

**EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS FOR NONLINEAR
SECOND-ORDER Q -DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS
WITH THREE-POINT MULTI-TERM q -INTEGRAL
BOUNDARY CONDITIONS**

Jessada Tariboon¹, Weerawat Sudsutad^{2 §}

^{1,2}Department of Mathematics

Faculty of Applied Science

King Mongkut's University of Technology

North Bangkok, Bangkok, 10800, THAILAND

Abstract: In this paper is concerned with the existence of solutions for nonlinear second-order q -difference equations with three-point multi-term q -integral boundary conditions. Some new existence results are obtained by using Banach's contraction mapping, Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem and Leray-Schauder degree theory. As an application, we give two examples that illustrate our results.

AMS Subject Classification: 34B10, 39A13

Key Words: existence, q -difference equation, q -derivative, q -integral, boundary value problem

1. Introduction

The study of q -calculus was initiated in the beginning of the twentieth century by the pioneer works of Jackson [1], Carmichael [2], Mason [3], Adams [4], Trjitzinsky [5], etc. In the last few decades, the q -theory has involved into a variety of discipline areas of research not only in mathematics but also covering other fields of science and its applications as well. See [6-14]. For some recent

Received: April 13, 2013

© 2013 Academic Publications, Ltd.
url: www.acadpubl.eu

§Correspondence author

work, we refer the reader to [15-26] and references therein. However, there are only a few papers that have studied the existence of solutions for boundary value problems of nonlinear q -difference equations, see [27-29].

In [30], Ahmad studied the existence of solutions for nonlinear third-order q -difference equation with boundary conditions

$$D_q^3 u(t) = f(t, u(t)), \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1,$$

$$u(0) = 0, \quad D_q u(0) = 0, \quad u(1) = 0.$$

He obtained some existence results by using standard fixed point theorems and Leray-Schauder degree theory. He also showed that if $q \rightarrow 1$ then his results corresponded to the classical results. In [31], Ahmad, Alsaedi and Ntouyas established the existence criteria for nonlinear second-order q -difference equation with non-separated boundary conditions

$$D_q^2 u(t) = f(t, u(t)), \quad t \in [0, T],$$

$$u(0) = \eta u(T), \quad D_q u(0) = \eta D_q u(T).$$

In [32], Pongam, Asawasumrit, Tariboon investigated the sequential derivatives of the nonlinear q -difference equation with three-point q -integral boundary condition of the form

$$D_q(D_p + \lambda)u(t) = f(t, u(t)), \quad t \in [0, T],$$

$$u(0) = 0, \quad \beta \int_0^\eta u(s) d_r s = u(T), \quad \eta \in (0, T).$$

Using fixed point theorems and Leray-Schauder degree theory, some existence were obtained.

In this paper, we consider the following nonlinear second-order q -difference equation with three-point multi-term q -integral boundary conditions

$$D_q^2 u(t) = f(t, u(t)), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \quad (1)$$

$$u(0) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \int_0^\eta u(s) d_{p_i} s, \quad u(T) = \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j \int_0^\eta u(s) d_{r_j} s, \quad (2)$$

where $0 < q, p_i, r_j < 1$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ and $\alpha_i, \beta_j \in \mathbb{R}$ are such that $\eta^2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\beta_j}{1+r_j} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha_i(1-\eta \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j)}{1+p_i} \right) \neq T$, $\eta \in (0, T)$ is a fixed constant. We note that the boundary conditions (2) have different values of the q -numbers of q -integral at the boundary points. The existence results of problem (1)-(2) have not been established, previously.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we introduce notation, some basic concepts of q -calculus and prove a lemma before starting our main results.

For $0 < q < 1$, we define the q -derivative of a real valued function f as

$$D_q f(t) := \frac{f(t) - f(qt)}{(1 - q)t},$$

and $D_q f(0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} D_q f(t)$ provided $f'(0)$ exists. The higher order q -derivatives are given by

$$D_q^0 f(t) = f(t), \quad D_q^n f(t) = D_q D_q^{n-1} f(t), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The q -integral of a function f defined in the interval $[a, b]$ is given by

$$\int_a^t f(s) d_q s := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - q) q^n [t f(tq^n) - a f(q^n a)], \quad t \in [a, b].$$

For $a = 0$, we denote

$$I_q f(t) = \int_0^t f(s) d_q s = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t(1 - q) q^n f(tq^n),$$

provided the series converges. If $a \in [0, b]$ and f is defined in the interval $[0, b]$, then

$$\int_a^b f(t) d_q t = \int_0^b f(t) d_q t - \int_0^a f(t) d_q t.$$

Note that

$$D_q I_q f(t) = f(t), \tag{3}$$

and if f is continuous at $t = 0$, then

$$I_q D_q f(t) = f(t) - f(0).$$

In q -calculus, the product rule and integration by parts formula are

$$D_q(gh)(t) = (D_q g(t))h(t) + q(qt)D_q h(t), \tag{4}$$

$$\int_0^t h(s)D_q g(s) d_q s = [h(s)g(s)]_0^t - \int_0^t D_q h(s)g(qs) d_q s. \tag{5}$$

Further, reversing the order of integration is given by

$$\int_0^t \int_0^s f(r) d_q r d_q s = \int_0^t \int_{qr}^t f(r) d_q s d_q r.$$

In the limit $q \rightarrow 1$ the q -calculus corresponds to the classical calculus.

Lemma 1. Let $\eta^2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\beta_j}{1+r_j} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha_i(1-\eta \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j)}{1+p_i} \right) \neq T$ and $0 < q, p_i, r_j < 1$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Then for $h \in C[0, T]$, the boundary value problem

$$D_q^2 u(t) = h(t), \quad 0 < t < T, \tag{6}$$

$$u(0) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \int_0^\eta u(s) d_{p_i} s, \quad u(T) = \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j \int_0^\eta u(s) d_{r_j} s, \tag{7}$$

has the unique solution

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) = & \int_0^t (t - qs)h(s) d_q s \\ & + \left[(1 - \eta \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i)t + \eta^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha_i}{1 + p_i} \right] \int_0^T \frac{(T - qs)}{\Phi} h(s) d_q s \\ & - \left[(1 - \eta \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i)t + \eta^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha_i}{1 + p_i} \right] \\ & \times \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\beta_j}{\Phi} \int_0^\eta \int_0^s (s - qv)h(v) d_q v d_{r_j} s \\ & + \left[(1 - \eta \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j)t - T + \eta^2 \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\beta_j}{1 + r_j} \right] \\ & \times \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha_i}{\Phi} \int_0^\eta \int_0^s (s - qv)h(v) d_q v d_{p_i} s, \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where

$$\Phi = \left(1 - \eta \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \right) \left(\eta^2 \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\beta_j}{1 + r_j} - T \right) - \eta^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha_i(1 - \eta \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j)}{1 + p_i}. \tag{9}$$

Proof. For $t \in [0, T]$, we get by q -integrating for (6) that,

$$D_q u(t) = \int_0^t h(s) d_q s + c_1, \tag{10}$$

where a_1 is a constant of q -integration. Taking q -integral to (10) for $t \in [0, T]$, we obtain

$$u(t) = \int_0^t \left(\int_0^\nu h(s) d_q s \right) d_q \nu + c_1 t + c_2. \tag{11}$$

Changing the order of q -integration, we get

$$u(t) = \int_0^t \left(\int_{qs}^t h(s) d_q \nu \right) d_q s + c_1 t + c_2. \tag{12}$$

Therefore, (12) can be written as

$$u(t) = \int_0^t (t - qs) h(s) d_q s + c_1 t + c_2. \tag{13}$$

It follows that $c_2 = u(0)$. Taking the p_i -integral of (13) from 0 to η , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\eta u(s) d_{p_i} s &= \int_0^\eta \left(\int_0^s (s - q\nu) h(\nu) d_q \nu + c_1 s + c_2 \right) d_{p_i} s \\ &= \int_0^\eta \left(\int_0^s (s - q\nu) h(\nu) d_q \nu \right) d_{p_i} s + c_1 \int_0^\eta s d_{p_i} s + c_2 \eta \\ &= \int_0^\eta \left(\int_0^s (s - q\nu) h(\nu) d_q \nu \right) d_{p_i} s + c_1 \frac{\eta^2}{1 + p_i} + c_2 \eta. \end{aligned}$$

The first condition of (7) implies that

$$-c_1 \eta^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha_i}{1 + p_i} + c_2 \left(1 - \eta \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \int_0^\eta \left(\int_0^s (s - q\nu) h(\nu) d_q \nu \right) d_{p_i} s.$$

Form (13), we obtain

$$u(T) = \int_0^T (T - qs) h(s) d_q s + c_1 T + c_2.$$

Then, r_j -integrating of (13) gives

$$\int_0^\eta u(s) d_{r_j} s = \int_0^\eta \left(\int_0^s (s - q\nu) h(\nu) d_q \nu \right) d_{r_j} s + c_1 \frac{\eta^2}{1 + r_j} + c_2 \eta.$$

The second condition of (7) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 \left(T - \eta^2 \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\beta_j}{1 + r_j} \right) - c_2 \left(1 - \eta \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j \right) \\ = \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j \int_0^\eta \left(\int_0^s (s - q\nu) h(\nu) d_q \nu \right) d_{r_j} s - \int_0^T (T - qs) h(s) d_q s. \end{aligned}$$

Solving the system of linear equations on the unknown constants c_1 and c_2 , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 c_1 &= \left(1 - \eta \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j\right) \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha_i}{\Phi} \int_0^\eta \left(\int_0^s (s - q\nu)h(\nu)d_q\nu\right) d_{p_i}s \\
 &\quad - \left(1 - \eta \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i\right) \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\beta_j}{\Phi} \int_0^\eta \left(\int_0^s (s - q\nu)h(\nu)d_q\nu\right) d_{r_j}s \\
 &\quad + \left(1 - \eta \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i\right) \int_0^T \frac{(T - qs)}{\Phi} h(s)d_qs, \\
 c_2 &= -\left(T - \eta^2 \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\beta_j}{1 + r_j}\right) \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha_i}{\Phi} \int_0^\eta \left(\int_0^s (s - q\nu)h(\nu)d_q\nu\right) d_{p_i}s \\
 &\quad - \left(\eta^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha_i}{1 + p_i}\right) \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\beta_j}{\Phi} \int_0^\eta \left(\int_0^s (s - q\nu)h(\nu)d_q\nu\right) d_{r_j}s \\
 &\quad + \eta^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha_i}{1 + p_i} \int_0^T \frac{(T - qs)}{\Phi} h(s)d_qs.
 \end{aligned}$$

Substituting values of c_1 and c_2 in (13), we obtain the solution (8).

For the forthcoming analysis, let $\mathcal{C} = C([0, T], R)$ denote the Banach space of all continuous functions from $[0, T]$ to R endowed with the norm defined by $\|u\| = \sup\{|u(t)|, t \in [0, T]\}$. In view of Lemma 1 and (9), we consider the operator $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
 (Fu)(t) &= \int_0^t (t - qs)f(s, u(s))d_qs \\
 &\quad + \left[\left(1 - \eta \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i\right)t + \eta^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha_i}{1 + p_i} \right] \int_0^T \frac{(T - qs)}{\Phi} f(s, u(s))d_qs \\
 &\quad - \left[\left(1 - \eta \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i\right)t + \eta^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha_i}{1 + p_i} \right] \\
 &\quad \times \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\beta_j}{\Phi} \int_0^\eta \int_0^s (s - q\nu)f(\nu, u(\nu))d_q\nu d_{r_j}s \\
 &\quad + \left[\left(1 - \eta \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j\right)t - T + \eta^2 \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\beta_j}{1 + r_j} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\times \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha_i}{\Phi} \int_0^\eta \int_0^s (s - q\nu) f(\nu, u(\nu)) d_q \nu d_{p_i} s. \tag{14}$$

Note that the problem (1)-(2) has solutions if and only if the operator equation $Fx = x$ has fixed points.

In the following, for the sake of convenience, we set

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega = & T^2 + \left((1 + \eta \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i|)T + \eta^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{|\alpha_i|}{1 + p_i} \right) \frac{T^2}{|\Phi|} \\ & + \left((1 + \eta \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i|)T + \eta^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{|\alpha_i|}{1 + p_i} \right) \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{|\beta_j| \eta^3}{|\Phi| (r_j^2 + r_j + 1)} \\ & + \left(\eta^2 \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{|\beta_j|}{1 + r_j} + \eta \sum_{j=1}^m |\beta_j| \right) \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{|\alpha_i| \eta^3}{|\Phi| (p_i^2 + p_i + 1)}, \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

where Φ is defined by (9).

3. Main Results

Now we are in position to establish the main results. Our first result is based on Banach’s fixed point theorem.

Theorem 2. *Let $f : [0, T] \times R \rightarrow R$ be a jointly continuous function. In addition, suppose that there exists a positive constant L such that:*

$$(H_1) \quad |f(t, u(t)) - f(t, v(t))| \leq L|u - v|, \text{ for all } t \in [0, T], u, v \in R.$$

$$(H_2) \quad \Lambda := L\Omega < 1, \text{ where } \Omega \text{ is defined by (15).}$$

Then the boundary value problem (1)-(2) has a unique solution.

Proof. Assume that $\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |f(t, 0)| = M$, and define a constant r as

$$r \geq \frac{M\Omega}{1 - \delta}. \tag{16}$$

Now, we shall show that $FB_r \subset B_r$, where $B_r = \{u \in \mathcal{C} : \|u\| \leq r\}$. For any $u \in B_r$, we get

$$\|Fu\| = \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left| \int_0^t (t - qs) f(s, u(s)) d_q s \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \left[(1 - \eta \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i)t + \eta^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha_i}{1 + p_i} \right] \\
& \times \int_0^T \frac{(T - qs)}{\Phi} f(s, u(s)) d_q s \\
& + \left[(1 - \eta \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i)t + \eta^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha_i}{1 + p_i} \right] \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\beta_j}{\Phi} \\
& \times \int_0^\eta \int_0^s (s - q\nu) f(\nu, u(\nu)) d_q \nu d_{r_j} s \\
& + \left[(1 - \eta \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j)t - T + \eta^2 \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\beta_j}{1 + r_j} \right] \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha_i}{\Phi} \\
& \times \int_0^\eta \int_0^s (s - q\nu) f(\nu, u(\nu)) d_q \nu d_{p_i} s \Big| \\
& \leq \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left\{ \int_0^t (t - qs) (|f(s, u(s)) - f(s, 0)| + |f(s, 0)|) d_q s \right. \\
& + \left| (1 - \eta \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i)t + \eta^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha_i}{1 + p_i} \right| \\
& \times \int_0^T \frac{(T - qs)}{|\Phi|} (|f(s, u(s)) - f(s, 0)| + |f(s, 0)|) d_q s \\
& + \left| (1 - \eta \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i)t + \eta^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha_i}{1 + p_i} \right| \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{|\beta_j|}{|\Phi|} \\
& \times \int_0^\eta \int_0^s (s - q\nu) (|f(\nu, u(\nu)) - f(\nu, 0)| + |f(\nu, 0)|) d_q \nu d_{r_j} s \\
& + \left| (1 - \eta \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j)t - T + \eta^2 \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\beta_j}{1 + r_j} \right| \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{|\alpha_i|}{|\Phi|} \\
& \times \int_0^\eta \int_0^s (s - q\nu) (|f(\nu, u(\nu)) - f(\nu, 0)| + |f(\nu, 0)|) d_q \nu d_{p_i} s \Big\} \\
& \leq \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left\{ \int_0^t (t - qs) (L|u(s)| + |f(s, 0)|) d_q s \right. \\
& + \left| (1 - \eta \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i)t + \eta^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha_i}{1 + p_i} \right| \int_0^T \frac{(T - qs)}{|\Phi|} (L|u(s)| + |f(s, 0)|) d_q s
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \left| (1 - \eta \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i)t + \eta^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha_i}{1 + p_i} \right| \left| \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{|\beta_j|}{|\Phi|} \right| \\
 & \times \int_0^\eta \int_0^s (s - q\nu)(L|u(\nu)| + |f(\nu, 0)|) d_q \nu d_{r_j} s \\
 & + \left| (1 - \eta \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j)t - T + \eta^2 \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\beta_j}{1 + r_j} \right| \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{|\alpha_i|}{|\Phi|} \right| \\
 & \times \int_0^\eta \int_0^s (s - q\nu)(L|u(\nu)| + |f(\nu, 0)|) d_q \nu d_{p_i} s \Big\} \\
 \leq & \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left\{ \int_0^t (t - qs)(L\|u\| + M) d_q s \right. \\
 & + \left| (1 - \eta \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i)t + \eta^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha_i}{1 + p_i} \right| \int_0^T \frac{(T - qs)}{|\Phi|} (L\|u\| + M) d_q s \\
 & + \left| (1 - \eta \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i)t + \eta^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha_i}{1 + p_i} \right| \left| \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{|\beta_j|}{|\Phi|} \right| \\
 & \times \int_0^\eta \int_0^s (s - q\nu)(L\|u\| + M) d_q \nu d_{r_j} s \\
 & + \left| (1 - \eta \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j)t - T + \eta^2 \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\beta_j}{1 + r_j} \right| \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{|\alpha_i|}{|\Phi|} \right| \\
 & \times \int_0^\eta \int_0^s (s - q\nu)(L\|u\| + M) d_q \nu d_{p_i} s \Big\} \\
 \leq & \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left\{ (L\|u\| + M) \left[t^2 + \left| (1 - \eta \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i)t + \eta^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha_i}{1 + p_i} \right| \frac{T^2}{|\Phi|} \right. \right. \\
 & + \left| (1 - \eta \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i)t + \eta^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha_i}{1 + p_i} \right| \left| \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{|\beta_j|}{|\Phi|} \right| \frac{\eta^3}{r_j^2 + r_j + 1} \\
 & \left. \left. + \left| (1 - \eta \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j)t - T + \eta^2 \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\beta_j}{1 + r_j} \right| \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{|\alpha_i|}{|\Phi|} \right| \frac{\eta^3}{p_i^2 + p_i + 1} \right] \right\} \\
 \leq & (Lr + M) \left[T^2 + \left((1 + \eta \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i|)T + \eta^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{|\alpha_i|}{1 + p_i} \right) \frac{T^2}{|\Phi|} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \left((1 + \eta \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i|)T + \eta^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{|\alpha_i|}{1 + p_i} \right) \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{|\beta_j| \eta^3}{|\Phi|(r_j^2 + r_j + 1)} \\
& + \left(\eta^2 \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{|\beta_j|}{1 + r_j} + \eta \sum_{j=1}^m |\beta_j| \right) \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{|\alpha_i| \eta^3}{|\Phi|(p_i^2 + p_i + 1)} \Big] \\
& \leq (Lr + M)\Omega \leq r.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $FB_r \subset B_r$. Next, we will show that F is contraction. In the following, from (H_1) for any $u, v \in \mathcal{C}$ and for each $t \in [0, T]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|Fu - Fv\| &= \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |(Fu)(t) - (Fv)(t)| \\
&= \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left| \int_0^t (t - qs)(f(s, u(s)) - f(s, v(s)))d_qs \right. \\
&\quad + \left[(1 - \eta \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i)t + \eta^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha_i}{1 + p_i} \right] \\
&\quad \times \int_0^T \frac{(T - qs)}{\Phi} (f(s, u(s)) - f(s, v(s)))d_qs \\
&\quad + \left[(1 - \eta \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i)t + \eta^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha_i}{1 + p_i} \right] \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\beta_j}{\Phi} \\
&\quad \times \int_0^\eta \int_0^s (s - qv)(f(v, u(v)) - f(v, v(v)))d_qv d_{r_j}s \\
&\quad + \left[(1 - \eta \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j)t - T + \eta^2 \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\beta_j}{1 + r_j} \right] \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha_i}{\Phi} \\
&\quad \times \int_0^\eta \int_0^s (s - qv)(f(v, u(v)) - f(v, v(v)))d_qv d_{p_i}s \Big| \\
&\leq L\|u - v\| \left[T^2 + \left((1 + \eta \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i|)T + \eta^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{|\alpha_i|}{1 + p_i} \right) \frac{T^2}{|\Phi|} \right. \\
&\quad + \left((1 + \eta \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i|)T + \eta^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{|\alpha_i|}{1 + p_i} \right) \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{|\beta_j| \eta^3}{|\Phi|(r_j^2 + r_j + 1)} \\
&\quad \left. + \left(\eta^2 \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{|\beta_j|}{1 + r_j} + \eta \sum_{j=1}^m |\beta_j| \right) \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{|\alpha_i| \eta^3}{|\Phi|(p_i^2 + p_i + 1)} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$= L\Omega\|u - v\| \leq \Lambda\|u - v\|.$$

From (H_2) , we have that F is a contraction. Hence, by the Banach's fixed point theorem, we get that F has a fixed point which is a unique solution of the problem (1)-(2). This completes the proof.

Now, we state a result due to Krasnoselskii [33] which is needed to prove the existence of at least one solution of the problem (1)-(2).

Theorem 3. *Let K be a bounded closed convex and nonempty subset of a Banach space X . Let A, B be the operators such that:*

- (i) $Ax + By \in K$ whenever $x, y \in K$,
- (ii) A is compact and continuous,
- (iii) B is a contraction mapping.

Then there exists $z \in K$ such that $z = Az + Bz$.

Our second result is based on the following Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem.

Theorem 4. *Assume that (H_1) and (H_2) hold with*

$$|f(t, u)| \leq \mu(t) \tag{17}$$

for all $(t, u) \in [0, T] \times R$, where $\mu \in L^1([0, T], R^+)$. Then the boundary value problem (1)-(2) has at least one solution on $[0, T]$.

Proof. Setting $\max_{t \in [0, T]} |\mu(t)| = \|\mu\|$, and choosing a constant r such that

$$r \geq \|\mu\|\Omega, \tag{18}$$

where Ω is given by (15). Consider $B_r = \{u \in \mathcal{C} : \|u\| \leq r\}$. In view of Lemma 2.1 we define the operators G_1 and G_2 as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (G_1u)(t) &= \int_0^t (t - qs)f(s, u(s))d_qs, \\ (G_2u)(t) &= \left[(1 - \eta \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i)t + \eta^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha_i}{1 + p_i} \right] \int_0^T \frac{(T - qs)}{\Phi} f(s, u(s))d_qs \\ &\quad - \left[(1 - \eta \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i)t + \eta^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha_i}{1 + p_i} \right] \\ &\quad \times \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\beta_j}{\Phi} \int_0^\eta \int_0^s (s - qv)f(v, u(v))d_qv d_{r_j}s \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ \left[(1 - \eta \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j)t - T + \eta^2 \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\beta_j}{1 + r_j} \right] \\
 &\times \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha_i}{\Phi} \int_0^\eta \int_0^s (s - q\nu) f(\nu, u(\nu)) d_q \nu d_{p_i} s.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now we shall show that $G_1 + G_2$ has a fixed point in B_r . For $u, v \in B_r$, we have by computing directly, that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|G_1 u + G_2 v\| &\leq \|u\| \int_0^t (t - qs) d_q s \\
 &+ \left| (1 - \eta \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i)t + \eta^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha_i}{1 + p_i} \right| \frac{\|u\|}{|\Phi|} \int_0^T (T - qs) d_q s \\
 &+ \left| (1 - \eta \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i)t + \eta^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha_i}{1 + p_i} \right| \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{|\beta_j|}{|\Phi|} \\
 &\times \|u\| \int_0^\eta \int_0^s (s - q\nu) d_q \nu d_{r_j} s \\
 &+ \left| (1 - \eta \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j)t - T + \eta^2 \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\beta_j}{1 + r_j} \right| \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{|\alpha_i|}{|\Phi|} \\
 &\times \|u\| \int_0^\eta \int_0^s (s - q\nu) d_q \nu d_{p_i} s \\
 &\leq \|u\| \left[T^2 + \left((1 + \eta \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i|)T + \eta^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{|\alpha_i|}{1 + p_i} \right) \frac{T^2}{|\Phi|} \right. \\
 &+ \left((1 + \eta \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i|)T + \eta^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{|\alpha_i|}{1 + p_i} \right) \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{|\beta_j| \eta^3}{|\Phi| (r_j^2 + r_j + 1)} \\
 &+ \left. \left(\eta^2 \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{|\beta_j|}{1 + r_j} + \eta \sum_{j=1}^m |\beta_j| \right) \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{|\alpha_i| \eta^3}{|\Phi| (p_i^2 + p_i + 1)} \right] \\
 &\leq \|u\| \Omega \leq r.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $G_1 u + G_2 v \in B_r$. From assumption (H_1) and (H_2) for $u, v \in \mathcal{C}$, we get that

$$\|G_2 u - G_2 v\| \leq \Lambda \|u - v\|_{\mathcal{C}}.$$

Hence, G_2 is a contraction mapping. Next, we shall show that G_1 is compact and continuous. The continuity of f implies that the operator G_1 is continuous.

By using condition (17), we have that G_1 is uniformly bounded on B_r since

$$\|G_1u\| \leq \|\mu\|T^2. \tag{19}$$

Furthermore, in view of (H_1) , we define $\sup_{(t,u) \in [0,T] \times B_r} |f(t,u)| = f_{\max} < \infty$, and consequently we get that

$$\begin{aligned} & |(G_1u)(t_2) - (G_1v)(t_1)| \\ & \leq \sup_{(t,u) \in [0,T] \times B_r} \left| \int_0^{t_2} (t_2 - qs)f(s, u(s))d_qs - \int_0^{t_1} (t_1 - qs)f(s, u(s))d_qs \right| \\ & \leq \int_0^{t_1} (t_2 - t_1)|f(s, u(s))|d_qs + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (t_2 - qs)|f(s, u(s))|d_qs \\ & \leq f_{\max} \left(\int_0^{t_1} (t_2 - t_1)d_qs + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} |t_2 - qs|d_qs \right). \end{aligned}$$

Actually, as $t_2 \rightarrow t_1$, the right-hand side of the above inequality, which is independent of u , tends to zero. Therefore, G_1 is equicontinuous. Since G_1 is uniformly bounded and equicontinuous on B_r , we get that G_1 is relatively compact on B_r . Hence, by the Arzelá-Ascoli Theorem, G_1 is compact on B_r . Thus, all the assumptions of Theorem 3 are satisfied. By Theorem 4, we have that the boundary value problem (1)-(2) has at least one solution on $[0, T]$. This completes the proof.

Next, we prove the existence of solutions for the problem (1)-(2) by using Leray-Schauder degree theory.

Theorem 5. *Let $f : [0, T] \times R \rightarrow R$. Suppose that there exist constants $0 \leq \kappa < \Omega^{-1}$, where Ω is given by (15) and $M > 0$ such that $|f(t, u)| \leq \kappa|u| + M$ for all $t \in [0, T], u \in R$. Then the boundary value problem (1)-(2) has at least one solution on $[0, T]$.*

Proof. We define an operator $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ as in (14). In view of the fixed point problem

$$u = Fu. \tag{20}$$

We are going to prove the existence of at least one solution $u \in C[0, T]$ satisfying (20). Set a ball $B_R \subset C[0, T]$, as

$$B_R = \{u \in \mathcal{C} : \max_{t \in [0,T]} |u(t)| < R\},$$

where a constant radius $R > 0$. Hence, we will show that $F : \overline{B}_R \rightarrow C[0, T]$ satisfies a condition

$$u \neq \lambda Fu, \quad \forall u \in \partial B_R, \quad \forall \lambda \in [0, 1]. \tag{21}$$

We set

$$H(\lambda, u) = \lambda Fu, \quad u \in \mathcal{C}, \quad \lambda \in [0, 1].$$

As shown in Theorem 2 and 4, we have that the operator F that is continuous, uniformly bounded and equicontinuous. Then, by the Arzelá-Ascoli theorem, a continuous map h_λ defined by $h_\lambda(u) = u - H(\lambda, u) = u - \lambda Fu$ is completely continuous. If (21) holds, then the following Leray-Schauder degrees are well defined and by the homotopy invariance of topological degree, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(h_\lambda, B_R, 0) &= \deg(I - \lambda F, B_R, 0) = \deg(h, b_R, 0) \\ &= \deg(h_0, B_R, 0) = \deg(I, B_R, 0) = 1 \neq 0, \quad 0 \in B_R, \end{aligned}$$

where I denotes the unit operator. By the nonzero property of Leray-Schauder degree, $h_1(u) = u - Fu = 0$ for at least one $u \in B_R$. Let us assume that $u = \lambda Fu$ for some $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ and for all $t \in [0, T]$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} |u(t)| &= |\lambda(Fu)(t)| \\ &\leq \int_0^t (t - qs) |f(s, u(s))| d_qs \\ &\quad + \left| (1 - \eta \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i)t + \eta^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha_i}{1 + p_i} \right| \int_0^T \frac{(T - qs)}{|\Phi|} |f(s, u(s))| d_qs \\ &\quad + \left| (1 - \eta \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i)t + \eta^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha_i}{1 + p_i} \right| \\ &\quad \times \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{|\beta_j|}{|\Phi|} \int_0^\eta \int_0^s (s - qv) |f(v, u(v))| d_qv d_{r_j}s \\ &\quad + \left| (1 - \eta \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j)t - T + \eta^2 \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\beta_j}{1 + r_j} \right| \\ &\quad \times \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{|\alpha_i|}{|\Phi|} \int_0^\eta \int_0^s (s - qv) |f(v, u(v))| d_qv d_{p_i}s \\ &\leq (\kappa|u| + M) \left[\int_0^t (t - qs) d_qs \right. \\ &\quad + \left| (1 - \eta \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i)t + \eta^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha_i}{1 + p_i} \right| \int_0^T \frac{(T - qs)}{|\Phi|} d_qs \\ &\quad \left. + \left| (1 - \eta \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i)t + \eta^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha_i}{1 + p_i} \right| \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \times \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{|\beta_j|}{|\Phi|} \int_0^\eta \int_0^s (s - q\nu) d_q \nu d_{r_j} s \\
 & + \left| \left(1 - \eta \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j \right) t - T + \eta^2 \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\beta_j}{1 + r_j} \right| \\
 & \times \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{|\alpha_i|}{|\Phi|} \int_0^\eta \int_0^s (s - q\nu) d_q \nu d_{p_i} s \\
 & \leq \frac{(\kappa|u| + M)}{1 + q} \left[T^2 + \left(\left(1 + \eta \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i| \right) T + \eta^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{|\alpha_i|}{1 + p_i} \right) \frac{T^2}{|\Phi|} \right. \\
 & + \left(\left(1 + \eta \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i| \right) T + \eta^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{|\alpha_i|}{1 + p_i} \right) \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{|\beta_j| \eta^3}{|\Phi| (r_j^2 + r_j + 1)} \\
 & \left. + \left(\eta^2 \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{|\beta_j|}{1 + r_j} + \eta \sum_{j=1}^m |\beta_j| \right) \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{|\alpha_i| \eta^3}{|\Phi| (p_i^2 + p_i + 1)} \right] \\
 & = (\kappa|u| + M)\Omega,
 \end{aligned}$$

which on taking norm $\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |u(t)| = \|u\|$ and solving for $\|u\|$, yields

$$\|u\| \leq \frac{M\Omega}{1 - \kappa\Omega}.$$

If $R = \frac{M\Omega}{1 - \kappa\Omega} + 1$, inequality (21) holds. This completes the proof.

4. Some Examples

Example 6. Consider the following three-point multi-term q -integral boundary value problem

$$D_{\frac{1}{2}}^2 u(t) = \frac{1}{(t + 4)^2} \cdot \frac{|u|}{|u| + 1}, \quad t \in [0, \sqrt{2}]. \tag{22}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 u(0) &= \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \int_0^{\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}} u(s) d_{\frac{1}{4}} s - \int_0^{\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}} u(s) d_{\frac{2}{3}} s, \\
 u(\sqrt{2}) &= -\sqrt{7} \int_0^{\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}} u(s) d_{\frac{1}{2}} s + \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \int_0^{\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}} u(s) d_{\frac{3}{4}} s - \frac{3}{2} \int_0^{\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}} u(s) d_{\frac{4}{5}} s.
 \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Set $q = 1/2$, $T = \sqrt{2}$, $\eta = \sqrt{2}/2$, $n = 2$, $\alpha_1 = \sqrt{3}/2$, $\alpha_2 = -1$, $p_1 = 1/4$, $p_2 = 2/3$, $m = 3$, $\beta_1 = -\sqrt{7}$, $\beta_2 = \sqrt{\pi}/2$, $\beta_3 = -3/2$, $r_1 = 1/2$, $r_2 = 3/4$, $r_3 = 4/5$, $f(t, u) = (1/(t + 4)^2)(|u|/(1 + |u|))$. Since $|f(t, u) - f(t, v)| \leq (1/16)|u - v|$, (H_1) is satisfied with $\eta^2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\beta_j}{1+r_j} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha_i(1-\eta \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j)}{1+p_i} \right) - T \approx -4.595866$,

$$\Phi = \left(1 - \eta \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \right) \left(\eta^2 \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\beta_j}{1+r_j} - T \right) - \eta^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha_i(1 - \eta \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j)}{1+p_i} \approx -2.845975,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega &= T^2 + \left((1 + \eta \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i|)T + \eta^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{|\alpha_i|}{1+p_i} \right) \frac{T^2}{|\Phi|} \\ &+ \left((1 + \eta \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i|)T + \eta^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{|\alpha_i|}{1+p_i} \right) \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{|\beta_j|\eta^3}{|\Phi|(r_j^2 + r_j + 1)} \\ &+ \left(\eta^2 \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{|\beta_j|}{1+r_j} + \eta \sum_{j=1}^m |\beta_j| \right) \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{|\alpha_i|\eta^3}{|\Phi|(p_i^2 + p_i + 1)} \\ &\approx 11.093692, \end{aligned}$$

$L = 1/16$. Hence $\Lambda := L\Omega \approx 0.693356 < 1$. Therefore, by Theorem 2, the boundary value problem (22)-(23) has a unique solution on $[0, 2]$.

Example 7. Consider the following three-point multi-term q -integral boundary value problem

$$D_{\frac{3}{2}}^2 u(t) = \frac{\sin(4\pi u)}{16\pi} + \frac{|u|}{|u| + 1}, \quad t \in [0, 1]. \tag{24}$$

$$\begin{aligned} u(0) &= \sqrt{3} \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} u(s) d_{\frac{1}{2}} s - \frac{7}{2} \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} u(s) d_{\frac{2}{3}} s - 5 \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} u(s) d_{\frac{3}{4}} s \\ u(1) &= \frac{1}{4} \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} u(s) d_{\frac{1}{4}} s - \frac{1}{5} \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} u(s) d_{\frac{1}{3}} s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} u(s) d_{\frac{1}{5}} s. \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Set $q = 2/3$, $T = 1$, $\eta = 1/2$, $n = 3$, $\alpha_1 = \sqrt{3}$, $\alpha_2 = -7/2$, $\alpha_3 = -5$, $p_1 = 1/2$, $p_2 = 2/3$, $p_3 = 3/4$, $m = 3$, $\beta_1 = -1/5$, $\beta_2 = 1/4$, $\beta_3 = -1/2$, $r_1 = 1/3$, $r_2 = 1/4$, $r_3 = 1/5$. Here, $|f(t, u)| = |\sin(4\pi u)/16\pi + |u|/(1 + |u|)| \leq (|u|/4) + 1$. So, $M = 1$, $\eta^2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\beta_j}{1+r_j} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha_i(1-\eta \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j)}{1+p_i} \right) - T \approx 0.072831$, and

$$\Phi = \left(1 - \eta \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \right) \left(\eta^2 \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\beta_j}{1+r_j} - T \right) - \eta^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha_i(1 - \eta \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j)}{1+p_i}$$

$$\approx -3.621341,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega &= T^2 + \left((1 + \eta \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i|)T + \eta^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{|\alpha_i|}{1 + p_i} \right) \frac{T^2}{|\Phi|} \\ &+ \left((1 + \eta \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i|)T + \eta^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{|\alpha_i|}{1 + p_i} \right) \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{|\beta_j| \eta^3}{|\Phi|(r_j^2 + r_j + 1)} \\ &+ \left(\eta^2 \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{|\beta_j|}{1 + r_j} + \eta \sum_{j=1}^m |\beta_j| \right) \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{|\alpha_i| \eta^3}{|\Phi|(p_i^2 + p_i + 1)} \\ &\approx 3.970666, \\ \kappa &= \frac{1}{4} < \frac{1}{\Omega} \approx 0.251847. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Theorem 5, the boundary value problem (24)-(25) has at least one solution on $[0, 1]$.

Acknowledgments

This research was funded by Faculty of Applied Science, King Mongkut's University of Technology North Bangkok, Thailand. Contract No. 5642108.

References

- [1] F.H. Jackson, On q -difference equations, *American J. Math.*, **32**, no. 4 (1910), 305-314.
- [2] R.D. Carmichael, The general theory of linear q -difference equations, *American J. Math.*, **34**, no. 2 (1912), 147-168.
- [3] T.E. Mason, On properties of the solutions of linear q -difference equations with entire function coefficients, *American J. Math.*, **37**, no. 4 (1915), 439-444.
- [4] C.R. Adams, On the linear ordinary q -difference equation, *American Math. Ser. II*, **30** (1929), 195-205.
- [5] W.J. Trjitzinsky, Analytic theory of linear q -difference equations, *Acta Mathematica*, **61**, no. 6 (1933), 1-38.

- [6] T. Ernst, *A new notation for q -calculus and a new q -Taylor formula*, U.U.D.M. Report, Department of Mathematics, Uppsala University, 1999.
- [7] R.J. Finkelstein, q -Field theory, *Lett. Math. Phys.*, **34**, no. 2 (1995), 169-176.
- [8] R.J. Finkelstein, q -deformation of the Lorentz group, *J. Math. Phys.*, **37**, no. 2 (1996), 953-964.
- [9] R. Floreanini, L. Vinet, Automorphisms of the q -oscillator algebra and basic orthogonal polynomials, *Phys. Lett. A*, **180**, no. 6 (1993), 393-401.
- [10] R. Floreanini, L. Vinet, Symmetries of the q -difference heat equation, *Lett. Math. Phys.*, **32**, no. 1 (1994), 37-44.
- [11] R. Floreanini, L. Vinet, q -gamma and q -beta functions in quantum algebra representation theory, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, **68**, no.1-2 (1996), 57-68.
- [12] P.G.O. Freund, A.V. Zabrodin, The spectral problem for the q -Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equation and continuous q -Jacobi polynomials, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **173**, no. 1 (1995), 17-42.
- [13] G. Gasper, M. Rahman, *Basic Hypergeometric Series*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1990.
- [14] G.N. Han, J. Zeng, On a q -sequence that generalizes the median Genocchi numbers, *Ann. Sci. Math. Quebec*, **23**, no. 1 (1999), 63-72.
- [15] V. Kac, P. Cheung, *Quantum Calculus*, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 2002.
- [16] G. Bangerezako, Variational q -calculus, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **289**, no. 2 (2004), 650-665.
- [17] G. Bangerezako, Variational calculus on q -nonuniform lattices, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **306** (2005), 161-179.
- [18] M. Bohner, M. Unal, Kneser theorem in quantum calculus, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, **38** (2005), 6729-6739.
- [19] M. Bohner, G.Sh. Guseinov, The h -Laplace and q -Laplace transforms, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **365** (2010), 75-92.

- [20] A. Dobrogowska, A. Odziejewicz, Second order q -difference equations solvable by factorization method, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, **193**, no. 1 (2006), 319-346.
- [21] G. Gasper, M. Rahman, Some systems of multivariable orthogonal q -Racah polynomials, *Ramanujan J.*, **13**, no. 1-3 (2007), 389-405.
- [22] M.E.H. Ismail, P. Simeonov, q -difference operators for orthogonal polynomials, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, **233**, no. 3 (2009), 749-761.
- [23] M. El-Shahed, H.A. Hassan, Positive solutions of q -difference equation, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **138**, no. 5 (2010), 1733-1738.
- [24] T. Ernst, *A Comprehensive Treatment of q -Calculus*, Birkhäuser, New York, 2012.
- [25] G.C. Wu, Variational iteration method for q -difference equations of second order, *J. Appl. Math.*, **2012**, ID 102850 (2012), 5 pages.
- [26] J. Zhang, G. Wang, J. Chen, R. Zhao, Some results on q -difference equations, *Adv. Diff. Equ.*, **2012**, article 191, 2012
- [27] B. Ahmad, S.K. Ntouyas, I.K. Purnaras, Existence results for nonlinear q -difference equations with nonlocal boundary conditions, *Commun. Appl. Nonlinear Anal.*, **19** (2012), 59-72.
- [28] B. Ahmad, J.J. Nieto, On nonlocal boundary value problems of nonlinear q -difference equations, *Adv. Diff. Equ.*, **2012**, article 81, 2012.
- [29] B. Ahmad, S.K. Ntouyas, Boundary value problems for q -difference inclusions, *Abstract Appl. Anal.*, **2011**, ID 292860 (2011), 15 pages.
- [30] B. Ahmad, Boundary-value problems for nonlinear third-order q -difference equations, *Electron. J. Diff. Equ.*, **94** (2011), 1-7.
- [31] B. Ahmad, A. Alsaedi, S.K. Ntouyas, A study of second-order q -difference equations with boundary conditions, *Adv. Diff. Equ.*, **2012**, article 35, 2012.
- [32] N. Pongarm, S. Asawasamrit, J. Tariboon, Sequential derivatives of nonlinear q -difference equations with three-point q -integral boundary conditions, *J. Appl. Math.*, **2013**, ID 605169 (2013), 9 pages.

- [33] M.A. Krasnoselskii, Two remarks on the method of successive approximations, *Uspekhi Mate. Nauk.*, **10** (1955), 123-127.