

SLANT WEIGHTED TOEPLITZ OPERATOR

S.C. Arora¹, Ritu Kathuria²§

¹Department of Mathematics

University of Delhi

Delhi, 110 007, INDIA

e-mail: scarora@maths.du.ac.in

²32/34, West Patel Nagar, New Delhi, 110 008, INDIA

e-mail: ritu.kathuria@yahoo.co.in

Abstract: Motivated by the definition of a weighted Toeplitz operator and that of a slant Toeplitz operator, we introduce and study the notion of a slant weighted Toeplitz operator.

AMS Subject Classification: 47B35

Key Words: weighted Toeplitz operator, slant weighted Toeplitz operator

1. Introduction

Let $\beta = \{\beta_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be a sequence of positive numbers with $\beta_0 = 1$ and $r \leq \frac{\beta_n}{\beta_{n+1}} \leq R$ where $0 < r, R \leq 1$.

Consider the spaces (see [5], [6])

$$L^2(\beta) = \left\{ f(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n z^n \mid a_n \in \mathbb{C}, \|f\|_{\beta}^2 = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 \beta_n^2 < \infty \right\}$$

and

$$H^2(\beta) = \left\{ f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n \mid a_n \in \mathbb{C}, \|f\|_{\beta}^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 \beta_n^2 < \infty \right\}.$$

Then $(L^2(\beta), \|\cdot\|_\beta)$ is a Hilbert space [5] with an orthonormal basis given by $\left\{e_k(z) = \frac{z^k}{\beta_k}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and with inner product defined by

$$\left\langle \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} b_n z^n \right\rangle = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n \bar{b}_n \beta_n^2.$$

Further, $(H^2(\beta), \|\cdot\|_\beta)$ is a subspace [5] of $L^2(\beta)$. Let $P : L^2(\beta) \rightarrow H^2(\beta)$ be the orthogonal projection of $L^2(\beta)$ onto $H^2(\beta)$. Now, let

$$L^\infty(\beta) = \left\{ \phi(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n z^n \mid \phi L^2(\beta) \subseteq L^2(\beta) \text{ and there is some } c \in \mathbb{R} \text{ such that } \|\phi f\|_\beta \leq c \|f\|_\beta \text{ for all } f \in L^2(\beta) \right\}.$$

Then $L^\infty(\beta)$ is a Banach space (see [5]) with respect to the norm defined by

$$\|\phi\|_\infty = \inf\{c \mid \|\phi f\|_\beta \leq c \|f\|_\beta \forall f \in L^2(\beta)\}.$$

Let $\phi \in L^\infty(\beta)$ and let $\phi(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n z^n$. Then the weighted Toeplitz operator $T_\phi^{(\beta)}$ on $H^2(\beta)$ with the symbol $\phi \in L^\infty(\beta)$ is defined [5] as:

$$T_\phi^{(\beta)}(f) = P(\phi f).$$

The above mapping is well defined, if $f \in H^2(\beta) \subset L^2(\beta)$, then by definition, $\phi f \in L^2(\beta)$ and hence $P(\phi f) \in H^2(\beta)$.

Now the matrix of $T_\phi^{(\beta)}$ is given by the following:

$$\begin{aligned} T_\phi^{(\beta)} e_0(z) &= P\left(\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n z^n \frac{z^0}{\beta_0}\right) = P\left(\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\beta_0} a_n \beta_n\right) e_n(z)\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\beta_0} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \beta_n e_n(z), \\ T_\phi^{(\beta)} e_1(z) &= P\left(\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n z^n \frac{z}{\beta_1}\right) = P\left(\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{a_n \beta_{n+1}}{\beta_1}\right) e_{n+1}(z)\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\beta_1} \sum_{n=-1}^{\infty} a_n \beta_{n+1} e_{n+1}(z). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$T_\phi^{(\beta)} e_2(z) = P\left(\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{a_n \beta_{n+2}}{\beta_2}\right) e_{n+2}(z)\right) \text{ and so on.}$$

So the matrix of $T_\phi^{(\beta)}$ is

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_0 \frac{\beta_0}{\beta_0} & a_{-1} \frac{\beta_0}{\beta_1} & a_{-2} \frac{\beta_0}{\beta_2} & \dots \\ a_1 \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_0} & a_0 \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_1} & a_{-1} \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_2} & \dots \\ a_2 \frac{\beta_2}{\beta_0} & a_1 \frac{\beta_2}{\beta_1} & a_0 \frac{\beta_2}{\beta_2} & \dots \\ a_3 \frac{\beta_3}{\beta_0} & a_2 \frac{\beta_3}{\beta_1} & a_1 \frac{\beta_3}{\beta_2} & a_0 \frac{\beta_3}{\beta_3} \\ \dots & a_3 \frac{\beta_4}{\beta_1} & a_2 \frac{\beta_4}{\beta_2} & a_1 \frac{\beta_4}{\beta_3} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Further, if $M_\phi^{(\beta)}$ is the weighted multiplication operator $M_\phi^{(\beta)} : L^2(\beta) \rightarrow L^2(\beta)$ defined as

$$M_\phi^{(\beta)} e_k(z) = \frac{1}{\beta_k} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n \beta_{n+k} e_{n+k}(z),$$

then the matrix of $M_\phi^{(\beta)}$ is as follows

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & a_0 \frac{\beta_0}{\beta_0} & a_{-1} \frac{\beta_0}{\beta_1} & a_{-2} \frac{\beta_0}{\beta_2} & \dots \\ \dots & a_1 \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_0} & a_0 \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_1} & a_{-1} \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_2} & \dots \\ \dots & a_2 \frac{\beta_2}{\beta_0} & a_1 \frac{\beta_2}{\beta_1} & a_0 \frac{\beta_2}{\beta_2} & \dots \\ \dots & a_3 \frac{\beta_3}{\beta_0} & a_2 \frac{\beta_3}{\beta_2} & a_1 \frac{\beta_3}{\beta_2} & \dots \end{bmatrix}.$$

2. Slant Weighted Toeplitz Operator

Let $\phi(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ be a bounded measurable function on the unit circle \mathbb{T} [4]. We introduce the notion of slant weighted Toeplitz operator as follows:

The slant weighted Toeplitz operator $A_\phi^{(\beta)}$ is an operator on $L^2(\beta)$ defined as

$$A_\phi^{(\beta)} : L^2(\beta) \rightarrow L^2(\beta)$$

such that

$$A_\phi^{(\beta)} e_k(z) = \frac{1}{\beta_k} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{2n-k} \beta_n e_n(z).$$

This function is well defined as an everywhere defined matrix transformation is bounded, see [2].

The matrix of $A_\phi^{(\beta)}$ with respect to the basis $\left\{ e_k(z) = \frac{z^k}{\beta_k} \right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of $L^2(\beta)$ is obtained in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} A_\phi^{(\beta)} e_0(z) &= \frac{1}{\beta_0} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{2n} \beta_n e_n(z), \\ A_\phi^{(\beta)} e_1(z) &= \frac{1}{\beta_1} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{2n-1} \beta_n e_n(z), \\ A_\phi^{(\beta)} e_2(z) &= \frac{1}{\beta_2} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{2n-2} \beta_n e_n(z) \text{ and so on.} \end{aligned}$$

Hence the matrix of $A_\phi^{(\beta)}$ is the infinite matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & a_0 \frac{\beta_0}{\beta_0} & a_{-1} \frac{\beta_0}{\beta_1} & a_{-2} \frac{\beta_0}{\beta_2} & a_{-3} \frac{\beta_0}{\beta_3} & \dots \\ \dots & a_2 \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_0} & a_1 \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_1} & a_0 \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_2} & a_{-1} \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_3} & \dots \\ \dots & a_4 \frac{\beta_2}{\beta_0} & a_3 \frac{\beta_2}{\beta_1} & a_2 \frac{\beta_2}{\beta_2} & a_1 \frac{\beta_2}{\beta_3} & \dots \\ \dots & a_6 \frac{\beta_3}{\beta_0} & a_5 \frac{\beta_3}{\beta_1} & a_4 \frac{\beta_3}{\beta_2} & a_3 \frac{\beta_3}{\beta_3} & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus we see that this is the matrix obtained by simply eliminating every alternate row of the matrix of the weighted multiplication operator $M_\phi^{(\beta)}$ and

multiplying each i th row by $\frac{\beta_i}{\beta_{2i}}$. Now consider

$$W : L^2(\beta) \rightarrow L^2(\beta)$$

such that

$$We_{2n}(z) = \frac{\beta_n}{\beta_{2n}}e_n(z)$$

and

$$We_{2n-1}(z) = 0 \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Then the matrix of W is

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \frac{\beta_0}{\beta_0} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ \dots & 0 & 0 & \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_2} & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{\beta_2}{\beta_4} & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{bmatrix}$$

and $\|W\| = \sup_n \left| \frac{\beta_n}{\beta_{2n}} \right| \leq 1$. We see the following

Theorem 2.1. (i) $A_\phi^{(\beta)} = WM_\phi^{(\beta)}$.

(ii) $W = A_1^{(\beta)}$.

Proof. (i) One can easily check that

$$\begin{aligned} WM_\phi^{(\beta)}e_k(z) &= W \left(\frac{1}{\beta_k} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n \beta_{n+k} e_{n+k}(z) \right) \\ &= W \frac{1}{\beta_k} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{n-k} \beta_n e_n(z) \\ &= \frac{1}{\beta_k} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{2n-k} \beta_n e_n(z) \\ &= A_\phi^{(\beta)}e_k(z). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Take $\phi(z) = 1$ for all $z \in \mathbb{T}$, in the above result, then

$$A_1^{(\beta)} = WM_1^{(\beta)} = W.$$

□

Hence we can give now an alternate definition of the slant weighted Toeplitz operator $A_\phi^{(\beta)}$ as

$$A_\phi^{(\beta)}(f) = WM_\phi^{(\beta)}(f) = W\phi f.$$

Let W^* denote the Hilbert adjoint operator (see [4]) of W . Then for each f in $L^2(\beta)$ and for each ϕ in $L^\infty(\beta)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle W^*e_j(z), e_{2i-1}(z) \rangle &= \langle e_j(z), We_{2i-1} \rangle \\ &= \langle e_j(z), 0 \rangle \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle W^*e_j(z), e_{2i}(z) \rangle &= \langle e_j(z), We_{2i}(z) \rangle \\ &= \frac{\beta_i}{\beta_{2i}} \langle e_j(z), e_i(z) \rangle \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{\beta_i}{\beta_{2i}} & \text{if } i = j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} W^*e_n(z) &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \langle W^*e_n(z), e_{2k}(z) \rangle e_{2k}(z) \\ &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \langle e_n(z), We_{2k}(z) \rangle e_{2k}(z) \\ &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left\langle e_n(z), \frac{\beta_k}{\beta_{2k}} e_k(z) \right\rangle e_{2k}(z) \\ &= \frac{\beta_n}{\beta_{2n}} e_{2n}(z) \text{ for each } n \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Thus } W^*e_n(z) = \frac{\beta_n}{\beta_{2n}} e_{2n}(z).$$

Theorem 2.2. (i) P reduces W .

(ii) $WM_z^{(\beta)}W^* = 0$.

Proof. (i)

$$\begin{aligned} PW e_{2n}(z) &= P \frac{\beta_n}{\beta_{2n}} e_n(z) \\ &= \frac{\beta_n}{\beta_{2n}} e_n(z) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= We_{2n}(z) \\ &= WPe_{2n}(z) \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} PW_{e_{2n+1}}(z) = PO = O &= We_{2n+1}(z) \\ &= WPe_{2n+1}(z) \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}. \end{aligned}$$

For negative integers n ,

$$\begin{aligned} PWe_n(z) &= P(We_n(z)) \\ &= 0 \\ &= W(0) = WPe_n(z). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $PW = WP$.

Again for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} PW^*e_n(z) = P\frac{\beta_n}{\beta_{2n}}e_{2n}(z) &= \frac{\beta_n}{\beta_{2n}}e_{2n}(z) = W^*e_n(z) \\ &= W^*Pe_n(z). \end{aligned}$$

For negative integers n ,

$$\begin{aligned} PW^*e_n(z) &= P\left(\frac{\beta_n}{\beta_{2n}}e_{2n}(z)\right) \\ &= 0 = W^*(0) \\ &= W^*Pe_n(z). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $PW^* = W^*P$.

Hence P reduces W .

(ii) Let $f \in L^2(\beta)$. Then W^*f lies in the closed span of $\{e_{2k}(z) : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and so $z(W^*f)$ belongs to the closed span of $\{e_{2k-1}(z) : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Therefore, $W(zW^*f) = 0$ for each f in $L^2(\beta)$. Hence $WM_zW^* = 0$. \square

Further, since $M_\phi^{(\beta)}$ is a bounded operator on $L^2(\beta)$ and $A_\phi^{(\beta)} = WM_\phi^{(\beta)}$, we have

$$\|A_\phi^{(\beta)}\| \leq \|W\| \|M_\phi^{(\beta)}\| \leq \|M_\phi^{(\beta)}\|.$$

Hence $A_\phi^{(\beta)}$ is also a bounded operator on $L^2(\beta)$.

Lemma. *If $h(z)$ is an $L^2(\beta)$ function, then $h(z^2)$ is also an $L^2(\beta)$ function. Further,*

$$\|h(z^2)\|_\beta \leq \|h(z)\|_\beta.$$

Proof. Let $h(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha_n z^n$ be an $L^2(\beta)$ function. Hence

$$\|h(z)\|_{\beta}^2 = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |\alpha_n|^2 \beta_n^2 < \infty. \quad (1)$$

Also, then

$$h(z^2) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha_n z^{2n} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha_n \beta_{2n} e_{2n}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|h(z^2)\|_{\beta}^2 &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |\alpha_n|^2 \beta_{2n}^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |\alpha_n|^2 \beta_{2n}^2 \times \frac{\beta_n^2}{\beta_{2n}^2} \quad \text{as } \frac{\beta_n}{\beta_{n+1}} \leq 1 \quad \forall n. \\ &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |\alpha_n|^2 \beta_n^2 < \infty \quad \text{by (1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $h(z^2)$ is also an $L^2(\beta)$ function.

Further we observe that

$$\|h(z^2)\|_{\beta}^2 \leq \|h(z)\|_{\beta}^2,$$

or equivalently,

$$\|h(z^2)\|_{\beta} \leq \|h(z)\|_{\beta}. \quad (2)$$

□

Theorem 2.3. If $\beta = \{\beta_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a sequence of positive numbers with $\beta_0 = 1$ and $r \leq \frac{\beta_n}{\beta_{n+1}} \leq R$ where $0 < r, R \leq 1$, then a bounded operator T on $L^2(\beta)$ is a slant weighted Toeplitz operator if and only if

$$M_z^{(\beta)} T = T M_{z^2}^{(\beta)}.$$

Proof. Let $T = A_{\phi}^{(\beta)}$ be a slant weighted Toeplitz operator. Then

$$\begin{aligned} M_z^{(\beta)} T e_k(z) &= M_z^{(\beta)} A_{\phi}^{(\beta)} e_k(z) \\ &= M_z^{(\beta)} \left(\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{a_{2n-k}}{\beta_k} \beta_n e_n(z) \right) \\ &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{a_{2n-k}}{\beta_k} \beta_{n+1} e_{n+1}(z). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
 TM_{z^2}^{(\beta)} e_k(z) &= A_\phi^{(\beta)} M_{z^2}^{(\beta)} e_k(z) = A_\phi^{(\beta)} \left(\frac{1}{\beta_k} \beta_{k+2} e_{k+2}(z) \right) \\
 &= \frac{\beta_{k+2}}{\beta_k} \frac{1}{\beta_{k+2}} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{2n-k-2} \beta_n e_n(z) \\
 &= \frac{1}{\beta_k} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{2n-k} \beta_{n+1} e_{n+1}(z). \tag{4}
 \end{aligned}$$

From (3) and (4) we get

$$M_z^{(\beta)} T_{e_k}(z) = TM_{z^2}^{(\beta)} e_k(z) \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Hence $M_z^{(\beta)} T = TM_{z^2}^{(\beta)}$.

Now for sufficiency, suppose T satisfies $M_z^{(\beta)} T = TM_{z^2}^{(\beta)}$. Then we claim that $T = A_\phi^{(\beta)}$ for some ϕ in $L^\infty(\beta)$.

Let $f(z) = (T1)(z^2)$ and

$$g(z) = \bar{z}(Tz)(z^2).$$

Then [4] for any h in $L^2(\beta)$,

$$M_{h(z)} T = TM_{h(z^2)}.$$

Therefore for all f in $L^2(\beta)$,

$$M_{h(z)} T f = TM_{h(z^2)} f.$$

Thus

$$h \cdot (Tf) = T(h(z^2))f. \tag{5}$$

Taking $f = 1$ in (5) we get

$$h \cdot (T1) = T(h(z^2)1). \tag{6}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|h \cdot (T1)\|_\beta &= \|T(h(z^2))\|_\beta \\
 &\leq \|T\| \|h(z^2)\|_\beta \\
 &\leq \|T\| \|h(z)\|_\beta \text{ by (2)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence the multiplication on $L^2(\beta)$ by the function $T1$ is bounded, which means that $T1$ is a bounded function. Hence $f = (T1)(z^2)$ is bounded by the lemma above.

Similarly, we get that

$$\|\bar{z}h(z)(Tz)\|_\beta = \|\bar{z}(Tz)h(z^2)\|_\beta \leq \|T\| \|h\|_\beta. \tag{7}$$

So $g = \bar{z}(Tz)(z^2)$ is bounded. Hence $\phi = f + g$ is an $L^\infty(\beta)$ function.

Now we show that $T = A_\phi^{(\beta)}$. Let F be a function in $L^2(\beta)$. We can write

$$F = P(z^2) + zQ(z^2) \text{ for some } P \text{ and } Q \text{ in } L^2(\beta).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} A_\phi^{(\beta)} F &= WM_\phi^{(\beta)} F = W(\phi F) \\ &= W \{ [(T1)(z^2) + \bar{z}(Tz)(z^2)][P(z^2) + zQ(z^2)] \} \\ &= W \{ [(T1)(z^2)P(z^2) + (T1)(z^2)zQ(z^2) + \bar{z}(Tz)(z^2)P(z^2) \\ &\quad + (Tz)(z^2)Q(z^2)] \}. \end{aligned}$$

As W eliminates terms with odd Fourier coefficients, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} A_\phi^{(\beta)} F &= W[(T1)(z^2)P(z^2) + (z^2)(Tz)Q(z^2)] \\ &= (T1)P(z^2) + (Tz)Q(z^2) \\ &= T(P(z^2) + zQ(z^2)) \\ &= TF. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $T = A_\phi^{(\beta)}$. □

References

- [1] S.C. Arora, R. Kathuria, On a weighted Toeplitz operator, *Communicated*.
- [2] L.W. Cowen, N. Dunford, Transformations on sequence spaces, *Duke Math. J.*, **3** (1937), 689-701.
- [3] P.R. Halmos, *A Hilbert Space Problem Book*, Springer-Verlag, New York (1979).
- [4] M.C. Ho, Properties of slant Toeplitz operator, *Indiana University Mathematics Journal*, **45**, No. 3 (1996).
- [5] V. Lauric, On a weighted Toeplitz operator, *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, **6** (2005), 823-835.
- [6] A.L. Shields, Weighted shift operators and analytic function theory, topics in operator theory, *Math. Surveys*, **13**, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, R.I. (1974).