

## ORTHOGONAL VECTOR VALUED WAVELETS ON $\mathbb{R}_+$

P. Manchanda<sup>1 §</sup>, Vikram Sharma<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1,2</sup>Department of Mathematics

Guru Nanak Dev University

Amritsar, 143005, INDIA

**Abstract:** Xia and Suter [15] have introduced the notion of vector valued multiresolution analysis on real line  $\mathbb{R}$ . Chen and Chang [1] have given an algorithm for construction of vector valued wavelets. Farkov [3] has studied the notion of multiresolution analysis on locally abelian groups and constructed the compactly supported orthogonal  $p$ -wavelets on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . In this paper, we introduce vector valued multiresolution  $p$ -analysis on positive half line. We find necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of associated vector valued wavelets. We construct vector valued wavelets on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ . Our approach is connected with Walsh-Fourier theory.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 42A38, 42A55, 42C10, 42C15, 42C40

**Key Words:** multiresolution  $p$ -analysis, Walsh function, Walsh-Fourier transform, orthogonal vector valued wavelets

### 1. Introduction

Wavelet theory has been studied extensively in both theory and applications. The main advantage of wavelets is their time-frequency localization property. The wavelet transform is a simple mathematical tool that cuts up data or functions into different frequency components, studies each components with a resolution matched to its scale. Many signals in areas like music, speech, image and video images can be efficiently represented by wavelets that are translations and dilations of a single function called mother wavelet with bandpass property.

---

Received: December 6, 2011

© 2012 Academic Publications, Ltd.  
url: [www.acadpubl.eu](http://www.acadpubl.eu)

<sup>§</sup>Correspondence author

Multiresolution Analysis is the heart of wavelet theory. A multiresolution analysis on the set of real numbers  $\mathbb{R}$ , introduced by Mallat [9] is an increasing sequence of closed subspaces  $\{V_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$  of  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $\bigcap_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} V_j = \{0\}$ ,  $\bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} V_j$  is dense in  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  and which satisfies  $f(t) \in V_j$  if and only if  $f(2t) \in V_{j+1}$ . Also there exists an element  $\phi \in V_0$  called scaling function such that the collection of integer translates of  $\phi$ , i. e.  $\{\phi(t-k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is a complete orthonormal basis for  $V_0$ . In the definition of multiresolution analysis the dilation factor of 2 can be replaced by an integer  $n > 2$  and one can construct  $n - 1$  wavelets to generate the whole space  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . A similar generalization of multiresolution analysis can be made in higher dimensions by considering matrix dilations.

Walsh analysis or Dyadic harmonic analysis has been extensively studied: both aspects theory as well as applications, see Golubov *et al.* [5], Schipp *et al.* [11]. In his papers, Lang [6-8] constructed compactly supported orthogonal wavelets on the locally compact Cantor dyadic group  $\mathcal{C}$  by following the rules and procedures of Mallat and Daubechies via scaling filters. These wavelets turn out to be certain lacunary Walsh series on the  $\mathbb{R}_+$ . Later on, Farkov [4] extended the results of Lang[6-8] on the wavelet analysis on the Cantor dyadic group  $\mathcal{C}$  to the locally compact Abelian group  $G$  which is defined for an integer  $p \geq 2$  and coincides with  $\mathcal{C}$  when  $p = 2$ . Subsequently, Protasov and Farkov [10] constructed dyadic compactly supported wavelets in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ , whereas Farkov [3] has given the general construction of all compactly supported orthogonal  $p$ -wavelets in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and proved necessary and sufficient conditions for scaling filters with  $p^n$  many terms ( $p, n \geq 2$ ) to generate a  $p$ -MRA in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . The approach adopted by Farkov is connected with Walsh-Fourier transform and the elements of M-band wavelet theory.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we explain certain results of Walsh-Fourier analysis. We present brief review of generalized Walsh functions, Walsh-Fourier transforms and its various properties, multiresolution  $p$ -analysis in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$  introduced by Farkov [3]. We introduce the concept of vector valued multiresolution  $p$ -analysis on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  in Section 3. In Section 4, necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of associated vector valued wavelets is given. We construct a vector valued multiresolution analysis on the positive half line with a compactly supported vector valued scaling function  $\Phi$ .

## 2. Walsh-Fourier Analysis

Let  $p$  be a fixed natural number greater than 1. As usual, let  $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_+ = \{0, 1, \dots\}$ . Denote by  $[x]$  the integer part of  $x$ . For  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$  and for any

positive integer  $j$ ,

$$x_j = [p^j x](\text{mod } p), x_{-j} = [p^{1-j} x](\text{mod } p) \tag{2.1}$$

where  $x_j, x_{-j} \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$ . It is clear that for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+, \exists k = k(x) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x_{-j} = 0, \forall j > k$ .

Consider on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  the addition defined as follows:

$$x \oplus y = \sum_{j < 0} \xi_j p^{-j-1} + \sum_{j > 0} \xi_j p^{-j} \tag{2.2}$$

with

$$\xi_j = x_j + y_j(\text{mod } p), j \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}, \tag{2.3}$$

where  $\xi_j \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, p-1\}$  and  $x_j, y_j$  are calculated by (2.1). As usual, we write  $z = x \ominus y$  if  $z \oplus y = x$ , where  $\ominus$  denotes subtraction modulo  $p$  in  $\mathbb{R}_+$ .

For  $x \in [0, 1)$ , let  $r_0(x)$  is given by

$$r_0(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in [0, 1/p) \\ \varepsilon_p^j, & x \in [jp^{-1}, (j+1)p^{-1}), j = 1, 2, \dots, p-1 \end{cases} \tag{2.4}$$

where  $\varepsilon = \exp(\frac{2\pi i}{p})$ .

The extension of the function  $r_0$  to  $\mathbb{R}_+$  is defined by the equality  $r_0(x+1) = r_0(x), x \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . Then the generalized Walsh functions  $\{\omega_m(x)\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$  are defined by

$$\omega_0(x) \equiv 1, \omega_m(x) = \prod_{j=0}^k (r_0(p^j x))^{\mu_j},$$

where  $m = \sum_{j=0}^k \mu_j p^j, \mu_j \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, p-1\}, \mu_k \neq 0$ .

For  $x, w \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , let

$$\chi(x, w) = \exp \left( \frac{2\pi i}{p} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (x_j w_{-j} + x_{-j} w_j) \right) \tag{2.5}$$

where  $x_j$  and  $w_j$  are given by (2.1). We note that

$$\chi \left( x, \frac{m}{p^{n-1}} \right) = \chi \left( \frac{m}{p^{n-1}}, x \right) = \omega_m \left( \frac{x}{p^{n-1}} \right), \forall x \in [0, p^{n-1}), m \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

The **Walsh-Fourier transform** of a function  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$  is defined by

$$\tilde{f}(w) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} f(x) \overline{\chi(x, w)} dw \tag{2.6}$$

where  $\chi(x, w)$  is given by (2.5). The properties of Walsh-Fourier transform are quite similar to the classical Fourier transform [5, 11]. In particular, if  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ , then  $\tilde{f} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and

$$\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)} = \|\tilde{f}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)}. \tag{2.7}$$

If  $x, y, w \in \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $x \oplus y$  is  $p$ -adic irrational, then

$$\chi(x \oplus y, w) = \chi(x, w)\chi(y, w), \chi(x \ominus y, w) = \chi(x, w)\overline{\chi(y, w)}, \tag{2.8}$$

see Golubov *et al.* [5], Schipp *et al.* [11]. Thus for fixed  $x$  and  $w$ , the equality (2.8) holds for all  $y \in \mathbb{R}_+$  except for countably many. It was shown by Golubov *et al.* [5] that systems  $\{\chi(\alpha, \cdot)\}_{\alpha=0}^\infty$  and  $\{\chi(\cdot, \alpha)\}_{\alpha=0}^\infty$  are orthonormal basis in  $L^2(0, 1)$ .

According to Schipp *et al.* [11] for any  $\phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ , we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \phi(t)\overline{\phi(t \ominus k)}dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \tilde{\phi}(w)\overline{\tilde{\phi}(w)\chi(k, w)}dw \tag{2.9}$$

Let  $\{w\}$  be the fractional part of  $w$ . For any  $\phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , we have  $\chi(k, w) = \chi(k, \{w\})$ . Therefore  $\chi(k, w + l) = \chi(k, w), l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . It follows from (2.9) that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \phi(t)\overline{\phi(t \ominus k)}dt &= \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \int_0^1 |\tilde{\phi}(w)|^2 \overline{\chi(k, w)}dw \\ &= \int_0^1 \left( \sum_{l \in \mathbb{R}_+} |\tilde{\phi}(w + 1)|^2 \right) \overline{\chi(k, w)}dw \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, a necessary and sufficient condition for a system  $\{\phi(t \ominus k) | k \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$  to be orthonormal in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$  is

$$\sum_{l \in \mathbb{R}_+} |\tilde{\phi}(w + 1)|^2 = 1 \quad a.e. \tag{2.10}$$

Multiresolution  $p$ -analysis in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$  defined by Farkov [3] is as follows:

**Definition 2.1.** A multiresolution  $p$ -analysis on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$  is a nested sequence of closed subspaces  $V_j, j \in \mathbb{Z}$  of  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$  such that following hold:

- (a).  $V_j \subset V_{j+1}, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ .
- (b).  $\bigcup_j V_j$  is dense in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and  $\bigcap_j V_j = 0$ .

- (c).  $\mathbf{f}(t) \in V_j$  if and only if  $\mathbf{f}(pt) \in V_{j+1}$ .
- (d).  $\mathbf{f}(t) \in V_0 \Rightarrow \mathbf{f}(t \oplus k) \in V_0$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ .
- (e). there exists a function called scaling function  $\Phi \in V_0$  such that its translations  $\Phi_k(t) = \Phi(t \ominus k), k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , form an orthonormal basis for  $V_0$ .

The function  $\phi$  is called the scaling function in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ .

Farkov has given a general construction of compactly supported orthogonal  $p$ -wavelets in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$  arising from scaling filters with  $p^n$  many terms. For all integer  $p \geq 2$  these wavelets are identified with certain lacunary Walsh series on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ . In this new setting Farkov [3] has proved the extension of classical results concerning necessary and sufficient condition of wavelets associated with the classical multiresolution analysis.

The following theorem by Farkov [3] generalizes A. Cohen's result, see Daubechies [2]:

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let*

$$m_0(w) = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{p^n-1} a_\alpha \overline{\chi(k, w)}$$

*be a polynomial satisfying the following conditions:*

- (i).  $m_0(0) = 1$ .
- (ii).  $\sum_{j=0}^{p^n-1} |m_0(sp^{-n} \oplus jp^{-1})|^2 = 1$  for  $s = 0, 1, \dots, p^n-1$ .
- (iii). *There exists a  $W$ -compact set  $E$  such that  $0 \in \text{int}(E), \mu(E) = 1, E \equiv [0, 1)(\text{mod } \mathbb{Z}_+)$  and*

$$\inf_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \inf_{w \in E} |m_0(p^{-j}w)| > 0$$

*If the Walsh-Fourier transform of  $\phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$  can be written as*

$$\tilde{\phi}(w) = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} m_0(p^{-j}w),$$

*then  $\phi$  is scaling function in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ .*

### 3. Vector-Valued Multiresolution $p$ -Analysis on $\mathbb{R}_+$

We use the following notations. Let  $\mathbb{C}$  be the set of all complex numbers,  $\mathbf{I}_N$  and  $\mathbf{O}$  represent  $N \times N$  identity matrix and the zero matrix respectively.

$L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^N)$  represents the set of square integrable vector-valued functions  $\mathbf{f}(t)$  on positive half line,  $\mathbb{R}_+$  i. e. ,

$$L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^N) = \{ \mathbf{f}(t) = ( f_1(t), f_2(t), \dots , f_N(t) )^T : t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \\ f_v(t) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+), v = 1, 2, \dots N \}$$

where T denotes Transpose.

For  $\mathbf{f} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^N)$ ,  $\|\mathbf{f}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^N)}$  is the norm of the function  $\mathbf{f}$ , i. e. ,

$$\|\mathbf{f}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^N)} = \sqrt{\sum_{v=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} |f_v(t)|^2 dt}$$

and integration of  $\mathbf{f}$  is given by

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \mathbf{f}(t) dt = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} f_1(t) dt, \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} f_2(t) dt, \dots , \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} f_N(t) dt \right)^T .$$

The Walsh-Fourier transform of  $\mathbf{f}(t)$  is defined by

$$\tilde{\mathbf{f}}(w) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \mathbf{f}(t) \overline{\chi(k, w)} dt = ( \tilde{f}_1(w), \tilde{f}_2(w), \dots , \tilde{f}_N(w) )^T . \tag{3.1}$$

For two vector valued functions  $\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{h} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^N)$ , their symbol inner product is defined by

$$\langle \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{h} \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^N)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \mathbf{f}(t) \mathbf{h}(t)^* dt,$$

where  $'^*$  means complex conjugate and transpose. The inner product defined above is matrix valued (usually it is a scalar valued).

A sequence  $\{ \mathbf{f}_k(t) \}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \subset \mathbf{U} \subseteq L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^N)$  is called orthonormal set of  $\mathbf{U}$ , if it satisfies

$$\langle \mathbf{f}_k(t), \mathbf{f}_n(t) \rangle = \delta_{k,n} \mathbf{I}_N, \tag{3.2}$$

where  $\delta_{k,n}$  is the Kronecker delta such that  $\delta_{k,n} = 1$  when  $k = n$  and  $\delta_{k,n} = 0$  when  $k \neq n$ .

**Definition 3.1.** We say that  $\mathbf{f}(t) \in \mathbf{U} \subseteq L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^N)$  is an orthogonal vector-valued function in  $\mathbf{U}$  if its translations  $\{\mathbf{f}(t \ominus k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$  is an orthonormal set in  $\mathbf{U}$ , i. e. ,

$$\langle \mathbf{f}(t \ominus k), \mathbf{f}(t \ominus n) \rangle = \delta_{k,n} \mathbf{I}_N, \quad k, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \tag{3.3}$$

**Definition 3.2.** A sequence  $\{\mathbf{f}_k(t)\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \subset \mathbf{U} \subseteq L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^N)$  is called an orthonormal basis of  $\mathbf{U}$  if it satisfies (3.2), and for any  $\mathbf{h}(t) \in \mathbf{U}$ , there exists a unique sequence of  $N \times N$  constant matrices  $\{A_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$  such that

$$\mathbf{h}(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} A_k \mathbf{f}_k(t).$$

The multiresolution analysis approach is one of the main approaches in the construction of wavelets. We introduce vector-valued multiresolution  $p$ -analysis on positive half line and give the definition for associated orthogonal vector valued wavelets.

**Definition 3.3.** A vector valued multiresolution  $p$ -analysis on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{C}^N)$  is a nested sequence of closed subspaces  $V_j, j \in \mathbb{Z}$  of  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^N)$  such that following hold:

- (a).  $V_j \subset V_{j+1}, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ .
- (b).  $\bigcup_j V_j$  is dense in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^N)$  and  $\bigcap_j V_j = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ , where  $\mathbf{0}$  is the zero vector of  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^N)$ .
- (c).  $\mathbf{f}(t) \in V_j$  if and only if  $\mathbf{f}(pt) \in V_{j+1}$ .
- (d).  $\mathbf{f}(t) \in V_0 \Rightarrow \mathbf{f}(t \oplus k) \in V_0$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ .
- (e). there exists a function called scaling function  $\Phi \in V_0$  such that its translations  $\Phi_k(t) = \Phi(t \ominus k), k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , form an orthonormal basis for  $V_0$ .

Now  $\Phi(t) \in V_0 \Rightarrow \Phi(pt) \in V_1$ , by(e)

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{0,k} \mathbf{I}_N &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \Phi(t) \Phi(t \ominus k) dt \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \sqrt{p} \Phi(pt) \sqrt{p} \Phi(pt \ominus k) dt. \end{aligned}$$

So  $\Phi_{1,k}(t) = \{\sqrt{p} \Phi(pt \ominus k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$  form an orthonormal basis for  $V_1$ .

Therefore, The space  $V_j$  is defined by

$$V_j = \mathbf{clos}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^N)}(\mathbf{span}\{p^{\frac{j}{2}}\Phi(p^j t \ominus k)\}, k \in \mathbb{Z}_+), j \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{3.4}$$

Now  $\Phi \in V_1$ , there exists a sequence of  $N \times N$  constant matrices  $\{R_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$  such that

$$\Phi(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} R_k \Phi(pt \ominus k) \quad , t \in \mathbb{R}_+. \tag{3.5}$$

If the sequence  $\{R_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$  is finite, we say that  $\Phi(t)$  is a compactly supported vector-valued function. By Walsh Fourier Transform, we have

$$\tilde{\Phi}(w) = \mathcal{R}(w/p)\tilde{\Phi}(w/p), \quad w \in \mathbb{R}_+. \tag{3.6}$$

where

$$\mathcal{R}(w) = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} R_k \overline{\chi(k, w)}. \tag{3.7}$$

Noting that  $\chi(k, w + l) = \chi(k, w), k, l \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , so  $\mathcal{R}(w)$  is 1-periodic function of  $w$ . By (3.6), we have

$$\tilde{\Phi}(w) = \mathcal{R}(w/p)\mathcal{R}(w/p^2) \dots \tilde{\Phi}(0) = \prod_{l=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{R}(w/p^l)\tilde{\Phi}(0). \tag{3.8}$$

Let  $W_j, j \in \mathbb{Z}$  denote the orthocomplement subspace of  $V_j$  in  $V_{j+1}$  and there exists  $p - 1$  vector valued functions  $\Psi_m(t) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^N), m \in \mathbf{\Lambda}$ , where  $\mathbf{\Lambda} = \{1, 2, \dots, p - 1\}$ , such that their translations and dilations form Riesz basis of  $W_j$ , i. e,

$$W_j = \mathbf{clos}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^N)}(\mathbf{span}\{p^{\frac{j}{2}}\Psi_m(p^j t \ominus k)\}, m \in \mathbf{\Lambda}, k \in \mathbb{Z}_+), j \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{3.9}$$

We say that  $\{\Phi(t), \Psi_1(t), \Psi_2(t), \dots, \Psi_{p-1}(t)\}$  is a vector-valued wavelet system. For each  $m \in \mathbf{\Lambda}, \Psi_m(t) \in W_0 \subset V_1$ , there exist  $p - 1$  sequences of  $N \times N$  constant matrices  $\{S_k^{(m)}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$  such that

$$\Psi_m(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} S_k^{(m)} \Phi(pt \ominus k), \quad , m \in \mathbf{\Lambda}, t \in \mathbb{R}_+. \tag{3.10}$$

By taking Walsh-Fourier Transform, the refinement equation (3.10) becomes

$$\tilde{\Psi}_m(w) = \mathcal{S}^{(m)}(w/p)\tilde{\Phi}(w/p), \quad w \in \mathbb{R}_+, m \in \mathbf{\Lambda} \tag{3.11}$$



where

$$\mathcal{S}^{(m)}(w) = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} S_k^{(m)} \overline{\chi(k, w)}. \tag{3.12}$$

If  $\Phi(t) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^N)$  is an orthogonal vector-valued scaling function, then it follows from (3.3) that

$$\langle \Phi(t), \Phi(t \ominus k) \rangle = \delta_{0,k} \mathbf{I}_N, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \tag{3.13}$$

We say  $p - 1$  vector-valued functions  $\Psi_1(t), \Psi_2(t), \dots, \Psi_{p-1}(t)$  are orthogonal vector-valued wavelet functions associated with the orthogonal vector-valued scaling function  $\Phi(t)$ , if they satisfy

$$\langle \Psi_m(t), \Phi(t \ominus k) \rangle = \mathbf{O}, \quad m \in \mathbf{\Lambda}, k \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \tag{3.14}$$

and the family  $\{\Psi_m(t \ominus k), m \in \mathbf{\Lambda}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$  is an orthonormal basis of the subspace  $W_0$ . So we have

$$\langle \Psi_m(t), \Psi_n(t \ominus k) \rangle = \delta_{0,k} \delta_{m,n} \mathbf{I}_N, \quad m, n \in \mathbf{\Lambda}, k \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \tag{3.15}$$

The following lemma, which will be used in next section, gives a characterization in the frequency domain of an orthogonal vector-valued function  $\mathbf{f}(t)$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $\mathbf{f}(t) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^N)$ . Then  $\mathbf{f}(t)$  is an orthogonal vector-valued function if and only if*

$$\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \tilde{\mathbf{f}}(w + l) \tilde{\mathbf{f}}(w + l)^* = \mathbf{I}_N, w \in \mathbb{R}_+. \tag{3.16}$$

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbf{f}(t) = ( f_1(t), f_2(t), \dots, f_N(t) )^T \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^N)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{0,k} \mathbf{I}_N &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \mathbf{f}(t) \mathbf{f}(t \ominus k)^* dt \\ &= \left( \begin{array}{c} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} f_1(t) \overline{f_1(t \ominus k)} dt, \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} f_1(t) \overline{f_2(t \ominus k)} dt, \dots, \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} f_1(t) \overline{f_N(t \ominus k)} dt \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} f_2(t) \overline{f_1(t \ominus k)} dt, \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} f_2(t) \overline{f_2(t \ominus k)} dt, \dots, \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} f_2(t) \overline{f_N(t \ominus k)} dt \\ \dots \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} f_N(t) \overline{f_1(t \ominus k)} dt, \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} f_N(t) \overline{f_2(t \ominus k)} dt, \dots, \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} f_N(t) \overline{f_N(t \ominus k)} dt \end{array} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By identity (2.9), we arrive at

$$\delta_{0,k} \mathbf{I}_N = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \tilde{\mathbf{f}}(w) \tilde{\mathbf{f}}(w)^* \overline{\chi(k, w)} dw$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \int_l^{l+1} \tilde{\mathbf{f}}(w) \tilde{\mathbf{f}}(w)^* \overline{\chi(k, w)} dw \\
 &= \int_0^1 \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \tilde{\mathbf{f}}(w+l) \tilde{\mathbf{f}}(w+l)^* \overline{\chi(k, w)} dw
 \end{aligned}$$

So,  $\mathbf{f}(t) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^N)$  is orthogonal  $\Leftrightarrow \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \tilde{\mathbf{f}}(w+l) \tilde{\mathbf{f}}(w+l)^* = \mathbf{I}_N$ . □

**Lemma 3.2.** *If  $\Phi(t) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^N)$ , defined by (3.5), is an orthogonal vector-valued scaling function, then  $\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , we have*

$$\sum_{u \in \mathbb{Z}_+} R_u (R_{u \oplus pk})^* = p \delta_{0,k} \mathbf{I}_N. \tag{3.17}$$

*Proof.* Now

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta_{0,k} \mathbf{I}_N &= \langle \Phi(t \ominus k), \Phi(t) \rangle \\
 &= \sum_{u \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \sum_{v \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} R_u \Phi(pt \ominus pk \ominus u) \Phi(pt \ominus v) R_v^* dt \\
 &= \frac{1}{p} \sum_{u,v \in \mathbb{Z}_+} R_u \langle \Phi(t \ominus pk \ominus u), \Phi(t \ominus v) \rangle R_v^* \\
 &= \frac{1}{p} \sum_{u \in \mathbb{Z}_+} R_u (R_{u \oplus pk})^*. \tag{□}
 \end{aligned}$$

#### 4. The Existence of Orthogonal Vector-Valued Wavelets on $\mathbb{R}_+$

In this section, we consider the existence of compactly supported vector-valued wavelets on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ . We obtain the necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of vector valued orthogonal wavelets.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $\Phi(t) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^N)$  defined in (3.5) be an orthogonal vector-valued scaling function. Assume that  $\Psi_m(t) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^N)$ ,  $m \in \mathbf{\Lambda}$ , and  $\mathcal{R}(w)$  and  $\mathcal{S}^{(m)}(w)$  are defined by (3.7) and (3.12) respectively. Then  $\Psi_m(t)$ ,  $m \in \mathbf{\Lambda}$  are orthogonal vector-valued wavelet functions associated with  $\Phi(t)$  if and only if*

$$\sum_{l=0}^{p-1} \mathcal{R} \left( \frac{w+l}{p} \right) \mathcal{S}^{(m)} \left( \frac{w+l}{p} \right)^* = \mathbf{O}, \quad m \in \mathbf{\Lambda}, w \in \mathbb{R}_+, \tag{4.1}$$

$$\sum_{l=0}^{p-1} \mathcal{S}^{(m)} \left( \frac{w+l}{p} \right) \mathcal{S}^{(n)} \left( \frac{w+l}{p} \right)^* = \mathbf{I}_N, \quad m, n \in \mathbf{\Lambda}, w \in \mathbb{R}_+. \tag{4.2}$$

*Proof.* Firstly, we prove the necessary part of the theorem.

By Lemma 3.1 and (3.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{O} &= \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \tilde{\Phi}(w+l) \tilde{\Psi}_m(w+l)^* \\ &= \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \mathcal{R} \left( \frac{w+l}{p} \right) \tilde{\Phi} \left( \frac{w+l}{p} \right) \tilde{\Phi} \left( \frac{w+l}{p} \right)^* \mathcal{S}^{(m)} \left( \frac{w+l}{p} \right)^* \\ &= \sum_{l=pn} \mathcal{R} \left( \frac{w}{p} + n \right) \tilde{\Phi} \left( \frac{w}{p} + n \right) \tilde{\Phi} \left( \frac{w}{p} + n \right)^* \mathcal{S}^{(m)} \left( \frac{w}{p} + n \right)^* \\ &\quad + \sum_{l=pn+1} \mathcal{R} \left( \frac{w}{p} + \frac{1}{p} + n \right) \tilde{\Phi} \left( \frac{w}{p} + \frac{1}{p} + n \right) \tilde{\Phi} \left( \frac{w}{p} + \frac{1}{p} + n \right)^* \mathcal{S}^{(m)} \left( \frac{w}{p} + \frac{1}{p} + n \right)^* \\ &\quad + \dots + \sum_{l=pn+(p-1)} \mathcal{R} \left( \frac{w}{p} + \frac{p-1}{p} + n \right) \tilde{\Phi} \left( \frac{w}{p} + \frac{p-1}{p} + n \right) \tilde{\Phi} \left( \frac{w}{p} + \frac{p-1}{p} + n \right)^* \\ &\quad \mathcal{S}^{(m)} \left( \frac{w}{p} + \frac{p-1}{p} + n \right)^* \\ &= \mathcal{R} \left( \frac{w}{p} \right) \left( \sum_{l=pn} \tilde{\Phi} \left( \frac{w}{p} + n \right) \tilde{\Phi} \left( \frac{w}{p} + n \right)^* \right) \mathcal{S}^{(m)} \left( \frac{w}{p} \right)^* \\ &\quad + \mathcal{R} \left( \frac{w}{p} + \frac{1}{p} \right) \left( \sum_{l=pn+1} \tilde{\Phi} \left( \frac{w}{p} + \frac{1}{p} + n \right) \tilde{\Phi} \left( \frac{w}{p} + \frac{1}{p} + n \right)^* \right) \mathcal{S}^{(m)} \left( \frac{w}{p} + \frac{1}{p} \right)^* \\ &\quad + \dots + \mathcal{R} \left( \frac{w}{p} + \frac{p-1}{p} \right) \left( \sum_{l=pn+p-1} \tilde{\Phi} \left( \frac{w}{p} + \frac{p-1}{p} + n \right) \tilde{\Phi} \left( \frac{w}{p} + \frac{p-1}{p} + n \right)^* \right) \\ &\quad \mathcal{S}^{(m)} \left( \frac{w}{p} + \frac{p-1}{p} \right)^* \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{p-1} \mathcal{R} \left( \frac{w+l}{p} \right) \mathcal{S}^{(m)} \left( \frac{w+l}{p} \right)^*. \end{aligned}$$

Again from (3.15) and Lemma 3.1, for  $m, n \in \mathbf{\Lambda}$ , we get

$$\mathbf{I}_N = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \tilde{\Psi}_m(w+l) \tilde{\Psi}_n(w+l)^*$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \mathcal{S}^{(m)} \left( \frac{w+l}{p} \right) \tilde{\Phi} \left( \frac{w+l}{p} \right) \tilde{\Phi} \left( \frac{w+l}{p} \right)^* \mathcal{S}^{(m)} \left( \frac{w+l}{p} \right)^* \\
 &= \mathcal{S}^{(m)} \left( \frac{w}{p} \right) \left( \sum_{l=pn} \tilde{\Phi} \left( \frac{w}{p} + n \right) \tilde{\Phi} \left( \frac{w}{p} + n \right)^* \right) \mathcal{S}^{(n)} \left( \frac{w}{p} \right)^* \\
 &+ \mathcal{S}^{(m)} \left( \frac{w}{p} + \frac{1}{p} \right) \left( \sum_{l=pn+1} \tilde{\Phi} \left( \frac{w}{p} + \frac{1}{p} + n \right) \tilde{\Phi} \left( \frac{w}{p} + \frac{1}{p} + n \right)^* \right) \mathcal{S}^{(n)} \left( \frac{w}{p} + \frac{1}{p} \right)^* \\
 &+ \dots + \mathcal{S}^{(m)} \left( \frac{w}{p} + \frac{p-1}{p} \right) \\
 &\left( \sum_{l=pn+p-1} \tilde{\Phi} \left( \frac{w}{p} + \frac{p-1}{p} + n \right) \tilde{\Phi} \left( \frac{w}{p} + \frac{p-1}{p} + n \right)^* \right) \\
 &\mathcal{S}^{(n)} \left( \frac{w}{p} + \frac{p-1}{p} \right)^* \\
 &= \sum_{l=0}^{p-1} \mathcal{S}^{(m)} \left( \frac{w+l}{p} \right) \mathcal{S}^{(n)} \left( \frac{w+l}{p} \right)^* .
 \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, suppose identities (4.1), (4.2) hold. From above, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \tilde{\Phi}(w+l) \tilde{\Psi}_m(w+l)^* &= \sum_{l=0}^{p-1} \mathcal{R} \left( \frac{w+l}{p} \right) \mathcal{S}^{(m)} \left( \frac{w+l}{p} \right)^* = \mathbf{O}, \\
 \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \tilde{\Psi}_m(w+l) \tilde{\Psi}_n(w+l)^* &= \sum_{l=0}^{p-1} \mathcal{S}^{(m)} \left( \frac{w+l}{p} \right) \mathcal{S}^{(n)} \left( \frac{w+l}{p} \right)^* = \mathbf{I}_N.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle \tilde{\Phi}(t), \tilde{\Psi}_m(t \ominus k) \rangle &= \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \int_l^{l+1} \tilde{\Phi}(w) \tilde{\Psi}_m(w)^* \overline{\chi(k, w)} dw \\
 &= \int_0^1 \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \tilde{\Psi}(w+l) \tilde{\Psi}_m(w+l)^* \overline{\chi(k, w)} dw \\
 &= \mathbf{O}, \quad m \in \mathbf{\Lambda}, k \in \mathbb{Z}_+.
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\langle \tilde{\Psi}_m(t), \tilde{\Psi}_n(t \ominus k) \rangle = \int_0^1 \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \tilde{\Psi}_m(w+l) \tilde{\Psi}_n(w+l)^* \overline{\chi(k, w)} dw$$

$$= \delta_{0,k} \delta_{m,n} \mathbf{I}_N, \quad m, n \in \mathbf{\Lambda}, k \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

Thus,  $\Phi(t)$  and  $\Psi_m(t), m \in \mathbf{\Lambda}$  are mutually orthogonal, and  $\{\Psi_m(t), m \in \mathbf{\Lambda}\}$  are a finite family of orthogonal vector-valued functions. This proves the orthogonality of  $\{\Psi_m(t \ominus k), m \in \mathbf{\Lambda}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ .

Finally, we shall prove the completeness of  $\{\Psi_m(t \ominus k), m \in \mathbf{\Lambda}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$  in  $W_0$ . For any  $\mathbf{f} \in W_0 \subset V_1$ , there exists a finite supported sequence of  $N \times N$  constant matrices  $\{A_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$  such that

$$\mathbf{f} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} A_k \Phi(pt \ominus k) \tag{4.3}$$

By taking Walsh-Fourier Transform, we have

$$\tilde{\mathbf{f}}(w) = \mathcal{A}(w/p) \tilde{\Phi}(w/p) \tag{4.4}$$

where  $\mathcal{A}(w) = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} A_k \overline{\chi(k, w)}$ . On the other hand,  $\mathbf{f} \in W_0$  and  $\mathbf{f} \notin V_0$  means

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \mathbf{f}(t) \Phi(t \ominus k)^* dt = \mathbf{O}, k \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \tag{4.5}$$

This is equivalent to

$$\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \tilde{\mathbf{f}}(w+l) \tilde{\Phi}(w+l)^* = \mathbf{O}. \tag{4.6}$$

According to (3.6), (4.4) and Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\sum_{l=0}^{p-1} \mathcal{A}\left(\frac{w+l}{p}\right) \mathcal{R}\left(\frac{w+l}{p}\right)^* = \mathbf{O}, \quad w \in \mathbb{R}_+ \tag{4.7}$$

Let  $\mathcal{A}_1(w) = \left( \mathcal{A}\left(\frac{w}{p}\right), \mathcal{A}\left(\frac{w+1}{p}\right), \dots, \mathcal{A}\left(\frac{w+p-1}{p}\right) \right)^*$ ,  $\mathcal{R}_1(w) = \left( \mathcal{R}\left(\frac{w}{p}\right), \mathcal{R}\left(\frac{w+1}{p}\right), \dots, \mathcal{R}\left(\frac{w+p-1}{p}\right) \right)^*$  and for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, p-1$ , we set  $\mathcal{S}_i(w) = \left( \mathcal{S}^{(i)}\left(\frac{w}{p}\right), \mathcal{S}^{(i)}\left(\frac{w+1}{p}\right), \dots, \mathcal{S}^{(i)}\left(\frac{w+p-1}{p}\right) \right)^*$ . Then the identities (4.1) and (4.2) imply that, for any  $w \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , the column vectors in the  $pN \times N$  matrix  $\mathcal{R}_1(w)$  and column vectors in the  $pN \times N$   $\mathcal{S}_i(w)$  are orthogonal and all these vectors form an orthonormal basis for the  $pN$ -dimensional complex Euclidean space  $\mathbb{C}^{pN}$ . The identity (4.7) implies that the column vectors in the  $pN \times N$  matrix  $\mathcal{A}_1(w)$  and column vectors in the  $pN \times N$   $\mathcal{R}_1(w)$  are orthogonal.

Thus, there exist  $p - 1$  matrices  $\mathcal{L}^{(m)}(w), m \in \mathbf{\Lambda}$  whose all entries are 1-periodic functions of  $w$  such that

$$\mathcal{A}(w) = \sum_{m \in \mathbf{\Lambda}} \mathcal{L}^{(m)}(w) \mathcal{S}^{(m)}(w), \quad w \in \mathbb{R}_+. \tag{4.8}$$

Therefore, by (4.4)

$$\tilde{\mathbf{f}}(w) = \sum_{m \in \mathbf{\Lambda}} \mathcal{L}^{(m)}(w/p) \mathcal{S}^{(m)}(w/p) \Phi(w/p) = \sum_{m \in \mathbf{\Lambda}} \mathcal{L}^{(m)}(w/p) \tilde{\Psi}_m(w). \tag{4.9}$$

By the orthonormality of  $\{\Psi_m(t \ominus k), m \in \mathbf{\Lambda}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \tilde{\mathbf{f}}(pw) \tilde{\mathbf{f}}(pw)^* dw &= \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \int_l^{l+1} \sum_{m \in \mathbf{\Lambda}} \mathcal{L}^{(m)}(w) \tilde{\Psi}_m(pw) \tilde{\Psi}_m(pw)^* \mathcal{L}^{(m)}(w)^* dw \\ &= \int_0^1 \sum_{m \in \mathbf{\Lambda}} \mathcal{L}^{(m)}(w) \mathcal{L}^{(m)}(w)^* dw. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that  $\mathcal{L}(w)$  has Walsh-Fourier series expansion. Let constant  $N \times N$  matrices  $\{Q_k^{(m)}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+}, m \in \mathbf{\Lambda}$  be its Walsh-Fourier coefficients. Then

$$\mathbf{f} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \sum_{m \in \mathbf{\Lambda}} Q_k^{(m)} \Psi_m(t \ominus k).$$

This proves the completeness of  $\{\Psi_m(t \ominus k), m \in \mathbf{\Lambda}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$  in  $W_0$ . □ □

By Walsh-Fourier analysis and (3.7), (3.12), identities (4.1) and (4.2) are equivalent to, respectively,

$$\sum_{v \in \mathbb{Z}_+} P_v(S_{v \oplus pk}^{(m)})^* = \mathbf{O}, \quad m \in \mathbf{\Lambda}, k \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \tag{4.10}$$

$$\sum_{v \in \mathbb{Z}_+} S_v^{(m)}(S_{v \oplus pk}^{(n)})^* = p \delta_{m,n} \delta_{0,k} \mathbf{I}_N, \quad m, n \in \mathbf{\Lambda}, k \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \tag{4.11}$$

Theorem 4.1 implies that a vector valued multiresolution  $p$ -analysis in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^N)$  gives us vector-valued scaling function  $\Phi$  and moreover associated  $p - 1$  orthogonal vector valued wavelet functions  $\Psi_m(t), m \in \mathbf{\Lambda}$  such that whose dilations and translations  $\Psi_{j,k,m}(t) = p^{j/2} \Psi_m(p^j t \ominus k), j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{Z}_+, m \in \mathbf{\Lambda}$  form an orthonormal basis for  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^N)$ . Therefore to construct a vector-valued wavelet functions, we only need to construct a vector-valued scaling function.

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let*

$$\mathcal{R}(w) = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} R_k \overline{\chi(k, w)}$$

*be a matrix valued scaling filter satisfying following conditions:*

(a).

$$\sum_{l=0}^{p-1} \mathcal{R}\left(\frac{w+l}{p}\right) \mathcal{R}\left(\frac{w+l}{p}\right)^* = \mathbf{I}_N. \tag{4.12}$$

(b). *There exists a constant  $C > 0$  and integer  $M > 0$  such that for  $w \in (0, p^M)$*

$$\left\| \prod_{l=1}^M \mathcal{R}\left(\frac{w}{p^l}\right) \right\| < C \left\| \prod_{l=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{R}\left(\frac{w}{p^l}\right) \right\| \tag{4.13}$$

*If  $\Phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^N)$  such that  $\tilde{\Phi}(0)\tilde{\Phi}(0)^* = I_N$  and its Walsh-Fourier transform can be written as*

$$\tilde{\Phi}(w) = \prod_{l=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{R}\left(\frac{w}{p^l}\right) \tilde{\Phi}(0) \tag{4.14}$$

*then  $\Phi(t)$  is a vector valued scaling function for a vector valued multiresolution  $p$ -analysis in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^N)$ . Thus, the corresponding  $\Psi_{j,k,m}(t) = p^{\frac{j}{2}} \Psi_m(p^j t \ominus k)$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{Z}_+, m \in \Lambda$  form an orthonormal basis for  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^N)$ .*

*Proof.* To prove Theorem 4.2, we only need to prove the orthonormality of  $\Phi(t \ominus k), k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . The rest is similar to Daubechies [2], Mallat [9] and Farkov [3].

Now

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \Phi(t)\Phi(t \ominus k)dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \tilde{\Phi}(w)\tilde{\Phi}(w)^* \overline{\chi(k, w)}dw. \tag{4.15}$$

For an integer  $M > 0$ , let

$$\mu_M(w) = \prod_{l=1}^M \mathcal{R}\left(\frac{w}{p^l}\right) \tilde{\Phi}(0) \mathbf{1}_{(0, p^M)}(w). \tag{4.16}$$

where  $\mathbf{1}_{(0, p^M)}(w)$  is the characteristic function of a subset  $(0, p^M)$  of  $\mathbb{R}_+$ .

Now

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \mu_M(w)\mu_M(w)^* \overline{\chi(k, w)}dw$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \int_0^{p^M} \left( \mathcal{R} \left( \frac{w}{p} \right) \mathcal{R} \left( \frac{w}{p^2} \right) \dots \mathcal{R} \left( \frac{w}{p^M} \right) \tilde{\Phi}(0) \right) \\
 &\quad \left( \tilde{\Phi}(0)^* \mathcal{R} \left( \frac{w}{p^M} \right)^* \mathcal{R} \left( \frac{w}{p^{M-1}} \right)^* \dots \mathcal{R} \left( \frac{w}{p} \right)^* \right) \overline{\chi(k, w)} dw \\
 &= p^M \int_0^1 \left( \prod_{l=1}^{M-1} \mathcal{R}(p^{M-l}w) \right) \mathcal{R}(w) \mathcal{R}(w)^* \left( \prod_{l=1}^{M-1} \mathcal{R}(p^{M-l}w) \right)^* \overline{\chi(k, p^M w)} dw \\
 &= p^M \int_0^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \prod_{l=1}^{M-1} \mathcal{R}(p^{M-l}w) \right) \mathcal{R}(w) \mathcal{R}(w)^* \left( \prod_{l=1}^{M-1} \mathcal{R}(p^{M-l}w) \right)^* \overline{\chi(k, p^M w)} dw \\
 &+ p^M \int_{\frac{1}{p}}^{\frac{2}{p}} \left( \prod_{l=1}^{M-1} \mathcal{R}(p^{M-l}w) \right) \mathcal{R}(w) \mathcal{R}(w)^* \left( \prod_{l=1}^{M-1} \mathcal{R}(p^{M-l}w) \right)^* \overline{\chi(k, p^M w)} dw \\
 &+ \dots \\
 &+ p^M \int_{\frac{p-1}{p}}^1 \left( \prod_{l=1}^{M-1} \mathcal{R}(p^{M-l}w) \right) \mathcal{R}(w) \mathcal{R}(w)^* \left( \prod_{l=1}^{M-1} \mathcal{R}(p^{M-l}w) \right)^* \overline{\chi(k, p^M w)} dw \\
 &= p^M \int_0^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \prod_{l=1}^{M-1} \mathcal{R}(p^{M-l}w) \right) \left( \sum_{l=0}^{p-1} \mathcal{R} \left( w + \frac{l}{p} \right) \mathcal{R} \left( w + \frac{l}{p} \right)^* \right) \\
 &\quad \left( \prod_{l=1}^{M-1} \mathcal{R}(p^{M-l}w) \right)^* \overline{\chi(k, p^M w)} dw.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using (4.12) and  $\tilde{\Phi}(0)\tilde{\Phi}(0)^* = I_N$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \mu_M(w) \mu_M(w)^* \overline{\chi(k, w)} dw \\
 &= \int_0^{p^{M-1}} \left( \prod_{l=1}^{M-1} \mathcal{R} \left( \frac{w}{p^l} \right) \right) \left( \prod_{l=1}^{M-1} \mathcal{R} \left( \frac{w}{p^l} \right) \right)^* \overline{\chi(k, w)} dw \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \mu_{M-1}(w) \mu_{M-1}(w)^* \overline{\chi(k, w)} dw \\
 &= \dots = \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \mu_1(w) \mu_1(w)^* \overline{\chi(k, w)} dw \\
 &= \int_0^1 \mathbf{I}_N \overline{\chi(k, w)} dw \\
 &= \delta_{0,k} \mathbf{I}_N.
 \end{aligned}$$

From (4.16), we get that  $\mu_k(w)$  converges to  $\Phi(w)$  pointwise. In view of (4.13),



we have

$$\|\mu_M(w)\mu_M(w)^* - \tilde{\Phi}(w)\tilde{\Phi}(w)^*\| \leq (C + 1)\|\tilde{\Phi}(w)\tilde{\Phi}(w)^*\|, \quad w \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

Since all matrix norms are equivalent, there exists a constant  $C_1 > 0$  such that

$$\|\mu_M\mu_M^* - \tilde{\Phi}\tilde{\Phi}^*\| \leq C_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \|\mu_M(w)\mu_M(w)^* - \tilde{\Phi}(w)\tilde{\Phi}(w)^*\|^2 dw.$$

By the Dominated convergence theorem, we get  $\|\mu_M\mu_M^* - \tilde{\Phi}\tilde{\Phi}^*\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $M \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \tilde{\Phi}(w)\tilde{\Phi}(w)^* \overline{\chi(k, w)} dw &= \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \mu_M(w)\mu_M(w)^* \overline{\chi(k, w)} dw \\ &= \delta_{0,k} \mathbf{I}_N. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the orthonormality of  $\Phi(t \ominus k), k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . □

### Acknowledgments

The authors are thankful to University Grants Commission and Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, New Delhi for providing the financial assistance for the preparation of the manuscript.

### References

- [1] Qingjiang Chen, Zhengxing Cheng, A study of compactly supported orthogonal vector-valued wavelets and wavelet packets, *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals*, **31**, No. 4 (2007), 1024-1034.
- [2] I. Daubechies, *Ten Lectures on Wavelets*, Society of Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Phiadelphia (1992).
- [3] Yu. A. Farkov, Orthogonal  $p$ -wavelets on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ , In: *Proceedings of International Conference Wavelets and Splines*, St. Peterberg State University, St. Peterberg (2005), 4-26.
- [4] Yu. A. Farkov, Orthogonal wavelets with compactlysupport on locally compact Abelian groups, *Izv. Math.* , **69**, No. 3 (2005), 623-650.

- [5] B. I. Golubov, A. V. Efimov, V. A. Skvortsov, *Walsh Series and Transforms*, Kluwer, Dordrecht (1991).
- [6] W. C. Lang, Orthogonal wavelets on cantor dyadic group, *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, **27**, No. 1 (1996), 305-312.
- [7] W. C. Lang, Fractal multiwavelets related to the cantor dyadic group, *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, **21** (1998), 307-314.
- [8] W. C. Lang, Wavelet analysis on the cantor dyadic group, *Houston Journal of Mathematics*, **24** (1998), 533-544.
- [9] S. Mallat, Multiresolution approximation and wavelet orthonormal bases of  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* , **315** (1989), 69-87.
- [10] V. Y. Protasov, Y. A. Farkov, Dyadic wavelets and refinable functions on a half line, *Sbornik: Mathematics*, **197**, No. 10 (2006), 1529-1558.
- [11] F. Schipp, W. R. Wade, P. Simon, *Walsh Series: An Introduction to Dyadic Harmonic Analysis*, Adam Hilger Ltd. , Bristol and New York (1990).
- [12] Lei Sun, Zhengxing Cheng, Construction of a class of compactly supported orthogonal vector-valued wavelets, *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals*, **34** (2007), 1024-34.
- [13] D. Walnut, *An Introduction to Wavelet Analysis*, Birkhauser, Boston (2001).
- [14] P. Wojtaszczyk, *A Mathematical Introduction to Wavelets*, Cambridge University Press (1997).
- [15] S. W. Xia, B. W. Suter, Vector valued wavelets and vector filter banks, *IEEE Trans Signal Process*, **44**, No. 3 (1996), 508-518.