

ON POINT SPECTRUM OF SUBSPACE-HYPERCYCLIC OPERATORS

Mansooreh Moosapoor

Farhangian University

Postcode 4166616711, Rasht, IRAN

Abstract: It is well known that if T is a hypercyclic operator, then $\sigma_p(T^*) = \phi$. We prove in this paper that this is not true for subspace-hypercyclic operators. We show that if T is subspace-hypercyclic, then $\sigma_p(T^*)$ may be empty or not. Moreover we show that for every scalar λ with $|\lambda| > 1$, there exists a subspace-hypercyclic operator T such that $\|T\| = |\lambda|$ and $\sigma_p(T^*) \neq \phi$.

AMS Subject Classification: 47A16, 47B37, 37B99

Key Words: subspace-hypercyclic operators, point spectrum

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Let X be a Banach space. An operator T on X is hypercyclic if there exists a vector $x \in X$ whose orbit under T , $orb(T, x) = \{x, Tx, T^2x, \dots\}$, is dense in X . Such a vector x is called a hypercyclic vector for T .

By Ansari's theorem in [1], hypercyclic operators exist on every separable and infinite dimensional Frechet space. Hypercyclic operators have been actively studied for more than twenty years. One can refer to [2-5] for more information.

Recently, B. F. Madore and R. A. Martinez-Avendano in [8] introduced the concept of subspace-hypercyclicity for an operator. We recall some preliminaries from [8] in this paper.

In all of paper H always denotes a separable Hilbert space over C , the field of complex numbers and M is always a non-zero topologically closed subspace of H . $B(H)$ is the space of bounded linear operators acting on H and we say its elements, operators.

Let us recall some definitions and theorems from [8].

Definition 1.1. Let $T \in B(H)$ and M be a closed subspace of H . We say T is M -hypercyclic, if there exists $x \in H$ such that $orb(T, x) \cap M$ is dense in M . Such a vector x is called a M -hypercyclic vector for T .

Definition 1.2. Let $T \in B(H)$ and M be a closed subspace of H . We say T is M -transitive, if for any non-empty open sets $U, V \subseteq M$, both relatively open, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $U \cap T^{-n}(V)$ contains a relatively open non-empty subset of M .

Theorem 1.3. Let $T \in B(H)$. The following conditions are equivalent:

(i) T is subspace-transitive with respect to M .

(ii) for any non-empty sets $U \subseteq M, V \subseteq M$ both relatively open, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $T^{-n}(U) \cap V$ is a relatively open non-empty subset of M .

(iii) for any non-empty sets $U \subseteq M, V \subseteq M$ both relatively open, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $T^{-n}(U) \cap V$ is non-empty and $T^n(M) \subseteq M$.

Lemma 1.4. Let $T \in B(H)$ and M be a closed subspace of H . If T is M -transitive, then $HC(T, M) = (\bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} T^{-n}(B_j))$ is a dense subset of M , where $HC(T, M)$ is the set of M -hypercyclic vectors for T and $\{B_j\}$ is a countable open basis for the relative topology of M as a subspace of H .

An immediate corollary of Lemma 1.4 is that subspace-transitive operators are subspace-hypercyclic.

Theorem 1.5. Let $T \in B(H)$ and M be a nonzero subspace of H . If T is subspace-hypercyclic for M , then T is subspace-transitive for M .

The following theorem shows that subspace-hypercyclicity, like hypercyclicity, is a purely infinite dimensional concept.

Theorem 1.6. Let H be finite-dimensional. If $T \in B(H)$, then T is not subspace-hypercyclic for any M .

Theorem 1.7. Let $T \in B(H)$. If T is subspace-hypercyclic for M , then M is not finite dimensional.

Theorem 1.8. *Let $T \in B(H)$. If T is subspace-hypercyclic for some subspace, then $\sigma(T) \cap S^1 \neq \phi$.*

By Theorem 1.8, if an operator is subspace-hypercyclic, then its spectral radius must be greater or equal to 1.

2. Main Results

If $T \in B(H)$ is a hypercyclic operator, then the point spectrum of T^* , is empty by [6]. That means we have:

$$\sigma_p(T^*) = \{ \lambda \in C : T - \lambda I \text{ is not one to one} \} = \phi.$$

However this is not true for subspace-hypercyclic operators as the following example shows.

Example 2.1. Let $A \in B(H)$ be a hypercyclic operator and x be a hypercyclic vector for A and let $T = A \oplus \lambda_1 I \oplus \lambda_2 I \oplus \dots \oplus \lambda_n I$, where $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ are complex numbers and I is the identity operator on H . Then it is clear that T is a subspace-hypercyclic operator with respect to $M := H \oplus \{0\} \oplus \dots \oplus \{0\}$ and $x \oplus \{0\} \oplus \dots \oplus \{0\}$ is a M -hypercyclic vector for it. Also it is clear that $\{ \bar{\lambda}_1, \bar{\lambda}_2, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_n \} \subseteq \sigma_p(T^*)$.

Furthermore for every bounded sequence of scalars, we can construct an operator T such that $\sigma_p(T^*)$ contains that sequence.

Corollary 2.2. *For every bounded sequence $\{ \lambda_n \}$ of scalars, we can find a subspace-hypercyclic operator T such that $\{ \lambda_n \} \subseteq \sigma_p(T^*)$.*

Proof. It is sufficient to consider $T = A \oplus \bar{\lambda}_1 I \oplus \bar{\lambda}_2 I \oplus \dots \oplus \bar{\lambda}_n I \oplus \dots$, where $A \in B(H)$ is hypercyclic. □

Remark 2.3. In [8], it is proved that if $T \in B(H)$ is subspace-hypercyclic for M , then $ker(T^* - \lambda)^p \subseteq M^\perp$ for all $\lambda \in C$ and all $p \in N$. By Example 2.1, we can say that in some cases $ker(T^* - \lambda)^p$ is also non-empty.

Let B be the backward shift on l^2 . So for every $(x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots) \in l^2$,

$$B(x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots) = (x_1, x_2, \dots).$$

Rolweicz showed in [10] that for every scalar λ with $|\lambda| > 1$, λB is hypercyclic. We use this fact in the proof of next theorem.

Theorem 2.4. *For every scalar $\lambda \in C$ with $|\lambda| > 1$, there exists a subspace-hypercyclic operator T such that $\|T\| = |\lambda|$ and $\sigma_p(T^*) \neq \phi$.*

Proof. Let $A = \lambda B$, where B is the backward shift on l^2 and $|\lambda| > 1$. Let λ_1 be a complex number such that $|\lambda_1| \leq |\lambda|$. Then $T = A \oplus \lambda_1 I \in B(l^2 \oplus l^2)$ is a subspace-hypercyclic operator with respect to $M = l^2 \oplus \{0\}$. Moreover

$$\|T\| = \max\{|\lambda|, |\lambda_1|\} = |\lambda|.$$

Like Example 2.1, $\sigma_p(T^*) \neq \phi$ and similar to Example 2.2 in [8], T is not hypercyclic.

Clearly T is not hypercyclic, since $\sigma_p(T^*) \neq \phi$. □

Theorem 2.5. *For every scalar α with $|\alpha| > 1$, there exists a subspace-hypercyclic operator T such that, T is not hypercyclic, $\rho(T) = |\alpha|$ and $\sigma_p(T^*) \neq \phi$.*

Proof. Let $T = \alpha B \oplus \lambda I$ where B is the backward shift on l^2 and $1 < |\lambda| < |\alpha|$. As we know T is subspace-hypercyclic operator but not hypercyclic. Also we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\alpha B \oplus \lambda I) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(\alpha B \oplus \lambda I)^n\|^{\frac{1}{n}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(\alpha^n B^n \oplus \lambda^n I^n)\|^{\frac{1}{n}} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\max\{|\alpha^n|, |\lambda^n|\})^{\frac{1}{n}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (|\alpha|^n)^{\frac{1}{n}} = |\alpha|. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2.6. (see [9]) *Let $T \in B(H)$ and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ be distinct non-zero eigenvalues of T , and let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n be corresponding non-zero eigenvectors. Then the vectors $x_i (i \in N)$ are linearly independent.*

Theorem 2.7. *Let T be a subspace-hypercyclic operator of the form $T = A \oplus \lambda_1 I \oplus \lambda_2 I \oplus \dots \oplus \lambda_{n-1} I \in B(H \oplus H \oplus \dots \oplus H)$, where $A \in B(H)$ is hypercyclic, λ_i 's ($1 \leq i \leq n - 1$) are scalars and I is the identity operator on H . Then T^* has an invariant and non-trivial subspace of $H \oplus H \oplus \dots \oplus H$.*

Proof. First we note that $\{\bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_{n-1}\} \subseteq \sigma_p(T^*)$. Let x_i be corresponding non-zero eigenvector for $\bar{\lambda}_i$ where $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$.

If we consider $M := \text{span}\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\}$, then M is closed and invariant under T^* . Clearly $M \neq H \oplus H \oplus \dots \oplus H$, since M is finite dimensional. □

Remark 2.8. Note that if we replace all $\lambda_i I$'s ($1 \leq i \leq n - 2$) with hypercyclic operators on H , the theorem remains true.

In the following example, we show that the point spectrum of the adjoint of a subspace-hypercyclic operator may be empty.

Example 2.9. Let $A, B \in B(H)$ be hypercyclic operators. Then $T = A \oplus B$ is a subspace-hypercyclic operator with respect to $M := H \oplus \{0\}$.

Let $\lambda \in \sigma_p(T^*)$ and $x \oplus y$ be an eigenvector correspondence to λ and without loss of generality we can assume that x and y are non-zero. So

$$T^*(x \oplus y) = (A^*x \oplus B^*y) = \lambda(x \oplus y).$$

Hence $A^*x = \lambda x$ and $B^*y = \lambda y$. That means $\sigma_p(A^*) \neq \emptyset$ and $\sigma_p(B^*) \neq \emptyset$. But this is a contradiction. So $\sigma_p(T^*)$ must be empty.

From the above example, one can obtain subspace-hypercyclic operators with empty point spectrum.

For example let λ_1, λ_2 be two scalars such that $|\lambda_1| > 1$ and $|\lambda_2| > 1$, and let B be the backward shift on l^2 . Then if we consider $T = \lambda_1 B \oplus \lambda_2 B$, then it is clear that T is subspace-hypercyclic with respect to $M := l^2 \oplus \{0\}$. Note that the point spectrum of T^* is empty by Example 2.9, since $\lambda_1 B$ and $\lambda_2 B$ are hypercyclic operators on l^2 .

Now we can say the following lemma:

Lemma 2.10. *There is a subspace-hypercyclic operator T such that, $\sigma_p(T^*)$ is empty.*

In fact we can find many of these operators by Example 2.9.

Theorem 2.11. *If $T \in B(H)$ is a subspace-hypercyclic operator, then $\sigma_p(T^*)$ may be empty or not.*

Proof. The proof is clear by Theorem 2.4 and Lemma 2.10. □

By Theorem 1.5, subspace-transitive operators are subspace-hypercyclic. So we have the following corollary:

Corollary 2.12. *If $T \in B(H)$ is a subspace-transitive operator, then $\sigma_p(T^*)$ may be empty or not.*

References

- [1] Shamim I. Ansari, Existence of hypercyclic operators on topological vector spaces, *J. Func. Anal.*, **148** (1997), 384-390, doi: 10.1006/jfan.1996.3093.
- [2] F. Bayart, E. Matheron, *Dynamics of linear operators*, Cambridge University Press (2009).

- [3] G. Godefroy, J. H. Shapiro, Operators with dense, invariant, cyclic vector manifolds, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **98** (1991), 229-269, **doi:** 10.1016/0022-1236(91)90078-J.
- [4] K.-G. Grosse-Erdmann, A. Peris Manguillot, *Linear chaos*, Springer (2011).
- [5] K.-G. Grosse-Erdmann, Universal families and hypercyclic operators, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, **36** (1999), 345-381.
- [6] Domingo A. Herrero, Limits of hypercyclic and supercyclic operators, *J. Func. Anal.*, **99** (1991), 179-190, **doi:** 10.1016/0022-1236(91)90058-D.
- [7] C.M. Le, On subspace-hypercyclic operators, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **B9** (2011), 2847-2852, **doi:** 10.1090/S0002-9939-2011-10754-8.
- [8] B.F. Madore, R.A. Martínez-Avendaño, Subspace hypercyclicity, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **373** (2011), 502-511, **doi:** 10.1016/j.jmaa.2010.07.049.
- [9] V. Muller, *Spectral theory of linear operators*, Birkhauser Verlag AG (2007).
- [10] S. Rolewicz, On orbits of elements, *Studia Math.*, **33** (1969), 17-22.