

OSCILLATION CRITERIA FOR RETARDED  
FUNCTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL SYSTEMS

Ana M. Pedro

Departamento de Matemática  
Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia  
Universidade Nova de Lisboa

Quinta da Torre, 2825-114, Monte de Caparica, PORTUGAL

**Abstract:** Several criteria are given for having the retarded functional differential systems  $\frac{d}{dt}x(t) = \int_{-1}^0 x(t-r(\theta))dq(\theta)$  oscillatory, depending upon the smoothness of the delay function  $r(\theta)$ .

**AMS Subject Classification:** 34K11

**Key Words:** retarded functional differential systems, oscillations

1. Introduction

The purpose of this note is to investigate the oscillatory behavior of the solutions of the functional differential system

$$\frac{d}{dt}x(t) + \int_{-1}^0 x(t-r(\theta))d[q(\theta)] = 0, \quad (1)$$

where  $x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $r(\theta)$  is a positive real differentiable function on  $[-1, 0]$  and  $q(\theta)$  is a real  $n \times n$  matrix valued function of bounded variation on  $[-1, 0]$ .

The case of (1) more common in the literature on the oscillatory behavior of retarded functional differential systems (see [1] and [2]), is when the delay function is  $r(\theta) = -r\theta$  for every  $\theta \in [-1, 0]$ . An important new aspect of the system is that it gives the possibility to the delays of playing some role in order to obtain new oscillatory criteria.

The system (1) appears in [3] for continuous delay functions  $r(\theta)$ . Further we assume that the delays  $r(\theta)$  are differentiable functions. For that purpose, we will consider  $r(\theta)$  in the set  $D^+$ , of all differentiable and positive functions on  $[-1, 0]$ . This work is a continuation of the paper [7] where some criteria for having systems of this type nonoscillatory were obtained.

Several criteria for having retarded functional difference systems oscillatory or nonoscillatory have been given in [6]. In this work we will use similar methods, to those used in [6], to establish conditions under which the system (1) is oscillatory. However the treatment of systems of type (1) is substantially different comparing with the systems considered in [6].

It will be also considered the relevant class of the differential difference systems

$$\frac{d}{dt}x(t) + \sum_{j=1}^p A_j x(t - r_j) = 0, \quad (2)$$

where the  $A_j$  are nonzero real matrices in  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  and each  $r_j$  is a positive real number ( $j = 1, \dots, p$ ). As it is well-known, this equation can be obtained from (1), under the assumption that  $q(\theta)$  is a step function with a number  $p$  of jump points. More concretely it can be obtained from (1) with  $q(\theta)$  given explicitly, for example, by

$$q(\theta) = \sum_{j=1}^p Q(\theta - \theta_j) A_j, \quad (3)$$

where  $-1 = \theta_1 < \dots < \theta_p < \theta_{p+1} = 0$ ,  $Q(\theta - \theta_j) = 0$  if  $\theta \leq \theta_j$ ,  $Q(\theta - \theta_j) = 1$  if  $\theta > \theta_j$ , and the delays,  $r_j$ , are obtained through any function  $r(\theta) \in D^+$  satisfying  $r(\theta_j) = r_j$  for  $j = 1, \dots, p$ .

Define

$$\|r\| = \max_{-1 \leq \theta \leq 0} r(\theta).$$

By a solution of (1) we mean a continuous function  $x : [-\|r\|, \infty[ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ , which is differentiable on  $[0, +\infty[$  in manner that (1) is satisfied for every  $t \geq 0$ . A solution  $x(t) = [x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t)]$  is said oscillatory whenever each  $x_k(t)$  ( $k = 1, \dots, n$ ) has an infinite number of zeros; otherwise  $x(t)$  will be said nonoscillatory. When all solutions are oscillatory the system (1) is called oscillatory.

According to [4], the analysis of the oscillatory behavior of solutions of the system (1) can be based upon the existence or absence of real zeros of the

characteristic equation

$$\det \left[ \lambda I + \int_{-1}^0 \exp(-\lambda r(\theta)) d[q(\theta)] \right] = 0, \tag{4}$$

where by  $I$  we mean the  $n \times n$  identity matrix. In fact, in this framework, one can conclude that (1) is oscillatory if and only if (4) has no real roots.

Given a function  $\phi$  of bounded variation, we define  $\phi^+$  by the following equality

$$\phi^+(\alpha, \beta) = \phi(\beta) - \phi(\alpha). \tag{5}$$

The matrix measures of a matrix (also called logarithm norms) will play an important role in the following section. To each induced norm,  $\|\cdot\|$ , in  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , we associate a matrix measure  $\mu : \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , which is defined for any  $C \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  as

$$\mu(C) = \lim_{y \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\|I + yC\| - 1}{y}.$$

Well-known matrix measures of a matrix  $C = [c_{jk}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  are, for example,

$$\mu_1(C) = \max \left\{ c_{kk} + \sum_{j \neq k} |c_{jk}| : k = 1, \dots, n \right\},$$

$$\mu_\infty(C) = \max \left\{ c_{jj} + \sum_{k \neq j} |c_{jk}| : j = 1, \dots, n \right\},$$

which correspond, respectively, to the induced norms in  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  given by:

$$\|C\|_1 = \max \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n |c_{jk}| : k = 1, \dots, n \right\},$$

$$\|C\|_\infty = \max \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n |c_{jk}| : j = 1, \dots, n \right\}.$$

These matrix measures have some well-known properties which can be found in [5, Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2]. In particular, we have:

(i)  $\mu(C_1) - \mu(-C_2) \leq \mu(C_1 + C_2) \leq \mu(C_1) + \mu(C_2)$  ( $C_1, C_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ).

(ii)  $\mu(\alpha C) = \alpha \mu(C)$ , for every  $\alpha \geq 0$ .

(iii)  $-\mu(-C) \leq \operatorname{Re} \lambda_i(C) \leq \mu(C)$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, d$ , where  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_i(C)$  denotes the real part of the eigenvalue  $\lambda_i(C)$  of  $C$ .

If  $\phi \in BV_n$ , the Banach space of all real functions of bounded variation,

the continuity of  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  implies that  $\mu \circ \phi \in BV$ ; as a consequence, with  $[a, b] \subset [-1, 0]$ , the following inequalities hold:

(iv) If  $\varphi \in C([a, b]; \mathbb{R})$  is nonincreasing and positive, then

$$\mu \left( \int_a^b \varphi(\theta) d[\phi(\theta)] \right) \leq \int_a^b \varphi(\theta) d(\mu(\phi^+(a, \theta))).$$

(v) If  $\varphi \in C([a, b]; \mathbb{R})$  is nondecreasing and positive, then

$$\mu \left( \int_a^b \varphi(\theta) d[\phi(\theta)] \right) \leq - \int_a^b \varphi(\theta) d(\mu(\phi^+(\theta, b))).$$

## 2. Oscillation Criteria

Let us define

$$F(\lambda) = \lambda I - \int_{-1}^0 \exp(-\lambda r(\theta)) d[q(\theta)].$$

**Lemma 1.** *If  $\mu(-F(\lambda)) < 0$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , then the system (1) is oscillatory.*

*Proof.* By property (iii) of the matrix measures 5, we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \lambda_i(-F(\lambda)) \leq \mu(-F(\lambda)) < 0 \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, n, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then  $\det(-F(\lambda)) \neq 0$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  and the system (1) is oscillatory.  $\square$

With  $-1 \leq a \leq b \leq 0$ , let  $D^+(a, b)$  be the family of all functions in  $D^+$  which are increasing on  $[-1, a]$ , constant on  $[a, b]$  and decreasing on  $[b, 0]$ . In the case of having  $a = b = \theta_0$  with  $\theta_0 \in [-1, 0]$  we obtain the family  $D^+(\theta_0)$  of all differentiable and positive functions which are increasing on  $[-1, \theta_0]$  and decreasing on  $[\theta_0, 0]$ . If  $\theta_0 = -1$ ,  $D_d^+$  is the class of all positive differentiable and decreasing functions on  $[-1, 0]$ . For  $\theta_0 = 0$  we obtain the family  $D_i^+$  of all positive differentiable and increasing functions on  $[-1, 0]$ . For these families of delays we start by stating the following oscillatory situation.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $q \in BV_n$  be such that*

$$\mu(q^+(-1, 0)) < 0 \tag{6}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(q^+(-1, \theta)) \leq 0, \quad \mu(q^+(\theta, a)) \leq 0 \quad \text{for every } \theta \in [-1, a], \\ \mu(q^+(b, \theta)) \leq 0, \quad \mu(q^+(\theta, 0)) \leq 0 \quad \text{for every } \theta \in [b, 0], \\ \mu(q^+(-1, a)) + \mu(q^+(b, 0)) + \mu(q^+(a, b)) < 0. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

*If  $r \in D^+(a, b)$  and*

$$\int_b^0 \mu(q^+(b, \theta)) d \log r(\theta) - \int_{-1}^a \mu(q^+(\theta, a)) d \log r(\theta) < \frac{e}{r(a)} [\log(r(a) |\mu(q^+(-1, a)) + \mu(q^+(b, 0)) + \mu(q^+(a, b))|) + 1] \quad (8)$$

then (1) is oscillatory.

*Proof.* With  $r \in D^+(a, b)$  and  $\lambda = 0$ , we have by (6)

$$\mu(-F(0)) = \mu\left(\int_{-1}^0 d[q(\theta)]\right) = \mu(q(0) - q(-1)) = \mu(q^+(-1, 0)) < 0.$$

For  $\lambda < 0$  by, using the property (i) of matrix measures, we have

$$\mu(-F(\lambda)) \leq -\lambda + \mu\left(\int_{-1}^0 \exp(-\lambda r(\theta)) d[q(\theta)]\right).$$

Then, by the properties (i), (iv) and (v) of matrix measures, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} -\mu(-F(\lambda)) &\geq \lambda - \mu\left(\int_{-1}^a \exp(-\lambda r(\theta)) d[q(\theta)]\right) - \\ &\quad - \mu\left(\int_a^b \exp(-\lambda r(\theta)) d[q(\theta)]\right) - \mu\left(\int_b^0 \exp(-\lambda r(\theta)) d[q(\theta)]\right) \\ &\geq \lambda + \int_{-1}^a \exp(-\lambda r(\theta)) d\mu(q^+(\theta, a)) \\ &\quad - \exp(-\lambda r(a)) \mu(q^+(a, b)) - \int_b^0 \exp(-\lambda r(\theta)) d\mu(q^+(b, \theta)). \end{aligned}$$

Integrating by parts each one of the above integrals, using (7) and since

$$\exp(-\lambda r(a)) \geq \exp(-\lambda r(-1)), \quad \exp(-\lambda r(a)) \geq \exp(-\lambda r(0)),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\mu(-F(\lambda)) &\geq \lambda + \exp(-\lambda r(a)) |\mu(q^+(-1, a)) + \mu(q^+(b, 0)) + \mu(q^+(a, b))| \\ &\quad - \lambda \left[ \int_b^0 \exp(-\lambda r(\theta)) \mu(q^+(b, \theta)) dr(\theta) - \int_{-1}^a \exp(-\lambda r(\theta)) \mu(q^+(\theta, a)) dr(\theta) \right], \end{aligned}$$

We have  $\lambda r(\theta) \exp(-\lambda r(\theta)) < e^{-1}$  for every  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\theta \in [-1, 0]$ . Then, using (7)

$$\begin{aligned} -\mu(-F(\lambda)) &\geq \lambda + \exp(-\lambda r(a)) |\mu(q^+(-1, a)) + \mu(q^+(b, 0)) + \mu(q^+(a, b))| \\ &\quad - e^{-1} \left[ \int_b^0 \mu(q^+(b, \theta)) d \log r(\theta) - \int_{-1}^a \mu(q^+(\theta, a)) d \log r(\theta) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The function

$$\varphi(\lambda) = \lambda + \exp(-\lambda r(a)) |\mu(q^+(-1, a)) + \mu(q^+(b, 0)) + \mu(q^+(a, b))|$$

$$-e^{-1} \left[ \int_b^0 \mu(q^+(b, \theta)) d \log r(\theta) - \int_{-1}^a \mu(q^+(\theta, a)) d \log r(\theta) \right],$$

has absolute minimum

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\lambda_0) &= \frac{1}{r(a)} [\log(r(a) |\mu(q^+(-1, a)) + \mu(q^+(b, 0)) + \mu(q^+(a, b))|) + 1] \\ &\quad -e^{-1} \left[ \int_b^0 \mu(q^+(b, \theta)) d \log r(\theta) - \int_{-1}^a \mu(q^+(\theta, a)) d \log r(\theta) \right], \end{aligned}$$

attained at

$$\lambda_0 = \frac{1}{r(a)} \log(r(a) |\mu(q^+(-1, a)) + \mu(q^+(b, 0)) + \mu(q^+(a, b))|)$$

and by (8)  $\varphi(\lambda_0) > 0$ , that is,  $-\mu(-F(\lambda)) > 0$ . Therefore  $\mu(-F(\lambda)) < 0$ .

For  $\lambda > 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(-F(\lambda)) &\leq -\lambda + \int_{-1}^a \exp(-\lambda r(\theta)) d\mu(q^+(-1, \theta)) \\ &\quad + \exp(-\lambda r(a)) \mu(q^+(a, b)) - \int_b^0 \exp(-\lambda r(\theta)) d\mu(q^+(\theta, 0)) \end{aligned}$$

Integrating by parts each one of the above integrals we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(-F(\lambda)) &\leq -\lambda + \exp(-\lambda r(a)) [\mu(q^+(-1, a)) + \mu(q^+(b, 0)) + \mu(q^+(a, b))] \\ &\quad + \lambda \left[ \int_{-1}^a \exp(-\lambda r(\theta)) \mu(q^+(-1, \theta)) dr(\theta) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_b^0 \exp(-\lambda r(\theta)) \mu(q^+(\theta, 0)) dr(\theta) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (7),  $\mu(-F(\lambda)) < 0$ . Therefore by Lemma 1 the system (1) is oscillatory.  $\square$

For the case where  $a = b = \theta_0$  we have the following corollary

**Corollary 3.** *Let  $r(\theta) \in D^+(\theta_0)$  and  $q \in BV_d$  such that  $\mu(q^+(-1, 0)) < 0$*

$$\mu(q^+(-1, \theta)) \leq 0, \quad \mu(q^+(\theta, \theta_0)) \leq 0 \text{ for every } \theta \in [-1, \theta_0],$$

$$\mu(q^+(\theta_0, \theta)) \leq 0, \quad \mu(q^+(\theta, 0)) \leq 0 \text{ for every } \theta \in [\theta_0, 0],$$

$$\mu(q^+(-1, \theta_0)) + \mu(q^+(\theta_0, 0)) < 0.$$

If

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{-1}^{\theta_0} \mu(q^+(\theta, \theta_0)) d \log r(\theta) - \int_{\theta_0}^0 \mu(q^+(\theta_0, \theta)) d \log r(\theta) \\ &\quad < \frac{e}{r(\theta_0)} [\log(r(\theta_0) |\mu(q^+(-1, \theta_0)) + \mu(q^+(\theta_0, 0))|) + 1], \end{aligned}$$

then (1) is oscillatory.

**Corollary 4.** Let  $\theta_0 = 0$  and  $r(\theta) \in D_i^+$ , if  $\mu(q^+(-1, 0)) < 0$ ,  $\mu(q^+(-1, \theta)) \leq 0$ ,  $\mu(q^+(\theta, 0)) \leq 0$  for every  $\theta \in [-1, 0]$ , and

$$\int_{-1}^0 \mu(q^+(\theta, 0)) d \log r(\theta) < \frac{e}{r(0)} [\log(r(0) |\mu(q^+(-1, 0))|) + 1],$$

then (1) is oscillatory.

**Corollary 5.** Let  $\theta_0 = -1$  and  $r(\theta) \in D_d^+$ , if  $\mu(q^+(-1, 0)) < 0$ ,  $\mu(q^+(-1, \theta)) \leq 0$ ,  $\mu(q^+(\theta, 0)) \leq 0$  for every  $\theta \in [-1, 0]$ , and

$$\int_{-1}^0 \mu(q^+(-1, \theta)) d \log r(\theta) > \frac{-e}{r(-1)} [\log(r(-1) |\mu(q^+(-1, 0))|) + 1].$$

Then (1) is oscillatory.

**Example 6.** Let be  $r(\theta) = 2\theta + 2$ , for  $\theta \in [-1, 0]$  and

$$q(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} -\theta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\theta - 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus

$$\mu_\infty(q^+(-1, 0)) = \mu_\infty \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} = \max\{-1, -1, -1\} = -1 < 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_\infty(q^+(-1, \theta)) &= \mu_\infty \begin{bmatrix} -\theta - 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\theta - 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\theta - 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \max_{-1 \leq \theta \leq 0} \{-\theta - 1, -\theta - 1, -\theta - 1\} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$\mu_\infty(q^+(\theta, 0)) = \mu_\infty \begin{bmatrix} \theta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \theta \end{bmatrix} = \max_{-1 \leq \theta \leq 0} \{\theta, \theta, \theta\} = 0,$$

and

$$\int_{-1}^0 \mu(q^+(\theta, 0)) d(\log(2\theta + 2)) \approx 0 < \frac{e}{2} (\log(2) + 1) \approx 2,301.$$

Then, by Corollary 4, the system

$$\frac{d}{dt}x(t) = \int_{-1}^0 x(t - 2\theta - 2) dq(\theta)$$

is oscillatory.

Corollaries 4 and 5 enable us to conclude the following corollary relative to the system (2), where  $q(\theta)$  is given by (3) and  $r(\theta_j) = r_j$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, p$ .

**Corollary 7.** If  $\mu\left(\sum_{k=1}^p A_k\right) < 0$ ,  $\mu\left(\sum_{k=1}^j A_k\right) \leq 0$ ,  $\mu\left(\sum_{k=j}^p A_k\right) \leq 0$ , for  $j = 1, \dots, p$  and either

$$\sum_{j=2}^p \mu\left(\sum_{k=j}^p A_k\right) \log \frac{r_j}{r_{j-1}} < \frac{e}{r_p} \left[ \log \left( r_p \left| \mu\left(\sum_{k=1}^p A_k\right) \right| \right) + 1 \right], \text{ for } r_1 < \dots < r_p,$$

or

$$\sum_{j=2}^p \mu\left(\sum_{k=1}^j A_k\right) \log \frac{r_{j-1}}{r_j} < \frac{e}{r_1} \left[ \log \left( r_1 \left| \mu\left(\sum_{k=1}^p A_k\right) \right| \right) + 1 \right], \text{ for } r_1 > \dots > r_p,$$

then (2) is oscillatory.

**Example 8.** Let us consider the system

$$\frac{d}{dt}x(t) = A_1x(t-1) + A_2x(t-2) + A_3x(t-3), \quad (9)$$

where

$$A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -3 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$A_3 = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Here

$$\mu_\infty(A_1 + A_2 + A_3) = \mu_\infty \begin{bmatrix} -3 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -4 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -6 \end{bmatrix} = \max\{-2, -3, -5\} = -2 < 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_\infty(A_1) &= -1 \leq 0; & \mu_\infty(A_2 + A_3) &= \mu_\infty \begin{bmatrix} -2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -3 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -5 \end{bmatrix} \\ & & &= \max\{-1, -2, -4\} = -1 \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$\mu_\infty(A_3) = -2 \leq 0;$$

$$\text{and } \mu_\infty(A_1 + A_2) = \mu_\infty \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -4 \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

since

$$\mu_\infty(A_2 + A_3) \log \frac{r_2}{r_1} + \mu_\infty(A_3) \log \frac{r_3}{r_2} = -\log 2 - 2 \log \frac{3}{2} = \log 2 - 2 \log 3$$



$$\begin{aligned} &< \frac{e}{3} [\log [3 |\mu_\infty (A_1 + A_2 + A_3)|] + 1] \\ &= \frac{e}{3} [\log 9 + 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Then, by Corollary 7, the system (9) is oscillatory

**Example 9.** Let us consider the system

$$\frac{d}{dt}x(t) = A_1x(t-4) + A_2x(t-3) + A_3x(t-2), \quad (10)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= \begin{bmatrix} -3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -6 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 0 & -3 \\ -3 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\ A_3 &= \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -5 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Here

$$\mu_1(A_1 + A_2 + A_3) = \mu_1 \begin{bmatrix} -7 & 0 & -3 \\ -3 & -6 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & -11 \end{bmatrix} = \max \{-3, -6, -7\} = -3 < 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_1(A_1) &= -3 \leq 0; \quad \mu_1(A_2 + A_3) = \mu_1 \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 0 & -3 \\ -3 & -3 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & -5 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \max \{0, -3, -1\} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$\mu_1(A_3) = -2 \leq 0;$$

$$\text{and } \mu_1(A_1 + A_2) = \mu_1 \begin{bmatrix} -5 & 0 & -3 \\ -3 & -3 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & -6 \end{bmatrix} = -1 \leq 0$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_1(A_1 + A_2) \log \frac{r_1}{r_2} + \mu_1(A_1 + A_2 + A_3) \log \frac{r_2}{r_3} &= -\log \frac{2}{3} - 3 \log \frac{3}{2} \\ &= \log 2 - 2 \log 3 < \frac{e}{4} [\log [4 |\mu_1(A_1 + A_2 + A_3)|] + 1] = \frac{e}{4} [\log 12 + 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Then, by Corollary 7, the system (10) is oscillatory.

### References

- [1] J.M. Ferreira, I. Györi, Oscillatory behavior in linear retarded functional

- differential equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **128** (1987), 332-346.
- [2] Q. Kong, Oscillation for systems of functional differential equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **198** (1996), 608-619.
- [3] J.M. Ferreira, A.M. Pedro, Oscillatory behaviour in functional differential systems of neutral type, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **269** (2002), 533-555.
- [4] T. Krisztyn, Oscillations in linear functional differential systems, *Differential Equations Dynam. Systems*, **2** (1994), 99-112.
- [5] J. Kirchner, Uwe Stroinski, Explicit oscillation criteria for systems of neutral differential equations with distributed delay, *Differential Equations Dynam. Systems*, **3** (1995), 101-120.
- [6] J.M. Ferreira, Sandra Pinelas, Oscillatory retarded functional systems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **285** (2003), 506-527.
- [7] A.M. Pedro, Nonoscillation criteria for retarded functional differential systems, *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **1** (2006), 47-72.