

ON DIFFERENTIAL OPERATOR FOR
MULTIVALENT FUNCTIONS

Maslina Darus^{1 §}, Rabha W. Ibrahim²

^{1,2}School of Mathematical Sciences

Faculty of Science and Technology

University Kebangsaan Malaysia

Selangor Darul Ehsan, Bangi, 43600, MALAYSIA

¹e-mail: maslina@ukm.my

²e-mail: rabhaibrahim@yahoo.com

Abstract: In this article we define a differential operator for multivalent functions in an open disk. Further, we define some classes containing this operator. Partial sums are considered.

AMS Subject Classification: 30C45

Key Words: differential operator, multivalent functions, partial sums

1. Introduction

Let $T(p)$ denote the class of functions f of the form

$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^{n+p} \quad (p \in \mathbf{N}, z \in U), \quad (1)$$

which are analytic and p -valent (multivalent) in the open unit disk $U = \{z : z \in \mathbf{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}$. Let be given two functions $f, g \in T(p)$, $f(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^{n+p}$ and $g(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} b_n z^n$. Then their *convolution* or *Hadamard product* $f(z) * g(z)$ is defined by $f(z) * g(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^{n+p}$ ($z \in U$). Define a function $\varphi_p(a, c; z)$ as follows

$$\varphi_p(a, c; z) := z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n}{(c)_n} z^{n+p}, \quad c \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots,$$

where $(a)_n$ is the Pochhammer symbol.

Received: June 23, 2010

© 2010 Academic Publications

[§]Correspondence author

Assuming that $a = k + p > 0$ and $c = 1$ for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ in $\varphi_p(a, c; z)$ yields

$$\varphi_p(k + p, 1; z) = z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(k + p)_n}{(1)_n} z^{n+p}. \quad (2)$$

Next we define the following differential operator $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda}^k : T(p) \rightarrow T(p)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} D^0 f(z) &= f(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^{n+p}, \\ D_{\lambda,p}^1 f(z) &= (1 - \lambda p)f(z) + \lambda z f'(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + \lambda n) a_n z^{n+p}, \\ &\vdots \\ D_{\lambda,p}^k f(z) &= z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + \lambda n)^k a_n z^{n+p} \quad (z \in U), \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where

$$(p \in \mathbf{N}, k \in \mathbf{N}_0, \lambda \geq 0).$$

Again by applying convolution product on (2) and (3) we have the following operator

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,p}^k f(z) &= \frac{z^p}{(1-z)^{k+p}} * D_{\lambda,p}^k f(z) \\ &= z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(k+p)_n}{(1)_n} (1 + \lambda n)^k a_n z^{n+p} \\ &= z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C(n, k) (1 + \lambda n)^k a_n z^{n+p} \quad (z \in U), \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where $C(n, k) := \frac{(k+p)_n}{(1)_n}$.

Remark 1. The symbol $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,p}^k f(z)$, when $\lambda = 0$, $p = 1$, was introduced by Ruscheweyh [2] and when $\lambda = 0$, see Goel and Sohi [1].

A function $f \in T(p)$ is said to be p -valent starlike of order μ , $0 \leq \mu < p$ if $\Re \left\{ \frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \mu$ ($z \in U$), this class is denoted by $S_p^*(\mu)$. A function $f \in T(p)$ is said to be p -valent convex of order μ , $0 \leq \mu < p$ if $\Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} > \mu$ ($z \in U$), this class is denoted by $C_p(\mu)$.

A function $f \in T(p)$ is said to be in the class $S_p^*(\mu, \lambda)$ of order μ , where $0 \leq \mu < p$ if $\Re \left\{ \frac{z [\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,p}^k f(z)]'}{\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,p}^k f(z)} \right\} > \mu$ ($z \in U$). A function $f \in T(p)$ is said to be

in the class $C_p(\mu, \lambda)$ of order μ , where $0 \leq \mu < p$ if $\Re\left\{1 + \frac{z[\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,p}^k f(z)]''}{[\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,p}^k f(z)]'}\right\} > \mu$ ($z \in U$).

For $0 \leq \alpha < p$ and $\beta \geq 0$, let $S_p^*(\alpha, \beta, \lambda)$ be the subclass of $T(p)$ consisting of functions of the form (1) satisfying the analytic criterion

$$\Re\left\{\frac{z[\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,p}^k f(z)]'}{\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,p}^k f(z)} - \alpha\right\} > \beta \left|\frac{z[\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,p}^k f(z)]'}{\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,p}^k f(z)} - p\right| \quad (z \in U). \tag{5}$$

Also, for $0 \leq \alpha < p$ and $\beta \geq 0$, let $C_p(\alpha, \beta, \lambda)$ be the subclass of $T(p)$ satisfying the analytic criterion

$$\Re\left\{1 + \frac{z[\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,p}^k f(z)]''}{[\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,p}^k f(z)]'} - \alpha\right\} > \beta \left|\frac{z[\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,p}^k f(z)]''}{[\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,p}^k f(z)]'} - (p-1)\right| \quad (z \in U). \tag{6}$$

The main aim of this work is to study coefficient bounds and extreme points of the general classes $S_p^*(\alpha, \beta, \lambda)$ and $C_p(\alpha, \beta, \lambda)$. Partial sums f_m of functions f in the classes $S_p^*(\alpha, \beta, \lambda)$ and $C_p(\alpha, \beta, \lambda)$ are considered.

2. The Classes $S_p^*(\alpha, \beta, \lambda)$ and $C_p(\alpha, \beta, \lambda)$

In this section we obtain a sufficient condition and extreme points for functions f in the classes $S_p^*(\alpha, \beta, \lambda)$ and $C_p(\alpha, \beta, \lambda)$.

Theorem 1. *A sufficient condition for a function f of the form (1) to be in $S_p^*(\alpha, \beta, \lambda)$, $0 \leq \alpha < p, \beta \geq 0$ is*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [(1 + \beta)n + (p - \alpha)]C(n, k)(1 + \lambda n)^k |a_n| < p - \alpha \quad (z \in U). \tag{7}$$

Proof. It suffices to show that

$$\beta \left|\frac{z[\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,p}^k f(z)]'}{\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,p}^k f(z)} - p\right| - \Re\left\{\frac{z[\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,p}^k f(z)]'}{\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,p}^k f(z)} - p\right\} \leq p - \alpha \quad (z \in U).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \beta \left|\frac{z[\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,p}^k f(z)]'}{\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,p}^k f(z)} - p\right| - \Re\left\{\frac{z[\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,p}^k f(z)]'}{\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,p}^k f(z)} - p\right\} &\leq (1 + \beta) \left|\frac{z[\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,p}^k f(z)]'}{\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,p}^k f(z)} - p\right| \\ &\leq \frac{(1 + \beta) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nC(n, k)(1 + \lambda n)^k |a_n| |z|^{n+p}}{1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C(n, k)(1 + \lambda n)^k |a_n| |z|^{n+p}} \\ &\leq \frac{(1 + \beta) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nC(n, k)(1 + \lambda n)^k |a_n|}{1 - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} C(n, k)(1 + \lambda n)^k |a_n|}. \end{aligned}$$

This last expression is bounded above by $(p - \alpha)$ if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [(1 + \beta)n + (p - \alpha)]C(n, k)(1 + \lambda n)^k |a_n| < p - \alpha,$$

and the proof is complete. □

In the same manner we can obtain the next result.

Theorem 2. *A sufficient condition for a function f of the form (1) to be in $C_p(\alpha, \beta, \lambda)$, $0 \leq \alpha < p, \beta \geq 0$ is*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n + p)[n(1 + \beta) + (p - \alpha)]C(n, k)(1 + \lambda n)^k |a_n| < p(p - \alpha). \tag{8}$$

Proof. It suffices to show that for $p \in \mathbf{N}$ $0 \leq \alpha < p, \beta \geq 0, z \in U$

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta \left| \frac{z[\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,p}^k f(z)]''}{[\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,p}^k f(z)]'} - (p - 1) \right| - \Re \left\{ \frac{z[\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,p}^k f(z)]''}{[\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,p}^k f(z)]'} - (p - 1) \right\} \leq p - \alpha, \\ & \beta \left| \frac{z[\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,p}^k f(z)]''}{[\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,p}^k f(z)]'} - (p - 1) \right| - \Re \left\{ \frac{z[\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,p}^k f(z)]''}{[\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,p}^k f(z)]'} - (p - 1) \right\} \\ & \leq (1 + \beta) \left| \frac{z[\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,p}^k f(z)]''}{[\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,p}^k f(z)]'} - (p - 1) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{(1 + \beta) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(n + p)C(n, k)(1 + \lambda n)^k |a_n| |z|^{n+p-1}}{p|z|^{p-1} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n + p)C(n, k)(1 + \lambda n)^k |a_n| |z|^{n+p-1}} \\ & \leq \frac{(1 + \beta) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(n + p)C(n, k)(1 + \lambda n)^k |a_n|}{p - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n + p)C(n, k)(1 + \lambda n)^k |a_n|}. \end{aligned}$$

This last expression is bounded above by $(p - \alpha)$ if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n + p)[n(1 + \beta) + (p - \alpha)]C(n, k)(1 + \lambda n)^k |a_n| < p(p - \alpha) \quad (p \in \mathbf{N}).$$

This completes the proof. □

3. Partial Sums

In this section, applying methods used by Silverman [3] and Silvia [4], we will investigate the ratio of a function defined in (1) to its sequence of partial sums

$$f_{m+p}(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=1}^m a_n z^{n+p} \quad (z \in U) \tag{9}$$

when the coefficients are small enough in order to satisfy either condition (7) or (8). More precisely, we will determine sharp lower bounds for

$$\Re\left\{\frac{f(z)}{f_{m+p}(z)}\right\}, \Re\left\{\frac{f_{m+p}(z)}{f(z)}\right\}, \Re\left\{\frac{f'(z)}{f'_{m+p}(z)}\right\} \text{ and } \Re\left\{\frac{f'_{m+p}(z)}{f'(z)}\right\}.$$

In the sequel, we will make use of the fact that $\Re\left\{\frac{(1+w(z))}{(1-w(z))}\right\} > 0$ ($z \in U$) if and only if $w(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n z^n$ satisfies the inequality $|w(z)| < |z|$.

Theorem 3. *Let f given by (1) satisfy (7). Then*

$$\Re\left\{\frac{f(z)}{f_{m+p}(z)}\right\} > 1 - \frac{p - \alpha}{[(1 + \beta)(m + p + 1) + (p - \alpha)]C(m + p + 1, k)(1 + \lambda(m + p + 1))^k}, \quad (10)$$

where $z \in U$, $p > \alpha$, $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

The result is sharp for every m with the extremal function

$$f(z) = z^p + \frac{p - \alpha}{[(1 + \beta)(m + p + 1) + (p - \alpha)]C(m + p + 1, k)(1 + \lambda(m + p + 1))^k} \times z^{m+p+1}. \quad (11)$$

Proof. Assume that $f \in T(p)$ satisfies (7). By setting

$$w(z) = \frac{[(1 + \beta)(m + p + 1) + (p - \alpha)]C(m + p + 1, k)(1 + \lambda(m + p + 1))^k}{p - \alpha} \times \left\{ \frac{f(z)}{f_{m+p}(z)} - \left(1 - \frac{p - \alpha}{[(1 + \beta)(m + p + 1) + (p - \alpha)]C(m + p + 1, k)(1 + \lambda(m + p + 1))^k}\right) \right\} := 1 + \frac{H_{m+p+1} \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} a_n z^n}{1 + \sum_{n=1}^m a_n z^n},$$

where

$$H_{m+p+1} := \frac{[(1 + \beta)(m + p + 1) + (p - \alpha)]C(m + p + 1, k)(1 + \lambda(m + p + 1))^k}{p - \alpha}.$$

Thus we find that

$$\left| \frac{w(z) - 1}{w(z) + 1} \right| \leq \frac{H_{m+p+1} \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} |a_n|}{2 - 2 \sum_{n=1}^m |a_n| - H_{m+p+1} \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} |a_n|} \leq 1 \quad (z \in U)$$

if and only if

$$2H_{m+p+1} \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} |a_n| \leq 2 - 2 \sum_{n=1}^m |a_n|$$

which is equivalent to

$$\sum_{n=1}^m |a_n| + H_{m+p+1} \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} |a_n| \leq 1. \tag{12}$$

In order to see that

$$f(z) = z^p + \frac{z^{m+p+1}}{H_{m+p+1}} \quad (z \in U)$$

gives a sharp result, we observe that for $z = re^{\frac{\pi i}{m+p}}$ ($z \in U$) that

$$\frac{f(z)}{f_{m+p}(z)} = 1 + \frac{z^{m+p}}{H_{m+p+1}} \rightarrow 1 - \frac{1}{H_{m+p+1}} \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow 1^-.$$

This completes the proof. □

Theorem 4. *Let f given by (1) satisfy (7). Then*

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{f_{m+p}(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \frac{[(1 + \beta)(m + p + 1) + (p - \alpha)]C(m + p + 1, k)(1 + \lambda(m + p + 1))^k}{(p - \alpha) + [(1 + \beta)(m + p + 1) + (p - \alpha)]C(m + p + 1, k)(1 + \lambda(m + p + 1))^k}, \tag{13}$$

where $z \in U$, $p > \alpha$, $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. The result is sharp for every m with an extremal function given by (11).

Proof. Assume that $f \in T(p)$ and satisfies (7). Write

$$\begin{aligned} w(z) = & \left(1 + \frac{[(1 + \beta)(m + p + 1) + (p - \alpha)]C(m + p + 1, k)(1 + \lambda(m + p + 1))^k}{p - \alpha} \right) \\ & \times \left\{ \frac{f_{m+p}(z)}{f(z)} \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{[(1 + \beta)(m + p + 1) + (p - \alpha)]C(m + p + 1, k)(1 + \lambda(m + p + 1))^k}{(p - \alpha) + [(1 + \beta)(m + p + 1) + (p - \alpha)]C(m + p + 1, k)(1 + \lambda(m + p + 1))^k} \right\} \\ = & 1 - \frac{(1 + H_{m+p+1}) \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} a_n z^n}{1 + \sum_{n=1}^m a_n z^n}, \end{aligned}$$

where H_{m+p+1} is defined in Theorem 3 This yields that

$$\left| \frac{w(z) - 1}{w(z) + 1} \right| \leq \frac{(1 + H_{m+p+1}) \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} |a_n|}{2 - 2 \sum_{n=p+1}^m |a_n| - (1 + H_{m+p+1}) \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} |a_n|} \leq 1 \quad (z \in U)$$

if and only if

$$2[(1 + H_{m+p+1}) \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} |a_n|] \leq 2 - 2 \sum_{n=2}^m |a_n|$$

or

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^m |a_n| + (1 + H_{m+p+1}) \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} |a_n| \leq 1, \tag{14}$$

which gives (13). The bound in (13) is sharp for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with the extremal function given by (11). This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 5. Let f given by (1) satisfy (7). Then

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{f'(z)}{f'_{m+p}(z)} \right\} \geq 1 - \frac{(m + p + 1)(p - \alpha)}{[(1 + \beta)(m + p + 1) + (p - \alpha)]C(m + p + 1, k)(1 + \lambda(m + p + 1))^k}, \tag{15}$$

where $z \in U, p > \alpha, m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

Proof. Assume that $f \in T(p)$ satisfies (7). Write

$$\begin{aligned} w(z) &= \frac{[(1 + \beta)(m + p + 1) + (p - \alpha)]C(m + p + 1, k)(1 + \lambda(m + p + 1))^k}{p - \alpha} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \frac{f'(z)}{f'_{m+p}(z)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left(1 - \frac{(m + p + 1)(p - \alpha)}{[(1 + \beta)(m + p + 1) + (p - \alpha)]C(m + p + 1, k)(1 + \lambda(m + p + 1))^k} \right) \right\} \\ &= \frac{1 + \frac{H_{m+p+1}}{(m+p+1)} \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{n+p}{p} a_n z^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n+p}{p} a_n z^n}{1 + \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{n+p}{p} a_n z^n} \\ &= 1 + \frac{\frac{H_{m+p+1}}{(m+p+1)} \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{n+p}{p} a_n z^n}{1 + \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{n+p}{p} a_n z^n}, \end{aligned}$$

where H_{m+p+1} is defined in Theorem 3. This implies

$$\left| \frac{w(z) - 1}{w(z) + 1} \right| \leq \frac{\frac{H_{m+p+1}}{m+p+1} \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{n+p}{p} |a_n|}{2 - 2 \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{n+p}{p} |a_n| - \frac{H_{m+p+1}}{m+p+1} \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{n+p}{p} |a_n|} \leq 1 \quad (z \in U)$$

if and only if

$$2\left[\frac{H_{m+p+1}}{m+p+1} \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{p} |a_n|\right] \leq 2 - 2 \sum_{n=p+1}^m \frac{n}{p} |a_n|,$$

i.e.

$$\sum_{n=1}^{m+p} \frac{n}{p} |a_n| + \frac{H_{m+p+1}}{m+p+1} \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{p} |a_n| \leq 1.$$

We therefore obtain (15). The result is sharp with functions given by (11). The proof of Theorem 5 is completed. \square

Theorem 6. Let f given by (1) satisfy (7). Then

$$\Re\left\{\frac{f'_m(z)}{f'(z)}\right\} \geq \frac{[(1+\beta)(m+p+1) + (p-\alpha)]C(m+p+1, k)(1+\lambda(m+p+1))^k}{(m+p+1)(p-\alpha) + [(1+\beta)(m+p+1) + (p-\alpha)]C(m+p+1, k)(1+\lambda(m+p+1))^k}. \tag{16}$$

Proof. Assume that $f \in T(p)$ satisfies (7). Consider

$$\begin{aligned} w(z) &= \left((m+p+1) + \frac{[(1+\beta)(m+p+1) + (p-\alpha)]C(m+p+1, k)(1+\lambda(m+p+1))^k}{p-\alpha} \right) \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \frac{f'_m(z)}{f'(z)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{[(1+\beta)(m+p+1) + (p-\alpha)]C(m+p+1, k)(1+\lambda(m+p+1))^k}{(m+p+1)(p-\alpha) + [(1+\beta)(m+p+1) + (p-\alpha)]C(m+p+1, k)(1+\lambda(m+p+1))^k} \right\} \\ &= 1 - \frac{(1 + \frac{H_{m+p+1}}{m+p+1}) \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{n+p}{p} a_n z^n}{1 + \sum_{n=2}^m \frac{n+p}{p} a_n z^n}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\left| \frac{w(z) - 1}{w(z) + 1} \right| \leq \frac{(1 + \frac{H_{m+p+1}}{m+p+1}) \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{n+p}{p} |a_n|}{2 - 2 \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{n+p}{p} |a_n| - (1 + \frac{H_{m+p+1}}{m+p+1}) \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{n+p}{p} |a_n|} \leq 1 \tag{z \in U}$$

if and only if

$$2\left[\left(1 + \frac{H_{m+p+1}}{m+p+1}\right) \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{n+p}{p} |a_n|\right] \leq 2 - 2 \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{n+p}{p} |a_n|,$$

i.e.

$$\sum_{n=1}^m \frac{n+p}{p} |a_n| + \left(1 + \frac{H_{m+p+1}}{m+p+1}\right) \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{n+p}{p} |a_n| \leq 1.$$

We therefore obtain (16). The result is sharp with functions given by (11). The proof of Theorem 6 is complete. \square

In the same manner as the proof of Theorems 3-6, we can show the following results:

Theorem 7. *Let f given by (1) satisfy (8). Then*

$$\Re\left\{\frac{f(z)}{f_{m+p}(z)}\right\} > 1 - \frac{p(p-\alpha)}{(m+p+1)[(1+\beta)(m+p+1) + (p-\alpha)]C(m+p+1, k)(1+\lambda(m+p+1))^k} \quad (z \in U). \quad (17)$$

The result is sharp for every m with the extremal function

$$f(z) = z^p + \frac{p(p-\alpha)}{(m+p+1)[(1+\beta)(m+p+1) + (p-\alpha)]C(m+p+1, k)(1+\lambda(m+p+1))^k} \times z^{m+p+1}. \quad (18)$$

Theorem 8. *Let f given by (1) satisfy (8). Then*

$$\Re\left\{\frac{f_{m+p}(z)}{f(z)}\right\} > \frac{(m+p+1)[(1+\beta)(m+p+1) + (p-\alpha)]C(m+p+1, k)(1+\lambda(m+p+1))^k}{p(p-\alpha) + (m+p+1)[(1+\beta)(m+p+1) + (p-\alpha)]C(m+p+1, k)(1+\lambda(m+p+1))^k}. \quad (19)$$

The result is sharp for every m with the extremal function given by (18).

Theorem 9. *Let f given by (1) satisfy (8). Then*

$$\Re\left\{\frac{f'(z)}{f'_{m+p}(z)}\right\} \geq 1 - \frac{p(m+p+1)(p-\alpha)}{(m+p+1)[(1+\beta)(m+p+1) + (p-\alpha)]C(m+p+1, k)(1+\lambda(m+p+1))^k}. \quad (20)$$

Theorem 10. *Let f given by (1) satisfy (8). Then*

$$\Re\left\{\frac{f'_m(z)}{f'(z)}\right\} \geq \frac{(m+p+1)[(1+\beta)(m+p+1) + (p-\alpha)]C(m+p+1, k)(1+\lambda(m+p+1))^k}{p(m+p+1)(p-\alpha) + (m+p+1)[(1+\beta)(m+p+1) + (p-\alpha)]C(m+p+1, k)(1+\lambda(m+p+1))^k}. \quad (21)$$

Acknowledgments

The work here was supported by UKM-ST-06-FRGS0107-2009, MOHE Malaysia.

References

- [1] R.M. Goel, N.S. Sohi, A new criterion for p -valent functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **78** (1980), 353-357.
- [2] St. Ruscheweyh, New criteria for univalent functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **49** (1975), 109-115.
- [3] H. Silverman, Partial sums of starlike and convex functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **209** (1997), 221-227.
- [4] E.M. Silvia, Partial sums of convex functions of order α , *Houston J. Math.*, **11**, No. 3 (1985), 397-404.