

**WEAK STABILITY OF A CRITICAL TWISTED NEMATIC
STATE UNDER THE MAGNETIC FIELD
IN LIQUID CRYSTALS**

Junichi Aramaki

Division of Science

Faculty of Science and Engineering

Tokyo Denki University

Hatoyama-Machi, Saitama, 350-0394, JAPAN

Abstract: We consider the weak stability of a critical twisted nematic state under the magnetic field in the theory of liquid crystals. We treat the Oseen-Frank energy functional with the strong anchoring condition, that is to say, the Dirichlet boundary condition for the director field.

1. Introduction

It is well known that the stability of a liquid crystal configuration is influenced by the external magnetic field. In this paper, we shall consider the stability of a critical twisted nematic configuration. For such a theory in nematic liquid crystal, we follow the Oseen-Frank energy given by Ericksen and Leslie [7]. The bulk energy is given by

$$\mathcal{W}[\mathbf{n}] = \int_{\Omega} W(\nabla \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}) dx$$

where $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{n}(x)$ is the unit vector field called the director field, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is the domain occupied by the material. And $W(\nabla \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n})$ is the Oseen-Frank energy

density:

$$W(\nabla \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}) = K_1(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{n})^2 + K_2(\mathbf{n} \cdot \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{n})^2 + K_3|\mathbf{n} \times \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{n}|^2 \\ + (K_2 + K_4)(\operatorname{tr}(\nabla \mathbf{n})^2 - (\operatorname{div} \mathbf{n})^2)$$

where K_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) are positive constants which are called the elastic coefficients and K_4 is a real constant.

We consider the case where equilibrium molecular configurations are local minima of $\mathcal{W}[\mathbf{n}]$ and satisfy the strong anchoring condition, mathematically the Dirichlet boundary condition:

$$\mathbf{n}(x) = \mathbf{n}_0(x), \quad x \in \partial\Omega$$

where $\partial\Omega$ is the boundary of Ω . Under the strong anchoring condition,

$$\int_{\Omega} \{\operatorname{tr}(\nabla \mathbf{n})^2 - (\operatorname{div} \mathbf{n})^2\} dx$$

represents a surface energy and so we can neglect the term for finding energy minimizing configurations (cf. Hardt et al. [8]).

In the situation where the liquid crystal material is subject to a static magnetic field \mathbf{H} , we must add the magnetic energy contribution $-\chi_a(\mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{n})^2$ to the energy density (cf. de Gennes and Prost [6], Lin and Pan [9], Cohen and Luskin [4], Pan [10] and Aramaki [2, 1, 3]). For brevity, we assume that $\mathbf{H} = \sigma \mathbf{h}$ where \mathbf{h} is a unit vector field and σ is a constant for which $|\sigma|$ is the intensity of \mathbf{H} and Ω is a bounded smooth domain in \mathbb{R}^3 with boundary $\partial\Omega$. Thus we shall consider the energy functional:

$$\mathcal{F}_{\sigma \mathbf{h}}[\mathbf{n}] = \int_{\Omega} \{K_1(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{n})^2 + K_2(\mathbf{n} \cdot \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{n})^2 + K_3|\mathbf{n} \times \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{n}|^2\} dx \\ - \chi_a \sigma^2 \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{n})^2 dx \quad (1.1)$$

Let $H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ and $H_0^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ be the usual Sobolev space of vector valued functions and define

$$H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{S}^2) = \{\mathbf{n} \in H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3); |\mathbf{n}(x)| = 1 \text{ a.e. } x \in \Omega\}.$$

Moreover, for given smooth vector field $\mathbf{n}_0 : \partial\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2$, we define the space of admissible director fields

$$H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{S}^2, \mathbf{n}_0) = \{\mathbf{n} \in H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{S}^2); \mathbf{n} = \mathbf{n}_0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega\}.$$

We note that if \mathbf{n}_0 is Lipschitzian, then $H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{S}^2, \mathbf{n}_0) \neq \emptyset$ (cf. [8, Lemma 1.1]).

In the present paper, we give a criterion of the weak stability of a critical twisted nematic state $\mathbf{n}^q = (\cos(qx_3), \sin(qx_3), 0)$ and $\mathbf{n}_0 = \mathbf{n}^q|_{\partial\Omega}$. [4] considered the weak stability of \mathbf{n}^q without magnetic field and $\Omega = (0, 1) \times (0, 1) \times (0, 1)$. We shall extend the result to the general case.

2. Critical Points and Weak Stability

In this section, we give the notations of critical points of $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\mathbf{h}}$ and weak stabilities of critical points.

For $\mathbf{n} \in H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{S}^2)$, $\mathbf{v} \in H_0^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ and small $t \in \mathbb{R}$, define

$$\mathbf{n}_t = \frac{\mathbf{n} + t\mathbf{v}}{|\mathbf{n} + t\mathbf{v}|}. \quad (2.1)$$

Then we can write

$$\mathbf{n}_t = \mathbf{n} + t\mathbf{n}_1 + t^2\mathbf{n}_2 + O(t^3)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{n}_1 &= \mathbf{v} - (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n})\mathbf{n}, \\ \mathbf{n}_2 &= -(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n})\mathbf{v} + \frac{1}{2}[3(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n})^2 - |\mathbf{v}|^2]\mathbf{n}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

We shall expand $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\mathbf{h}}[\mathbf{n}_t]$ with respect to powers of t (cf. [2] and [9]).

Proposition 2.1. *We can write*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{\sigma\mathbf{h}}[\mathbf{n}_t] &= \mathcal{F}_{\sigma\mathbf{h}}[\mathbf{n}] + 2t \left\{ \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{n}; \mathbf{v}) - \chi_a \sigma^2 \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{n})(\mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{n}_1) dx \right\} \\ &\quad + t^2 \left\{ \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{n}; \mathbf{v}) - \chi_a \sigma^2 \int_{\Omega} \{(\mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{n}_1)^2 + 2(\mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{n})(\mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{n}_2)\} dx \right\} + O(t^3) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{n}; \mathbf{v}) &= \int_{\Omega} \{K_1(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{n})(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{n}_1) + K_2(\mathbf{n} \cdot \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{n})(\mathbf{n}_1 \cdot \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{n} + \mathbf{n} \cdot \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{n}_1) \\ &\quad + K_3(\mathbf{n} \times \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{n}) \cdot (\mathbf{n}_1 \times \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{n} + \mathbf{n} \times \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{n}_1)\} dx, \\ \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{n}; \mathbf{v}) &= \int_{\Omega} [K_1\{(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{n}_1)^2 + 2(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{n})(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{n}_1)\} + K_2\{(\mathbf{n}_1 \cdot \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{n} + \mathbf{n} \cdot \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{n}_1)^2 \\ &\quad + 2(\mathbf{n} \cdot \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{n})(\mathbf{n}_2 \cdot \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{n} + \mathbf{n}_1 \cdot \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{n}_1 + \mathbf{n} \cdot \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{n}_2)\} \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + K_3\{|\mathbf{n}_1 \times \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{n} + \mathbf{n} \times \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{n}_1|^2 \\
& + 2(\mathbf{n} \times \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{n}) \cdot (\mathbf{n}_2 \times \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{n} + \mathbf{n}_1 \times \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{n}_1 + \mathbf{n} \times \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{n}_2)\} dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Definition 2.2. (i) We say that $\mathbf{n} \in H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{S}^2, \mathbf{n}_0)$ is a critical point of $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma h}$, if and only if for any $\mathbf{v} \in H_0^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$,

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \mathcal{F}_{\sigma h}[\mathbf{n}_t] = 0.$$

That is to say,

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{n}; \mathbf{v}) - \chi_a \sigma^2 \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{n})(\mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{n}_1) dx = 0. \tag{2.5}$$

(ii) We say that a critical point \mathbf{n} of $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma h}$ is weakly stable (local minimizer), if and only if for any $\mathbf{v} \in H_0^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$, there exists $T = T(\mathbf{v}) > 0$ such that for all $0 < t < T$,

$$\mathcal{F}_{\sigma h}[\mathbf{n}] \leq \mathcal{F}_{\sigma h}[\mathbf{n}_t].$$

From Proposition 2.1 and Definition 2.2, we have (cf. [4, Corollary 2.4])

Corollary 2.3. Assume that $\mathbf{n} \in H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{S}^2, \mathbf{n}_0)$ be a critical point of $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma h}$. If there exists $\mathbf{v} \in H_0^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ such that

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{n}; \mathbf{v}) - \chi_a \sigma^2 \int_{\Omega} \{(\mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{n}_1)^2 + 2(\mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{n})(\mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{n}_2)\} dx < 0,$$

then \mathbf{n} is not weak stable. Conversely, if

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{n}; \mathbf{v}) - \chi_a \sigma^2 \int_{\Omega} \{(\mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{n}_1)^2 + 2(\mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{n})(\mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{n}_2)\} dx > 0$$

for all $\mathbf{v} \in H_0^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ such that \mathbf{v} is not parallel to \mathbf{n} on a set of positive measure, then \mathbf{n} is weakly stable.

3. The Weak Stability of a Twisted Nematic State

A twisted nematic configuration is defined by

$$\mathbf{n}^q = (\cos(qx_3), \sin(qx_3), 0) \tag{3.1}$$

and we define

$$\mathbf{n}_0 = \mathbf{n}^q \Big|_{\partial\Omega}. \tag{3.2}$$

In this section, we assume that the exterior magnetic field \mathbf{h} is perpendicular to \mathbf{n}^q , that is to say,

$$\mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{n}^q = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega. \quad (3.3)$$

By a simple computations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{n}^q &= -q\mathbf{n}^q, \quad \operatorname{div} \mathbf{n}^q = 0 \\ \mathbf{n}_1 \cdot \mathbf{n}^q &= 0, \quad \mathbf{n}_2 \cdot \mathbf{n}^q = -\frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{n}_1|^2 \quad \text{in } \Omega. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Lemma 3.1. \mathbf{n}^q is a critical point of $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma h}$.

Proof. Using (3.4), we have

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{n}^q; \mathbf{v}) = K_2 \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{n}^q \cdot \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{n}_1 dx.$$

Since $\mathbf{n}_1 = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, it follows that

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{n}^q; \mathbf{v}) = K_2 \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{n}^q \cdot \mathbf{n}_1 dx = -K_2 q \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{n}^q \cdot \mathbf{n}_1 dx = 0.$$

Since $\mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{n}^q = 0$, we have (2.5) with $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{n}^q$. Thus \mathbf{n}^q is a critical point of $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma h}$. \square

We compute $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{n}^q; \mathbf{v})$. Using (3.4) and the formula:

$$(\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B}) \cdot (\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{D}) = (\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{C})(\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{D}) - (\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{C})(\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{D})$$

for vectors $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{n}^q; \mathbf{v}) &= \int_{\Omega} [K_1(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{n}_1)^2 + (K_2 - K_3)\{(\mathbf{n}^q \cdot \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{n}_1)^2 - 2q(\mathbf{n}_1 \cdot \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{n}_1)\} \\ &\quad + (K_3 - 2K_2)q^2|\mathbf{n}_1|^2 + K_3|\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{n}_1|^2] dx. \end{aligned}$$

From now on, we assume that

$$K_2 = K_3. \quad (3.5)$$

Then we can write

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{n}^q; \mathbf{v}) = \int_{\Omega} \{K_1(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{n}_1)^2 + K_2|\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{n}_1|^2 - K_2q^2|\mathbf{n}_1|^2\} dx. \quad (3.6)$$

We define

$$c_0(\Omega) = c_0(\Omega, K_1, K_2) = \inf \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{F}[\mathbf{w}]}{\int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{w}|^2 dx}; \mathbf{w} \in H_0^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3), \mathbf{w} \neq 0, \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{n}^q = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \right\} \quad (3.7)$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}[\mathbf{w}] = \int_{\Omega} \{K_1(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{w})^2 + K_2|\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{w}|^2\} dx.$$

Since

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \mathbf{n}|^2 dx = \int_{\Omega} \{(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{n})^2 + |\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{n}|^2\} dx$$

for all $\mathbf{n} \in H_0^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$, we see that

$$c_0(\Omega) \geq \min(K_1, K_2)c_1(\Omega)$$

where

$$c_1(\Omega) = \inf \left\{ \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \mathbf{w}|^2 dx}{\int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{w}|^2 dx}; \mathbf{w} \in H_0^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3), \mathbf{w} \neq 0 \right\}.$$

Here we note that since $c_1(\Omega)$ is the lowest eigenvalue of the Laplace operator with the Dirichlet condition, $c_1(\Omega) > 0$.

Lemma 3.2. *Under the hypothesis (3.5), $c_0(\Omega, K_1, K_2)$ is achieved.*

Proof. Let $\{\mathbf{w}_j\}$ be a minimizing sequence of $c_0(\Omega)$. By the homogeneity of the definition of $c_0(\Omega)$, we may assume that $\|\mathbf{w}_j\|_{L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)} = 1$. Then

$$\int_{\Omega} \{K_1(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{w}_j)^2 + K_2|\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{w}_j|^2\} dx = (c_0(\Omega) + o(1)) \leq C.$$

Thus $\{\operatorname{div} \mathbf{w}_j\}$ is bounded in $L^2(\Omega)$ and $\{\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{w}_j\}$ is bounded in $L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$. Since $\mathbf{w}_j = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, it follows from Dautray and Lions [5] (cf. Temam [11]) that $\{\mathbf{w}_j\}$ is bounded in $H_0^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$. Passing to a subsequence, we may assume that $\mathbf{w}_j \rightarrow \mathbf{w}_0$ weakly in $H_0^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$, strongly in $L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ and a.e. in Ω . Therefore we see that $\|\mathbf{w}_0\|_{L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)} = 1$, $\mathbf{w}_0 \cdot \mathbf{n}^q = 0$ a.e. in Ω . By the fundamental theory of functional analysis, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \{K_1(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{w}_0)^2 + K_2|\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{w}_0|^2\} dx \\ & \leq \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \{K_1(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{w}_j)^2 + K_2|\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{w}_j|^2\} dx = c_0(\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

□

Moreover, when $c_0(\Omega) - K_2q^2 > 0$ and (3.5) holds, we define

$$H_{sh}^2 = \frac{1}{\chi_a} \inf \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{F}[\mathbf{w}] - K_2q^2 \|\mathbf{w}\|_{L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)}}{\int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{w})^2 dx}; \right. \\ \left. \mathbf{w} \in H_0^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3), \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{n}^q = 0 \text{ a.e. in } \Omega, \mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{w} \neq 0 \right\} \quad (3.9)$$

Then we have

Proposition 3.3. *When $c_0(\Omega) - K_2q^2 > 0$, we see that $H_{sh} > 0$ and it is achieved.*

Proof. As a test function, choose $\mathbf{w} = \phi(x)\mathbf{h}$, $0 \neq \phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$, we see that $H_{sh} < \infty$. Let $\{\mathbf{w}_j\} \subset H_0^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ satisfying $\mathbf{w}_j \cdot \mathbf{n}^q = 0$ a.e. in Ω and $\|\mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{w}_j\|_{L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)} = 1$ be a minimizing sequence of H_{sh} . Then

$$\mathcal{F}[\mathbf{w}_j] - K_2q^2 \|\mathbf{w}_j\|_{L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)} = \chi_a(H_{sh}^2 + o(1)) \leq C.$$

From this, we have $(c_0(\Omega) - K_2q^2) \|\mathbf{w}_j\|_{L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \leq C$. By the hypothesis, $\|\mathbf{w}_j\|_{L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C_1$. Since

$$\min(K_1, K_2) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \mathbf{w}_j|^2 dx \leq \mathcal{F}[\mathbf{w}_j] \leq K_2q^2 C_1 + C.$$

Therefore $\{\mathbf{w}_j\}$ is bounded in $H_0^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$. Passing to a subsequence, we may assume that $\mathbf{w}_j \rightarrow \mathbf{w}_0$ weakly in $H_0^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$, strongly in $L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ and a.e. in Ω . Hence $\mathbf{w}_0 \cdot \mathbf{n}^q = 0$ a.e. in Ω and $\|\mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{w}_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = 1$. As in the proof of Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\mathcal{F}[\mathbf{w}_0] - K_2q^2 \|\mathbf{w}_0\|_{L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \leq \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \{\mathcal{F}[\mathbf{w}_j] - K_2q^2 \|\mathbf{w}_j\|_{L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)}\} = \chi_a H_{sh}^2.$$

□

Thus we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{\sigma\mathbf{h}}[\mathbf{n}_t] &= \mathcal{F}_{\sigma\mathbf{h}}[\mathbf{n}^q] + t^2 \left\{ \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{n}^q; \mathbf{v}) - \chi_a \sigma^2 \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{n}_1)^2 dx \right\} + O(t^3) \\ &= \mathcal{F}_{\sigma\mathbf{h}}[\mathbf{n}^q] + t^2 \left\{ \mathcal{F}[\mathbf{n}_1] - K_2q^2 \|\mathbf{n}_1\|_{L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)} - \chi_a \sigma^2 \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{n}_1)^2 dx \right\} \\ &\quad + O(t^3). \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

We note that $\mathbf{v} \in H_0^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ is not parallel to \mathbf{n}^q on a set of positive measure if and only if $\mathbf{n}_1 = \mathbf{v} - (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n}^q)\mathbf{n}^q \neq 0$ on a set of positive measure.

We give the main theorem.

Theorem 3.4. *Assume that (3.5) holds. Then we have the following.*

- (i) *When $c_0(\Omega) - K_2q^2 < 0$, \mathbf{n}^q is not weakly stable for any σ .*
(ii) *When $c_0(\Omega) - K_2q^2 > 0$, if $|\sigma| < H_{sh}$, then \mathbf{n}^q is weakly stable, and if $|\sigma| > H_{sh}$, then \mathbf{n}^q is not weakly stable.*

Proof. (i) Choose a minimizer \mathbf{w} of $c_0(\Omega)$. Since $\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{n}^q = 0$, if we put $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{w}$, we see that $\mathbf{n}_1 = \mathbf{w}$ where \mathbf{n}_1 is defined in (2.2). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{n}^q; \mathbf{v}) - \chi_a \sigma^2 \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{n}_1)^2 dx &\leq \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{n}^q; \mathbf{v}) \\ &= \mathcal{F}[\mathbf{w}] - K_2 q^2 \|\mathbf{w}\|_{L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \\ &= (c_0(\Omega) - K_2 q^2) \|\mathbf{w}\|_{L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)}^2 < 0. \end{aligned}$$

From Corollary 2.3, \mathbf{n}^q is not weakly stable for any σ .

(ii) When $c_0(\Omega) - K_2q^2 > 0$ and $|\sigma| < H_{sh}$, let $\mathbf{v} \in H_0^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ be not parallel to \mathbf{n}^q . Then $\mathbf{n}_1 = \mathbf{v} - (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n}^q) \mathbf{n}^q \neq 0$ and $\mathbf{n}_1 \cdot \mathbf{n}^q = 0$ a.e. in Ω . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{n}^q; \mathbf{v}) - \chi_a \sigma^2 \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{n}_1)^2 dx \\ = \mathcal{F}[\mathbf{n}_1] - K_2 q^2 \|\mathbf{n}_1\|_{L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)}^2 - \chi_a \sigma^2 \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{n}_1)^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

If $\mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{n}_1 \equiv 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{n}^q; \mathbf{v}) - \chi_a \sigma^2 \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{n}_1)^2 dx \\ = \mathcal{F}[\mathbf{n}_1] - K_2 q^2 \|\mathbf{n}_1\|_{L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \\ \geq (c_0(\Omega) - K_2 q^2) \|\mathbf{n}_1\|_{L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)}^2 > 0. \end{aligned}$$

If $\mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{n}_1 \not\equiv 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{n}^q; \mathbf{v}) - \chi_a \sigma^2 \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{n}_1)^2 dx \\ = \mathcal{F}[\mathbf{n}_1] - K_2 q^2 \|\mathbf{n}_1\|_{L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)}^2 - \chi_a \sigma^2 \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{n}_1)^2 dx \\ \geq \chi_a (H_{sh}^2 - \sigma^2) \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{n}_1)^2 dx > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus in this case where $c_0(\Omega) - K_2q^2 > 0$ and $|\sigma| < H_{sh}$, it follows from Corollary 2.3 that \mathbf{n}^q is weakly stable.

When $|\sigma| > H_{sh}$, choose a minimizer \mathbf{w} of H_{sh} . Then

$$\mathcal{F}[\mathbf{w}] - K_2 q^2 \|\mathbf{w}\|_{L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)}^2 = \chi_a H_{sh}^2 \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{w})^2 dx.$$

If we put $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{w}$ in (2.3), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}[\mathbf{n}_1] - K_2 q^2 \|\mathbf{n}_1\|_{L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)}^2 - \chi_a \sigma^2 \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{n}_1)^2 dx \\ = \chi_a (H_{sh}^2 - \sigma^2) \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{n}_1)^2 dx < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ is dense in $H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$, it follows from Corollary 2.3 that \mathbf{n}^q is not weakly stable. \square

Remark 3.5. When $K_1 = K_2$ and $\Omega = (0, 1) \times (0, 1) \times (0, 1)$, if we remember

$$c_1(\Omega) = \inf \left\{ \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \mathbf{n}|^2 dx}{\int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{n}|^2 dx}; \mathbf{n} \in H_0^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3), \mathbf{n} \neq 0 \right\},$$

we can see that $c_1(\Omega) = 3\pi^2$ (cf. [4]). In this case,

$$c_0(\Omega) = \inf \left\{ \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \mathbf{n}|^2 dx}{\int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{n}|^2 dx}; \mathbf{n} \in H_0^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3), \mathbf{n} \neq 0, \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}^q = 0 \text{ a.e. in } \Omega \right\},$$

Then $c_1(\Omega) \leq c_0(\Omega)$. If we choose a test field $\mathbf{n} = (0, 0, \psi)$ of $c_0(\Omega)$ where $\psi = \sqrt{8} \sin(\pi x_1) \sin(\pi x_2) \sin(\pi x_3)$, then $\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}^q = 0$ and $\mathbf{n} \neq 0$. Thus we see that $c_0(\Omega) \leq 3\pi^2$. Therefore, we have $c_0(\Omega) = c_1(\Omega) = 3\pi^2$.

References

- [1] J. Aramaki, K. Chinen, The effect of non-constant external fields in the theory of liquid crystals, *Int. Math. Forum*, **7**, No. 14 (2012), 639-667.
- [2] J. Aramaki, The effect of external fields in the theory of liquid crystals, *Tokyo J. Math.*, To Appear.
- [3] J. Aramaki, Asymptotic behavior of nematic states of liquid crystals, *Int. Math. Forum*, **6**, No. 36 (2011), 1763-1775.
- [4] R. Cohen, M. Luskin, Field-induced instabilities in nematic liquid crystals, In: *NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci.* (Ed-s: Nematics J.-M. Coron et al), **332**, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands (1991), 261-278.

- [5] R. Dautray, J.L. Lions, *Mathematical Analysis and Numerical Method for Science and Technology*, Volume 3, Springer Verlag, New York (1990).
- [6] P.G. de Gennes, J. Prost, *The Physics of Liquid Crystals*, Second Edition, Oxford Science Publication, Oxford, UK (1993).
- [7] J.L. Ericksen, *Equilibrium Theory of Liquid Crystals*, Advances in Liquid Crystals, Second Edition, G.H. Brown, Academic Press (1976).
- [8] R. Hardt, D. Kinderlehrer, F-H. Lin, Existence and partial regularity of static liquid crystal configurations, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **105** (1986), 547-570.
- [9] F.-H. Lin, X.-B. Pan, Magnetic field-induced instabilities in liquid crystals, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **38**, No. 5 (2007), 1588-1612.
- [10] X.-B. Pan, Analogies between superconductors and liquid crystals: nucleation and critical field, *Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics (ASPM)*, **47** (2007), 479-518.
- [11] R. Temam, *Theory and Numerical Analysis of the Navier-Stokes Equations*, North Holland (1977).