

ON A DIFFERENCE SYSTEM
FOR SOME MEJER'S FUNCTIONS

L.A. Gutnik

Higher School of Economics
National Research University
Moscow, 10100, RUSSIAN FEDERATION

Abstract: We deduce a difference system and prove some of its properties, on base of which we found (see References) new continued fraction for Zeta(3).

AMS Subject Classification: 39Axx, 11B37, 33Cxx

Key Words: difference system, Mejer's functions

1. Foreword

Let

$$|z| > 1, \quad -3\pi/2 < \arg(z) \leq \pi/2, \quad \log(z) = \ln(|z|) + i \arg(z). \quad (1.1)$$

Then $\log(-z) = \log(z) - i\pi$, if $\Re(z) > 0$ and $\log(z) = \log(-z) - i\pi$, if $\Re(z) < 0$.

Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0$, Let

$$\mu = \mu_\alpha(\nu) = (\nu + \alpha)(\nu + 1), \quad \tau = \tau_\alpha(\nu) = \nu + (1 + \alpha)/2, \quad (1.2)$$

$$R(\alpha, t, \nu) = \left(\prod_{j=1}^{\nu} (t - j) \right) / \prod_{j=0}^{\nu+\alpha} (t + j), \quad (1.3)$$

$$f_{\alpha,1}(z, \nu) := (\nu! / (\nu + \alpha)!)^2 \sum_{k=0}^{\nu+\alpha} (z)^k \binom{\nu + \alpha}{k}^2 \binom{\nu + k}{\nu}^2, \quad (1.4)$$

$$f_{\alpha,0,2}(z, \nu) = \sum_{t=1}^{+\infty} z^{-t} (R(\alpha, t, \nu))^2, \quad (1.5)$$

$$f_{\alpha,0,4}(z, \nu) = - \sum_{t=1}^{+\infty} z^{-t} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (R^2) \right) (\alpha, t, \nu), \tag{1.6}$$

$$f_{\alpha,0,3}(z, \nu) = (\log(z)) f_{\alpha,0,2}(z, \nu) + f_{\alpha,0,4}(z, \nu), \tag{1.7}$$

$$f_{\alpha,0,k}^*(z, \nu) = ((\nu + \alpha)!/\nu!)^2 f_{\alpha,0,k}^*(z, \nu) \tag{1.8}$$

where $k = 1, 2, 3, 4, \nu \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Let

$$X_{\alpha,0,k}(z; \nu) = \begin{pmatrix} f_{\alpha,0,k}(z, \nu) \\ \delta f_{\alpha,0,k}(z, \nu) \\ \delta^2 f_{\alpha,0,k}(z, \nu) \\ \delta^3 f_{\alpha,0,k}(z, \nu) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$X_{\alpha,0,k}^*(z; \nu) = \frac{((\nu + \alpha)!)^2}{(\nu!)^2} X_{\alpha,0,k}(z; \nu), \tag{1.9}$$

for $k = 1, 2, 3, |z| > 1, \nu \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Let further

$$X_{\alpha,0,k}(z; -\nu - 1 - \alpha) = X_{\alpha,0,k}(z; \nu), \tag{1.10}$$

where $\nu \in \mathbb{N}_0$. The following results are obtained in [11] – [13]

Theorem 1. *The column $X_{\alpha,0,k}(z; \nu)$ satisfies to the equation*

$$\nu^5 X_{\alpha,0,k}(z; \nu - 1) = A_{\alpha,0}^*(z; \nu) X_{\alpha,0,k}(z; \nu), \tag{1.11}$$

for $\nu \in M_{\alpha}^* = (-\infty, -1 - \alpha] \cup [1, +\infty) \cap \mathbb{Z}, k = 1, 2, 3, |z| > 1$, and for some matrix $A_{\alpha,0}^*(z; \nu)$ with property:

$$-\nu^5 (\nu + \alpha)^5 E_4 = A_{\alpha,0}^*(z; -\nu - \alpha) A_{\alpha,0}^*(z; \nu), \tag{1.12}$$

where E_4 is the 4×4 unit matrix, $z \in \mathbb{C}, \nu \in \mathbb{C}$. Let us consider the row

$$\bar{R}_{\alpha,0}(\nu) = (r_{\alpha,0,1}(\nu), r_{\alpha,0,2}(\nu), r_{\alpha,0,3}(\nu), r_{\alpha,0,4}(\nu)), \tag{1.13}$$

where

$$r_{\alpha,0,1}(\nu) = \mu_{\alpha}(\nu)^2, \quad r_{\alpha,0,2}(\nu) = -2(1 - \alpha)\mu_{\alpha}(\nu), \tag{1.14}$$

$$r_{\alpha,0,3}(\nu) = (1 - \alpha)^2 - 2\mu_{\alpha}(\nu), \quad r_{\alpha,0,4}(0, \nu) = 2(1 - \alpha).$$

The following Theorem is proved in [13] (Lemma 11.3.1).

Theorem 2. *The row $\bar{R}_{\alpha,0}(\nu)$ has the following property:*

$$\bar{R}_{\alpha,0}(\nu - 1)A_{\alpha,0}^*(1; \nu) = \nu^5 R_{\alpha,0}(\nu), \text{ where } \nu \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{1.15}$$

We use Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 with $\alpha = 1$ in [16] – [17] where we obtain continued fraction for $\zeta(3)$ parametrized by a family of points on projective line. The calculation of the matrix $A_{\alpha,0}^*(1; \nu)$ and proofs of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 for arbitrary $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0$ are long and connected with heavy calculations. Therefore we decided to present calculations of the matrix $A_{\alpha,0}^*(z; \nu)$ and proofs of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 for $\alpha = 1$. We do this in Section 2 – Section 5.

**2. Some Relations for the Functions from
Section 1 in the Case $\alpha = 1$**

Let $M_\alpha = \mathbb{Z} \setminus (-1 - \alpha, 0) \cap \mathbb{Z}$. If $\alpha = 1$, then $M_\alpha = \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1\}$ and, in view of (1.2), (1.14),

$$\tau = \tau_1(\nu) = \nu + 1, \quad \mu = \mu_1(\nu) = (\nu + 1)^2 = \tau^2, \tag{2.1}$$

$$r_{1,0,1}(\nu) = \tau^4, \quad r_{1,0,2}(\nu) = r_{1,0,4}(\nu) = 0, \quad r_{1,0,3}(\nu) = -2\tau^2. \tag{2.2}$$

Lemma 2.1. *Let ν, α and w be variables,*

$$P(\alpha, w, \nu) = \nu^3(\nu + \alpha)^2 - 2\nu^2(\nu + \alpha)(2\nu + \alpha)w + \nu(2\nu + \alpha)(4\nu + 3\alpha)w^2 - 2(2\nu + \alpha)(3\nu + 2\alpha)w^3, \tag{2.3}$$

$$Q(\alpha, w, \nu) = (2\nu + \alpha)(\nu(8\nu + 5\alpha) + 2(3\nu + 2\alpha)w), \tag{2.4}$$

$$T^\wedge(\alpha, w, \nu) = (w + \nu)^2 P(\alpha, w, \nu) + w^4 Q(\alpha, w, \nu) - \nu^5 (w - \nu - \alpha)^2. \tag{2.5}$$

Then $T^\wedge(\alpha, w, \nu) = 0$.

Proof. In view of (2.3), (2.4) and definition in (2.5), if $T^\wedge(\alpha, w, \nu) \neq 0$, then $\deg_\alpha(T_0^\wedge(\alpha, w, \nu)) \leq 2$, and, consequently, it is sufficient to check the equality (2.5) for $\alpha = -2\nu, \alpha = -\nu$ and $\alpha = w - \nu$. If $\alpha = -2\nu$, then

$$P(\alpha, w, \nu) = \nu^5, \quad Q(\alpha, w, \nu) = 0, \quad (w - \nu - \alpha)^2 = (w + \nu)^2,$$

and (2.5) holds. If $\alpha = -\nu$, then

$$P(\alpha, w, \nu) = \nu^2 w^2 (\nu - 2w), \quad Q(\alpha, w, \nu) = \nu^2 (3\nu + 2w), \quad (w - \nu - \alpha)^2 = w^2,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (w + \nu)^2 \nu^2 w^2 (\nu - 2w) + w^4 \nu^2 (3\nu + 2w) = \\
& w^2 \nu^2 ((w + \nu)(\nu^2 - w\nu - 2w^2) + w^2(3\nu + 2w)) = \\
& w^2 \nu^2 (\nu(nu^2 - w^2) - 2w^2(w + \nu)) + w^2(3\nu + 2w) = w^2 \nu^5.
\end{aligned}$$

If $\alpha = w - \nu$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
& P(\alpha, w, \nu) = \\
& \nu^3 w^2 - 2\nu^2(\nu + w)w^2 + \nu(\nu + w)(\nu + 3w)w^2 - 2(\nu + w)(\nu + 2w)w^3 = \\
& \nu^3 w^2 + (\nu + w)(-2\nu^2 + \nu^2 + 3w\nu - 2w\nu - 4w^2)w^2 = \\
& \nu^3 w^2 + (\nu + w)(-\nu^2 + w\nu - 4w^2)w^2 = \\
& \nu^3 w^2 + \nu(w^2 - \nu^2)w^2 + (\nu + w)(-4w^2)w^2 = -(3w^2\nu + 4w^2)w^2 = -(3\nu + 4w)w^4, \\
& Q(\alpha, w, \nu) = (\nu + w)(3\nu^2 + 7w\nu + 4w^2) = (\nu + w)(\nu + w)(3\nu + 4w) = \\
& w^4(\nu + w)^2(3\nu + 4w), (w - \nu - \alpha)^2 = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

and (2.5) holds. \square

In view of (2.3), (2.3), we put $P(w, \nu) = P(1, w, \nu)$, $Q(w, \nu) = Q(1, w, \nu)$. So, the equality (2.5) take the form

$$(w + \nu)^2 P(w, \nu) + w^4 Q(w, \nu) - \nu^5 (w - \nu - 1)^2 = 0. \quad (2.6)$$

Let

$$D_1(z, \nu, w) = D_1^*(z, \tau, w) = z(w - \nu - 1)^2(w + \nu + 1)^2 - w^4 = z(w^2 - \tau^2)^2 - w^4,$$

and w is independent variable. Clearly,

$$D_1(z, \nu, w) = D_1^*(z, \tau, w) = D_1^*(z, -\tau, w) = D_1(z, -\nu - 2, w) \quad (2.7)$$

for $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$. In view of (2.2),

$$D_1(z, \nu, w) = D_1^*(z, \tau, w) = (z - 1)w^4 + z(r_{1,0,1}(\nu) + r_{1,0,3}(\nu)w^2). \quad (2.8)$$

In view of (1.4 - (1.9), (1.11), (1.13), let $A^*(z; \nu) = A_{1,0}^*(z; \nu)$,

$$f_k(z, \nu) = f_{1,0,k}(z, \nu), f_k^a st(z, \nu) = f_{1,0,k}^*(z, \nu), r_j(\nu) = r_{1,0,j}(\nu)$$

$$X_k(z, \nu) = X_{1,0,k}(z, \nu), X_k^*(z, \nu) = X_{1,0,k}^*(z, \nu), \bar{R}(\nu) = \bar{R}_{1,0}(\nu)$$

where $k = 1, 2, 3$, and $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$. According to the general properties of Mejer's functions (see [2], chapter 5),

$$D_1(z, \nu, \delta)y = 0, \tag{2.9}$$

for $y = f_k(z, \nu)$, where $\nu \in \mathbb{N}_0, k \in K_0 = \{1, 2, 3\}$,

$$(\delta + \nu + 1)^2 f_k(z, \nu) = (\delta - \nu - 2)^2 f_k(z, \nu + 1), \tag{2.10}$$

where $\nu \in \mathbb{N}_0, k \in K_0$. Therefore

$$(\delta + \nu)^2 f_k(z, \nu - 1) = (\delta - \nu - 1)^2 f_k(z, \nu), \tag{2.11}$$

$$(\delta + \tau - 1)^2 f_k(z, \nu - 1) = (\delta - \tau)^2 f_k(z, \nu), \tag{2.12}$$

where $\nu \in \mathbb{N}, k \in K_0$. Let

$$f_k(z, -\nu - 2) = f_k(z, \nu), \text{ where } \nu \in \mathbb{N}_0, k \in K_0 = \{1, 2, 3\}. \tag{2.13}$$

So, $f_k(z, \nu)$ is defined for $\nu \in M_1 = \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1\}$ Moreover, if $\nu \in (-\infty, -2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, then $\nu_1 = -\nu - 2 \in [0, +\infty) \cap \mathbb{Z}$, and, in view of (2.13),

$$f_k(z, \nu) = f_k(z, \nu_1) = f_k(z, -\nu - 2).$$

Therefore (2.13) holds for all the $\nu \in M_1$ Furthermore,

$$\delta^s f_k(z, -\nu - 2) = \delta^s f_k(z, \nu), \tag{2.14}$$

where $s \in \mathbb{N}_0, \nu \in M_1, k \in K_0$. In view of (2.7), (2.14), the equality (2.9) holds for $\nu \in M_1$. Moreover, if $\nu \in (-\infty, -2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, then, $\nu_1 = -\nu - 2 \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and $\nu_1 + 1 = -\nu - 1 \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, in view of (2.10), (2.14),

$$(\delta - \nu - 1)^2 f_k(z, \nu) = (\delta + \nu_1 + 1)^2 f_k(z, \nu_1) = \tag{2.15}$$

$$(\delta - \nu_1 - 2)^2 f_k(z, \nu_1 + 1) =$$

$$(\delta + \nu)^2 f_k(z, -\nu - 1) = (\delta + \nu)^2 f_{\alpha,0,k}^\vee(z, \nu - 1);$$

if $\nu \in (-\infty, -3] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, then $\nu + 1 \in (-\infty, -2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, and, in view (2.15)

$$(\delta - \nu - 2)^2 f_k(z, \nu + 1) = (\delta + \nu + 1)^2 f_k(z, \nu). \tag{2.16}$$

consequently, the equality (2.11) holds for $\nu \in M_1^* = \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1, 0\}$, and the equality (2.10) holds for $\nu \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-2, -1\}$.

In view of (2.11), (2.5), (2.15), (2.8), (2.9),

$$\begin{aligned} \nu^5(\delta + \nu)^2 f_k(z, \nu - 1) &= \nu^5(\delta - \nu - 1)^2 f_k(z, \nu) = & (2.17) \\ ((\delta + \nu)^2 P(\delta, \nu) + \delta^4 Q(\delta, \nu)) f_k(z, \nu) &= \\ ((\delta + \nu)^2 P(\delta, \nu) + Q(\delta, \nu) \delta^4) f_k(z, \nu) &= \\ ((\delta + \nu)^2 P_{\alpha,0}(\delta, \nu) + Q_{\alpha,0}(\delta, \nu) z (\delta + \nu + 1)^2 (\delta - \nu - 1)^2) f_{\alpha,0,k}^\vee(z, \nu), \end{aligned}$$

where $\nu \in M_1^*$. Clearly,

$$\begin{aligned} z(\delta + \nu + 1)^2 &= (\delta + \nu)^2 z, \quad Q(\delta, \nu)(\delta + \nu)^2 = & (2.18) \\ (\delta + \nu)^2 Q(\delta, \nu), \quad Q(\delta, \nu)z &= zQ(\delta + 1, \nu). \end{aligned}$$

(We consider left and right parts of (2.18) as operators, for example, z denotes there the operator of multiplication by z .) Therefore, in view of (2.17), (2.18)

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta + \nu)^2 \nu^5 f_k(z, \nu - 1) &= (\delta - \nu - 1)^2 \nu^5 f_k(z, \nu) = & (2.19) \\ ((\delta + \nu)^2 P(\delta, \nu) + Q(\delta, \nu)(\delta + \nu)^2 z (\delta - \nu - 1)^2) f_k(z, \nu) &= \\ ((\delta + \nu)^2 P(\delta, \nu) + (\delta + \nu)^2 Q(\delta, \nu) z (\delta - \nu - 1)^2) f_k(z, \nu) &= \\ ((\delta + \nu)^2 (P(\delta, \nu) + zQ(\delta + 1, \nu)(\delta - \nu - 1)^2)) f_k(z, \nu). \end{aligned}$$

where $\nu \in M_1^*$. If $\nu \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-2, -1\}$, then $-\nu - 3 \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-2, -1\} \subset M_1$, and, clearly, $\nu_1 = -\nu - 2 \in M_1^*$. Therefore, in view of (2.19), (2.14)

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta - \nu - 2)^2 (-\nu - 2)^5 f_k(z, \nu + 1) &= & (2.20) \\ (\delta - \nu - 2)^2 (-\nu - 2)^5 f_k(z, -\nu - 3) &= (\delta + \nu_1)^2 \nu_1^5 f_k(z, \nu_1 - 1) = \\ (\delta + \nu_1)^2 (P(\delta, \nu_1) + zQ(\delta + 1, \nu_1)(\delta - \nu_1 - 1)^2) f_k(z, \nu_1) &= \\ (\delta - \nu - 2)^2 \times & \\ (P(\delta, -\nu - 2) + zQ(\delta + 1, -\nu - 2)(\delta + \nu + 1)^2) f_k(z, -\nu - 2) &= \\ (\delta - \nu - 2)(P(\delta, -\nu - 2) + zQ(\delta + 1, -\nu - 2)(\delta + \nu + 1)^2) f_k(z, \nu). \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} W_k^\vee(z, \nu) &= \nu^5 f_k(z, \nu - 1) - & (2.21) \\ (P(\delta, \nu) + zQ(\delta + 1, \nu)(\delta - \nu - 1)^2) f_k(z, \nu), \end{aligned}$$

where $\nu \in M_1^* = \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1, 0\}$, $k \in K_0$, $|z| > 1$. Let further

$$W_k^\wedge(z, \nu) = (-\nu - 2)^5 f_k(z, \nu + 1) - \tag{2.22}$$

$$(P(\delta, -\nu - 1 - \alpha) + zQ(\delta + 1, -\nu - 1 - \alpha)(\delta + \nu + 1)^2))f_k(z, \nu),$$

where $\nu \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-2, -1\}$, $k \in K_0$.

In view of (2.14), (2.21), (2.22), if $\nu \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-2, -1\}$, $k \in K_0$, then

$$W_k^\vee(z, -\nu - 2) = (-\nu - 2)^5 f_k(z, -\nu - 3) - \tag{2.23}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (P(\delta, -\nu - 2) + zQ(\delta + 1, -\nu - 2)(\delta + \nu + 11)^2))f_k(z, -\nu - 2) = \\ & (-\nu - 2)^5 f_k(z, \nu + 1) - P(\delta, -\nu - 2)f_{\alpha,0,k}^\vee(z, \nu) - \\ & zQ(\delta + 1, -\nu - 2)(\delta + \nu + 1)^2 f_{\alpha,0,k}^\vee(z, \nu) = W_k^\wedge(z, \nu). \end{aligned}$$

and, if $\nu \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1, -0\}$, $k \in K_0$, then

$$W_k^\wedge(z, -\nu - 2) = \nu^5 f_k(z, -\nu - 1) - \tag{2.24}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (P(\delta, \nu) + zQ_{\alpha,0}(\delta + 1, \nu)(\delta - \nu - 1)^2))f_k(z, -\nu - 2) = \\ & \nu^5 f_{\alpha,0,k}^\vee(z, \nu - 1) - \end{aligned}$$

$$(P_{\alpha,0}(\delta, \nu) + zQ_{\alpha,0}(\delta + 1, \nu)(\delta - \nu - 1)^2))f_k(z, \nu) = W_k^\vee(z, \nu).$$

Clearly, (2.19) can be rewritten in the form

$$(\delta + \nu)^2 W_k^\vee(z, \nu) = 0, \tag{2.25}$$

where $\nu \in M_1^* = \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1, 0\}$, $k \in K_0$, $|z| > 1$, and (2.20) can be rewritten in the form

$$(\delta - \nu - 2)^2 W_k^\wedge(z, \nu) = 0, \tag{2.26}$$

where $\nu \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-2, -1\}$, $k \in K_0$, $|z| > 1$.

We want to prove the following equalities:

$$W_k^\vee(z, \nu) = 0, \text{ where } \nu \in M_1^*, k \in K_0, |z| > 1, \tag{2.27}$$

$$W_k^\wedge(z, \nu) = 0, \text{ where } \nu \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-2, -1\}, k \in K_0, |z| > 1, \tag{2.28}$$

In view of (1.4), (2.21), $W_1^\vee(z, \nu) \subset \mathbb{C}[z]$ for fixed $\nu \in M_1^*$. If $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$, then null-space of $(\delta + \nu)^2$ as linear operator on $\mathbb{C}[z]$ coincides with $\{0\}$. Consequently, the equality (2.27) holds for $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$, $k = 1$. If $\nu \in (-\infty, -3] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, then, clearly, we have $\nu_1 = -\nu - 2 \in \mathbb{N}$; hence, in view of (2.27) and (2.23), $W_1^\wedge(z, \nu) = 0$. So, (2.28) holds for $k = 1$, if $\nu \in (-\infty, -3] \cap \mathbb{Z}$. In view of (2.4),

$$Q(w, -\nu - 2) = -(2\nu + 3)((\nu + 2)(8\nu + 11) - (6\nu + 8)w), \tag{2.29}$$

$$Q(\delta + 1, -\nu - 2)z^{\nu+1} = -(\nu + 2)(2\nu + 3)^2 z^{\nu+1}. \tag{2.30}$$

If $\nu \in \mathbb{N}_0$, then in view of (1.4), (2.21), (2.30), $\deg_z W_k^\wedge(z, \nu) = \nu + 2$; the null-space of the operator $(\delta - \nu - 2)^2$ (as linear operator on $\mathbb{C}[z]$ coincides with $\mathbb{C}z^{\nu+2}$; therefore, in view of (2.30) to establish (2.28) in this case, we must check the equality

$$(-\nu - 2)^5 \frac{1}{(\nu + 2)^2} \binom{2\nu + 3}{\nu + 2}^2 = -\frac{1}{(\nu + 1)^2} \binom{2\nu + 1}{\nu + 1}^2 (2\nu + 2)^2 (2 + \nu)(2\nu + 3)^2,$$

which is equivalent to the equality

$$(\nu + 2)^3 \frac{(2\nu + 3)^2 (2\nu + 2)^2}{(\nu + 2)^2 (\nu + 1)^2} = \frac{1}{(\nu + 1)^2} (2\nu + 2)^2 (2 + \nu)(2\nu + 3)^2,$$

and the last equality, clearly, holds. So, (2.28) holds for $k = 1$.

If $\nu \in (-\infty, -2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, then $\nu_1 = -\nu - 2 \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and since the equality (2.28) holds for $k = 1$, it follows from (2.24) that $W_{\alpha,0,1}^\vee(z, \nu) = 0$. Consequently, the equality (2.27) holds for $k = 1$.

In view of (1.5), (2.22), $W_2^\wedge(z, \nu) \in \mathbb{C}[[z^{-1}]]$, where $\nu \in \mathbb{N}_0, |z| > 1$ and, as usually, $\mathbb{C}[[x]]$ denotes the linear space (and also ring) of all the formal power series over \mathbb{C} with variable x ; furthermore, it follows from (1.3) that, if $t \in [1, \nu] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, then $R(\alpha, t, \nu) = 0$; therefore $z^{\nu+1}W_2^\wedge(z, \nu) \in \mathbb{C}[[z^{-1}]]$, where $\nu \in \mathbb{N}_0, |z| > 1$.

If $\nu \in \mathbb{N}_0$, then null-space of the operator $(\delta - \nu - 2)^2$ (as operator on linear over \mathbb{C} space $\mathbb{C}[[z^{-1}]]$) coincides with $\{0\}$. Therefore, in view of (2.26), the equality (2.28) holds for $k = 2, \nu \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $|z| > 1$.

If $\nu \in (-\infty, -2) \cap \mathbb{Z}, |z| > 1$, then $\nu_1 = -\nu - 2 \in \mathbb{N}_0$; since (2.28) holds for $k = 2$, it follows from (2.24) that $W_2^\vee(z, \nu) = 0$.

So, the equality (2.27) holds for $k = 2, \nu \in (-\infty, -2] \cap \mathbb{Z}, |z| > 1$.

In view of (2.4),

$$Q(\delta + 1, \nu)z^{-\nu-1} = (2\nu + 1)(\nu(8\nu + 5) + 2(3\nu + 2)(-\nu))z^{-\nu-1} = \nu(2\nu + 1)^2 z^{-\nu-1}. \tag{2.31}$$

In view of (1.3),

$$(R(\nu + 1, \nu))^2 = \frac{(\nu!)^4}{((2\nu + 2)!)^2}, (R(\nu, \nu - 1))^2 = \frac{((\nu - 1)!)^4}{((2\nu)!)^2} \tag{2.32}$$

According to (2.21), (1.5) and (1.3), $z^\nu W_2^\vee(z, \nu) \in \mathbb{C}[[z^{-1}]]$, for $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ and for $|z| > 1$. Hence, in view of (2.21), (1.5), (2.31), (2.32), to establish (2.27) in this case, we must check the equality

$$z^{-\nu} \nu^5 (R(\nu, \nu - 1))^2 = z^{-\nu} (R(\nu + 1, \nu))^2 (2\nu + 2)^2 \nu (2\nu + 1)^2,$$

i.e. the equality $\nu^5 \frac{((\nu - 1)!)^4}{((2\nu)!)^2} = \frac{((\nu)!)^4}{((2\nu + 2)!)^2} (2\nu + 2)^2 \nu (2\nu + 1)^2,$

which, evidently, holds. So, the equality (2.27) holds for $k = 2$.

Therefore, if $\nu \in (-\infty, -3] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, then $\nu_1 = -\nu - 2 \in \mathbb{N}$; since (2.27) holds for $k = 2$, it follows from (2.23) that $W_2^\wedge(z, \nu) = 0$. So, the equality (2.28) holds for $k = 2$.

In view of (1.7), $W_3^\wedge(z, \nu) \in \mathbb{C}[[z^{-1}]] + (\log(z))\mathbb{C}[[z^{-1}]]$, for $\nu \in \mathbb{N}_0, |z| > 1$, moreover $z^{\nu+1}W_3^\wedge(z, \nu) \in \mathbb{C}[[z^{-1}]] + (\log(z))\mathbb{C}[[z^{-1}]]$, for $\nu \in \mathbb{N}_0, |z| > 1$. We can interpret $\mathbb{C}[[z^{-1}]] + (\log(z))\mathbb{C}[[z^{-1}]]$ as direct sum $\mathbb{C}[[z^{-1}]] \oplus_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[[z^{-1}]]$ with linear operator δ , which acts according to the formula

$$\delta \begin{pmatrix} T_1 \\ T_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \delta T_1 + T_2 \\ \delta T_2 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.33}$$

where $T_k \in \mathbb{C}[[z^{-1}]]$ for $k = 1, 2$. For those, who want more detailed explanation, we add, that if $h(z) = T_1(z) + (\log(z))T_2(z)$, where functions $T_1(z)$ and $T_2(z)$ are regular for $|z| > 1$, then the function $h(z)$ can be analytically prolonged to $H(Z) = H((r, \varphi)) = T_1(r \exp(i\varphi)) + (i\varphi + \log(r))T_2(r \exp(i\varphi))$, where $Z = (r, \varphi)$ lie on Rimanian surface of $Log(z)$, H is uniquely defined by $h, r > 1, \varphi \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $H((r, \varphi + 2\pi)) - H((r, \varphi)) = 2i\pi T_2(r \exp(i\varphi))$, and functions T_1, T_2 are uniquely defined by h .

If $\nu \in \mathbb{N}_0$, then null-space of the operator $(\delta - \nu - 2)^2$ (as operator, which acts on $\mathbb{C}[[z^{-1}]] \oplus \mathbb{C}[[z^{-1}]]$ according to (2.33) coincides with $\{0\}$. Therefore, in view of (2.26), the equality (2.28) holds for $k = 3, \nu \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $|z| > 1$.

If $\nu \in (-\infty, -2] \cap \mathbb{Z}, |z| > 1$, then $\nu_1 = -\nu - 2 \in \mathbb{N}_0$; since (2.28) holds for $k = 3$, it follows from (2.24) that $W_3^\vee(z, \nu) = 0$.

So, (2.27) holds for $k = 3, \nu \in (-\infty, -1 - \alpha] \cap \mathbb{Z}, |z| > 1$. Let $H(w) \in \mathbb{C}[w]$ Then, according to the Leibnitz formula

$$H(\delta)((\log(z)f(z)) = (\log(z))H(\delta)f(z) + \left(\frac{dH}{dw} \right) \Big|_{w=\delta} f(z).$$

Since (2.27) holds if $k = 2, \nu \in \mathbb{N}, |z| > 1$, it follows from (2.21), (1.7) that

$$\begin{aligned} W_3^\vee(z, \nu) &= (\log(z))W_2^\vee(z, \nu) + \nu^5 f_4(z, \nu - 1) - \tag{2.34} \\ & (P(\delta, \nu) + zQ(\delta + 1, \nu)(\delta - \nu - 1)^2) f_4(z, \nu) - \\ & \left(\frac{dP(w, \nu)}{dw} \Big|_{w=\delta} + z \frac{dQ(w + 1, \nu)(w - \nu - 1)^2}{dw} \Big|_{w=\delta} \right) f_2(z, \nu) = \\ & \nu^5 f_4(z, \nu - 1) - (P(\delta, \nu) + zQ(\delta + 1, \nu)(\delta - \nu - 1)^2) f_4(z, \nu) - \end{aligned}$$

$$\left(\frac{d}{dw} P(w, \nu) \Big|_{w=\delta} + z \frac{dQ(w+1, \nu)(w-\nu-1)^2}{dw} \Big|_{w=\delta} \right) f_2(z, \nu)$$

for $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$, $|z| > 1$. In view of (2.25) to establish the equality (2.27) in the case $k = 3$, $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$, $|z| > 1$, we must check the equality

$$-\nu^5 \frac{\partial(R(t, \nu-1))^2}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=\nu} z^{-\nu} - \tag{2.35}$$

$$\left(-\frac{\partial(R(t, \nu))^2}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=\nu+1} \right) zQ(\delta+1, \nu)(\delta-\nu-1)^2 z^{-\nu-1} -$$

$$(R(\nu+1, \nu))^2 z \frac{dQ(w+1, \nu)(w-\nu-1)^2}{dw} \Big|_{w=\delta} z^{-\nu+1} = 0.$$

In view of (1.3), (1.5), (1.6), (1.7), (2.32), (2.4),

$$-\nu^5 \frac{\partial(R(t, \nu-1))^2}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=\nu} z^{-\nu} - \tag{2.36}$$

$$\left(-\frac{\partial(R(t, \nu))^2}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=\nu+1} \right) zQ(\delta+1, \nu)(\delta-\nu-1)^2 z^{-\nu-1} =$$

$$2\nu^5 (((\nu-1)!)^4 / ((2\nu)!)^2) \left(\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\nu-1} \frac{1}{k} \right) - \sum_{k=\nu}^{2\nu} \frac{1}{k} \right) -$$

$$2((\nu!)^4 / ((2\nu+2)!)^2) \left(\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\nu} \frac{1}{k} \right) - \sum_{k=\nu+1}^{2\nu+2} \frac{1}{k} \right) \nu(2\nu+1)^2(2\nu+2)^2 z^{-\nu} =$$

$$\nu(2(\nu!)^4 / ((2\nu)!)^2) (-2/\nu + 1/(2\nu+1) + 1/(2\nu+2)) z^{-\nu} =$$

$$-(2(\nu!)^4 / (2\nu)!)^2 (4\nu^2 + 9\nu + 4) / ((2\nu+1)(2\nu+2)).$$

In view of (2.4),

$$\frac{dQ(w+1, \nu)(w-\nu-1)^2}{dw} = (2\nu+1)(2(3\nu+2))(w-\nu-1)^2 +$$

$$(2\nu+1)(\nu(8\nu+5) + 2(3\nu+2)(w+1))2(w-\nu-1),$$

$$\frac{dQ(w+1, \nu)(w-\nu-1)^2}{dw} \Big|_{w=\delta} z^{-\nu-1} = 8(2\nu+1)(3\nu+2)(\nu+1)^2 - \tag{2.37}$$

$$4(2\nu+1)(\nu+1)(-6\nu^2 - 4\nu + 8\nu^2 + 5\nu) = 4(2\nu+1)(\nu+1)(4\nu^2 + 9\nu + 4)z^{-\nu-1},$$

$$(R(\nu + 1, \nu))^2 z \frac{dQ(w + 1, \nu)(w - \nu - 1)^2}{dw} \Big|_{w=\delta} z^{-\nu-1} = \tag{2.38}$$

$$((\nu!)^4 / (2\nu + 2)!)^2 2(2\nu + 1)(2\nu + 2)(4\nu^2 + 9\nu + 4)z^{-\nu}.$$

According to (2.36) and (2.38), the equality (2.35) holds, and, consequently, the equality (2.27) holds for $k = 3$, $|z| > 1$.

If $\nu \in (-\infty, -3] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, then then $\nu_1 = -\nu - 2 \in \mathbb{N}$; since (2.27) holds for $k = 3$, it follows from (2.23) that $W_{\alpha,0,3}^\wedge(z, \nu) = 0$.

So, (2.28) holds for $k = 3$, $|z| > 1$.

3. Calculations of the Matrix $A^*(z; \nu)$

In view of (2.8), let $b_j(z; \nu) = -z(z - 1)^{-1}r_j(z; \nu)$ for $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$, and let $B(z; \nu)$ be 4×4 -matrix, which has $b_j(z; \nu)$ as j -th element of its last row, has 1 as $(i + 1)$ -th element of its i -th row for $i = 1, 2, 3$ and has 0 on all other places. Then, in view of (2.9), (2.10),

$$X_k(z; -\nu - 2) = X_k(z; \nu), \delta X_k(z; \nu) = B(z; \nu)X_k(z; \nu), \tag{3.1}$$

where $k \in K_0$, $|z| > 1$, $\nu \in M_1$. In view of (2.4),

$$Q(w + 1, \nu) = (2\tau - 1)(8\tau^2 - 5\tau + 1 + (6\tau - 2)w),$$

Therefore

$$Q(w + 1, \nu)(w - \nu - 1)^2 = q_0(\nu) + q_1(\nu)w + q_2(\nu)w^2 + q_3(\nu)w^3,$$

where

$$q_0(\nu) = (2\tau - 1)(8\tau^4 - 5\tau^3 + \tau^2), \quad q_1(\nu) = -2\tau(2\tau - 1)(5\tau^2 - 4\tau^2 + 1),$$

$$q_2(\nu) = -(2\tau - 1)(4\tau^2 + \tau - 1), \quad q_3(\nu) = 2(2\tau - 1)(3\tau - 1),$$

$$P(w, \nu) = p_0(\nu) + p_1(\nu)w + p_2(\nu)w^2 + p_3(\nu)w^3,$$

where

$$p_0(\nu) = (\tau - 1)^3\tau^2 = \nu^3(\nu + 1)^2,$$

$$p_1(\nu) = -2(\tau - 1)^2(2\tau - 1)\tau = -2\nu^2(2\nu + 1)(\nu + 1),$$

$$p_2(\nu) = (\tau - 1)(4\tau - 1)(2\tau - 1) = \nu(4\nu + 3)(2\nu + 1),$$

$$p_3(\nu) = -2(2\tau - 1)(3\tau - 1) = -2(2\nu + 1)(3\nu + 2).$$

Let $a_{1,k}^*(z; \nu) = q_{k-1}(\nu)z + p_{k-1}(\nu)$ for $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a}_{1,1}^*(z; \nu) &= \tau^2((2\tau - 1)(8\tau^2 - 5\tau + 1)z + (\tau - 1)^3) = \\ &(\nu + 1)^2((2\nu + 1)(8\nu^2 + 11\nu + 4)z + \nu^3), \mathbf{a}_{1,2}^*(z; \nu) = \\ &-2\tau(2\tau - 1)((5\tau^2 - 4\tau + 1)z + (\tau - 1)^2) = -2(\nu + 1)(2\nu + 1)((5\nu^2 + 6\nu + 2)z + \nu^2), \\ \mathbf{a}_{1,3}^*(z; \nu) &= (2\tau - 1)((-4\tau^2 - \tau + 1)z + (\tau - 1)(4\tau - 1)) = (2\nu + 1) \times \\ &((-4\nu^2 - 9\nu - 4)z + \nu(4\nu + 3)), \mathbf{a}_{1,4}^*(z; \nu) = 2(2\tau - 1)(3\tau - 1)(z - 1) = \\ &2(2\nu + 1)(3\nu + 2)(z - 1), \bar{a}_1^*(z; \nu) = (a_{1,1}^*(z; \nu), a_{1,2}^*(z; \nu), a_{1,3}^*(z; \nu), a_{1,4}^*(z; \nu)). \end{aligned}$$

Then, in view of (2.21), (2.27), (1.9), $\nu^5 f_k(z; \nu - 1) = \bar{a}_1^*(z; \nu)X_k(z; \nu)$, and therefore $\delta^{r-1}\nu^5 f_k(z; \nu - 1) = \bar{a}_r^*(z; \nu)X_k(z; \nu)$ for $r = 2, 3, 4$, where, in view of (3.1), \bar{a}_r^* , can be calculated recurrently, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{a}_r^*(z; \nu) &= (a_{r,1}^*(z; \nu), a_{r,2}^*(z; \nu), a_{r,3}^*(z; \nu), a_{r,4}^*(z; \nu)) = \tag{3.2} \\ &\delta \bar{a}_{r-1}^*(z; \nu) + a_{r-1}^*(z; \nu)B(z; \nu). \end{aligned}$$

So, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a}_{2,1}^*(z; \nu) &= \tau^2((2\tau - 1)(8\tau^2 - 5\tau + 1)z - 2(2\tau - 1)(3\tau - 1)\tau^4 z = \\ &\tau^2(2\tau - 1)(-6\tau^3 + 10\tau^2 - 5\tau + 1)z = -\tau^2(2\tau - 1)(\tau - 1)(6\tau^2 - 4\tau + 1)z = \\ &-(\nu + 1)^2(2\nu + 1)\nu(6\nu^2 + 8\nu + 3)z, \mathbf{a}_{2,2}^*(z; \nu) = -2\tau(2\tau - 1)(5\tau^2 - 4\tau + 1)z + \\ &\tau^2((2\tau - 1)(8\tau^2 - 5\tau + 1)z + \tau^2(\tau - 1)^3) = \tau(2\tau - 1)(8\tau^3 - 15\tau^2 + 9\tau - 2)z + \\ &\tau^2(\tau - 1)^3 = \tau(2\tau - 1)(\tau - 1)(8\tau^2 - 7\tau + 2)z + \tau^2(\tau - 1)^3 = (\nu + 1)^2\nu^3 + \\ &(\nu + 1)(2\nu + 1)\nu(8\nu^2 + 9\nu + 3), \mathbf{a}_{2,3}^*(z; \nu) = (2\tau - 1) \times \\ &((-4\tau^2 - \tau + 1)z - 2\tau((5\tau^2 - 4\tau + 1)z + (\tau - 1)^2) + 4\tau^2(3\tau - 1)z) = \\ &(2\tau - 1)(2\tau^3 - 3\tau + 1)z - 2\tau(\tau - 1)^2) = (2\tau - 1)(\tau - 1) \times \\ &((2\tau^2 + 2\tau - 1)z - 2\tau(\tau - 1)) = (2\nu + 1)\nu((2\nu^2 + 6\nu + 3)z - 2(\nu + 1)\nu), \\ \mathbf{a}_{2,4}^*(z; \nu) &= (2\tau - 1)((-4\tau^2 - \tau + 1)z + (\tau - 1)(4\tau - 1)) + 2(2\tau - 1)(3\tau - 1)z = \\ &(-4\tau^2 + 5\tau - 1)z + (\tau - 1)(4\tau - 1)(2\tau - 1) = -(2\tau - 1)(\tau - 1)(4\tau - 1)(z - 1) = \\ &-(2\nu + 1)\nu(4\nu + 3)(z - 1), \mathbf{a}_{3,1}^*(z; \nu) = -\tau^2(2\tau - 1)(\tau - 1) \times \\ &((6\tau^2 - 4\tau + 1)z + (4\tau - 1)\tau^2 z) = (4\tau^3 - 7\tau^2 + 4\tau - 1)\tau^2(\tau - 1)z = \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \tau^2(2\tau - 1)(\tau - 1)^2(4\tau^2 - 3\tau + 1)z = (2\nu + 1)\nu^2(\nu + 1)^2(4\nu^2 + 5\nu + 2), \\
 & \mathbf{a}_{3,2}^*(z; \nu) = \tau(2\tau - 1)(\tau - 1)((8\tau^2 - 7\tau + 2)z - \tau(6\tau^2 - 4\tau + 1)z) = \\
 & -\tau(2\tau - 1)(\tau - 1)(6\tau^3 - 12\tau^2 + 8\tau - 2)z = -\tau(2\tau - 1)(\tau - 1)^2(6\tau^2 - 6\tau + 2)z = \\
 & -(\nu + 1)(2\nu + 1)\nu^2(6\nu^2 + 6\nu + 2)z, \mathbf{a}_{3,3}^*(z; \nu) = (\tau - 1)(2\tau - 1) \times \\
 & (2\tau^2 + 2\tau - 1)z - 2(4\tau - 1)\tau^2z + \tau(8\tau^2 - 7\tau + 2)z + \tau^2(\tau - 1)^2 = \\
 & (\tau - 1)(-(2\tau - 1)(\tau - 1)(3\tau - 1)z + \tau^2(\tau - 1)^2) = \\
 & (\tau - 1)^2(-(2\tau - 1)(3\tau - 1)z + \tau^2(\tau - 1)) = \nu^2(-(2\nu + 1)(3\nu + 2)z + \nu(\nu + 1)^2), \\
 & \mathbf{a}_{3,4}^*(z; \nu) = (2\tau - 1)(\tau - 1)(-(4\tau - 1)z + (2\tau^2 + 2\tau - 1)z - 2(\tau - 1)\tau) = \\
 & 2(2\tau - 1)(\tau - 1)^2\tau(z - 1) = 2(2\nu + 1)\nu^2(\nu + 1)(z - 1), \mathbf{a}_{4,1}^*(z; \nu) = \\
 & \tau^2(2\tau - 1)(\tau - 1)^2z(4\tau^2 - 3\tau + 1 - 2\tau^3) = (2\tau - 1)\tau^2(\tau - 1)^3 \times \\
 & (-2\tau^2 + 2\tau^2 - 1) = -\nu^3(\nu + 1)^2(2\nu + 1)(2\nu^2 + 2\nu + 1), \mathbf{a}_{4,2}^*(z; \nu) = (2\tau - 1) \times \\
 & (\tau - 1)^2(-\tau(6\tau^2 - 6\tau + 2)z + \tau^2(4\tau^2 - 3\tau + 1)z) = (2\tau - 1)(\tau - 1)^2\tau z \times \\
 & (4\tau^3 - 9\tau^2 + 7\tau - 2) = (2\tau - 1)(\tau - 1)^3\tau(4\tau^2 - 5\tau + 2) = (2\nu + 1)\nu^3(\nu + 1) \times \\
 & (4\nu^2 + 3\nu + 1)z, \mathbf{a}_{4,3}^*(z; \nu) = (\tau - 1)^2(2\tau - 1)z(-2\tau^3 + 6\tau^2 - 5\tau + 1) = \\
 & (\tau - 1)^3(2\tau - 1)z(-2\tau^2 + 4\tau - 1) = \nu^3(2\nu + 1)(-2\nu^2 + 1), \\
 & \mathbf{a}_{4,4}^*(z; \nu) = (2\tau - 1)(\tau - 1)^2(2\tau z - (3\tau - 1))z + \\
 & \tau^2(\tau - 1)^3 = (\tau - 1)^3(-(2\tau - 1)z + \tau^2) = \nu^3((\nu + 1)^2 - (2\nu + 1)z).
 \end{aligned}$$

and,hence, for the 4×4 -matrix $A^*(z; \nu)$, which has $\bar{a}_i^*(z; \nu)$ as its i -th row for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ we have the equality (1.11).

4. Proof of Theorem 1 for $\alpha = 1$

Remark. Theorem 1 would be evident if (what is impossible) the considered 3 Mejer's functions compose a fundamental system of solutions of equation (2.9), which has degree 4. Theorem 1 is useful also for test of our calculations.

To prove equalities (1.12) and (1.15) it is sufficient consider ν as variable.

Let $v_{i,k}^*(\nu) = a_{i,k}^*(1; \nu) - a_{i,k}^*(0; \nu)$ where $\{i, k\} \subset \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Let $S(\nu)$ and $V^*(\nu)$ are the matrices, which have respectively $a_{i,k}^*(0, \nu)$ and $v_{i,k}^*(\nu)$ on intersection of their i -th row and k -th column. Then, according to results of previous section, $A^*(z; \nu) = S(\nu) + zV^*(\nu)$. Let N_4 be 4×4 -matrix which has 1 as $(i + 1)$ -th element of its i -th row for $i = 1, 2, 3$ and has 0 as all other its elements. Then $S(\nu) = p_0(\nu)E_4 + p_1(\nu)N_4 + p_2(\nu)N_4^2 + p_3(\nu)N_4^3$. We denote further by $D(x, y, z, u)$ the 4×4 diagonal matrix, which has x, y, z, u as its respectively first, second, third and fourth diagonal element, and we denote by E_n the $n \times n$ unit matrix. Clearly, if $i \in [0, 3] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, then

$$p_i(\nu) = \nu^{3-i}(\nu + 1)^{\max(2-i, 0)} p_i^*(\nu) \text{ for } p_0^*(\nu) = 1, p_1^*(\nu) = -2(2\nu + 1),$$

$$p_2^*(\nu) = (4\nu + 3)(2\nu + 1), p_3^*(\nu) = -2(3\nu + 2)(2\nu + 1). \text{ Then}$$

$$S^*(\nu) = (\nu)^{-3}(\nu + 1)^{-2}(D_1(\nu(\nu + 1)))^{-1}S(\nu)D_1(\nu(\nu + 1)) = \tag{4.1}$$

$$E_4 + \sum_{k=1}^3 p_k^*(\nu)(N_4)^k = \begin{pmatrix} S_{1,1}^*(\nu) & S_{1,2}^*(\nu) \\ 0E_2 & S_{1,1}^*(\nu) \end{pmatrix},$$

with

$$S_{1,1}^*(\nu) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & p_1^*(\nu) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$S_{1,2}^{**}(\nu) = \begin{pmatrix} p_2^*(\nu) & (\nu + 1)p_3^*(\nu) \\ p_1^*(\nu) & p_2^*(\nu) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Lemma 4.1. The following equality holds:

$$S(\nu)S(-\nu - 1) = -\nu^5(\nu + 1)^5 E_4. \tag{4.2}$$

Proof. Let

$$D_1(\nu) = D(1, \nu, \nu^2, \nu^3), D_2(\nu) = D(\nu^2, \nu, 1, 1). \tag{4.3}$$

Clearly, $D_1(\nu)D_1(\nu + 1) = D_1(\nu(\nu + 1)) = D_1(-\nu - 1)D_1(-\nu)$ and $\nu^3(\nu + 1)^2(-\nu - 1)^3(-\nu)^2 = -(\nu(\nu + 1))^5$. Therefore, in view of (4.1), it is sufficient to prove the equality $S^*(\nu)S^*(-\nu - 1) = E_4$. Since $N_4^k = 0N_4$ for $k \in [4, \infty) \cap \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$S^*(\nu)S^*(-\nu - 1) = \mathbf{s}_0(\nu)E_4 + \mathbf{s}_1(\nu)N_4 + \mathbf{s}_2(\nu)N_4^2 + \mathbf{s}_3(\nu)N_4^3,$$

with

$$\mathbf{s}_0(\nu) = 1, \mathbf{s}_1^*(\nu) = p_1^*(-\nu - 1) + p_1^*(\nu) = 4\nu + 2 - 4\nu - 2 = 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{s}_2(\nu) &= p_2^*(-\nu - 1) + p_1^*(\nu)p_1^*(-\nu - 1) + p_2^*(\nu) = \\ &-2\nu^3(\nu + 1)^2(-\nu - 1)^2(-2\nu - 1)(-\nu) + (-2)(-\nu - 1)^3(-\nu)^2\nu^2(2\nu + 1)(\nu + 1) = \\ &(4\nu + 1)(2\nu + 1) - 4(2\nu + 1)^2 + (2\nu + 3)(2\nu + 1) = 0, \mathbf{s}_3(\nu) = -\nu p_3^*(-\nu - 1) + \\ &p_1^*(\nu)p_2^*(-\nu - 1) + p_2^*(\nu)p_1^*(-\nu - 1) + (\nu + 1)p_3^*(\nu) = \\ &2\nu(3\nu + 1)(2\nu + 1) - 2(\nu + 1)(3\nu + 2)(2\nu + 1) - 2(2\nu + 1)(4\nu + 1)(2\nu + 1) + \\ &2(2\nu + 1)(4\nu + 3)(2\nu + 1) = \\ &2(2\nu + 1)(3\nu^2 + \nu - 3\nu^2 - 5\nu - 2) + 2(2\nu + 1)^2(4\nu + 3 - 4\nu - 1) = 0. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.2. *The following equality holds*

$$V^*(\nu)V^*(-\nu - 1) = 0E_4. \tag{4.4}$$

Proof. Let

$$V_1^*(\nu) = \begin{pmatrix} 8\nu^2 + 11\nu + 4 & -10\nu^2 - 12\nu - 4 & -4\nu^2 - 9\nu - 4 & 6\nu + 4 \\ -6\nu^2 - 8\nu - 3 & 8\nu^2 + 9\nu + 3 & 2\nu^2 + 6\nu + 3 & -4\nu - 3 \\ 4\nu^2 + 5\nu + 2 & -6\nu^2 - 6\nu - 2 & -3\nu - 2 & 2\nu + 2 \\ -2\nu^2 - 2\nu - 1 & 4\nu^2 + 3\nu + 1 & -2\nu^2 + 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In view of (4.3),

$$V^*(\nu) = (2\nu + 1)D_1(\nu)V_1^*(\nu)D_2(\nu + 1). \tag{4.5}$$

$$\text{Let } C_{h1} = \begin{pmatrix} E_2 & C_{h1,1,2} \\ 0E_2 & E_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$C_{h1,1,2} = \begin{pmatrix} -3 & -2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$C_{h2}(\nu) = \begin{pmatrix} E_2 & 0E_2 \\ C_{h2,2,1}(\nu) & E_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$C_{h2,2,1}(\nu) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 2 \\ -2\nu - 2 & 3\nu + 3 \end{pmatrix},$$

Let further $V_{h1}^*(\nu)$ be a result of replacement of all elements in first and second rows in V_1^* by zeros, $V_{h2}^*(\nu)$ be a result of replacement of all elements in first and second columns in V_1^* by zeros, and $V_{h3}^*(\nu)$ be a result of replacement of all elements in first and second rows and columns in V_1^* by zeros. Then, clearly,

$$C_{h1}V_1^*(\nu) = V_{h1}^*(\nu), V_1^*(\nu)C_{h2}(\nu) = V_{h2}^*(\nu), \tag{4.6}$$

$$C_{h1}V_1^*(\nu)C_{h2}(\nu) = V_{h3}^*(\nu) = \begin{pmatrix} 0E_2 & 0E_2 \\ 0E_2 & V_{1,2,2}^*(\nu) \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$V_{1,2,2}^*(\nu) = \begin{pmatrix} -3\nu - 2 & 2\nu + 2 \\ -2\nu^2 + 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In view of (4.5)

$$V^*(\nu)V^*(-\nu - 1) = -(2\nu + 1)^2 \times$$

$$D_1(\nu)V_1^*(\nu)D_2(\nu + 1)D_1(-\nu - 1)V_1^*(-\nu - 1)D_2(-\nu).$$

Let $D_6(\nu) = D(1, -1, 1, -\nu)$. Clearly,

$$D_2(\nu)D_1(-\nu) = \nu^2 D_6(\nu) \tag{4.7}$$

Therefore, in view of (4.6), to prove (4.4) it is sufficient to prove the equality $V_{h1}^*(\nu)D_6(\nu + 1)V_{h2}^*(-\nu - 1) = 0E_4$. Further we have

$$V_{h1}^*(\nu)D_6(\nu + 1)V_{h2}^*(-\nu - 1) = V_{h3}^*(\nu)(C_{h2}(\nu))^{-1}D_6(\nu + 1)(C_{h1})^{-1}V_{h3}^*(\nu),$$

$$C_{h3}(\nu) = D_6(\nu + 1)(C_{h1})^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} C_{h3,1,1}(\nu) & C_{h3,1,2} \\ 0E_2 & C_{h3,2,2}(\nu) \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$C_{h3,1,1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, C_{h3,1,2} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$C_{h3,2,1} = \begin{pmatrix} -3 & -2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, C_{h3,2,2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -\nu - 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore

$$C_{h4}(\nu) = (C_{h2}(\nu))^{-1}D_6(\nu + 1)(C_{h1}(\nu))^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} C_{h3,1,1}(\nu) & C_{h3,1,2} \\ C_{h4,2,1} & C_{h4,2,2}(\nu) \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} C_{h4,2,1} &= -C_{h2,2,1}C_{h3,1,1} + C_{h3,2,1}, C_{h4,2,2} = -C_{h2,2,1}C_{h3,1,2} + C_{h3,2,2} = \\ &= -\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 2 \\ -2\nu - 2 & 3\nu + 3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -\nu - 1 \end{pmatrix} = 0E_2. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly,

$$V_{h3}^* \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ * & 0E_2 \end{pmatrix} V_{h3}^* = 0E_4,$$

where $*$ denotes any 2×2 matrices (i.e. exact expression of them has not influence on final result; of course, these matrices can be different each from other for $*$, which stand on different places; the $*$ plays for 2×2 matrices here the same role as $O(1)$ plays for bounded values). □

Lemma 4.3. *Let $G^*(\nu) = (-1/(2\nu + 1))S(\nu)V^*(-\nu - 1)$, and $H^*(\nu) = (1/(2\nu + 1))V^*(\nu)S(-\nu - 1)$. Then*

$$G^*(\nu) = H^*(\nu). \tag{4.8}$$

Proof. Clearly, $D_2(\nu)D_1(\nu) = \nu^2D_7(\nu)$, $D_2(-\nu)D_1(\nu) = \nu^2D_8(\nu)$ with

$$D_7(\nu) = D(1, 1, 1, \nu), D_8(\nu) = D(1, -1, 1, \nu) \tag{4.9}$$

In view of (4.1), (4.5),

$$\begin{aligned} G^*(\nu) &= -\nu^3(\nu + 1)^2 \times \\ &D_1(\nu^2 + \nu)S^*(\nu)D_1(1/(\nu^2 + \nu))D_1(-\nu - 1)V_1^*(-\nu - 1)D_2(-\nu) = \\ &= -\nu^3(\nu + 1)^2D_1(\nu^2 + \nu)S^*(\nu)D_1(-1/\nu)V_1^*(-\nu - 1)D_2(-\nu), \\ H^*(\nu) &= (\nu + 1)^3\nu^2 \times \\ &D_1(\nu)V_1^*(\nu)D_2(\nu + 1)D_1(\nu^2 + \nu)S^*(-\nu - 1)D_1(1/(\nu^2 + \nu)). \text{ Let} \\ G_1^*(\nu) &= \nu^3(\nu + 1)^2D_1(\nu + 1)S^*(\nu)D_1(-1/\nu)V_1^*(-\nu - 1), H_1^*(\nu) = \end{aligned}$$

$$(\nu + 1)^3 \nu^2 V_1^*(\nu) D_2(\nu + 1) D_1(\nu^2 + \nu) S^*(-\nu - 1) (D_1(\nu^2 + \nu) D_2(-\nu))^{-1}.$$

$$\text{In view of (4.9), } H_1^*(\nu) = \nu^2(\nu + 1)^5 \times$$

$$V_1^*(\nu) D_7(\nu + 1) D_1(\nu) S^*(-\nu - 1) (D_1(\nu + 1) D_8(\nu))^{-1}.$$

Let $G_2^*(\nu) = C_{h1} G_1^*(\nu) C_{h2}(-\nu - 1)$, $H_2^*(\nu) = C_{h1} H_1^*(\nu) C_{h2}(-\nu - 1)$. Then the equality (4.8) holds if and only if $-G_2^*(\nu) = H_2^*(\nu)$.

Remark 4.1. If

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ U_{2,1} & U_{2,2} \end{pmatrix}, V = \begin{pmatrix} * & V_{1,2} \\ * & V_{2,2} \end{pmatrix} \text{ are any } 4 \times 4 \text{ matrices}$$

$$\text{over } \mathbb{C}(\nu) \text{ then } V_{h3}(\nu)U = \begin{pmatrix} 0E_2 & 0E_2 \\ V_{1,2,2}^*(\nu)U_{2,1} & V_{1,2,2}^*(\nu)U_{2,2} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$VV_{h3}(-\nu - 1) = \begin{pmatrix} 0E_2 & V_{2,2}V_{1,2,2}^*(-\nu - 1) \\ 0E_2 & V_{2,2}V_{1,2,2}^*(-\nu - 1) \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\text{Let } C_{h5}(\nu) = (D_7(\nu + 1))^{-1} (C_{h2}(\nu))^{-1} D_7(\nu + 1) D_1(\nu).$$

$$C_{h5}(\nu) = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ C_{h5,2,1}(\nu) & C_{h5,2,2}(\nu) \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$C_{h5,2,1}(\nu) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2\nu \\ 2 & -3\nu \end{pmatrix},$$

$$C_{h5,2,2}(\nu) = \nu^2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \nu \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\text{and } C_{h6}(\nu) = C_{h5}(\nu) S^{**}(-\nu - 1) = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ C_{h6,2,1}(\nu) & C_{h6,2,2}(\nu) \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$C_{h6,2,1}(\nu) = C_{h5,2,1}(\nu) S_{1,1}^{**}(-\nu - 1) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2\nu \\ 2 & -3\nu \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4\nu + 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} =$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} c_{h8,3,1}(\nu) & c_{h8,3,2}(\nu) \\ c_{h6,4,1}(\nu) & c_{h6,4,2}(\nu) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2\nu + 2 \\ 2 & 5\nu + 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$C_{h6,2,2}(\nu) = C_{h5,2,1}(\nu) S_{1,2}^{**}(-\nu - 1) + C_{h5,2,2}(\nu) S_{1,1}^{**}(-\nu - 1) =$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2\nu \\ 2 & -3\nu \end{pmatrix} (2\nu + 1) \begin{pmatrix} 4\nu + 1 & (-\nu)(-6\nu - 2) \\ 2 & (4\nu + 1) \end{pmatrix} +$$

$$\nu^2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \nu \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4\nu + 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{h6,3,3}(\nu) & c_{h6,3,4}(\nu) \\ c_{h6,4,3}(\nu) & c_{h6,4,4}(\nu) \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$c_{h6,3,3}(\nu) = (2\nu + 1)(4\nu + 1 - 4\nu) + \nu^2 = (\nu + 1)^2, \quad c_{h6,4,3}(\nu) = (2\nu + 1) \times (2\nu + 2),$$

$$c_{h6,3,4}(\nu) = (2\nu + 1)((6\nu^2 + 2\nu - 8\nu^2 - 2\nu + 2\nu^2) = 0, \quad c_{h6,4,4}(\nu) = (2\nu + 1)(12\nu^2 + 4\nu - 12\nu^2 - 3\nu) + \nu^3 = \nu(\nu + 1)^2.$$

Consequently,

$$C_{h7}(\nu) = C_{h6}(\nu)(\nu + 1)^3(D_1(nu + 1)D_8(\nu))^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ C_{h7,2,1}(\nu) & C_{h7,2,2}(\nu) \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$C_{h7,2,1}(\nu) = \begin{pmatrix} c_{h7,3,1}(\nu) & c_{h7,3,2}(\nu) \\ c_{h7,4,1}(\nu) & c_{h7,4,2}(\nu) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (\nu + 1)^3 & -2(\nu + 1)^3 \\ 2(\nu + 1)^3 & -(5\nu + 4)(\nu + 1)^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$C_{h7,2,2}(\nu) = \begin{pmatrix} c_{h7,3,3}(\nu) & c_{h7,3,4}(\nu) \\ c_{h7,4,3}(\nu) & c_{h7,4,4}(\nu) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (\nu + 1)^3 & 0 \\ (4\nu + 2)(\nu + 1)^2 & (\nu + 1)^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence

$$C_{h8}(\nu) = C_{h7}(\nu)C_{h2}(-\nu - 1) = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ C_{h8,2,1}(\nu) & C_{h8,2,2}(\nu) \end{pmatrix},$$

with

$$C_{h8,2,2} = C_{h7,2,2}, \quad C_{h8,2,1}(\nu) = \begin{pmatrix} c_{h8,3,1}(\nu) & c_{h8,3,2}(\nu) \\ c_{h8,4,1}(\nu) & c_{h8,4,2}(\nu) \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$c_{h8,3,1}(\nu) = c_{h7,3,1}(\nu) - c_{h7,3,3}(\nu) + 2\nu c_{h7,3,4}(\nu) = (\nu + 1)^3 - (\nu + 1)^3 = 0,$$

$$c_{h8,3,2}(\nu) = c_{h7,3,2}(\nu) + 2c_{h7,3,3}(\nu) - 3\nu c_{h7,3,4}(\nu) = -2(\nu + 1)^3 + 2(\nu + 1)^3 = 0,$$

$$c_{h8,4,1}(\nu) = c_{h7,4,1}(\nu) - c_{h7,4,3}(\nu) + 2\nu c_{h7,4,4}(\nu) = 2(\nu + 1)^3 - (4\nu + 2)(\nu + 1)^2 + 2\nu(\nu + 1)^3 = 0,$$

$$c_{h8,4,2}(\nu) = c_{h7,4,2}(\nu) + 2c_{h7,4,3}(\nu) - 3\nu c_{h7,4,4}(\nu) = -(5\nu + 4)(\nu + 1)^2 + 2(4\nu + 2)(\nu + 1)^2 - 3\nu(\nu + 1)^2 = 0.$$

So,

$$C_{h8,2,1} = 0E_2, \quad \text{and} \quad H_2^*(\nu) = \begin{pmatrix} 0E_2 & 0E_2 \\ 0E_2 & H_{2,2,2}^* \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$H_{2,2,2}^* = \nu^2(\nu + 1)^4 V_{1,2,2}^* C_1^*(\nu),$$

where

$$C_1^*(\nu) = (\nu + 1) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 4\nu + 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

On the other hand,

$$C_{h9}(\nu) = \nu^3 D_1(-1/\nu)(C_{h1})^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} * & C_{h9,1,2}(\nu) \\ * & C_{h9,2,2} \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$C_{h9,1,2} = \begin{pmatrix} 3\nu^3 & 2\nu^3 \\ 2\nu^2 & \nu^2 \end{pmatrix}, C_{h9,2,2} = \begin{pmatrix} \nu & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore

$$C_{h10}(\nu) = S^*(\nu)C_{h9}(\nu) = \begin{pmatrix} * & C_{h10,1,2}(\nu) \\ * & C_{h10,2,2} \end{pmatrix},$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} C_{h10,1,2}(\nu) &= S_{1,2}^*(\nu)C_{h9,2,2}(\nu) + S_{1,1}^*(\nu)C_{h9,1,2}(\nu) = \\ &(2\nu + 1) \begin{pmatrix} 4\nu + 3 & (\nu + 1)(-6\nu - 4) \\ -2 & 4\nu + 3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \nu & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} + \\ &\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2(2\nu + 1) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 3\nu^3 & 2\nu^3 \\ 2\nu^2 & \nu^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{h10,1,3}(\nu) & c_{h10,1,4}(\nu) \\ c_{h10,2,3}(\nu) & c_{h10,2,4}(\nu) \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} c_{h10,1,3}(\nu) &= (2\nu + 1)(4\nu + 3)\nu + 3\nu^3 - 4\nu^2(2\nu + 1) = \\ &\nu(3\nu^2 + 6\nu + 3) = 3\nu(\nu + 1)^2, \\ c_{h10,1,4}(\nu) &= (2\nu + 1)(\nu + 1)(6\nu + 4) + 2\nu^3 - 2\nu^2(2\nu + 1) = \\ &(10\nu^2 + 14\nu + 4)(\nu + 1) = \\ &(\nu + 1)^2(10\nu + 4), c_{h10,2,3}(\nu) = -2\nu(2\nu + 1) + 2\nu^2 = -2\nu(\nu + 1), \\ c_{h10,2,4}(\nu) &= -(2\nu + 1)(4\nu + 3) + \nu^2 = -7\nu^2 - 10\nu - 3 = -(\nu + 1)(7\nu + 3), \\ C_{h10,2,2}(\nu) &= S_{1,1,1}^*(\nu)C_{h9,2,2}(\nu) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2(2\nu + 1) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \nu & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \\ &\begin{pmatrix} c_{h10,3,3}(\nu) & c_{h10,3,4}(\nu) \\ c_{h10,4,3}(\nu) & c_{h10,4,4}(\nu) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \nu & 2(2\nu + 1) \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$C_{h11}(\nu) = D_1(\nu + 1)C_{h10}(\nu) = \begin{pmatrix} * & C_{h11,1,2}(\nu) \\ * & C_{h11,2,2} \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$C_{h11,1,2}(\nu) = \begin{pmatrix} 3\nu(\nu + 1)^2 & (10\nu + 4)(\nu + 1)^2 \\ -2\nu(\nu + 1)^2 & -(\nu + 1)^2(7\nu + 3) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$C_{h11,2,2}(\nu) = (\nu + 1)^2 \begin{pmatrix} \nu & 2(2\nu + 1) \\ 0 & -(\nu + 1) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence

$$C_{h12}(\nu) = C_{h1}C_{h11}(\nu) = \begin{pmatrix} * & C_{h12,1,2}(\nu) \\ * & C_{h11,2,2} \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$C_{h12,1,2}(\nu) = \begin{pmatrix} c_{h12,1,3}(\nu) & c_{h12,1,4}(\nu) \\ c_{h12,2,3}(\nu) & c_{h12,2,4}(\nu) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$C_{h12,2,2}(\nu) = \begin{pmatrix} c_{h12,3,3}(\nu) & c_{h12,3,4}(\nu) \\ c_{h12,4,3}(\nu) & c_{h12,4,4}(\nu) \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$c_{h12,1,3}(\nu) = c_{h11,1,3}(\nu) - 3c_{h11,3,3}(\nu) - 2c_{h11,4,3}(\nu) = 3\nu(\nu + 1)^2 - 3\nu(\nu + 1)^2 = 0,$$

$$c_{h12,1,4}(\nu) = c_{h11,1,4}(\nu) - 3c_{h11,3,4}(\nu) - 2c_{h11,4,4}(\nu) = (10\nu + 4)(\nu + 1)^2 - 6(2\nu + 1)(\nu + 1)^2 + 2(\nu + 1)^3 = 0,$$

$$c_{h12,2,3}(\nu) = c_{h11,2,3}(\nu) + 2c_{h11,3,3}(\nu) + c_{h11,4,3}(\nu) = -2\nu(\nu + 1)^2 + 2\nu(\nu + 1)^2 = 0,$$

$$c_{h12,2,4}(\nu) = c_{h11,4,4}(\nu) + 2c_{h11,3,4}(\nu) + c_{h11,4,4}(\nu) = -(\nu + 1)^2(7\nu + 3) + 4(2\nu + 1)(\nu + 1)^2 - (\nu + 1)^3 = 0,$$

So,

$$C_{h12,1,2} = 0E_2, \text{ and } G_2^*(\nu) = \begin{pmatrix} 0E_2 & 0E_2 \\ 0E_2 & G_{2,2,2}^* \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$G_{2,2,2}^* = \nu^2(\nu + 1)^4(\nu)C_2^*(\nu)V_{1,2,2}^*(-\nu - 1),$$

where

$$C_2^*(\nu) = \begin{pmatrix} \nu & 2(2\nu + 1) \\ 0 & -(\nu + 1) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Finally, we have $C_3^*(\nu) = V_{1,2,2}^*(\nu)C_1^*(\nu) = (\nu + 1) \times$

$$\begin{pmatrix} -3\nu - 2 & 2\nu + 2 \\ -2\nu^2 + 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 4\nu + 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{3,1,1}^* & c_{3,1,2}^* \\ c_{3,2,1}^* & c_{3,2,2}^* \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} c_{3,1,1}^* &= (\nu + 1)(-3\nu - 2) + (2\nu + 2)(4\nu + 2) = (\nu + 1)(8\nu^2 + 9\nu + 2), \\ c_{3,1,2}^* &= 2(\nu + 1)^2, \quad c_{3,2,1}^* = (\nu + 1)(-2\nu^2 + 1) - 4\nu - 2 = (\nu + 1)(-2(\nu + 1)^2 + 1), \\ c_{3,2,2}^* &= -(\nu + 1), \quad C_4^*(\nu) = C_2^*(\nu)V_{1,2,2}^*(-\nu - 1) = \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \nu & 2(2\nu + 1) \\ 0 & -(\nu + 1) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 3\nu + 1 & -2\nu \\ -2(\nu + 1)^2 + 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{4,1,1}^* & c_{4,1,2}^* \\ c_{4,2,1}^* & c_{4,2,2}^* \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} c_{4,1,1}^* &= \nu(3\nu + 1) + (4\nu + 2)(-2(\nu + 1)^2 + 1) = -(\nu + 1)(8\nu^2 + 12\nu + 4 - 3\nu - 2), \\ c_{4,1,2}^* &= -2\nu^2 - 2(2\nu + 1) = -2(\nu + 1)^2, \quad c_{4,2,1}^* = -(\nu + 1)(-2(\nu + 1)^2 + 1). \\ c_{4,2,2}^* &= (\nu + 1). \end{aligned}$$

The equality (1.12) directly follows from (4.2),(4.4) and(4.8). □

5. Proof of Theorem 2 for $\alpha = 1$

In view of (2.1), (2.2)

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_1(\nu - 1) &= \tau - 1, \quad \mu_1(\nu - 1) = (\tau - 1)^2, \\ r_{1,0,1}(\nu - 1) &= (\tau - 1)^4, \quad r_{1,0,2}(\nu) = r_{1,0,4}(\nu) = 0, \quad r_{1,0,3}(\nu) = -2(\tau - 1)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=0}^4 r_{1,0,k}(\nu - 1)\mathbf{a}_{k,1}^*(1; \nu) = \\ &(\tau - 1)^4\tau^2((2\tau - 1)(8\tau^2 - 5\tau + 1) + \tau - 1)^3 - \\ &2(\tau - 1)^2\tau^2(2\tau - 1)(\tau - 1)^2(4\tau^2 - 3\tau + 1) = (\tau - 1)^4\tau^2 \times \\ &((8\tau^2 - 5\tau + 1 - 8\tau^2 + 6\tau - 2)(2\tau - 1) + \tau - 1)^3 = (\tau - 1)^5\tau^2 \times \\ &(\tau - 1)^2 + 2\tau - 1 = (\tau - 1)^5\tau^4, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=0}^4 r_{1,0,k}(\nu-1)\mathbf{a}_{k,2}^*(1;\nu) = \\
& (\tau-1)^4(-2\tau(2\tau-1)((5\tau^2-4\tau+1)+(\tau-1)^2))- \\
& 2(\tau-1)^2(-\tau(2\tau-1)(\tau-1)^2(6\tau^2-6\tau+2)) = \\
& (\tau-1)^4(\tau(2\tau-1)(2\tau^2-4\tau+2-2(\tau-1)^2) = 0 \\
& \sum_{k=0}^4 r_{1,0,k}(\nu-1)\mathbf{a}_{k,3}^*(1;\nu) = \\
& (\tau-1)^4((2\tau-1)((-4\tau^2-\tau+1)+(\tau-1)(4\tau-1)))- \\
& 2(\tau-1)^2((\tau-1)^2(-2\tau-1)(3\tau-1)+\tau^2(\tau-1)) = \\
& (\tau-1)^5((2\tau-1)(-4\tau+1)+(-2\tau^2+4\tau-1)) = -2\tau^2(\tau-1)^5, \\
& \sum_{k=0}^4 r_{1,0,k}(\nu-1)\mathbf{a}_{k,4}^*(1;\nu) = 0+0+0+0 = 0. \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] R. Apéry, Interpolation des fractions continues et irrationalite de certaines constantes, *Bulletin de la Section des Sciences du C.T.H.*, No. 3 (1981), 37-53.
- [2] Harry Bateman, Arthur Erdelyi, *Higher Transcendental Functions*, Mc Grow Hill Company, New York-Toronto-London (1953).
- [3] L.A. Gutnik, On some systems of difference equations. Part 1, *Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik*, Bonn, Preprint Series (2006), 23, 1-37.
- [4] L.A. Gutnik, On some systems of difference equations. Part 2, *Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik*, Bonn, Preprint Series (2006), 49, 1-31.
- [5] L.A. Gutnik, On some systems of difference equations. Part 3, *Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik*, Bonn, Preprint Series (2006), 91, 1-52.
- [6] L.A. Gutnik, On some systems of difference equations. Part 4, *MPI für Mathematik*, Bonn, Preprint Series (2006), 101, 1-49.
- [7] L.A. Gutnik, On some systems of difference equations. Part 5, *MPI für Mathematik*, Bonn, Preprint Series (2006), 115, 1-9.

- [8] L.A. Gutnik, On some systems of difference equations. Part 6, *MPI für Mathematik*, Bonn, Preprint Series (2007), 16, 1-30.
- [9] L.A. Gutnik, On some systems of difference equations. Part 7, *MPI für Mathematik*, Bonn, Preprint Series (2007), 53, 1-40.
- [10] L.A. Gutnik, On some systems of difference equations. Part 8, *MPI für Mathematik*, Bonn, Preprint Series (2007), 64, 1-44.
- [11] L.A. Gutnik, On some systems of difference equations. Part 9, *Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik*, Bonn, Preprint Series (2007), 64, 129, 1-36.
- [12] L.A. Gutnik, On some systems of difference equations. Part 10, *MPI für Mathematik*, Bonn, Preprint Series (2007), 131, 1-33.
- [13] L.A. Gutnik, On some systems of difference equations. Part 11, *MPI für Mathematik*, Bonn, Preprint Series (2008), 38, 1-45.
- [14] L.A. Gutnik, On the number $\zeta(3)$, *ArXiv*: 09022.4732, E-print (2009), 1-48.
- [15] L.A. Gutnik, On Expansion of $\zeta(3)$ in Continued Fraction (Short version), *International Mathematical Forum*, **8**, No. 16 (2013), 771-781, **doi**: 10.12988/imf.
- [16] L.A. Gutnik, An expansion of $\zeta(3)$ in continued fraction with parameter, *ArXiv*: 1307.1125, E-print (2013), 1-40.
- [17] L.A. Gutnik, On expansion of $\zeta(3)$ in continued fraction, Part 2 (short version), *International Mathematical Forum*, **8**, No. 28 (2013), 1385-1396, **doi**: 10.12988/imf.