

**BOUNDED SOLUTIONS OF
THE NONLINEAR DIFFERENTIAL SYSTEMS**

Dusan Macura¹ §, Anna Macurova²

¹Department of Physics, Mathematics and Technology
Science University of Presov
17-th November, 080 01, Presov, SLOVAK REPUBLIC

²Department of Mathematics, Informatics and Cybernetics
Faculty of Manufacturing with the Seat in Presov
Technical University Kosice
Bayerova 10, 080 01 Presov, SLOVAK REPUBLIC

Abstract: In this paper some bounded solutions of the nonlinear differential system $x_i' = \sum_{j=1}^n f_{ij}(t, x)x_j$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, where $f_{ij}(t, x) \in C_0(D \equiv \langle t_0, \infty \rangle \times R^n, R)$, for all $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ are investigated using transformation in generalized cylindrical coordinates.

AMS Subject Classification: 34A34

Key Words: nonlinear differential system, bounded solutions

1. Generalized Cylindrical Coordinates

In this work we investigate bounded of solutions of the nonlinear differential system

$$x_i' = \sum_{j=1}^n f_{ij}(t, x)x_j, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad (1)$$

where $f_{ij}(t, x) \in C_0(D \equiv \langle t_0, \infty \rangle \times R^n, R)$, for all $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. We define the generalized cylindrical coordinates

Received: February 22, 2011

© 2011 Academic Publications, Ltd.

§Correspondence author

$$\begin{aligned}
 x_1 &= r \cos u_1; \\
 x_k &= r \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \sin u_i \right) \cos u_k, \quad k = 2, 3, \dots, n - 2; \\
 x_{n-1} &= r \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n-2} \sin u_i \right); \\
 x_n &= rv,
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2}$$

where $u_i(t), r(t), v(t) \in C_1(\langle t_0, \infty \rangle, R), i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 2$. We assume that the arbitrary solution $x(t) = (x_1(t), x_2(t), \dots, x_n(t))$ of system (1) exists on the interval $\langle t_0, \infty \rangle$, where $r(t) \geq 0$ is its polar function and $u_i(t)$ are its angle functions, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 2$.

Let $x(t)$ be the arbitrary nontrivial solution of system (1), hence $r(t) > 0$ for all $t \in \langle t_0, \infty \rangle$. Then considering (2) for all $t \in \langle t_0, \infty \rangle$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2(t) = r^2(t)(1 + v^2(t))
 \tag{3}$$

holds. Consequently the boundary of the solutions of system (1) depends only on the functions $r(t), v(t)$. The oscillatory properties of the solutions of system (1) depend on the conduct of the angle functions $u_i(t), i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 2, t \in \langle t_0, \infty \rangle$.

Let $\emptyset \neq D^0 \subset D$ be an open set. We denote $g_i(t, u) = \sum_{j=1}^n f_{ij}(t, u)u_j, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. We suppose that exist and are continuous partial derivatives $\frac{\partial g_i(t, u_1, \dots, u_n)}{\partial u_j}; i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, on $D^0 \subset D$. Then by (see [1]) one and only one integral curve of system (1) passes through every point $(t_0, u_1^0, u_2^0, \dots, u_n^0) \in D^0$.

Derivating each of equation (2) we get (for brevity we shall use $C_i(t)$ and $S_i(t)$ instead of $\cos u_i(t)$ and $\sin u_i(t)$)

$$\begin{aligned}
 a) \quad &x_1'(t) = r'(t)C_1(t) - r(t)u_1'(t)S_1(t); \\
 b) \quad &x_k'(t) = r'(t)C_k(t) \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} S_i(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} r(t)u_i'(t)C_i(t)C_k(t) \prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^{k-1} S_j(t) \\
 &\quad - r(t)u_k'(t)S_k(t) \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} S_j(t), \quad \text{where } k = 2, 3, \dots, n - 2; \\
 c) \quad &x_{n-1}'(t) = r'(t) \prod_{i=1}^{n-2} S_i(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} r(t)u_i'(t)C_i(t) \prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n-2} S_j(t); \\
 d) \quad &x_n'(t) = r'(t)v(t) + r(t)v'(t).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{4}$$

Multiplying equation (4a) by expression $C_1(t)$, multiplying equation (4b) successively by $C_k(t) \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} S_i(t)$ for $k = 2, 3, \dots, n - 2$, multiplying equation (4c) by $\prod_{i=1}^{n-2} S_i(t)$, and after the addition of the obtained equations we get

$$r'(t) = x_1'(t)C_1(t) + \sum_{i=2}^{n-2} x_i'(t)C_i(t) \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} S_j(t) + x_{n-1}'(t) \prod_{i=1}^{n-2} S_i(t). \tag{5}$$

Let $n > 3$. Multiplying (see [1]) equation (4a) by expression $(-S_1(t))$, multiplying the first equation in (4b) by $C_1(t)C_2(t)$ for $k = 2$, multiplying the equations in (4b) successively by $C_1(t)C_k(t) \prod_{i=2}^{k-1} S_i(t)$ for $k = 3, 4, \dots, n - 2$ multiplying equation (4c) by $C_1(t) \prod_{i=2}^{n-2} S_i(t)$ and after the addition of the obtained equations we have

$$r(t)u_1'(t) = -x_1'(t)S_1(t) + x_2'(t)C_1(t)C_2(t) + \sum_{i=3}^{n-2} x_i'(t)C_1(t)C_i(t) \prod_{j=2}^{i-1} S_j(t) + x_{n-1}'(t)C_1(t) \prod_{i=2}^{n-2} S_i(t). \tag{6}$$

We apply the method listed above for equation (4b) and (4c). Let $n > 4$. Multiplying equation (4b) by expression $(-S_2(t))$ for $k = 2$, multiplying the equations (4b) by $C_2(t)C_3(t)$ for $k = 3$, multiplying (see [3]) equations (4b) successively by $C_2(t)C_k(t) \prod_{i=3}^{k-1} S_i(t)$ for $k = 4, 5, \dots, n - 2$, multiplying equation (4c) by $C_2(t) \prod_{i=3}^{n-2} S_i(t)$ and after the addition of the obtained equations we have

$$r(t)u_2'(t)S_1(t) = -x_2'(t)S_2(t) + x_3'(t)C_2(t)C_3(t) + \sum_{i=4}^{n-2} x_i'(t)C_2(t)C_i(t) \prod_{j=3}^{i-1} S_j(t) + x_{n-1}'(t)C_2(t) \prod_{i=3}^{n-2} S_i(t). \tag{7}$$

2. Bounded Solutions

Theorem 1. *Let $(x_1(t), x_2(t), \dots, x_n(t))$ be a non-trivial solution of system (1). Allowing that for coefficients $f_{ij}(t, x)$, $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ of differential system (1) the statement $f_{ii}(t, x) = a(t, x)$; $f_{ij}(t, x) = -f_{ji}(t, x)$, for $i \neq j, i \neq n, j \neq n$; $f_{in}(t, x) = f_{ni}(t, x)$, for $i \neq n$; are valid on the domain $D^0 \subset D$. Then functions $r(t), v(t), u_i(t), i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 2$, satisfy the following (We denote $\sum_{i=i_0}^{i_1} (\cdot) = 0, \prod_{i=i_0}^{i_1} (\cdot) = 1$, if $i_0 > i_1$.)*

$$a) \quad \frac{r'(t)}{r(t)} = a(t, x) + (f_{1n}(t, x)C_1(t) + \sum_{i=2}^{n-2} f_{in}(t, x)C_i(t) \prod_{r=1}^{i-1} S_r(t)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + f_{n-1,n}(t, x) \prod_{r=1}^{n-2} S_r(t) v(t); \\
b) \quad & u_1^i(t) = -\left(f_{12}(t, x) C_2(t) + \sum_{j=3}^{n-2} f_{1j}(t, x) C_j(t) \prod_{r=2}^{j-1} S_r(t) \right. \\
& + f_{1,n-1}(t, x) \prod_{r=2}^{n-2} S_r(t) + (f_{1n}(t, x) S_1(t) + f_{2n}(t, x) C_1(t) C_2(t) \\
& + \sum_{i=3}^{n-2} f_{in}(t, x) C_1(t) C_i(t) \prod_{r=2}^{i-1} S_r(t) + f_{n-1,n}(t, x) C_1(t) \prod_{r=2}^{n-2} S_r(t) \left. \right) v(t); \quad (8) \\
c) \quad & u_k^i(t) \prod_{r=1}^{k-1} S_r(t) = -\left(\sum_{j=k+1}^{n-2} f_{kj}(t, x) C_j(t) \prod_{r=1, r \neq k}^{j-1} S_r(t) + f_{kn}(t, x) \right. \\
& \times \prod_{r=1, r \neq k}^{n-2} S_r(t) + (f_{k1}(t, x) S_k(t) - f_{k+1,1}(t, x) C_k(t) C_{k+1}(t) \\
& - \sum_{i=k+2}^{n-2} f_{i1}(t, x) C_k(t) C_i(t) \prod_{r=k+1}^{i-1} S_r(t) - f_{n-1,1}(t, x) C_k(t) \\
& \times \prod_{r=k+1}^{n-2} S_r(t) C_1(t) + \sum_{j=2}^{k-1} (f_{kj}(t, x) S_k(t) - f_{k+1,j}(t, x) C_k(t) C_{k+1}(t) \\
& - \sum_{i=k+2}^{n-2} f_{ij}(t, x) C_k(t) C_i(t) \prod_{r=k+1}^{i-1} S_r(t) - f_{n-1,j}(t, x) C_k(t) \\
& \times \prod_{r=k+1}^{n-2} S_r(t) C_j(t) \prod_{r=1}^{j-1} S_r(t) + (f_{kn}(t, x) S_k(t) - f_{k+1,n}(t, x) C_k(t) \\
& \times C_{k+1}(t) - \sum_{i=k+2}^{n-2} f_{in}(t, x) C_k(t) C_i(t) \prod_{r=k+1}^{i-1} S_r(t) - f_{n-1,n}(t, x) C_k(t) \\
& \times \prod_{r=k+1}^{n-2} S_r(t) \left. \right) v(t), \text{ where } k = 2, 3, \dots, n-2; \\
d) \quad & v^i(t) = (1 - v^2(t)) (f_{1n}(t, x) C_1(t) + \sum_{j=2}^{n-2} f_{jn}(t, x) C_j(t) \prod_{r=1}^{j-1} S_r(t)
\end{aligned}$$

$$+ f_{n-1,n}(t, x) \prod_{r=1}^{n-2} S_r(t).$$

Proof. According to the first assumption of Theorem 1 it follows that $r(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \in \langle t_0, \infty \rangle$. If we put into (5) expression (1) instead of $x_i^i(t)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and expression (2) instead of $x_i(t)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, after arrangement we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{r^i(t)}{r(t)} &= (f_{11}(t, x)C_1(t) + \sum_{j=2}^{n-2} f_{1j}(t, x)C_j(t) \prod_{r=1}^{j-1} S_r(t) \\ &+ f_{1,n-1}(t, x) \prod_{r=1}^{n-2} S_r(t) + f_{1n}(t, x)v(t))C_1(t) + \sum_{i=2}^{n-2} (f_{i1}(t, x)C_1(t) \\ &+ \sum_{j=2}^{n-2} f_{ij}(t, x)C_j(t) \prod_{r=1}^{j-1} S_r(t) + f_{i,n-1}(t, x) \prod_{r=1}^{n-2} S_r(t) \\ &+ f_{in}(t, x)v(t))C_i(t) \prod_{r=1}^{i-1} S_r(t) + (f_{n-1,1}(t, x)C_1(t) \\ &+ \sum_{j=2}^{n-2} f_{n-1,j}(t, x)C_j(t) \prod_{r=1}^{j-1} S_r(t) + f_{n-1,n-1}(t, x) \prod_{r=1}^{n-2} S_r(t) \\ &+ f_{n-1,n}(t, x)v(t)) \prod_{r=1}^{n-2} S_r(t). \end{aligned}$$

From this equation with the assumptions of Theorem 1 (a) follows. We can prove relations (b), (c), (d) analogously. Theorem 1 is proved. □

Definition 2. Let i be a fixed integer, $1 \leq i \leq n$. We say that the solution $(x_1(t), x_2(t), \dots, x_n(t))$ of system (1) is i -bounded, if for all $t \geq a$ it holds $|x_i(t)| \leq K$, where $K > 0$ is a constant. System (1) is i -bounded, if all its solutions are i -bounded. Otherwise, the considered solution of system (1) is i -unbounded.

Theorem 3. Let coefficients $f_{ij}(t, x)$, $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, of (1) satisfy the conditions of Theorem 1 on the domain $D^0 \subset D$. If

$$\sup_{X \in R^n} \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \left(|a(t, X)| + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |f_{in}(t, X)| \right) dt < +\infty, \quad t_0 \geq a$$

then there exist two different nontrivial solutions of system (1), which are n -bounded.

Proof. Let the assumptions of Theorem 1 2 be fulfilled. From equation (9d) it follows that $v_1(t) = -1$, $v_2(t) = 1$, $t \in \langle t_0, \infty \rangle$ are its solutions. Integrating equation (9a) from t_0 to t , $a \leq t_0 \leq t$, for $v(t) = 1$ it follows

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{t_0}^t \frac{r'(s)}{r(s)} ds \right| &\leq \int_{t_0}^t (| a(s, x(s)) | + | f_{1n}(s, x(s)) C_1(s) \\ &+ \sum_{i=2}^{n-2} f_{in}(s, x(s)) C_i(s) \prod_{r=1}^{i-1} S_r(s) + f_{n-1,n}(s, x(s)) \prod_{r=1}^{n-2} S_r(s) |) ds \\ &\leq \int_{t_0}^t (| a(s, x(s)) | + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} | f_{in}(s, x(s)) |) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Let $t \rightarrow \infty$. Then for function $r(t)$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{r'(t)}{r(t)} dt \right| &\leq \int_{t_0}^{\infty} (| a(t, x(t)) | + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} | f_{in}(t, x(t)) |) dt \\ &\leq \sup_{X \in R^n} \int_{t_0}^{\infty} (| a(t, X) | + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} | f_{in}(t, X) |) dt \end{aligned}$$

holds. Then according to the assumption of Theorem 1 it follows that function $r(t)$ is bounded on the interval $\langle a, \infty \rangle$. If now we use the relations (2) and (3), we have for $v(t) = 1$ the solution $(x_1(t), x_2(t), \dots, x_n(t))$ of system (1), which is n -bounded. Analogously we could find the solution of system (1) in the case of $v(t) = -1$. Thus Theorem 3 is proved. \square

References

- [1] A. Macurova, D. Macura, Properties of the solutions to the surface by the non-linear differential equation second order, *Surfint - Sreen II*, Italy, Comenius University, Bratislava (2009), 141-142.
- [2] A. Macurova, The roughness surface expressed by the mathematical model, *Applied Surface Science*, Elsevier, **256**, No. 18 (2010), 5656-5658.
- [3] J. Majernik, M. Svida, Z. Majernikova, *Medical Informatics*, University of Pavol Jozef Safarik in Kosice, Kosice (2009).