

## STABILITY OF AN ADDITIVE FUNCTIONAL INEQUALITY

Ick-Soon Chang<sup>1</sup>, Won-Gil Park<sup>2 §</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics

Mokwon University

Daejeon, 302-729, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics Education

Mokwon University

Daejeon, 302-729, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

**Abstract:** In this paper, we prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of the additive functional inequality

$$\|f(x) + f(y) + f(z)\| \leq \|f(x + y) + f(z)\|$$

in Banach spaces.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 39B82, 46Bxx, 47Jxx

**Key Words:** Banach space, additive functional inequality

### 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

In 1940, S.M. Ulam [1] suggested the stability problem of functional equations concerning the stability of group homomorphisms as follows: *Let  $(\mathcal{G}, \circ)$  be a group and let  $(\mathcal{H}, \star, d)$  be a metric group with the metric  $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ . Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , does there exist a  $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that if a mapping  $f : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  satisfies the inequality*

$$d(f(x \circ y), f(x) \star f(y)) < \delta$$

*for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{G}$ , then a homomorphism  $F : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  exists with*

---

Received: February 10, 2012

© 2012 Academic Publications, Ltd.  
url: [www.acadpubl.eu](http://www.acadpubl.eu)

§Correspondence author

$$d(f(x), F(x)) < \varepsilon$$

for all  $x \in \mathcal{G}$ ?

In the next year, D.H. Hyers [2] gave a first (partial) affirmative answer to the question of Ulam for Banach spaces as follows: *If  $\delta > 0$  and if  $f : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  is a mapping between Banach spaces  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  satisfying*

$$\|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \delta$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{E}$ , then there is a unique additive mapping  $A : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  such that

$$\|f(x) - A(x)\| \leq \delta$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{E}$ .

Thereafter, we call that type the Hyers-Ulam stability.

## 2. Hyers-Ulam Stability in Banach Spaces

Throughout this paper, let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a normed linear space and  $\mathcal{Y}$  a Banach space. In 2007, C. Park, Y. S. Cho and M.-H. Han [3] proved the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of the additive functional inequality

$$\|f(x) + f(y) + f(z)\| \leq \|f(x+y+z)\|$$

in Banach spaces. In 2011, J. R. Lee, C. Park and D. Y. Shin [4] prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of the additive functional inequality

$$\|f(2x) + f(2y) + 2f(z)\| \leq \|2f(x+y+z)\|$$

in Banach spaces. In this paper, we prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of the additive functional inequality

$$\|f(x) + f(y) + f(z)\| \leq \|f(x+y) + f(z)\|$$

in Banach spaces.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  be a mapping. Then it is additive if and only if it satisfies*

$$\|f(x) + f(y) + f(z)\| \leq \|f(x+y) + f(z)\| \quad (1)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$ .

*Proof.* If  $f$  is additive, then clearly

$$\|f(x) + f(y) + f(z)\| = \|f(x + y) + f(z)\|$$

for all  $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$ .

Assume that  $f$  satisfies (1). Letting  $x = y = z = 0$  in (1), we gain  $\|3f(0)\| \leq \|2f(0)\|$  and so  $f(0) = 0$ . Putting  $z = 0$  and replacing  $y$  by  $-x$  in (1), we get

$$\|f(x) + f(-x)\| \leq \|2f(0)\| = 0$$

and so  $f(-x) = -f(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ . Setting  $z = -x - y$  in (1), we obtain

$$\|f(x) + f(y) - f(x + y)\| \leq \|f(x + y) - f(x + y)\| = 0$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ . Thus we see that

$$f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ . □

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  be an odd mapping. If there is a function  $\varphi : \mathcal{X}^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  satisfying*

$$\|f(x) + f(y) + f(z)\| \leq \|f(x + y) + f(z)\| + \varphi(x, y, z) \tag{2}$$

and

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x, y, z) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^j} \varphi(2^{j+1}x, -2^j y, -2^j z) < \infty \tag{3}$$

for all  $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$ , then there exists a unique additive mapping  $A : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  such that

$$\|f(x) - A(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\varphi}(x, x, x) \tag{4}$$

for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ .

*Proof.* Replacing  $x, y, z$  by  $2^{n+1}x, -2^n x, -2^n x$ , respectively, and dividing by  $2^{n+1}$  in (2), since  $f$  is odd, we gain

$$\left\| \frac{f(2^{n+1}x)}{2^{n+1}} - \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n} \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \varphi(2^{n+1}x, -2^n x, -2^n x)$$

for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  and all nonnegative integers  $n$ . From the above inequality, we get

$$\left\| \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n} - \frac{f(2^m x)}{2^m} \right\| \leq \sum_{j=m}^{n-1} \left\| \frac{f(2^{j+1}x)}{2^{j+1}} - \frac{f(2^j x)}{2^j} \right\| \tag{5}$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=m}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2^{j+1}} \varphi(2^{j+1}x, -2^jx, -2^jx)$$

for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  and all nonnegative integers  $m, n$  with  $m < n$ . By the condition (3), the sequence  $\{\frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n}\}$  is a Cauchy sequence for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ . Since  $\mathcal{Y}$  is complete, the sequence  $\{\frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n}\}$  converges for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ . So one can define a mapping  $A : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  by

$$A(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n}$$

for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ . Taking  $m = 0$  and letting  $n$  tend to  $\infty$  in (5), we have the inequality (4).

Replacing  $x, y, z$  by  $2^n x, 2^n y, 2^n z$ , respectively, and dividing by  $2^n$  in (2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n} + \frac{f(2^n y)}{2^n} + \frac{f(2^n z)}{2^n} \right\| \\ & \leq \left\| \frac{f(2^n x + 2^n y)}{2^n} + \frac{f(2^n z)}{2^n} \right\| + \frac{1}{2^n} \varphi(2^n x, 2^n y, 2^n z) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$  and all nonnegative integers  $n$ . Since (3) gives that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \varphi(2^n x, 2^n y, 2^n z) = 0$$

for all  $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$ , letting  $n$  tend to  $\infty$  in the above inequality, we see that  $A$  satisfies the inequality (1) and so it is additive by Lemma 1.

Let  $B : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  be another mapping satisfying (4). Since both  $A$  and  $B$  are additive, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|A(x) - B(x)\| &= \frac{1}{2^n} \|A(2^n x) - B(2^n x)\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^n} (\|A(2^n x) - f(2^n x)\| + \|f(2^n x) - B(2^n x)\|) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^n} \tilde{\varphi}(2^n x, 2^n x, 2^n x) = \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^j} \varphi(2^{j+1}x, -2^jx, -2^jx) \end{aligned}$$

which goes to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  by (3). Hence  $A$  is a unique additive mapping satisfying (4), as desired.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.** *Let  $\theta \in [0, \infty)$  and  $p \in [0, 1)$  and let  $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  be an odd mapping such that*

$$\|f(x) + f(y) + f(z)\| \leq \|f(x+y) + f(z)\| + \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p + \|z\|^p)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$ . Then there exists a unique additive mapping  $A : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  such that

$$\|f(x) - A(x)\| \leq \theta \frac{2 + 2^p}{2 - 2^p} \|x\|^p$$

for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ .

*Proof.* In Theorem 2, taking

$$\varphi(x, y, z) := \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p + \|z\|^p),$$

we have

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x, y, z) = \frac{2\theta}{2 - 2^p} (2^p \|x\|^p + \|y\|^p + \|z\|^p) < \infty$$

for all  $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$ . And we obtain the desired result.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.** Let  $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  be an odd mapping. If there is a function  $\psi : X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  satisfying

$$\|f(x) + f(y) + f(z)\| \leq \|f(x + y) + f(z)\| + \psi(x, y, z) \tag{6}$$

and

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x, y, z) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^j \psi\left(\frac{1}{2^{j+1}}x, \frac{1}{2^{j+1}}y, -\frac{1}{2^j}z\right) < \infty$$

for all  $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$ , then there exists a unique additive mapping  $A : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  such that

$$\|f(x) - A(x)\| \leq \tilde{\psi}(x, x, x)$$

for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ . In fact, the additive mapping  $A : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  is given by

$$A(x) := 2^n f\left(\frac{1}{2^n}x\right)$$

for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ .

*Proof.* Replacing  $x, y, z$  by  $\frac{1}{2^{n+1}}x, \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}x, -\frac{1}{2^n}x$ , respectively, and multiplying by  $2^n$  in (6), since  $f$  is odd, we gain

$$\left\| 2^{n+1}f\left(\frac{1}{2^{n+1}}x\right) - 2^n f\left(\frac{1}{2^n}x\right) \right\| \leq 2^n \psi\left(\frac{1}{2^{n+1}}x, \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}x, -\frac{1}{2^n}x\right)$$

for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  and all nonnegative integers  $n$ .

The rest of the proof is similar to the corresponding part of the proof of Theorem 2.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.** *Let  $\theta \in [0, \infty)$  and  $p \in (1, \infty)$  and let  $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  be an odd mapping such that*

$$\|f(x) + f(y) + f(z)\| \leq \|f(x+y) + f(z)\| + \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p + \|z\|^p)$$

*for all  $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$ . Then there exists a unique additive mapping  $A : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  such that*

$$\|f(x) - A(x)\| \leq \frac{2^p + 2}{2^p - 2} \theta \|x\|^p$$

*for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ .*

*Proof.* In Theorem 4, taking

$$\psi(x, y, z) := \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p + \|z\|^p),$$

we have

$$\tilde{\psi}(x, y, z) = \theta \frac{\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p + 2^p \|z\|^p}{2^p - 2} < \infty$$

for all  $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$ . And we obtain the desired result.  $\square$

### 3. Acknowledgments

This research was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea, (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (No. 2011-0004878).

### References

- [1] S.M. Ulam, *A Collection of the Mathematical Problems*, Interscience Publ., New York (1960).
- [2] D.H. Hyers, On the stability of the linear functional equation, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.*, **27** (1941), 222-224.
- [3] C. Park, Y.S. Cho, M.-H. Han, Functional inequalities associated with Jordan-von Neumann-type additive functional equations, *J. Inequal. Appl.*, **2007** (2007), Article ID 41820, 13 pages.
- [4] J.R. Lee, C. Park, D.Y. Shin, Stability of an additive functional inequality in proper  $CQ^*$ -algebras, *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.*, **48** (2011), 853-871.