

SOME CONVEX COMBINATION BOUNDS FOR
ARITHMETIC AND THE SECOND SEIFFERT MEANS

Gao Hongya¹ §, Qin Yanli², Fang Jian³

^{1,2} College of Mathematics and Computer Science
Hebei University

Baoding, 071002, P.R. CHINA

³Department of Mathematics and Computer Science
Hebei Normal College for Nationalities
Chengde, 067000, P.R. CHINA

Abstract: We find the greatest value α_1 and the least value β_1 such that the double inequality

$$\alpha_1 C(a, b) + (1 - \alpha_1)N(a, b) < A(a, b) < \beta_1 C(a, b) + (1 - \beta_1)N(a, b)$$

holds for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$. We also find the estimate for the least value α_2 and the greatest value β_2 such that the double inequality

$$\alpha_2 C(a, b) + (1 - \alpha_2)N(a, b) < T(a, b) < \beta_2 C(a, b) + (1 - \beta_2)N(a, b)$$

holds for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$. Here $C(a, b)$, $N(a, b)$, $A(a, b)$ and $T(a, b)$ denote the contraharmonic, square-root, arithmetic, and the second Seiffert means of two positive numbers a and b , respectively.

AMS Subject Classification: 26D15

Key Words: convex combination bound, arithmetic mean, contraharmonic mean, square-root mean, the second Seiffert mean

1. Introduction

For $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$, the first and the second Seiffert means $P(a, b)$ and

Received: June 28, 2011

© 2012 Academic Publications, Ltd.
url: www.acadpubl.eu

§Correspondence author

$T(a, b)$ were introduced by Seiffert [1,2] as follows:

$$P(a, b) = \frac{a - b}{4 \arctan(\sqrt{a/b}) - \pi} = \frac{a - b}{2 \arcsin \frac{a-b}{a+b}}, \quad T(a, b) = \frac{a - b}{2 \arctan \frac{a-b}{a+b}}. \quad (1.1)$$

Recently, the inequalities for means have been the subject of intensive research [3-12]. In particular, many remarkable inequalities for the first and the second Seiffert mean can be found in the literature [13-19].

Let $I(a, b) = 1/e(b^b/a^a)^{1/(b-a)}$, $C(a, b) = (a^2 + b^2)/(a + b)$, $N(a, b) = (\sqrt{a} + \sqrt{b})^2/4$ and $A(a, b) = (a + b)/2$ be the identric, contraharmonic, square-root and arithmetic means of two positive real numbers a and b with $a \neq b$. Then

$$\min\{a, b\} < N(a, b) < I(a, b) < A(a, b) < T(a, b) < C(a, b) < \max\{a, b\}. \quad (1.2)$$

In [3], Seiffert proved

$$P(a, b) > \frac{3A(a, b)G(a, b)}{A(a, b) + 2G(a, b)} \quad \text{and} \quad P(a, b) > \frac{2}{\pi}A(a, b),$$

for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$, where $G(a, b) = \sqrt{ab}$ be the geometric mean for $a, b > 0$.

In [4], the authors found the greatest value α and the least value β such that the double inequality $\alpha A(a, b) + (1 - \alpha)H(a, b) < P(a, b) < \beta A(a, b) + (1 - \beta)H(a, b)$ holds for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$, where $H(a, b) = 2ab/(a + b)$ be the harmonic mean for $a, b > 0$.

In [5], Alzer and Qiu established

$$\alpha A(a, b) + (1 - \alpha)G(a, b) < I(a, b) < \beta A(a, b) + (1 - \beta)G(a, b)$$

for $\alpha \leq 2/3$, $\beta \geq 2/e$ and $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$.

In the present paper, we find the greatest value α_1 and the least value β_1 such that the double inequality

$$\alpha_1 C(a, b) + (1 - \alpha_1)N(a, b) < A(a, b) < \beta_1 C(a, b) + (1 - \beta_1)N(a, b)$$

holds for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$. We also find the estimate for the least value α_2 and the greatest value β_2 such that the double inequality

$$\alpha_2 C(a, b) + (1 - \alpha_2)N(a, b) < T(a, b) < \beta_2 C(a, b) + (1 - \beta_2)N(a, b)$$

holds for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$.

2. Main Results

The first result in this paper is an optimal convex combination bounds of the contraharmonic and square-root means for arithmetic mean.

Theorem 2.1. *The double inequality*

$$\alpha_1 C(a, b) + (1 - \alpha_1)N(a, b) < A(a, b) < \beta_1 C(a, b) + (1 - \beta_1)N(a, b)$$

holds for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$ if and only if $\alpha_1 \leq \frac{1}{3}$ and $\beta_1 = 1$.

Proof. For all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$, the inequality

$$A(a, b) < C(a, b), \tag{2.1}$$

follows from (1.2).

We firstly prove the inequality

$$A(a, b) > \frac{1}{3}C(a, b) + \frac{2}{3}N(a, b), \tag{2.2}$$

for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$. Without loss of generality, we assume $a > b$. Let $p = \frac{1}{3}$ and $t^2 = \frac{a}{b} > 1$. Then (1.1) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{b} \{A(a, b) - [pC(a, b) + (1 - p)N(a, b)]\} \\ &= A(t^2, 1) - [pC(t^2, 1) + (1 - p)N(t^2, 1)] = \frac{f(t)}{4(t^2 + 1)}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

where

$$f(t) = (3 - 5p)t^4 + 2(1 - p)t^3 + 2(3 - p)t^2 + 2(1 - p)t + 3 - 5p. \tag{2.4}$$

Since $p = \frac{1}{3}$, we clearly see that $f(t) > 0$ for $t > 1$. Thus, (2.2) follows from (2.3).

Secondly, we prove that $\frac{1}{3}C(a, b) + \frac{2}{3}N(a, b)$ is the best possible lower convex combination bound of the contraharmonic and square-root means for arithmetic mean.

If $\alpha_1 > \frac{1}{3}$, then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\alpha_1 C(t, 1) + (1 - \alpha_1)N(t, 1)}{A(t, 1)} = \frac{1 + 3\alpha_1}{2} > 1,$$

thus there exists $T_1 = T_1(\alpha_1) > 1$, such that

$$\alpha_1 C(t, 1) + (1 - \alpha_1)N(t, 1) > A(t, 1)$$

for $t \in (T, +\infty)$.

Finally, we prove that $1 \cdot C(a, b) + 0 \cdot N(a, b)$ is the best possible upper convex combination bound of the contraharmonic and square-root means for arithmetic mean.

If $\beta_1 < 1$, then from (2.4) (with β_1 in place of p) one has

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^+} f(t) = 16(1 - \beta_1) > 0.$$

From this result and the continuity of $f(t)$, we derive that there exists $\delta_1 = \delta_1(\beta_1)$ such that $f(t) > 0$ for $t \in (1, 1 + \delta_1)$. Thus

$$A(t^2, 1) > \beta_1 C(t^2, 1) + (1 - \beta_1)N(t^2, 1)$$

for $t \in (1, 1 + \delta)$. □

The second result in this paper is convex combination bounds of the contraharmonic and square-root means for the second Seiffert mean.

Theorem 2.2. *The double inequality*

$$\alpha_2 C(a, b) + (1 - \alpha_2)N(a, b) < T(a, b) < \beta_2 C(a, b) + (1 - \beta_2)N(a, b)$$

holds for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$ if $\alpha_2 \leq \frac{\sqrt{202801}-371}{180} = 0.440746 \dots$ and $\beta_2 \geq \frac{8-\pi}{3\pi}$. The number $\beta_2 = \frac{8-\pi}{3\pi}$ is optimal.

Proof. Firstly, we prove

$$T(a, b) < \frac{8 - \pi}{3\pi} C(a, b) + \frac{4(\pi - 2)}{3\pi} N(a, b) \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$T(a, b) > \frac{\sqrt{202801} - 371}{180} C(a, b) + \frac{551 - \sqrt{202801}}{180} N(a, b) \tag{2.6}$$

for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$.

Without loss of generality, we assume $a > b$. Let $t^2 = \sqrt{a/b} > 1$ and $p \in \{ \frac{\sqrt{202801}-371}{180}, \frac{8-\pi}{3\pi} \}$. Then (1.1) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{b} \{T(a, b) - [pC(a, b) + (1 - p)N(a, b)]\} \\ &= T(t^2, 1) - [pC(t^2, 1) + (1 - p)N(t^2, 1)] \\ &= \frac{(1 + 3p)t^4 + 2(1 - p)t^3 + 2(1 - p)t^2 + 2(1 - p)t + 1 + 3p}{4(t^2 + 1) \arctan \frac{t^2+1}{t^2-1}} h(t), \tag{2.7} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$h(t) = \frac{2(t^4 - 1)}{(1 + 3p)t^4 + 2(1 - p)t^3 + 2(1 - p)t^2 + 2(1 - p)t + 1 + 3p} - \arctan \frac{t^2 - 1}{t^2 + 1}. \quad (2.8)$$

Simple computations lead to

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^+} h(t) = 0, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} h(t) = \frac{2}{1 + 3p} - \frac{\pi}{4}, \quad (2.9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} h'(t) &= \frac{\psi(t)}{(t^4 + 1)[(1 + 3p)t^4 + 2(1 - p)t^3 + 2(1 - p)t^2 + 2(1 - p)t + 1 + 3p]^2} \\ &= \frac{2(t - 1)^2 g(t)}{(t^4 + 1)[(1 + 3p)t^4 + 2(1 - p)t^3 + 2(1 - p)t^2 + 2(1 - p)t + 1 + 3p]^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(t) &= 4(t^4 + 1) [(1 - p)t^6 + 2(1 - p)t^5 + 3(1 - p)t^4 + 4(1 + 3p)t^3 \\ &\quad + 3(1 - p)t^2 + 2(1 - p)t + 1 - p] \\ &\quad - 2t[(1 + 3p)t^4 + 2(1 - p)t^3 + 2(1 - p)t^2 + 2(1 - p)t + 1 + 3p]^2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} g(t) &= 2(1 - p)t^8 + (-9p^2 - 14p + 7)t^7 + 2(-3p^2 - 20p + 7)t^6 \\ &\quad + (5p^2 - 42p + 21)t^5 + 4(5p^2 - 11p + 6)t^4 + (5p^2 - 42p + 21)t^3 \\ &\quad + 2(-3p^2 - 20p + 7)t^2 + (-9p^2 - 14p + 7)t + 2(1 - p). \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

Some tedious, but not difficult, calculations lead to

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^+} g(t) = 16(7 - 15p), \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} g(t) = +\infty. \quad (2.12)$$

$$\begin{aligned} g'(t) &= 16(1 - p)t^7 + 7(-9p^2 - 14p + 7)t^6 + 12(-3p^2 - 20p + 7)t^5 \\ &\quad + 5(5p^2 - 42p + 21)t^4 + 16(5p^2 - 11p + 6)t^3 + 3(5p^2 - 42p + 21)t^2 \\ &\quad + 4(-3p^2 - 20p + 7)t + (-9p^2 - 14p + 7), \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^+} g'(t) = 64(7 - 15p), \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} g'(t) = +\infty, \quad (2.14)$$

$$g''(t) = 2 [56(1-p)t^6 + 21(-9p^2 - 14p + 7)t^5 + 30(-3p^2 - 20p + 7)t^4 + 10(5p^2 - 42p + 21)t^3 + 24(5p^2 - 11p + 6)t^2 + 3(5p^2 - 42p + 21)t + 2(-3p^2 - 20p + 7)]. \quad (2.15)$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^+} g''(t) = 8(-25p^2 - 450p + 211), \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} g''(t) = +\infty, \quad (2.16)$$

$$g'''(t) = 6 [112(1-p)t^5 + 35(-9p^2 - 14p + 7)t^4 + 40(-3p^2 - 20p + 7)t^3 + 10(5p^2 - 42p + 21)t^2 + 16(5p^2 - 11p + 6)t + (5p^2 - 42p + 21)]. \quad (2.17)$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^+} g'''(t) = 24(-75p^2 - 510p + 241), \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} g'''(t) = +\infty, \quad (2.18)$$

$$g^{(4)}(t) = 24 [140(1-p)t^4 + 35(-9p^2 - 14p + 7)t^3 + 30(-3p^2 - 20p + 7)t^2 + 5(5p^2 - 42p + 21)t + 4(5p^2 - 11p + 6)]. \quad (2.19)$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^+} g^{(4)}(t) = 96(-90p^2 - 371p + 181), \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} g^{(4)}(t) = +\infty, \quad (2.20)$$

$$g^{(5)}(t) = 120 [112(1-p)t^3 + 21(-9p^2 - 14p + 7)t^2 + 12(-3p^2 - 20p + 7)t + (5p^2 - 42p + 21)]. \quad (2.21)$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^+} g^{(5)}(t) = 480(-55p^2 - 172p + 91), \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} g^{(5)}(t) = +\infty, \quad (2.22)$$

$$g^{(6)}(t) = 720 [56(1-p)t^2 + 7(-9p^2 - 14p + 7)t + 2(-3p^2 - 20p + 7)]. \quad (2.23)$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^+} g^{(6)}(t) = 720(-69p^2 - 194p + 119), \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} g^{(6)}(t) = +\infty, \quad (2.24)$$

$$g^{(7)}(t) = 720 [112(1-p)t + 7(-9p^2 - 14p + 7)] \quad (2.25)$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^+} g^{(7)}(t) = 720(-63p^2 - 210p + 161), \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} g^{(7)}(t) = +\infty, \quad (2.26)$$

$$g^{(8)}(t) = 80640(1-p) > 0. \quad (2.27)$$

From (2.27) one can deduce that $g^{(7)}(t)$ is strictly increasing for $t > 1$. Now we distinguish between two cases.

Case 1 $p = \frac{\sqrt{202801}-371}{180}$. From (2.12), (2.14), (2.16), (2.18), (2.20), (2.22), (2.24) and (2.26), we derive

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^+} g^{(i)}(t) > 0,$$

for $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7$ and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^+} g^{(4)}(t) = 0,$$

where we have denoted $g^{(0)}(t) = g(t)$.

Since $g^{(7)}(t)$ is strictly increasing for $t > 1$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^+} g^{(7)}(t) > 0$, then $g^{(7)}(t) > 0$ for $t > 1$. The same reasoning applied to the i th ($i = 6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1, 0$) order derivative of $g(t)$ imply $g(t) > 0$ for $t > 1$. Thus, by (2.10), $h'(t) > 0$, which implies $h(t)$ is strictly increasing for $t > 1$. Notice the second equality in (2.9) becomes

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} f(t) = \frac{120}{\sqrt{202801 - 311}} - \frac{\pi}{4} > 0,$$

thus (2.6) follows from (2.7).

Case 2 $p = \frac{8-\pi}{3\pi}$. From (2.12), (2.14), (2.16), (2.18), (2.20), (2.22), (2.24) and (2.26), we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^+} g(t) = \frac{64(3\pi - 10)}{\pi} < 0, \tag{2.28}$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^+} g'(t) = \frac{256(3\pi - 10)}{\pi} < 0, \tag{2.29}$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^+} g''(t) = \frac{64}{9\pi^2} (403\pi^2 - 1300\pi - 200) < 0, \tag{2.30}$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^+} g'''(t) = \frac{64}{\pi^2} (151\pi^2 - 460\pi - 200) < 0, \tag{2.31}$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^+} g^{(4)}(t) = \frac{128}{\pi^2} (221\pi^2 - 622\pi - 480) < 0, \tag{2.32}$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^+} g^{(5)}(t) = \frac{2560}{3\pi^2} (80\pi^2 - 203\pi - 220) < 0, \tag{2.33}$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^+} g^{(6)}(t) = \frac{3840}{\pi^2} (33\pi^2 - 74\pi - 92) > 0, \tag{2.34}$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^+} g^{(7)}(t) = \frac{161280}{\pi^2} (\pi^2 - 2\pi - 2) > 0, \tag{2.35}$$

By (2.35) and the fact that $g^{(7)}(t)$ is strictly increasing, we know $g^{(7)}(t) > 0$ for $t > 1$. Thus $g^{(6)}(t)$ is strictly increasing for $t > 1$. By (2.34), $g^{(6)}(t) > 0$ for $t > 1$, thus $g^{(5)}(t)$ is strictly increasing for $t > 1$. By (2.33), there exists $\lambda_1 > 1$ such that $g^{(5)}(t) < 0$ for $t \in (1, \lambda_1)$ and $g^{(5)}(t) > 0$ for $t \in (\lambda_1, +\infty)$. Thus $g^{(4)}(t) < 0$ is strictly decreasing for $t \in (1, \lambda_1)$ and strictly increasing for $t \in (\lambda_1, +\infty)$. The same reasoning applied to $g^{(i)}(t)$ ($i = 4, 3, 2, 1, 0$), we can derive that there exists $\lambda_2 > 1$ such that $g(t) < 0$ for $t \in (1, \lambda_2)$ and $g(t) > 0$

for $t \in (\lambda_2, +\infty)$. Thus, by (2.10), $h'(t) < 0$ for $t \in (1, \lambda_2)$ and $h'(t) > 0$ for $t \in (\lambda_2, +\infty)$. Hence $h(t)$ is strictly decreasing for $t \in (1, \lambda_2)$ and strictly increasing for $t \in (\lambda_2, +\infty)$. Notice the second equality in (2.9) becomes

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} h(t) = 0.$$

Therefore $h(t) < 0$, and (2.5) follows from (2.7).

Secondly, we prove that $\frac{8-\pi}{3\pi}C(a, b) + \frac{4(\pi-2)}{3\pi}N(a, b)$ is the best possible upper convex combination bound of the contraharmonic and square-root means for the second Seiffert mean.

If $\beta_2 < \frac{8-\pi}{3\pi}$, then from (1.1) one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\beta_2 C(t, 1) + (1 - \beta_2)N(t, 1)}{T(t, 1)} \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{[4\beta_2(t^2 + 1) + (1 - \beta_2)(\sqrt{t} + 1)^2(t + 1)] \arctan \frac{t-1}{t+1}}{2(t^2 - 1)} = \frac{\pi(3\beta_2 + 1)}{8} \\ & < 1. \end{aligned} \tag{2.36}$$

Inequality (2.36) implies that for any $\beta_2 < \frac{8-\pi}{3\pi}$ there exists $T_2 = T_2(\beta_2) > 1$ such that

$$\beta_2 C(t, 1) + (1 - \beta_2)G(t, 1) < T(t, 1)$$

for $t \in (T, +\infty)$. □

Remark Although we can not find the greatest value $(\alpha_2)_{\max}$ such that

$$(\alpha_2)_{\max} C(a, b) + (1 - (\alpha_2)_{\max})N(a, b) < T(a, b)$$

holds for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$, we can give the estimate $(\alpha_2)_{\max} < \frac{7}{15} = 0.466 \dots$. In fact, if $(\alpha_2)_{\max} = \frac{7}{15}$, then from (2.12), (2.14) and (2.16), we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^+} g(t) = 0, \tag{2.37}$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^+} g'(t) = 0, \tag{2.38}$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^+} g''(t) = -\frac{320}{9} < 0. \tag{2.39}$$

From (2.38), (2.39) and the continuity of $g''(t)$ we see that there exists $\delta_2 = \delta_2((\alpha_2)_{\max}) > 0$ such that $g'(t) < 0$ for $t \in (1, 1 + \delta_2)$. Thus $g(t)$ is strictly decreasing for $t \in (1, 1 + \delta_2)$. (2.37) and (2.10) imply that $h'(t) < 0$ for

$t \in (1, 1 + \delta_2)$. Therefore, by the first equality of (2.9), $f(t) < 0$ for $t \in (1, 1 + \delta_2)$. This implies, by (2.7), that

$$T(t^2, 1) < \frac{7}{15}C(t^2, 1) + \frac{8}{15}N(t^2, 1)$$

for $t \in (1, 1 + \delta_2)$.

Acknowledgments

Research supported by NSFC (10971224) and NSF of Hebei Province (A2011201011).

References

- [1] H.J. Seiffert, Problem 887, *Nieuw Archief voor Wiskunde*, **11**, No. 2 (1993), 167-176.
- [2] H.J. Seiffert, Aufgabe β 16, *Die Wurzel*, **29** (1995), pp.221-222.
- [3] H.J. Seiffert, Ungleichungen für einen bestimmten mittelwert, *Nieuw Archief voor Wiskunde*, **13**, No. 2 (1995), 195-198.
- [4] Y.M. Chu, Y.F. Qiu, M.K. Wang, G.D. Wang, The optimal convex combination bounds of arithmetic and harmonic means for the Seiffert's mean, *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, Article ID 436457, doi: 10.1155/436457, 7 pages (2010).
- [5] H. Alzer, S.L. Qiu, Inequalities for means with two variables, *Archiv der Mathematik*, **80**, No. 2 (2003), 201-215.
- [6] M.K. Wang, Y.M. Chu, Y.F. Qiu, Some comparison inequalities for generalized Muirhead and identric means, *Journal of Inequalities and Applications* (2010), Article ID 295620, 10 pp.
- [7] B.Y. Long, Y.M. Chu, Optimal inequalities for generalized logarithmic, arithmetic and geometric means, *Journal of Inequalities and Applications* (2010), Article ID 806825, 10 pp.
- [8] B.Y. Long, Y.M. Chu, Optimal power mean bounds for the weighted geometric mean of classical means, *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, **2010**, Article ID 905679, 6 pp. (2010).

- [9] W.F. Xia, Y.M. Chu, G.D. Wang, The optimal upper and lower power mean bounds for a convex combination of the arithmetic and logarithmic means, *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, **2010**, Article ID604804, 9 pages (2010).
- [10] Y.M. Chu, B.Y. Long, Best possible inequalities between generalized logarithmic mean and classical means, *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, **2010**, Article ID 303286, 13 pp. (2010).
- [11] M.Y. Shi, Y.M. Chu, Y.P. Jiang, Optimal inequalities among various means of two arguments, *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, **2009**, Article ID 694394, 10 pp. (2009).
- [12] Y.M. Chu, W.F. Xia, Inequalities for generalized logarithmic means, *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, **2009**, Article ID 763252, 7 pp. (2009).
- [13] J.J. Wen, W.L. Wang, The optimization for the inequalities of power means, *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, **2006**, Article ID 46782, 25 pp. (2006).
- [14] T. Hara, M. Uchiyama, S.E. Takahasi, A refinement of various mean inequalities, *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, **2**, No. 4 (1998), 387-395.
- [15] E. Neuman, J. Sándor, On the Schwab-Borchardt mean, *Mathematica Pannonica*, **17**, No. 1 (2006), 49-59.
- [16] E. Neuman, J. Sándor, On the Schwab-Borchardt mean, *Mathematica Pannonica*, **14**, No. 2 (2003), 253-266.
- [17] E. Neuman, J. Sándor, On certain means of two arguments and their extensions, *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, **16** (2003), 981-993.
- [18] A.A. Jagers, Solution of problem 887, *Nieuw Archief voor Wiskunde*, **12** (1994), 230-231.
- [19] P.A. Hästö, A monotonicity property of ratios of symmetric homogeneous means, *Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **3**, No. 5 (2002), 1-54.
- [20] P.A. Hästö, Optimal inequalities between Seiffert's mean and power mean, *Mathematical Inequalities and Applications*, **7**, No. 1 (2004), 47-53.