

DEFECTIVE CURVILINEAR SUBSCHEMES  
IN PROJECTIVE SPACES

E. Ballico

Department of Mathematics

University of Trento

38 123 Povo (Trento) - Via Sommarive, 14, ITALY

e-mail: [ballico@science.unitn.it](mailto:ballico@science.unitn.it)

**Abstract:** Let  $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ ,  $r \geq 3$ , be a curvilinear zero-dimensional scheme such that  $\deg(Z) \leq 4m + r - 5$ ,  $Z$  spans  $\mathbb{P}^r$ ,  $\deg(Z \cap M) < 3m$  for each 3-dimensional linear subspace  $M \subseteq \mathbb{P}^r$  and  $h^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(m)) > 0$ . Then either there is a line  $D$  with  $\deg(D \cap Z) \geq m + 2$  or there is a conic  $T$  with  $\deg(T \cap Z) \geq 2m + 2$ .

**AMS Subject Classification:** 14N05

**Key Words:** postulation of finite sets, Hilbert function

1. Introduction

A zero-dimensional scheme  $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^r$  is said to be *curvilinear* if at each  $P \in Z_{red}$  the Zariski tangent space of  $Z$  has dimension  $\leq 1$ . A zero-dimensional scheme is contained in a smooth curve (easy). A zero-dimensional scheme is curvilinear if and only if it has finitely many subschemes (for the “only if” part use that it is contained in a smooth curve, for the “if” part use that a non-curvilinear subscheme has infinitely many subschemes with degree 2). In this note we point out the following partial extension of [1], Theorem 1, to the case of non-reduced, but curvilinear subschemes.

**Theorem 1.** *Fix an integer  $m \geq 3$ . Let  $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ ,  $r \geq 3$ , be a curvilinear zero-dimensional scheme spanning  $\mathbb{P}^r$ . If  $r = 3$ , then assume  $\deg(Z) < 3m$ . If  $r \geq 4$ , then assume  $\deg(Z) \leq 4m + r - 5$  and  $\deg(Z \cap M) < 3m$  for all 3-dimensional linear subspaces  $M \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ . We have  $h^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(m)) > 0$  if and only if either there is a line  $D$  with  $\deg(D \cap Z) \geq m + 2$  or there is a conic  $D'$  with  $\deg(D' \cap Z) \geq 2m + 2$ .*

Easy examples show that in the statement the conic  $D'$  may be a double line (even with all connected components of  $Z$  with degree 2).

## 2. The Proof

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $J \subset \mathbb{P}^r$  be a closed subscheme. Let  $Z$  be a curvilinear subscheme. Fix an integer  $t > 0$  such that  $\mathcal{I}_J(t)$  is spanned. Then there is  $A \in |\mathcal{I}_J(t)|$  such that  $A \cap Z = J \cap Z$  (as schemes).*

*Proof.* Since we have  $A \cap Z \supseteq J \cap Z$ , it is sufficient to prove the reverse inclusion. Hence we may assume  $J \cap Z \neq Z$ . Set  $e := \deg(J \cap Z)$ . It is sufficient to prove that  $A$  contains no subscheme of  $Z$  with degree  $\geq e + 1$ . Since  $Z$  is curvilinear, it has only finitely many subschemes. Let  $\mathcal{B}$  denote the set of all subschemes of  $Z$  with degree  $> e$ . Since  $\mathcal{I}_J(t)$  is spanned, for any  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  the projective space  $|\mathcal{I}_{J \cup B}(t)|$  is a proper linear subspace of the projective space  $|\mathcal{I}_J(t)|$ . Use that  $\mathcal{B}$  is finite.  $\square$

Easy examples show that Lemma 1 is wrong for every non-curvilinear zero-dimensional scheme (for some  $t$  and  $J$ ) (e.g.  $t = 1$ ,  $J = \{P\}$  and  $Z$  containing the first infinitesimal neighborhood of  $\{P\}$  in a surface contained in  $\mathbb{P}^r$ ).

**Lemma 2.** *Fix an integer  $t > 0$ , zero-dimensional schemes  $W \subsetneq Z \subset \mathbb{P}^r$  and a linear subspace  $V \subseteq |\mathcal{I}_W(t)|$ . Assume  $k := \dim(V) > 0$ . Then there is  $A \in |\mathcal{I}_W(t)|$  such that  $\deg(Z \cap A) \geq \deg(W) + 1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $J$  be the scheme-theoretic base locus of  $V$ . If  $Z \cap J \neq W$ , then we may take any  $A \in V$ . Now assume  $Z \cap J = W$ . Let  $W'$  be any zero-dimensional scheme such that  $W \subset W' \subseteq Z$  and  $\deg(W') = \deg(W) + 1$  (it exists, because  $W \subsetneq Z$ ). We have  $\dim(V \cap |\mathcal{I}_{W'}(t)|) = k - 1 \geq 0$ . Take any  $A \in V \cap |\mathcal{I}_{W'}(t)|$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Until step (c) we assume  $r = 3$ . We may assume  $h^1(\mathcal{I}_A(m)) = 0$  for all  $A \subsetneq Z$ . Since  $Z_{red}$  is finite and for each  $P \in Z_{red}$  the Zariski tangent space of  $Z$  at  $P$  has dimension  $\leq 2$ , there is a non-empty

open subset  $\Omega$  of  $\mathbb{P}^3 \subset Z_{red}$  such that for each  $P \in \Omega$  the linear projection  $\ell_P : \mathbb{P}^3 \setminus \{P\} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$  maps  $Z$  isomorphically onto  $\ell_P(Z)$ . Since  $\ell_P(Z) \cong Z$ , we have  $h^1(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{I}_{\ell_P(Z)}(m)) > 0$  for each  $P \in \Omega$ . Hence by [3], Corollaire 2, either there is a line  $D$  such that  $\deg(D \cap \ell_P(Z)) \geq m + 2$  or there is a conic  $D'$  such that  $\deg(D' \cap \ell_P(Z)) \geq 2m + 2$ . If we need to stress the dependence of the plane curves  $D, D'$  we write them as  $D(P)$  and  $D'(P)$ . Notice that if  $P \in \Omega$ , then no line spanned by a degree 2 subscheme of  $Z$  contains  $P$ . Since  $Z$  is curvilinear, it has only finitely many subschemes. Hence there is a non-empty open subset  $\Theta$  of  $\Omega$  such that no plane spanned by a subscheme of  $Z$  contains  $P$ .

(a) In this step we assume the existence of the line  $D$  for at least one  $P \in \Theta$ . Let  $H \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  be the unique plane such that  $P \in H$  and  $\ell_P(H \setminus \{P\}) = D$ . Set  $Z_1 := \ell_P(Z) \cap D$ . Since  $\ell_P| : Z \rightarrow \ell_P(Z)$  is an isomorphism, there is a unique  $Z' \subseteq Z$  such that  $\ell_P(Z') = Z_1$ . We have  $\deg(Z') = \deg(Z_1) \geq m + 2$ . Since  $Z_1 \subset D$ , we have  $Z' \subset H$ . Since  $P \in \Theta$  and  $Z_1$  is contained in a line, then  $Z'$  is contained in a line. Hence we are in case (a).

(b) By Step (a) we may assume the existence of  $D' = D'(P)$  for all  $P \in \Theta$ .

(b1) First assume that  $D'$  is not smooth, i.e.  $D' = R + R'$  with  $R$  and  $R'$  lines (we allow the case  $R = R'$ ). If  $R \neq R'$  we assume  $\deg(\ell_P(Z) \cap R) \geq \deg(\ell_P(Z) \cap R')$ . Since we excluded case (a) and  $\deg(\ell_P(Z) \cap D') \geq 2m + 2$ , we may assume  $\deg(\ell_P(Z) \cap R) \leq m + 1$ . Hence  $\deg(\ell_P(Z) \cap R) = m + 1$  and  $\deg(\ell_P(Z) \cap D') = 2m + 2$ . Since  $\ell_P|Z$  is an embedding, there is a unique  $W_1 \subseteq W \subseteq Z$  such that  $\ell_P(W) = \ell_P(Z) \cap D$  and  $\ell_P(W_1) = \ell_P(Z) \cap R$  and  $\deg(W_1) = m + 1$ . Since  $P \in \Theta$ , as in step (a) we get the existence of a line  $L \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  such that  $W_1 \subset L$ .

**Claim 1.** *There is a plane  $H \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  containing  $W_1$  and with  $\deg(H \cap Z) \geq m + 2$ .*

*Proof of Claim 1.* Let  $M \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  be the plane containing  $P$  with  $\ell_P(M \setminus \{P\}) = R$ . We have  $W_1 \subset M$ . If  $Z \cap M \supsetneq W_1$ , then we may take  $H := M$ . Assume  $Z \cap M = W_1$  as schemes. If either  $R' \neq R$  or  $Z_{red} \neq L$ , then we may take as  $H$  a suitable plane containing  $L$ . Hence to prove Claim 1 we may assume  $D' = 2R$  and  $Z_{red} = L$ . Since  $\deg(Z) \geq 2m + 2 > \deg(W_1)$ , there is  $O \in Z_{red}$  such that the connected component  $Z_O$  of  $Z$  with  $O$  as its reduction is not contained in  $L$ . Since  $h^0(\mathcal{I}_L(1)) = 2$ , Remark 1 gives the existence of a plane  $H \supset L$  such that  $\deg(Z \cap H) \supsetneq W_1$ , proving Claim 1.

Take  $H$  as in Claim 1. Notice that  $L \subset H$ . Since  $\deg(\text{Res}_H(Z)) \leq 3m - 1 - m - 2 = 2(m - 2) - 1$ , either  $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{\text{Res}_H(Z)}(m - 1)) = 0$  or there is a line  $L'$  such that  $\deg(L' \cap \text{Res}_H(Z)) \geq m + 1$ . First assume  $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{\text{Res}_H(Z)}(m - 1)) = 0$ . From the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{\text{Res}_H(Z)}(m - 1) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_Z(m) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{H, H \cap Z}(m) \rightarrow 0$$

we get  $h^1(H, \mathcal{I}_{H \cap Z, H}(m)) > 0$ . Hence we may apply [3], Remarques at page 116) to get that we are either in case (a) or in case (b) with a line or a conic containing in  $H$ . Hence we may assume  $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{\text{Res}_H(Z)}(m - 1)) = 0$ . Since  $\deg(\text{Res}_H(Z)) \leq 3m - 1 - m - 2 \leq 2(m - 2) - 1$ , there is a line  $J \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  such that  $\deg(J \cap \text{Res}_H(Z)) \geq m + 1$  (we allow the case  $J = L$ ).

(b1.1) Assume for the moment  $J \neq L$  and  $J \cap L \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $\text{Res}_H(W_1) = \emptyset$ , we have  $\deg(Z \cap (J \cup L)) \geq 2m + 2$ . Hence we are in case (b).

(b1.2) Assume  $J \cap L = \emptyset$ . Since  $\mathcal{I}_{J \cup L}(2)$  is spanned, there is  $Q \in |\mathcal{I}_{J \cup L}(2)|$  such that  $Q \cap Z = (J \cup L) \cap Z$  (as schemes). Since  $\deg(J \cap Z) = \deg(L \cap Z) = m + 1$ , we have  $h^1(Q, \mathcal{I}_{Z \cap Q, Q}(m)) = 0$ . Since  $\deg(\text{Res}_Q(Z)) \leq 3m - 1 - 2m - 2 \leq m - 1$ , we have  $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{\text{Res}_Q(Z)}(m - 2)) = 0$ . The exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{\text{Res}_Q(Z)}(m - 2) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_Z(m) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{Z \cap Q, Q}(m) \rightarrow 0$$

gives  $h^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(m)) = 0$ .

(b1.3) Assume  $J = L$ . We get  $\deg(2M \cap Z) \geq 2m + 2$ . Hence  $\deg(\text{Res}_{2M}(Z)) \leq 3m - 1 - 2m - 2$ . Therefore  $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{\text{Res}_{2M}(Z)}(m - 2)) = 0$ . Since  $h^1(\mathcal{I}_A(m)) = 0$  for all  $A \subsetneq Z$ , we get  $Z \subset 2M$  and in particular  $D' = 2R$ . We also get  $\deg(Z) = 2m + 2$  (since we are not in case (a)). Let  $H \supset L$  be a plane such that  $\deg(Z \cap H) \geq m + 2$  (Lemma 2). Obviously  $H \supset L$ . If  $Z \subset H$ , then  $Z$  is contained in the double line of  $H$  with support on  $L$ . Now assume  $H \cap Z \neq Z$  and hence  $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{Z \cap H}(m)) = 0$ . Hence  $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{\text{Res}_H(Z)}(m - 1)) > 0$  (see [1], Remark 1). Since  $\deg(\text{Res}_H(Z)) \leq 2m + 2 - m - 2$ , we have  $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{\text{Res}_H(Z)}(m - 1)) = 0$ , a contradiction.

(b2) Assume that  $D'$  is a smooth conic. Let  $D'(P) \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  the quadric cone with vertex in  $P$  and such that  $\ell_P(D'(P) \setminus \{P\}) = D'$ . Since  $\ell_P|_Z$  is an embedding, we have  $\deg(Z \cap D'(P)) \geq 2m + 2$ . Hence  $\deg(\text{Res}_{D'(P)}(Z)) \leq 3m - 1 - 2m - 2 \leq m - 1$ . Hence  $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{\text{Res}_{D'(P)}(Z)}(m - 2)) = 0$ . From the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{\text{Res}_{D'(P)}(Z)}(m - 2) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_Z(m) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{Z \cap D'(P), D'(P)}(m) \rightarrow 0$$

we get  $h^1(D'(P), \mathcal{I}_{Z \cap D'(P), D'(P)}(m)) > 0$ . Hence  $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{Z \cap D'(P), D'(P)}(m)) > 0$ . Since  $h^1(\mathcal{I}_A(m)) = 0$  for all  $A \subsetneq Z$ , we get  $Z \subset D'(P)$ . Take another general  $P_1 \in \Theta \setminus \Theta \cap D'(P)$ . We get  $Z \subset D'(P) \cap D'(P_1)$ . Since  $P_1 \notin D'(P)$ , the scheme  $D'(P) \cap D'(P_1)$  contains no line and hence either a multiplicity two structure on a smooth conic or irreducible. First assume that  $D'(P) \cap D'(P_1)$  is a multiplicity two structure on a smooth conic  $C \subset D'(P)$ . Notice that  $P \notin C$ , because  $C$  is smooth. Since  $\ell_P|_Z$  is an embedding, we get  $Z \subset C$ . Hence we are in case (b). Now assume that  $T := D'(P) \cap D'(P_1)$  is irreducible. In this case  $T$  is an arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay curve with arithmetic genus 1. Hence  $h^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(m)) = h^1(T, \mathcal{I}_{Z, T}(m))$ . We have  $h^1(T, \mathcal{I}_{Z, T}(m)) = 0$ , because  $\deg(\mathcal{O}_T(m)) = 4m > \deg(Z)$  and  $p_a(T) = 1$ .

(c) From now on we assume  $r > 3$  and use induction on  $r$ . This is the part of [1] which works verbatim (use  $\deg$  instead of  $\sharp$ ; we use again Remark 1 and hence that  $Z$  is curvilinear only in  $\mathbb{P}^4$ , with  $\mathcal{I}_{L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3}(2)$  (see [1], Lemma 21)). If  $r \geq 5$  we do not use that  $Z$  is curvilinear in the step  $r - 1 \implies r$ . We use several times Lemma 2 in which  $Z$  is not assumed to be curvilinear.  $\square$

**Question 1.** Is Theorem 1 true for non-curvilinear zero-dimensional schemes?

It is easy to prove Question 1 assuming that it is true if  $r \leq 4$ .

### Acknowledgements

The author was partially supported by MIUR and GNSAGA of INdAM (Italy).

### References

- [1] E. Ballico, Finite subsets of projective spaces with bad postulation in a fixed degree, *Beitr. Algebra Geom.*, DOI 10.1007/s13366-012-0104-8
- [2] D. Eisenbud, J. Harris, Finite projective schemes in linearly general position, *J. Algebraic Geom.*, **1**, No. 1 (1992), 15-30.
- [3] Ph. Ellia, Ch. Peskine, Groupes de points de  $\mathbf{P}^2$ : Caractère et position uniforme, *Algebraic Geometry*, L'Aquila, 1988, 111-116; *Lecture Notes in Math.*, **1417**, Springer, Berlin (1990).

