the assumptions in Theorem 6 are satisfied, then \( \overline{E}_0 \) is the “best covering” of \( E \). That is

\[
H^s(E) = |\overline{E}_0| = (2 \sin 54^\circ)^s,
\]

where \( s = \text{dim}_H(E) \) satisfies \( \sum_{i=1}^{5} \lambda_i^s = 1 \).

4. Examples

**Example 8.** Let \( \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = \lambda_4 = \lambda_5 = \frac{1}{25} \), then \( s = \frac{1}{2} \). Moreover, we have:

\[
(1) \left( \frac{\lambda_i^s + \lambda_j^s}{1 - \lambda_i - \lambda_j} \right)^{s} = \frac{2}{25} \approx 0.0870 \leq \left( \frac{1}{2 \sin 54^\circ} \right)^s = 0.7862, \text{ for } (i, j) \in M_2;
\]
(2) for \((i, j) \in M_1\), \(2 \sin 54^\circ (\lambda_i + \lambda_j) \approx 0.1294\), 
\[d_{\min}^{(i)} = \left(\frac{2 \sin 54^\circ}{24}\right)^s \approx 0.2596,\]
\[\min \left\{ 2 \sin 54^\circ \cdot d_{\min}^{(i)}, 2 \sin 54^\circ \cdot d_{\min}^{(j)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{1-s}} = \left(\frac{\left(2 \sin 54^\circ \right)^{s+1}}{24^s}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-s}} = 0.1765.\]

Hence, the assumptions of Theorem 6 are satisfied. Therefore,
\[H^s(E) = (2 \sin 54^\circ)^s \approx 1.272.\]

**Example 9.** Let \(\lambda_1 = \lambda_3 = \lambda_5 = \frac{1}{625}\), \(\lambda_2 = \lambda_4 = \frac{1}{25}\). Since \(\sum_{i=1}^5 \lambda_i = 1\), then \(2 \left(\frac{1}{25}\right)^s + 3 \left(\frac{1}{625}\right)^s = 1\). Denote \(x = \left(\frac{1}{25}\right)^s\), then \(3x^2 + 3x - 1 = 0\) and \(s = \frac{1}{2} \log_5 3\). Then,
\[(1) \quad \left(\frac{1}{2 \sin 54^\circ}\right)^s = \left(\frac{1}{2 \sin 54^\circ}\right)^{\frac{1}{2} \log_5 3} \approx 0.7862, \text{ and for } (i, j) \in M_2,
\[\frac{\lambda_i^s + \lambda_j^s}{(1 - \lambda_i - \lambda_j)^s} = \left(\frac{1}{225}\right)^s + \left(\frac{1}{625}\right)^s \approx 0.6859,\]
or
\[\frac{\lambda_i^s + \lambda_j^s}{(1 - \lambda_i - \lambda_j)^s} = \left(\frac{1}{225}\right)^s + \left(\frac{1}{625}\right)^s \approx 0.4768,\]
or
\[\frac{\lambda_i^s + \lambda_j^s}{(1 - \lambda_i - \lambda_j)^s} = \left(\frac{1}{625}\right)^s + \left(\frac{1}{625}\right)^s \approx 0.2225.\]

(2) for \((i, j) \in M_1\), \(2 \sin 54^\circ (\lambda_i + \lambda_j) \approx 0.0337\) or \(0.0052\),
\[d_{\min}^{(1)} = d_{\min}^{(3)} = d_{\min}^{(5)} = \left(\frac{2 \sin 54^\circ}{624}\right)^{\frac{1}{2} \log_5 3} \approx 0.1309,\]
\[d_{\min}^{(2)} = d_{\min}^{(4)} = \left(\frac{2 \sin 54^\circ}{24}\right)^{\frac{1}{2} \log_5 3} \approx 0.3982,\]
\[\min \left\{ 2d_{\min}^{(i)} \sin 54^\circ, 2d_{\min}^{(j)} \sin 54^\circ \right\}^{\frac{1}{1-s}} = \left( (2 \sin 54^\circ) \cdot 0.1309 \right)^{\frac{1}{1-s}} = 0.0944.\]

So the assumptions of Theorem 6 are satisfied. Therefore,
\[H^s(E) = (2 \sin 54^\circ)^s = (2 \sin 54^\circ)^{\log_5 \sqrt{3}} \approx 1.183.\]
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