

**SOLVABILITY CONDITIONS OF THE NEUMANN BOUNDARY  
VALUE PROBLEM FOR THE BIHARMONIC  
EQUATION IN THE UNIT BALL**

Valery Karachik<sup>1 §</sup>, Batirkhan Turmetov<sup>2</sup>, Aygerim Bekaeva<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematical Analysis  
South Ural State University  
Chelyabinsk 454080, RUSSIA

<sup>2,3</sup>Department of Mathematics  
International Kazakh-Turkish University  
(named after A. Yasawi)

Turkestan, 161200, REPUBLIC OF KAZAKHSTAN

**Abstract:** The necessary and sufficient solvability condition of the Neumann boundary value problem for the inhomogeneous biharmonic equation in the unit ball is obtained. Solution with polynomial boundary data is constructed.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 35J40, 35J05

**Key Words:** Neumann boundary value problem, biharmonic equation, polynomial solution

## 1. Introduction

Consider the Neumann boundary value problem (see, for example, [1]) for the nonhomogeneous biharmonic equation in the unit ball

$$\Delta^2 u(x) = f(x), \quad x \in \Omega; \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \Big|_{\partial \Omega} = \varphi_1(s), \quad \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \nu^2} \Big|_{\partial \Omega} = \varphi_2(s), \quad s \in \partial \Omega, \quad (2)$$

where  $\Omega = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| < 1\}$ ,  $\nu$  is the unit outer normal to  $\partial \Omega$ , boundary functions in (2) and right hand side of (1) have the following smoothness  $f \in$

---

Received: August 31, 2012

© 2012 Academic Publications, Ltd.  
url: [www.acadpubl.eu](http://www.acadpubl.eu)

<sup>§</sup>Correspondence author

$C(\Omega)$ ,  $\varphi_1(s) \in C^1(\partial\Omega)$  and  $\varphi_2(s) \in C^2(\partial\Omega)$ . In the paper [2] by B.E. Kanguzhin and B.D. Koshanov, in particular, a solvability condition for the Neumann boundary value problem (1)-(2) has been obtained. This condition

$$\int_{|x|=1} \left( \varphi_1(s) - \varphi_2(s) + \int_{|y|<1} d_{4,n}(4-n) \times [(2-n)|x-y|^{-n}(1-(x,y))^2 + |x-y|^{2-n}(x,y)]f(y) dy \right) ds_x = 0,$$

where  $d_{4,n} = (n/2 - 2)/((2)16\pi^{n/2})$  is hard to verify even for very simple functions  $f(x)$ ,  $\varphi_1(s)$  and  $\varphi_2(s)$ . In present paper we give the easily to verify necessary and sufficient solvability condition (3) for the problem (1)-(2). In the paper [3] solvability conditions of the boundary value problem for the Poisson equation  $\Delta u(x) = f(x)$  with higher order polynomials on normal derivatives in the boundary condition  $P_n(\partial/\partial\nu)u|_{\partial\Omega} = \varphi(s)$  are investigated. Similar problems for the Poisson equation with boundary operators of fraction order were investigated in [4]. Application of the Almansi formula for constructing polynomial solutions to the Dirichlet problem for a second-order equation is considered in [5]. We use the methods and results of the papers [3] and [6].

### 2. Main Results

Let  $V[f](x)$  be the volume potential with the density  $f(x)$  i.e.,

$$V[f](x) = -\frac{1}{\omega_n} \int_{\Omega} E(x, \xi)f(\xi) d\xi,$$

where  $E(x, \xi) = (n - 2)^{-1}|\xi - x|^{2-n}$  ( $n > 2$ ) is an elementary solution of the Laplace’s equation [7], and  $\omega_n$  is the surface area of the unit sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Solution of the Neumann boundary value problem (1)-(2) with  $f \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ ,  $\varphi_1 \in C^1(\partial\Omega)$  and  $\varphi_2 \in C^2(\partial\Omega)$  exists if and only if the following equality holds true*

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} (\varphi_1(s) - \varphi_2(s)) ds = \int_{\Omega} \frac{|x|^2 - 1}{2} f(x) dx. \tag{3}$$

*Proof.* Represent a solution of the Neumann boundary value problem (1)-(2) in the form  $u(x) = V[V[f]] + w(x)$ . It is known that  $\Delta^2 V[V[f]] = f$  [7]. Then

$$\Delta^2 u(x) - f = \Delta^2 w(x)$$

and therefore for  $w(x)$  we obtain the following boundary value problem

$$\Delta^2 w(x) = 0, \quad x \in \Omega; \tag{4}$$

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu} \Big|_{\partial\Omega} = \tilde{\varphi}_1(s), \quad \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial \nu^2} \Big|_{\partial\Omega} = \tilde{\varphi}_2(s), \quad s \in \partial\Omega, \tag{5}$$

where

$$\tilde{\varphi}_1(s) = \varphi_1(s) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} V[V[f]], \quad \tilde{\varphi}_2(s) = \varphi_2(s) - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \nu^2} V[V[f]].$$

From the results of the paper [6] it follows that the necessary and sufficient condition of solvability of the problem (4)-(5), and therefore of the problem (1)-(2) has the form

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} (\tilde{\varphi}_1(s) - \tilde{\varphi}_2(s)) \, ds = 0.$$

Hence we can write this condition in terms of functions  $f(x)$ ,  $\varphi_1(s)$  and  $\varphi_2(s)$ :

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} (\varphi_1(s) - \varphi_2(s)) \, ds = \int_{\partial\Omega} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} V[V[f]] - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \nu^2} V[V[f]] \right) \, ds.$$

Denote for simplicity  $f_1(x) = V[f](x)$ . Normal derivative on  $\partial\Omega$  we can write in terms of homogeneous operator  $\Lambda = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$  in the form  $\partial^k / \partial \nu^k (f) = \Lambda^{[k]}(f)$  [8], where  $t^{[k]} = t(t-1) \dots (t-k+1)$  is a factorial power of  $t$ . Thus the solvability condition of the problem (1)-(2) can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\partial\Omega} (\varphi_1(s) - \varphi_2(s)) \, ds \\ &= \int_{\partial\Omega} (\Lambda V[f_1] - \Lambda^{[2]} V[f_1]) \, ds = \int_{\partial\Omega} \Lambda(2 - \Lambda) V[f_1] \, ds. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

In the paper [3] the following statement was proved. Let  $Q(t) = \sum_{i=0}^m a_i t^i$  be a polynomial, then denote

$$Q^{(\lambda)}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} a_{i+1} (t - \lambda)^i - \frac{a_0}{2\lambda + n - 2}.$$

**Theorem 2.** *If  $f(x)$  is a polynomial or  $f \in C_0^{k-1}(\Omega)$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  and  $H_\lambda(x)$  is a homogeneous harmonic polynomial of degree  $\lambda$ , then the following equality holds*

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} H_\lambda(s) Q(\Lambda) V[f](s) \, ds = \int_{\Omega} H_\lambda(x) Q^{(\lambda)}(\Lambda + 2) f(x) \, dx. \tag{7}$$

Let  $P_n(x)$  be a consequence of polynomials uniformly converges on  $\bar{\Omega}$  together with all derivatives up to  $(m - 1)$ th degree to function  $f(x)$  i.e.,  $\forall k \leq m - 1, P_n^{(k)}(x) \rightrightarrows f^{(k)}(x), n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then by virtue of properties of potentials  $\forall k \leq m, V^{(k)}[P_n](x) \rightrightarrows V^{(k)}[f](x)$ . Passing to limit in the equality (7) (it holds true for polynomials  $P_n(x)$ ) when  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we obtain it correctness for  $f \in C^{k-1}(\bar{\Omega})$ . We apply this equality to the integral from the right hand side of (6), with  $f_1 \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$  since  $f \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ . Besides  $Q(t) = 2t - t^2, H_\lambda(x) = 1$  and  $\lambda = 0$  is a root of the polynomial  $Q(t)$ . Therefore  $Q^{(0)}(t) = (2t - t^2)/t = 2 - t$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\partial\Omega} \Lambda(2 - \Lambda)V[f_1] ds = - \int_{\Omega} \Lambda f_1(x) dx \\ & = \frac{1}{\omega_n} \int_{|x|<1} \left( \Lambda_x \int_{|\xi|<1} E(x, \xi) f(\xi) d\xi \right) dx \\ & = \frac{1}{\omega_n} \int_{|\xi|<1} f(\xi) \left( \int_{|x|<1} \Lambda_x E(x, \xi) dx \right) d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Making use of the following representation from [3]

$$E(x, \xi) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\xi|^{-(2m+n-2)}}{2m + n - 2} \sum_{i=1}^{h_m} H_m^{(i)}(x) H_m^{(i)}(\xi), \quad |x| < |\xi|,$$

where  $\{H_m^{(i)}(x) : i = 1, 2, \dots, h_m\}$  is the full system of orthogonal harmonic polynomials of degree  $m$  normalized so that  $\int_{\partial\Omega} (H_m^{(i)}(\xi))^2 d\xi = \omega_n$  we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{|x|<1} \Lambda_x E(x, \xi) dx \\ & = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} \left( \int_{|x|<|\xi|-\varepsilon} + \int_{|\xi|-\varepsilon<|x|<|\xi|+\varepsilon} + \int_{|\xi|+\varepsilon<|x|<1} \right) \Lambda_x E(x, \xi) dx \\ & = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} \int_{|x|<|\xi|-\varepsilon} \Lambda_x \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\xi|^{-(2m+n-2)}}{2m + n - 2} \sum_{i=1}^{h_m} H_m^{(i)}(x) H_m^{(i)}(\xi) dx \\ & + \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} \int_{|\xi|+\varepsilon<|x|<1} \Lambda_x \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{|x|^{-(2m+n-2)}}{2m + n - 2} \sum_{i=1}^{h_m} H_m^{(i)}(x) H_m^{(i)}(\xi) dx \\ & = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{m}{2m + n - 2} \sum_{i=1}^{h_m} \int_{|x|<|\xi|-\varepsilon} H_m^{(i)}(x) dx H_m^{(i)}(\xi) |\xi|^{-(2m+n-2)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{-(2m+n-2)+m}{2m+n-2} \\
 & \times \sum_{i=1}^{h_m} \int_{|\xi|+\varepsilon < |x| < 1} H_m^{(i)}(x) |x|^{-(2m+n-2)} dx H_m^{(i)}(\xi).
 \end{aligned}$$

We were able to interchange the summation and integration because the functional series in the integration domain are uniformly converged. Because of orthogonality of the harmonic polynomials  $\{H_m^{(i)}(x) : i = 1, 2, \dots, h_m\}$  on the sphere  $\partial\Omega$  in each sum it remains only one term with  $m = 0$ . The first sum at  $m = 0$  gives zero but the second sum at  $m = 0$  gives the item  $-\int_{|\xi| < |x| < 1} |x|^{-n+2} dx$ . Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{|x| < 1} \Lambda_x E(x, \xi) dx = - \int_{|\xi| < |x| < 1} |x|^{-n+2} dx \\
 & = - \int_{|\xi|}^1 r^{n-1} dr \int_{|x|=1} r^{-n+2} ds = - \int_{|\xi|}^1 r \omega_n dr = \frac{\omega_n}{2} (|\xi|^2 - 1).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence the solvability conditions of the boundary value problem (1)-(2) has the form

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\partial\Omega} (\varphi_1(s) - \varphi_2(s)) ds \\
 & = - \int_{\Omega} \Lambda f_1(x) dx = \frac{1}{\omega_n} \int_{|\xi| < 1} f(\xi) \left( \int_{|x| < 1} \Lambda_x E(x, \xi) dx \right) d\xi \\
 & = \frac{1}{\omega_n} \frac{\omega_n}{2} \int_{|\xi| < 1} (|\xi|^2 - 1) f(\xi) d\xi = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (|\xi|^2 - 1) f(\xi) d\xi.
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof is complete. □

### 3. Examples

The necessary and sufficient solvability condition (3) of the Neumann boundary value problem (1)-(2) obtained in Theorem 1 is easy to verify. For example, for  $f(x) = 1$  we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{|x| < 1} (|x|^2 - 1) d\xi = \frac{\omega_n}{2} \left( \frac{1}{n+2} - \frac{1}{n} \right) = - \frac{\omega_n}{n(n+2)}$$

and therefore the solvability condition (3) of the Neumann boundary value problem with  $f(x) = 1$  has the form

$$\frac{1}{\omega_n} \int_{\partial\Omega} (\varphi_1(s) - \varphi_2(s)) ds = -\frac{1}{n(n+2)}. \quad (8)$$

For example, the following Neumann boundary value problem

$$\Delta^2 u(x) = 1, \quad x \in \Omega; \quad (9)$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}|_{\partial\Omega} = \frac{1}{2n+4}, \quad \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \nu^2}|_{\partial\Omega} = \frac{1}{2n} \quad (10)$$

is solvable because the condition (8) is fulfilled. A solution, as it is not difficult to verify, has the form

$$u(x) = \frac{|x|^4}{8n(n+2)} + \frac{(n-1)|x|^2}{4n(n+2)} + C. \quad (11)$$

Indeed,  $\Delta^2 u = 1$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}|_{|x|=1} &= \frac{1}{2n(n+2)} + \frac{n-1}{2n(n+2)} = \frac{1}{2(n+2)}, \\ \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \nu^2}|_{|x|=1} &= \frac{3+n-1}{2n(n+2)} = \frac{1}{2n}. \end{aligned}$$

Another Neumann boundary value problem

$$\Delta^2 u(x) = 1, \quad x \in \Omega;$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \nu^2}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$$

is not solvable because it does not satisfy condition (8). Indeed, let this problem be solvable and  $u(x)$  is its solution. Then according to the equality  $\Delta \Lambda u = (\Lambda + 2)\Delta u$  (formula (50) from [8]) the function  $v = \Lambda u$  is a solution of the following Dirichlet boundary value problem

$$\Delta^2 v(x) = 4, \quad x \in \Omega;$$

$$v|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad \Lambda v|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.$$

Solution of this problem (see [9], formula (17)) is a polynomial

$$v(x) = \frac{(|x|^2 - 1)^2}{2n(n+2)}.$$

However, it is impossible to find a biharmonic function  $u(x)$  from the equation

$$\Lambda u = \frac{1}{2n(n+2)}(|x|^4 - 2|x|^2 + 1), \quad x \in \Omega$$

because analytic in  $\Omega$  function  $\Lambda u$  do not have a term of the form  $cx^0$ , but the polynomial on the right hand side of this equation has such a term:  $1/(2n(n+2))$ . Therefore, the original Neumann boundary value problem is not solvable.

#### 4. Polynomial Solutions

Let the functions  $f(x)$ ,  $\varphi_1(s)$  and  $\varphi_2(s)$  from the Neumann boundary value problem (1)-(2) be polynomials. Acting by the operator  $\Lambda+4$  on the biharmonic operator we obtain:  $(\Lambda + 4)\Delta^2 u = \Delta^2 \Lambda u = \Delta^2 v$ , where  $v = \Lambda u$ . Therefore the problem (1)-(2) can be reduced to the Dirichlet problem

$$\Delta^2 v(x) = (\Lambda + 4)f(x), \quad x \in \Omega; \tag{12}$$

$$v|_{\partial\Omega} = \varphi_1(s), \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu}|_{\partial\Omega} = \varphi_1(s) + \varphi_2(s), \quad s \in \partial\Omega, \tag{13}$$

with polynomial data. Using Theorem 6 from [9] we can write a polynomial solution  $v(x)$  of this problem in the form

$$\begin{aligned} v(x) = & \varphi_1(x) + \frac{|x|^2 - 1}{2}(\varphi_1(x) + \varphi_2(x) - \Lambda\varphi_1(x)) \\ & + \frac{(|x|^2 - 1)^2}{4} \int_0^1 \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - \alpha|x|^2)^s (1 - \alpha)^s}{(2s)!!(2s + 2)!!} \Delta^s \left( \Delta(\Lambda\varphi_1 \right. \\ & \left. - \varphi_1 - \varphi_2) + \frac{1 - \alpha}{2s + 4}((\Lambda + 4)f - \Delta^2\varphi_1) \right) (\alpha x) \alpha^{n/2-1} d\alpha. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Then we need to solve the equation  $v = \Lambda u$  in  $\bar{\Omega}$ . Obviously, the condition  $v(0) = 0$  must be fulfilled. Our polynomial solution has the form

$$u(x) = \int_0^1 v(tx) \frac{dt}{t} + C. \tag{15}$$

Let us check the solution (15) on the problem (9)-(10). We have

$$v(x) = \frac{1}{2n+4} + \frac{|x|^2 - 1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2n+4} + \frac{1}{2n} \right) + \frac{(|x|^2 - 1)^2}{8}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \times \int_0^1 (1-\alpha)\alpha^{n/2-1} d\alpha &= \frac{1}{2(n+2)} + (|x|^2 - 1)\frac{n+1}{2n(n+2)} \\ &+ \frac{(|x|^2 - 1)^2}{2n(n+2)} = \frac{1}{2n(n+2)} \left( (n-1)|x|^2 + |x|^4 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using (15) we obtain

$$u(x) = \frac{1}{2n(n+2)} \left( \frac{n-1}{2}|x|^2 + \frac{1}{4}|x|^4 \right) + C.$$

This coincides with the solution (11).

### Acknowledgments

This paper is financial supported by the grant of MES RK No. 1562 at 20 Sep 2012 and by the Federal grant No. 2012-1.1-12-000-1007-014 of Russia.

### References

- [1] A.V. Bitsadze, On some properties of polyharmonic functions, *Differ. Equations*, **24**, No. 5 (1988), 825-831.
- [2] B.E. Kanguzhin, B.D. Koshanov, Necessary and sufficient conditions of solvability of boundary value problems for inhomogeneous polyharmonic equation in a ball, *Ufa Mathematical Journal*, **2**, No. 2 (2010), 41-52, In Russian.
- [3] V.V. Karachik, A problem with higher-order normal derivatives on the boundary for the Poisson equation, *Differ. Equations*, **32**, No. 3 (1996), 421-424.
- [4] S. Abdurakhmanov, V.V. Karachik, B.Kh. Turmetov, On solvability of some boundary value problems for Poisson equation, *Bulletin of South Ural State University, series "Mathematical Modelling, Programmind & Computer Software"*, **4** (2009), 24-33.
- [5] V.V. Karachik, Application of the Almansi formula for constructing polynomial solutions to the Dirichlet problem for a second-order equation, *Russian Mathematics*, **56**, No. 6 (2012), 20-29.



- [6] V.V. Karachik, A problem for the polyharmonic equation in the sphere, *Siberian Mathematical Journal*, **32**, No. 5 (2005), 767-774.
- [7] A.V. Bitsadze, *Equations of Mathematical Physics*, Nauka, Moscow (1982), In Russian.
- [8] V.V. Karachik, Construction of polynomial solutions to some boundary value problems for Poisson's equation, *Computational Mathematics and Mathematical Physics*, **51**, No. 9 (2011), 1567-1587.
- [9] V.V. Karachik, N.A. Antropova, On polynomial solutions of Dirichlet boundary value problem for biharmonic equation in a ball, *Siberian Journal of Industrial Mathematics*, **XV**, No. 2 (2012), 86-98, In Russian.

