

CURVES WITH LOW GONALITY AND MAXIMAL RANK

E. Ballico

Department of Mathematics

University of Trento

38 123 Povo (Trento) - Via Sommarive, 14, ITALY

Abstract: For every integer $n \geq 2$ set $\gamma_n := (n^2 - n)/2$. Set $g_2 := 1$, $g_3 := 4$ and $g_n := \gamma_n + n - 2 + g_{n-2}$ for all $n \geq 4$. We prove the following result. Fix integers n, g, k such that $k \geq n \geq 3$. Fix any integer g such that $2k + 1 \leq g \leq g_n$. Let X be a general k -gonal curve of genus g and L a general element of $\text{Pic}^{g+n}(X)$. Then L is normally generated, i.e. $h_L(X) \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ is projectively normal.

AMS Subject Classification: 14H50, 14N05

Key Words: gonality, postulation of curves, curves with maximal rank

1. k -Gonal Projectively Normal Curves

For every integer $n \geq 2$ set $\gamma_n := (n^2 - n)/2$. Set $g_2 := 1$ and $g_3 := 4$. Define inductively the integer g_n , $n \geq 4$, by the formula $g_n := \gamma_n + n - 2 + g_{n-2}$. Fix any integer $n \geq 3$ and any smooth curve X of genus g . A general $L \in \text{Pic}^{g+n}(X)$ is very ample and $h^1(X, L) = 0$. For any very ample $A \in \text{Pic}(X)$ let $h_A : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$, $n := h^0(X, A) - 1$, denote the embedding associated to the complete linear system $|L|$. For all integers n, g, k let $\mathcal{H}(g, n, k)$ denote the set of all smooth and linearly curves $C \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ with $h^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C(1)) = 0$, $h^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C(1)) = 0$, genus g and gonality $\leq k$. For all $g \geq 0$ the set $\mathcal{H}(g, n, k)$ is irreducible.

In this note we prove the following result.

Theorem 1. *Fix integers n, g, k such that $k \geq n \geq 3$. Fix any integer g such that $2k + 1 \leq g \leq g_n$. Let X be a general k -gonal curve of genus g and L a general element of $\text{Pic}^{g+n}(X)$. Then $h_L(X)$ has maximal rank, i.e. for each $t \in \mathbb{N}$ either $h^1(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{I}_{h_L(X)}(t)) = 0$ or $h^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{I}_{h_L(X)}(t)) = 0$.*

In the set-up of Theorem 1 we have $h^1(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{I}_{h_L(X)}(t)) = 0$ for all $t \geq 3$. For general curves of genus g see [2], [3]. The range $g \leq \gamma_n$ is true by [1], Theorem 2. Only the case $g > \gamma_n$ is new, as far as we know. Fix an integer $n \geq 3$ and take any integer $k \in \{2, \dots, n\}$. Let C be a smooth curve of genus g with a base point free $R \in \text{Pic}^k(C)$ with $h^0(C, R) = 2$. Fix any very ample $L \in \text{Pic}^{g+n}(C)$ with $h^1(C, L) = 0$. It is easy to show that $h_L(C)$ is contained in a k -dimensional scroll over \mathbb{P}^1 . Hence if $g \gg n$, then $h_L(C)$ has not maximal rank. For very low k we may even compute the possible postulations of the curves $h_L(C)$. As an example we compute the cases $k = 2$ and $n = 3, 4$.

Remark 1. For non-linearly normal curves the obstructions coming from the gonality are less severe and disappears for very non-linearly normal embeddings (see [4]). For low gonality it boils down to the postulation of general linear projections of minimal degree scrolls (e.g. minimal degree surfaces for hyperelliptic curves) See [?] for some cases and Example 1 on how to use it..

Proof of Theorem 1. Since the closure in \mathcal{M}_g of all smooth curves with gonality k contains all curves with gonality $< k$, it is sufficient to do the case $k = n$. The case $g \leq \gamma_n$ is true by [1], Theorem 2. Hence we may assume $\gamma_n < g \leq g_n$. Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a general non-special, n -gonal and linearly normal curve of genus γ_n . We have $\text{deg}(C) = n + \gamma_n$. By [1], Theorem 2, we have $h^i(\mathcal{I}_C(2)) = 0, i = 0, 1$. It is for this part that we use that $k \geq n$ (in the second step we will only use that $k \geq n - 1$). Let $R \in \text{Pic}^k(C)$ the line bundle computing the gonality of C . Fix $g - \gamma_n$ general $D_i \in |L|$. For each i fix $S_i \subset D_i$ such that $\sharp(S_i) = 2$. Let $\langle S_i \rangle$ denote the line spanned by S_i . For general S_i the nodal curve $E := C \cup \bigcup_{i \geq 1} \langle S_i \rangle$ has arithmetic genus g . As in [1], proof of Theorem 2, we see that $E \in \mathcal{H}(g, n, n)$. Since $h^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{I}_C(2)) = 0$ and $E \supset C$, we have $h^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{I}_E(2)) = 0$. By semicontinuity we have $h^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{I}_X(2)) = 0$ for a general $X \in \mathcal{H}(n, g, n)$. Hence to prove Theorem 2 for the integers n and g it is sufficient to prove $h^1(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{I}_X(t)) = 0$ for a general $X \in \mathcal{H}(n, g, n)$ and every $t \geq 3$. By Castelnuovo-Mumford’s lemma it is sufficient to prove $h^1(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{I}_X(3)) = 0$.

(a) For a general $L \in \text{Pic}^{n+\gamma-n}(C)$ we have $h^1(C, L \otimes R^*) = 0$, because $\text{deg}(L \otimes R^*) \geq \gamma_n - 1$. Fix a general $D \in |R|$. Since $h^1(C, L \otimes R^*) = 0$, D is formed by n distinct points spanning a hyperplane of \mathbb{P}^{n-1} . Fix $S \subset D$

such that $\sharp(S) = n - 1$ and call V the linear span of S . Since D is linearly independent, V has codimension 2. We have $S = V \cap C$ (scheme-theoretic intersection). The proof of [?], Claim at top of page 247, says that the restriction map $\rho' : H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(3)) \rightarrow H^0(C \cup V, \mathcal{O}_{C \cup V}(3))$ is surjective. Since S is linearly independent, the restriction map $H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(3)) \rightarrow H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(3))$ is surjective. From the Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C \cup V}(3) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(3) \oplus \mathcal{O}_V(3) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(3) \rightarrow 0$$

we get the surjectivity of the restriction map

$$H^0(C \cup V, \mathcal{O}_{C \cup V}(3)) \rightarrow H^0(V, \mathcal{O}_V(3)).$$

(b) Assume $n = 3$. We have $g_3 = 4 = \gamma_3 + 1$. In this case V is a secant line to C . Since ρ' is surjective, we have $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{C \cup V}(3)) = 0$. As in [1], Proof of Theorem 2, we have $C \cup V \in \mathcal{H}(3, 4, 3)$.

(c) In this step we assume $g \geq \gamma_n + n - 2$. Assume for the moment $n \geq 5$. Since $g - \gamma_n \leq \gamma_{n-2} + n - 2$, [1], Theorem 2, applied to $V \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-2}$ gives that a general element $Y \in \mathcal{H}(n - 2, g - \gamma_n - n - 2, n - 2)$ satisfies $h^1(V, \mathcal{I}_Y(3)) = 0$. Since $\text{Aut}(V)$ is transitive on the set of all linearly independent subsets of V with cardinality $n - 1$, we may find a curve Y such that $h^1(V, \mathcal{I}_{Y,V}(3)) = 0$ and $S \subset Y$. Notice that $C \cup Y$ is a nodal curve with arithmetic genus g and degree $g + n$. A Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence gives $h^1(C \cup Y, \mathcal{O}_{C \cup Y}(1)) = 0$. Let $N_{Y,V}$ and N_Y denote the normal bundle of Y in V and \mathbb{P}^n , respectively. We have $h^1(Y, N_{Y,V}) = 0$ and $N_Y \cong N_{Y,V} \oplus \mathcal{O}_Y(1)^{\oplus 2}$. Since $h^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C(1)) = 0$, we have $h^1(N_C) = 1$. Since $h^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(1)) = 0$, the Euler sequence of $T\mathbb{P}^n$ shows that N_Y is a quotient of $\mathcal{O}_C(1)^{\oplus(n+1)}$. Hence the restriction map $H^0(Y, N_Y) \rightarrow H^0(S, N_Y|_S)$ is surjective. Hence $C \cup Y$ is smoothable inside \mathbb{P}^n (see [7]). As in [1], Proof of Theorem 2, using the admissible coverings we see that $C \cup Y \in \overline{\mathcal{H}(n, g, n)}$.

Now assume $n = 4$, i.e. assume $\dim(V) = 2$. We have $\gamma_4 = 6$ and $g_4 = 8$. In this case the previous proof works taking as Y a smooth elliptic curve.

(d) Now assume $\gamma_n < g < \gamma_n + n - 2$. We take as Y a general smooth rational curve of V containing $g - \gamma_n + 1$ points of S and of degree $g - \gamma_n$. Notice that Y is a rational normal curve in its linear span $\langle Y \rangle$. Hence $h^1(\langle Y \rangle, \mathcal{I}_Y(t)) = 0$ for all $t > 0$. Since V is spanned by S and $\sharp(S \setminus S \cap Y) = \dim(V) - \dim(\langle Y \rangle)$, we have $h^1(V, \mathcal{I}_{S \cup Y, V}(3)) = 0$. Hence we may repeat the proof given in step (c). □

Example 1. Fix integers $n \geq 3$ and $g \geq 2$. Let $\Delta(n, g)$ be the set of all smooth and linearly normal hyperelliptic curves $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$. Fix $X \in \Delta(n, g)$ and

call T be the minimal degree surface containing X . There is an integer e such that $0 \leq e \leq n-1$, $e \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ and either $e \leq n-3$ and $T \cong F_e$ embedded by the complete linear system $|\mathcal{O}_{F_e}(h + ((n-1+e)/2)f)|$ or $e = n-1$ and T is a cone over a rational normal curve of \mathbb{P}^{n-1} . In the latter case T is the image of \mathbb{F}_{n-1} by the complete linear system $|\mathcal{O}_{F_e}(h + (n-1)f)|$ which contracts h to the vertex of T . If $e \neq n-1$, then set $Y := X$. If $e = n-1$, then call Y the strict transform of X in F_{n-1} . In the latter case we have $Y \cong X$, because X is smooth. Since the lines of T are spanned by the g_2^1 of X , we have $Y \in |\mathcal{O}_{F_e}(2h + xf)|$ for some $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $\deg(X) = g+n$, we have $g+n = \mathcal{O}_{F_e}(2h + xf) \cdot \mathcal{O}_{F_e}(h + ((n-1+e)/2)f) = -2e+x+n-1+e$, i.e. $x = g+1+e$. If T is a cone, then the smoothness of X implies $\mathcal{O}_{F_{n-1}}(h) \cdot \mathcal{O}_{F_e}(2h + (g+n)f) \leq 1$, i.e. $g \leq n-1$. In this case X is projectively normal by a theorem of Castelnuovo (see [6]). From now on we assume $e \neq n-1$. Since T is projectively normal, for each integer $t \geq 2$ we have $h^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{I}_X(t)) = h^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{I}_T(t)) + h^0(T, \mathcal{O}_{X,T}(t))$. Fix an integer $t \geq 2$. Since T is projectively normal, we have $h^1(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{I}_X(t)) = 0$ if and only if $h^1(T, \mathcal{I}_{X,T}(t)) = 0$, i.e. if and only if $h^1(F_e, \mathcal{O}_{F_e}((t-2)h + t((n-1+e)/2) - g - 1 - e)f) = 0$, i.e. if and only if $t((n-1+e)/2) - g - 1 - e \geq -1 + e(t-2)$, i.e. if and only if

$$t(n-1-e) \geq 2g - 2e \quad (1)$$

For all $e \neq n-1$ X exists (in the prescribed $T = F_e$) if and only if $g+1+e \geq 2e$, i.e. if and only if $g \geq e-1$. All X contained in the same F_e have the same postulation. For each t the minimal integer g for which (1) holds is a function $\phi(e)$ strictly decreasing of e .

Acknowledgements

The author was partially supported by MIUR and GNSAGA of INdAM (Italy).

References

- [1] E. Ballico, On general k -gonal algebraic curves, *Arch. Math.*, **44** (1985), 336-339.
- [2] E. Ballico, Ph. Ellia, On postulation of curves: embeddings by complete linear series, *Arch. Math.*, **43** (1984), 244-249.
- [3] E. Ballico, Ph. Ellia, The maximal rank conjecture for non-special curves in \mathbb{P}^n , *Math. Zeit.*, **196** (1987), 585-599.

- [4] E. Ballico, Ph. Ellia, On the postulation of a general projection of a curve in \mathbf{P}^N , N^3 , *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.*, **147**, No. 4 (1987), 267-301.
- [5] E. Ballico, Ph. Ellia, On projections of ruled and Veronese surfaces, *J. Algebra*, **121**, No. 2 (1989), 477-487.
- [6] M. Green, R. Lazarsfeld, On the projective normality of complete linear series on an algebraic curve, *Invent. Math.*, **83**, No. 1 (1985), 73-90.
- [7] R. Hartshorne, A. Hirschowitz, Smoothing algebraic space curves, In: *Algebraic Geometry, Sitges 1983, 98-131*; Lecture Notes in Math., **1124**, Springer, Berlin (1985).
- [8] F.-O. Schreyer, Syzygies of canonical curves and special linear series, *Math. Ann.*, **275**, No. 1 (1986), 105-137.

