

THE MAXIMALITY OF p -FACTORABLE MULTI-LINEAR OPERATORS

B.M. Cerna

Departamento de Ciencias, Escuela de Matemáticas
Universidad Nacional “Santiago Antunez de Mayolo”
Ciudad Universitaria de Shancayán
Avenida Centenario 100, Huaraz, PERU

Abstract: This work demonstrate the equivalence of the following definitions “let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, be, $\Phi \in \mathcal{L}(X_1, \dots, X_n; Y)$ is called p -factorable, if exist a measure space (Ω, Σ, μ) and operators $A \in \mathcal{L}(L_p(\mu), Y^{**})$ and $\Psi \in \mathcal{L}(X_1, \dots, X_n; L_p(\mu))$ such that $K_Y \circ \Phi = A \circ \Psi$.”

The collection of the p -factorable multi-linear operators of X_1, \dots, X_n to Y will be denoted for $\mathcal{L}_{p\text{-fact}}(X_1, \dots, X_n, Y)$. Also $\hat{\gamma}_p(\Phi) = \inf \|\Psi\| \|A\|$, where the infimum is taken over all possible factorization of Φ is a norm over $\mathcal{L}_{p\text{-fact}}(X_1, \dots, X_n; Y)$ ” and “let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.”

A operator $\Phi \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ is called p -factorable relative to (q_1, \dots, q_n) if belongs to the normed ideal:

$$[\mathcal{L}_{p\text{-fact}}, \tilde{\gamma}_p] = [\mathcal{L}_{ap}, \|\cdot\|]^{-1} \circ [\mathcal{N}_{(\infty; p, q_1, \dots, q_n)}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)] \\ \circ ([\mathcal{L}_{ap}, \|\cdot\|]^{-1}; \dots; [\mathcal{L}_{ap}, \|\cdot\|]^{-1}),$$

such that $\frac{1}{p'} + \frac{1}{q_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{q_n} = 1$, with norm

$$\tilde{\gamma}_p(\Phi) = \sup N_{(\infty; p, q_1, \dots, q_n)}(B\Phi(T_1, \dots, T_n))$$

where the supremum is taken on all the $T = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ with $T_i \in \mathcal{L}_{ap}(X_i, E_i)$ and $B \in \mathcal{L}_{ap}(F, F_0)$ such that $\|B\| \leq 1, \|T_i\| \leq 1, i = 1, \dots, n$ ”.

AMS Subject Classification: 47H60, 46G25, 47L20

Key Words: multi-linear operators, Banach spaces, operator ideals

1. Introduction

In the paper from Cerna (see [7]) titled “*Some properties of multi-linear operators of Nuclear Type*”, we give the motivations for which we are studying the properties of the multi-linear operators of nuclear type, in this work we obtain two important results. The first result establishes that the definition: An operator $\Phi \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ is p -factorable ($1 \leq p < \infty$) if there are operators $\Psi \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; L_p(u))$, $A \in \mathcal{L}(L_p(u), F^{**})$, $K_F \in \mathcal{L}(F, F^{**})$ such that $K_F \circ \Phi = A \circ \Psi$. Here (Ω, u) is a suitable measure space. Also we have that $\widehat{\gamma}_p(\Phi) = \inf \|A\| \|\Psi\|$, where the infimum is taken over all possible factorizations. The second result establishes that the definition: An operator $\Phi \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ is ∞ -factorable if one of the following statements is true:

1. There exist an Hausdorff compact space K and operators $\Psi \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; C(K))$ and $Y \in \mathcal{L}(C(K), F^{**})$, $K_F \in \mathcal{L}(F, F^{**})$ such that $K_F \circ \Phi = Y \circ \Psi$, also we have that

$$\widehat{\gamma}_\infty(\Phi) = \inf \|Y\| \|\Psi\|,$$

where the infimum is taken over all possible factorizations.

2. There exist a measure space (Ω, μ) and operators $\Psi \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; L_\infty(\Omega, \mu))$, $Y \in \mathcal{L}(L_\infty(\Omega, \mu); F^{**})$ and $K_F \in \mathcal{L}(F, F^{**})$ such that $K_F \circ \Phi = Y \circ \Psi$. Also we have that

$$\widehat{\gamma}_\infty(\Phi) = \inf \|\Psi\| \|Y\|,$$

where the infimum is taken over all possible factorization.

The two mentioned definitions are equivalent to the following definitions. An operator $\Phi \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ is called p -factorable ($1 \leq p < \infty$), ∞ -factorable relative to (q_1, \dots, q_n) respectively if it belongs to the normed ideal

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{L}_{p\text{-fact}}, \tilde{\gamma}_p] &= [\mathcal{L}_{a_p}, \|\cdot\|]^{-1} \circ [\mathcal{N}_{(\infty, p, q_1, \dots, q_n)}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F), \mathbf{N}_{(\infty, p, q_1, \dots, q_n)}] \\ &\quad \cdots \circ \left([\mathcal{L}_{a_p}, \|\cdot\|]^{-1}, \dots, [\mathcal{L}_{a_p}, \|\cdot\|]^{-1} \right) \end{aligned}$$

such that $\frac{1}{p'} + \frac{1}{q_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{q_n} = 1$, with a norm given by

$$\tilde{\gamma}(\Phi) = \sup N_{(\infty, p, q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n)}(B\Phi(T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n)),$$

where the supremum is taken of all the $T = (T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n)$ with $T_i \in \mathcal{L}_{a_p}(X_i, E_i)$ and $B \in \mathcal{L}_{a_p}(F, F_0)$ such that $\|B\| \leq 1, \|T_i\| \leq 1, i = 1, \dots, n$ where X_i, F_0 are arbitrary Banach Spaces.

We introduce the notations in the present work, for Banach Spaces E_1, \dots, E_n and F over the field \mathbb{K} (\mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C}), we denote $\mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ to the Banach Space of all multi-linear and continuous applications of $E_1 \times \dots \times E_n$ over F with a natural norm given by

$$\|T\| = \sup_{\substack{x_i \in B_{E_i} \\ i=1, \dots, n}} \|T(x_1, \dots, x_n)\|,$$

where B_{E_i} denote the unitary ball of E_i , centered in 0. E_k^* denote the dual topological of E_k , $k = 1, \dots, n$;

$\text{Dim}(E) = \{\text{collection of all finite dimensional subspaces}\};$

$\text{Cod}(F) = \{\text{collection of all finite codimensional subspaces}\};$

$\mathcal{L}_{a_p}(E, F) = \text{Ideal of approximable operators of } E \text{ onto } F;$

K_F is the isometric immersion of F into F^{**} ;

$J_M^E = \{\text{Embedding map from } M \text{ into } E\};$

$\mathcal{Q}_N^F = \{\text{Canonical map from } E \text{ onto } F/N\};$

$\mathcal{F}(E, F) = \text{Ideal of finite operators of finite range from } E \text{ onto } F;$

p' : dual exponent, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$.

2. Ultra Stability

In this section using A. Santana Soares definition, from Soares (see [3]), we extended the lema 8.8.4 from Pietsch (see [2]) for the multi-linear case.

Definition 2.1. Given a set of indexes I , for each $i \in I$, we consider Banach Spaces E_1^i, \dots, E_n^i , F_i and $A_i \in \mathcal{L}(E_1^i, \dots, E_n^i; F_i)$ with $\sup \|A_i\| < \infty$ and \mathcal{U} ultrafilter on I . We will define then

$$(A_i)_{\mathcal{U}} : (E_1^i)_{\mathcal{U}} \times \dots \times (E_n^i)_{\mathcal{U}} \longrightarrow (F_i)_{\mathcal{U}}$$

where

$$(A_i)_{\mathcal{U}} ((x_1^i)_{\mathcal{U}}, \dots, (x_n^i)_{\mathcal{U}}) = (A_i(x_1^i, \dots, x_n^i))_{\mathcal{U}}.$$

Let us suppose $\Phi \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n : F)$. Given I the set of all indexes $i = (M_1^i, \dots, M_n^i : N_i)$ whit $M_k^i \in \text{Dim}(E_k)$ and $N_i \in \text{Cod}(F)$, $k = 1, \dots, n$. We choose an ultrafilter \mathcal{U} containing all subsets

$$\{i \in I / M_k^i \supseteq M_k^{i_0}, N_i \subseteq N_{i_0}\}$$

where $i_0 = (M_1^{i_0}, \dots, M_n^{i_0} : N_{i_0})$ is fixed.

Finally, put

$$E_k^i = M_k^i, \quad k = 1, \dots, n, \quad F_i = F/N_i \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi_i = Q_{N_i}^F \Phi \left(J_{M_1^i}^{E_1}, \dots, J_{M_n^i}^{E_n} \right),$$

we have the following

Lemma 2.1. *There are operators*

$$J \in \mathcal{L}(E_1 \times \cdots \times E_n, (E_1^i)_{\mathcal{U}} \times \cdots \times (E_n^i)_{\mathcal{U}})$$

and $Q \in \mathcal{L}((F_i)_{\mathcal{U}}, F^{**})$ such that $\|J\| \leq 1$, $\|Q\| \leq 1$, and $K_F \Phi = Q(\Phi_i)_{\mathcal{U}} J$.

Proof. The operator J is defined by

$$J(x_1, \dots, x_n) := (J_1(x_1), \dots, J_n(x_n))$$

where $J_k(x_k) = (x_k^i)_{\mathcal{U}}$, $k = 1, \dots, n$

with

$$x_k^i = \begin{cases} x_k & ; \text{ if } x_k \in M_k^i, \\ 0 & ; \text{ if } x_k \notin M_k^i. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that J is linear and continuous for any norm over $E_1 \times \cdots \times E_n$, $(E_1^i)_{\mathcal{U}} \times \cdots \times (E_n^i)_{\mathcal{U}}$ and we obtain $\|J\| \leq 1$. Moreover, let

$$Q((y_i^0)_{\mathcal{U}}) := F' - \lim_{\mathcal{U}} K_F y_i$$

where $(y_i) \in l_{\infty}(F_i, I)$ such that $Q_{N_i}^F(y_i) = y_i^0$.

First we check that the right-hand expression does not depend on the special choice of (y_i) . For this purpose assume that

$$(Q_{N_i}^F y_i)_{\mathcal{U}} = 0 \text{ and } y'' := F' - \lim_{\mathcal{U}} K_F y_i.$$

Then $|\langle K_F y_i, b \rangle| \leq \|Q_{N_i}^F(y_i)\| \|b\|$ whenever F_i is contained in the null space of $b \in F'$. Therefore

$$|\langle y'', b \rangle| = \left| \lim_{\mathcal{U}} \langle K_F y_i, b \rangle \right| \leq \lim_{\mathcal{U}} \|Q_{N_i}^F y_i\| \|b\| = 0$$

Hence $y'' = 0$. This proves that Q is well-defined.

If the family (y_i) is chosen such that

$$\sup_I \|y_i\| \leq (1 + \epsilon) \|(y_i^0)_{\mathcal{U}}\|$$

then we get

$$\|Q((y_i^0)_{\mathcal{U}})\| \leq \lim_{\mathcal{U}} \|y_i\| \leq (1 + \epsilon) \|(y_i^0)_{\mathcal{U}}\|$$

Therefore $\|Q\| \leq 1$. Finally, observe that

$$\begin{aligned} Q(\Phi_i)_U J(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= Q(\Phi_i x_i)_U, \quad \forall (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in E_1 \times \dots \times E_n \\ &= F' - \lim_U K_F \Phi \left(J_{M_1^i}^{E_1}, \dots, J_{M_n^i}^{E_n} \right) (x_1^i, \dots, x_n^i) \\ &= K_F \Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n), \quad \forall (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in E_1 \times \dots \times E_n. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

3. p -Factorable Multi-Linear Operators

In this section we will demonstrate the equivalence of the two definitions from Cerna (see [7]), see appendix

Theorem 3.1. *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, be $\Phi \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ is p -factorable relative to (q_1, \dots, q_n) if and only if there exist a commutative diagram such that $K_F \circ \Phi = A \circ \Psi$, where $K_F \in \mathcal{L}(F, F^{**})$, $\Psi \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; L_p(u))$, $A \in (L_p(u), F^{**})$. Also*

$$\tilde{\gamma}_p(\Phi) = \hat{\gamma}_p(\Phi) = \inf \|A\| \|\Psi\|,$$

where the infimum is taken over all possible factorizations.

Proof. Let $\Phi \in \mathcal{L}_{p\text{-fat}}(E_1, \dots, E_n : F)$ relative to (q_1, \dots, q_n) , then

$$V\Phi T \in \mathcal{N}_{(\infty; p, q_1, \dots, q_n)}(X_1, \dots, X_n; F_0) \text{ for all } V \in \mathcal{L}_{a_p}(F, F_0),$$

$T = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$, $T_i \in \mathcal{L}_{a_p}(X_i, E_i)$, such that $\frac{1}{p'} + \frac{1}{q_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{q_n} = 1$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Here F_0 y X_i are arbitrary Banach Space.

In particular for $X_1 = M_1^j, \dots, X_n = M_n^j, V = Q_{N_j}^F, F_0 = F/N_j, T_k = J_{M_k^j}^{E_k}$, where $M_k^j \in \text{Dim}(E_k)$ and $F/N_j \in \text{Cod}(F)$, $k = 1, \dots, n$ and $j \in I$, where I is a set of indexes. It is clear that $J_{M_k^j}^{E_k} \in \mathcal{L}_{a_p}(M_k^j, E_k)$.

We define

$$\Phi_j = Q_{N_j}^F \circ \Phi \circ \left(J_{M_1^j}^{E_1}, \dots, J_{M_n^j}^{E_n} \right) : M_1^j \times \dots \times M_n^j \longrightarrow F_j = F/N_j$$

For the Lemma 2.1, the operator $K_F \Phi$ we can write as a ultraproduct $Q(\Phi_i)_u J$, where $\Phi_j = Q_{N_j}^F \circ \Phi \circ \left(J_{M_1^j}^{E_1}, \dots, J_{M_n^j}^{E_n} \right)$ with $j = \left(M_1^j, \dots, M_n^j; N_j \right)$, $M_k^j \in \text{Dim}(E_k)$ and $F/N_j \in \text{Cod}(F)$.

By the Theorem 3.2 from Cerna (see [6]) there are a factorization of Φ_j with $\Phi_j = Y_j \circ \Psi_j$, $\Psi_j \in \mathcal{L}\left(M_1^j, \dots, M_n^j, l_p\right)$ and $Y_j \in \mathcal{L}(l_p; F_j)$ such that $\|\Psi_j\| \leq 1$ and $\|Y_j\| \leq (1 + \epsilon)N_{(\infty, p, q_1, \dots, q_n)}(\Phi_j)$.

For the Lemma 19.3.4 from Pietsch (see [2]) we have that the ultraproduct $(l_p)_{\mathcal{U}}$, it can be represented as a Banach Space $L_p(\Omega, \mu)$. Hence $K_F\Phi$ is factored through $L_p(\Omega, \mu)$.

Inversely, we will suppose that $K_F\Phi$ have the described factorization. Then by the lemma 1.55 from Pietsch (see [2]) we have

$$\begin{aligned} S \circ \Phi \circ (T_1, \dots, T_n) &= S^\pi \circ K_F \circ \Phi \circ (T_1, \dots, T_n) \\ &= S^\pi \circ A \circ \Psi \circ (T_1, \dots, T_n) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

for all $S \in \mathcal{F}(F, F_0)$ and $T_i \in \mathcal{F}(X_i, E_i)$. By the Lemma 2.1 from Cerna (see [7]) of (1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} N_{f, (\infty; p, q_1, \dots, q_n)}(S \circ \Phi \circ (T_1, \dots, T_n)) &= N_{f, (\infty; p, q_1, \dots, q_n)}(S^\pi \circ A \circ \Psi \circ (T_1, \dots, T_n)) \\ &\leq \|S^\pi \circ A\| N_{f, (\infty; p, q_1, \dots, q_n)}(\Psi \circ (T_1, \dots, T_n)) \\ &\leq \|S^\pi \circ A\| \|\Psi \circ (T_1, \dots, T_n)\| \\ &\leq \|S\| \|A\| \|\Psi(T_1, \dots, T_n)\| \\ &\leq \|S\| \|A\| \|\Psi\| \prod_{i=1}^n \|T_i\| \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

As (2) is true for all $S \in \mathcal{F}(F, F_0)$ and $T_i \in \mathcal{F}(X_i, E_i)$ using the theorem (1) see appendix, we have $\Phi \in \mathcal{L}_{p\text{-fact}}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ and

$$\tilde{\gamma}_p(\Phi) = N_{(\infty, p; q_1, \dots, q_n)}^{\max}(\Phi) \leq \|A\| \|\Psi\|$$

Hence is clear that

$$\tilde{\gamma}_p(\Phi) = \inf \|A\| \|\Psi\| = \hat{\gamma}_p(\Phi)$$

where the infimum is taken over all possible factorizations. \square

Theorem 3.2. *An operator $\Phi \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ is ∞ -factorable if and only if there exist $\Psi \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; L_p(u))$, $A \in \mathcal{L}(L_p(u), F)$, $K_F \in \mathcal{L}(F, F^{**})$ such that following statements are true:*

1. *There exist a compact Hausdorff Space and operators $\Psi \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; C(K))$ and $Y \in \mathcal{L}(C(K), F^{**})$, $K_F \in \mathcal{L}(F, F^{**})$ such that $K_F \circ \Phi = Y \circ \Psi$, also we have that*

$$\tilde{\gamma}_\infty(\Phi) = \hat{\gamma}_p(\Phi) = \inf \|Y\| \|\Psi\|,$$

where the infimum is taken over all possible factorizations.

2. There exist a measure Space (Ω, μ) and operators $\Psi \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; L_\infty(\Omega, \mu))$, $Y \in \mathcal{L}(L_\infty(\Omega, \mu); F^{**})$ and $K_F \in \mathcal{L}(F, F^{**})$ such that $K_F \circ \Phi = Y \circ \Psi$, also

$$\tilde{\gamma}_\infty(\Phi) = \hat{\gamma}_p(\Phi) = \inf \|\Psi\| \|Y\|,$$

where the infimum is taken over all possible factorizations.

Proof. The proof of the theorem for $p = \infty$ can be achieved following the same steps with some changes in the theorem (3.1) next to use the theorem 19.3.9 from Pietsch (see [2]). \square

Acknowledgments

The author thanks M. C. Matos for the suggestions, Miguel Yglesias J. and Victor Pocoy Y. for typing the manuscript.

References

- [1] R. Alencar, M. C. Matos, *Some classes of multilinear mappings between Banach Spaces*, publ. Dep. Análisis Matemático, University Complutense of Madrid, Section 1, Number 12 (1989).
- [2] A. Pietsch, *Operator Ideals*, North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam (1980).
- [3] A. Santana Soares, *Polinomios Mixtos Somantes*, Ph.D. Thesis (1999), IMECC-UNICAMP, Campinas, Brazil;
<http://libdigi.unicamp.br/document12code=>
- [4] S. Banach, *Theory of Linear Operations*, North Holland (1987).
- [5] B. Carl, C. Schiebold, Nonlinear equations in soliton physics and operator ideals, *Nonlinearity*, **12** (1999), 333-364.
- [6] B.M. Cerna, *Operadores Multilineares p -Fatoraveis*, PhD Thesis (2005), IMECC-UNICAMP, Campinas, Brazil;
<http://libdigi.unicamp.br/document/?code=vtls000365809>.
- [7] B.M. Cerna, Some properties of multi-linear operators of nuclear type, *IJPAM*, **56**, No. 1 (2009), 143-154.

A. Appendix

Definition A.1. Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, be, $\Phi \in \mathcal{L}(X_1, \dots, X_n; Y)$ is called p -factorable, if exist a measure space (Ω, Σ, μ) and operators $A \in \mathcal{L}(L_p(\mu), Y^{**})$ and $\Psi \in \mathcal{L}(X_1, \dots, X_n; L_p(\mu))$ such that $K_Y \circ \Phi = A \circ \Psi$.

The collection of the p -factorable multi-linear operators of X_1, \dots, X_n to Y will be denoted for $\mathcal{L}_{p\text{-fact}}(X_1, \dots, X_n, Y)$. Also $\tilde{\gamma}_p(\Phi) = \inf \|\Psi\| \|A\|$, where the infimum is taken over all possible factorization of Φ is a norm over

$$\mathcal{L}_{p\text{-fact}}(X_1, \dots, X_n; Y).$$

Definition A.2. Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. A operator $\Phi \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ is called p -factorable relative to (q_1, \dots, q_n) if belongs to the normed ideal:

$$\begin{aligned} & [\mathcal{L}_{p\text{-fact}}, \tilde{\gamma}_p] \\ &= [\mathcal{L}_{ap}, \|\cdot\|]^{-1} \circ [\mathcal{N}_{(\infty; p, q_1, \dots, q_n)}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)] \circ ([\mathcal{L}_{ap}, \|\cdot\|]^{-1}; \dots; [\mathcal{L}_{ap}, \|\cdot\|]^{-1}), \end{aligned}$$

such that $\frac{1}{p'} + \frac{1}{q_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{q_n} = 1$, with norm

$$\tilde{\gamma}_p(\Phi) = \sup N_{(\infty; p, q_1, \dots, q_n)}(B\Phi(T_1, \dots, T_n)),$$

where the supremum is taken on all the $T = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ with $T_i \in \mathcal{L}_{ap}(X_i, E_i)$ and $B \in \mathcal{L}_{ap}(F, F_0)$ such that $\|B\| \leq 1, \|T_i\| \leq 1, i = 1, \dots, n$

Observation A.1. The definition given in (A.2) should be understand of the following $\Phi \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ belongs to $[\mathcal{L}_{p\text{-fact}}, \tilde{\gamma}_p]$ if $B\Phi(T_1, \dots, T_n) \in \mathcal{N}_{(\infty; p, q_1, \dots, q_n)}(X_1, \dots, X_n; F_0)$ for all $T_i \in \mathcal{L}_{ap}(X_i, E_i), i = 1, \dots, n$ and for all $B \in \mathcal{L}_{ap}(F, F_0)$.

Theorem A.1. Let $[\mathcal{A}, A]$ an ideal s -normed. Then $\Phi \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ belongs to \mathcal{A}^{\max} if and only if there exist a constant $\sigma \geq 0$ such that:

$$A(B\Phi T) \leq \sigma \|B\| \prod_{i=1}^n \|T_i\|, \quad \forall T = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$$

where $T_i \in \mathcal{F}(E_i, F_i), B \in \mathcal{F}(F, F_0)$ y X_i, F_0 are arbitrary Banach spaces.

Here

$$A^{\max}(\Phi) = \inf \sigma$$

Proof. Let $\Phi \in \mathcal{A}^{\max}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ be, then $A^{\max}(\Phi) = \sup A(B\Phi T)$, where the supremum is taken on all the $T = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ with $T_i \in \mathcal{L}_{ap}(X_i, E_i)$ and $B \in \mathcal{L}_{ap}(F, F_0)$ such that $\|T_i\| \leq 1, i = 1, \dots, n$ and $\|B\| \leq 1$.

Hence

$$A(B\Phi T) \leq A^{\max}(\Phi) \prod_{i=1}^n \|T_i\| \|B\|,$$

$$\forall T_i \in \mathcal{F}(X_i, E_i), i = 1, \dots, n \text{ and } B \in \mathcal{F}(F, F_0).$$

Conversely, let $\Phi \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ satisfy the above condition.

Let $T = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ be with $T_i \in \mathcal{L}_{ap}(X_i, E_i), i = 1, \dots, n$ and $B \in \mathcal{L}_{ap}(F, F_0)$, then there are $T_i^k \in \mathcal{F}(X_i, E_i)$ and $B_k \in \mathcal{F}(F, F_0)$ with

$$T_i = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} T_i^k, \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (T_1^k, \dots, T_n^k) = (T_1, \dots, T_n) \text{ and } B = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} B_k.$$

It is followed from:

$$\begin{aligned} A(B_k \Phi(T_1^k, \dots, T_n^k) - B_m \Phi(T_1^m, \dots, T_n^m))^s &= A((B_k - B_m) \Phi(T_1^k, \dots, T_n^k) \\ &\quad + B_m \Phi(T_1^k - T_1^m, \dots, T_n^k - T_n^m))^s \\ &\leq A((B_k - B_m) \Phi(T_1^k, \dots, T_n^k))^s + \\ &\quad + A(B_m \Phi(T_1^k - T_1^m, \dots, T_n^k - T_n^m))^s \\ &\leq \sigma^s \|B_k - B_m\|^s \prod_{i=1}^n \|T_i^k\|^s + \\ &\quad + \sigma^s \|B_m\|^s \prod_{i=1}^n \|T_i^k - T_i^m\|^s \end{aligned}$$

that $B_k \Phi T_k$ is an A Cauchy sequence. Since $B\Phi T = \lim B_k \Phi(T_1^k, \dots, T_n^k)$ is the only possible limit, we have that $B\Phi T \in \mathcal{A}(X_1, \dots, X_n; F_0)$. Moreover

$$A(B\Phi T) \leq \sigma \|B\| \prod_{i=1}^n \|T_i\|$$

Hence

$$\Phi \in \mathcal{A}^{\max}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F) \text{ and } A^{\max}(\Phi) \leq \sigma. \quad \square$$

