

**COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREMS FOR FOUR MAPPINGS
IN INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY METRIC SPACES**

Saurabh Manro¹, Shin Min Kang^{2 §}

¹School of Mathematics and Computer Applications
Thapar University
Patiala 147004, Punjab, INDIA

²Department of Mathematics and RINS
Gyeongsang National University
Jinju, 660-701, KOREA

Abstract: In this paper, we prove common fixed point theorems for four mappings by using pointwise R -weakly commuting and reciprocally continuous mappings satisfying contractive condition in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces.

AMS Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25

Key Words: intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces, reciprocally continuous mappings, pointwise R -weakly commuting mappings

1. Introduction

Atanassov [3] introduced and studied the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy sets as a generalization of fuzzy sets. In 2004, Park [7] defined the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy metric space with the help of continuous t -norms and continuous t -conorms. Recently, in 2006, Alaca et al. [2] using the idea of intuitionistic fuzzy sets, defined the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy metric space with the help of continuous t -norm and continuous t -conorms as a generalization of fuzzy metric space due to Kramosil and Michálek [5]. In 2006, Türkoğlu et al. [9]

Received: December 20, 2013

© 2014 Academic Publications, Ltd.
url: www.acadpubl.eu

[§]Correspondence author

proved Jungck's common fixed point theorem ([4]) in the setting of intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces for commuting mappings. For more detail, one can refer to papers ([1], [6], [10], [11]).

In this paper, we prove a common fixed point theorem for four mappings by using pointwise R -weakly commuting and reciprocally continuous mappings satisfying contractive condition in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces.

2. Preliminaries

Schweizer and Sklar [8] defined the following notions:

Definition 2.1. A binary operation $*$: $[0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is *continuous t -norm* if $*$ satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) $*$ is commutative and associative;
- (ii) $*$ is continuous;
- (iii) $a * 1 = a$ for all $a \in [0, 1]$;
- (iv) $a * b \leq c * d$ whenever $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$ for all $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$.

Definition 2.2. A binary operation \diamond : $[0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is *continuous t -conorm* if \diamond satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) \diamond is commutative and associative;
- (ii) \diamond is continuous;
- (iii) $a \diamond 0 = a$ for all $a \in [0, 1]$;
- (iv) $a \diamond b \leq c \diamond d$ whenever $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$ for all $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$.

Alaca et al. [2] defined the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy metric space as follows:

Definition 2.3. A 5-tuple $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ is said to be an *intuitionistic fuzzy metric space* if X is an arbitrary set, $*$ is a continuous t -norm, \diamond is a continuous t -conorm and M, N are fuzzy sets on $X^2 \times [0, \infty)$ satisfying

- (i) $M(x, y, t) + N(x, y, t) \leq 1$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$;
- (ii) $M(x, y, 0) = 0$ for all $x, y \in X$;
- (iii) $M(x, y, t) = 1$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$ if and only if $x = y$;
- (iv) $M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$;
- (v) $M(x, y, t) * M(y, z, s) \leq M(x, z, t + s)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$ and $s, t > 0$;
- (vi) for all $x, y \in X$, $M(x, y, \cdot) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is left continuous;
- (vii) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} M(x, y, t) = 1$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$;
- (viii) $N(x, y, 0) = 1$ for all $x, y \in X$;
- (ix) $N(x, y, t) = 0$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$ if and only if $x = y$;
- (x) $N(x, y, t) = N(y, x, t)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$;

- (xi) $N(x, y, t) \diamond N(y, z, s) \geq N(x, z, t + s)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$ and $s, t > 0$;
- (xii) for all $x, y \in X$, $N(x, y, \cdot) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is right continuous;
- (xiii) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} N(x, y, t) = 0$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Then (M, N) is called an *intuitionistic fuzzy metric* on X . The functions $M(x, y, t)$ and $N(x, y, t)$ denote the degree of nearness and the degree of non-nearness between x and y with respect to t , respectively.

Remark 2.4. Every fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space of the form $(X, M, 1 - M, *, \diamond)$ such that t -norm $*$ and t -conorm \diamond are associated as $x \diamond y = 1 - ((1 - x) * (1 - y))$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Remark 2.5. In an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$, $M(x, y, \cdot)$ is non-decreasing and $N(x, y, \cdot)$ is non-increasing for all $x, y \in X$.

Definition 2.6. Let $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. Then a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be

- (i) *convergent* to a point $x \in X$ if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, x, t) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(x_n, x, t) = 0$$

for all $t > 0$,

- (ii) *Cauchy sequence* if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_{n+p}, x_n, t) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(x_{n+p}, x_n, t) = 0$$

for all $t > 0$ and $p > 0$.

Definition 2.7. An intuitionistic fuzzy metric space $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ is said to be *complete* if and only if every Cauchy sequence in X is convergent.

Türkoğlu et al. [10] defined the following notions:

Definition 2.8. Let A and S be self-mappings of an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$. Then a pair (A, S) is said to be *commuting* if

$$M(ASx, SAx, t) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad N(ASx, SAx, t) = 0$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t > 0$.

Definition 2.9. Let A and S be self-mappings of an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$. Then a pair (A, S) is said to be *weakly commuting* if

$$M(ASx, SAx, t) \geq M(Ax, Sx, t)$$

and

$$N(ASx, SAx, t) \leq N(Ax, Sx, t)$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t > 0$.

Definition 2.10. Let A and S be self-mappings of an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$. Then a pair (A, S) is said to be *compatible* if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(ASx_n, SAx_n, t) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(ASx_n, SAx_n, t) = 0$$

for all $t > 0$, whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = u$ for some $u \in X$.

Definition 2.11. ([9]) Let A and S be self-mappings of an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$. Then a pair (A, S) is said to be *pointwise R -weakly commuting* if given $x \in X$, there exist $R > 0$ such that

$$M(ASx, SAx, t) \geq M(Ax, Sx, t/R)$$

and

$$N(ASx, SAx, t) \leq N(Ax, Sx, t/R)$$

for all $t > 0$.

Clearly, every pair of weakly commuting mappings is pointwise R -weakly commuting with $R = 1$.

Definition 2.12. ([6]) Two self-mappings A and S of an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ is said to be *reciprocally continuous* if

$$ASu_n \rightarrow Az \quad \text{and} \quad SAu_n \rightarrow Sz,$$

whenever $\{u_n\}$ is a sequence such that $Au_n \rightarrow z$ and $Su_n \rightarrow z$ for some $z \in X$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

If A and S are both continuous, then they are obviously reciprocally continuous, but converse is not true.

Lemma 2.13. ([2], [9]) Let $\{u_n\}$ is a sequence in an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$. If there exists a constant $k \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$M(u_n, u_{n+1}, kt) \geq M(u_{n-1}, u_n, t)$$

and

$$N(u_n, u_{n+1}, kt) \leq N(u_{n-1}, u_n, t)$$

for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, then $\{u_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X .

Lemma 2.14. ([2], [9]) Let $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. If there exists a constant $k \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$M(x, y, kt) \geq M(x, y, t) \quad \text{and} \quad N(x, y, kt) \leq N(x, y, t)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$, then $x = y$.

3. Main Results

For our main theorem, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ be a complete intuitionistic fuzzy metric space with $t * t \geq t$ and $(1 - t) \diamond (1 - t) \leq (1 - t)$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Further, let A, B, S and T be four self-mappings of X satisfying*

- (C1) $A(X) \subset T(X)$ and $B(X) \subset S(X)$,
- (C2) there exists a constant $k \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & [1 + aM(Sx, Ty, kt)] * M(Ax, By, kt) \\ & \geq a[M(Ax, Sx, kt) * M(By, Ty, kt) * M(By, Sx, kt)] \\ & \quad + M(Ty, Sx, t) * M(Ax, Sx, t) * M(By, Ty, t) \\ & \quad * M(By, Sx, \alpha t) * M(Ax, Ty, (2 - \alpha)t) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & [1 + aN(Sx, Ty, kt)] \diamond N(Ax, By, kt) \\ & \leq a[N(Ax, Sx, kt) \diamond N(By, Ty, kt) \diamond N(By, Sx, kt)] \\ & \quad + N(Ty, Sx, t) \diamond N(Ax, Sx, t) \diamond N(By, Ty, t) \\ & \quad \diamond N(By, Sx, \alpha t) \diamond N(Ax, Ty, (2 - \alpha)t) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in X, a \geq 0, \alpha \in (0, 2)$ and $t > 0$.

If the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) are pointwise R -weakly commuting, then one continuity of the mappings in compatible pair (A, S) or (B, T) implies their reciprocal continuity.

Proof. First, assume that A and S are compatible and S is continuous. We show that A and S are reciprocally continuous. Let $\{u_n\}$ be a sequence such that $Au_n \rightarrow z$ and $Su_n \rightarrow z$ for some $z \in X$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since S is continuous, we have $SAu_n \rightarrow Sz$ and $SSu_n \rightarrow Sz$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and since (A, S) is compatible, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(ASu_n, SAu_n, t) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(ASu_n, SAu_n, t) = 0,$$

which implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(ASu_n, Sz, t) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(ASu_n, Sz, t) = 0$$

for all $t > 0$, that is $ASu_n \rightarrow Sz$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By (C1), for each n , there exists $v_n \in X$ such that $ASu_n = Tv_n$. Thus, we have $SSu_n \rightarrow Sz, SAu_n \rightarrow Sz, ASu_n \rightarrow Sz$ and $Tv_n \rightarrow Sz$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, whenever $ASu_n = Tv_n$.

Now we claim that $Bv_n \rightarrow Sz$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By (C2), take $\alpha = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & [1 + aM(SSu_n, Tv_n, kt)] * M(ASu_n, Bv_n, kt) \\ & \geq a[M(ASu_n, SSu_n, kt) * M(Bv_n, Tv_n, kt) * M(Bv_n, SSu_n, kt)] \\ & \quad + M(Tv_n, SSu_n, t) * M(ASu_n, SSu_n, t) * M(Bv_n, Tv_n, t) \\ & \quad * M(Bv_n, SSu_n, t) * M(ASu_n, Tv_n, t) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & [1 + aN(SSu_n, Tv_n, kt)] \diamond N(ASu_n, Bv_n, kt) \\ & \leq a[N(ASu_n, SSu_n, kt) \diamond N(Bv_n, Tv_n, kt) \diamond N(Bv_n, SSu_n, kt)] \\ & \quad + N(Tv_n, SSu_n, t) \diamond N(ASu_n, SSu_n, t) \diamond N(Bv_n, Tv_n, t) \\ & \quad \diamond N(Bv_n, SSu_n, t) \diamond N(ASu_n, Tv_n, t). \end{aligned}$$

Taking $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} & [1 + aM(Sz, Sz, kt)] * M(Sz, Bv_n, kt) \\ & \geq a[M(Sz, Sz, kt) * M(Bv_n, Sz, kt) * M(Bv_n, Sz, kt)] \\ & \quad + M(Sz, Sz, t) * M(Sz, Sz, t) * M(Bv_n, Sz, t) \\ & \quad * M(Bv_n, Sz, t) * M(Sz, Sz, t) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & [1 + aN(Sz, Sz, kt)] \diamond N(Sz, Bv_n, kt) \\ & \leq a[N(Sz, Sz, kt) \diamond N(Bv_n, Sz, kt) \diamond N(Bv_n, Sz, kt)] \\ & \quad + N(Sz, Sz, t) \diamond N(Sz, Sz, t) \diamond N(Bv_n, Sz, t) \\ & \quad \diamond N(Bv_n, Sz, t) \diamond N(Sz, Sz, t), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$M(Sz, Bv_n, kt) \geq M(Bv_n, Sz, t)$$

and

$$N(Sz, Bv_n, kt) \geq N(Bv_n, Sz, t).$$

By Lemma 2.14, we have $Bv_n \rightarrow Sz$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Again by (C2), take $\alpha = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & [1 + aM(Sz, Tv_n, kt)] * M(Az, Bv_n, kt) \\ & \geq a[M(Az, Sz, kt) * M(Bv_n, Tv_n, kt) * M(Bv_n, Sz, kt)] \\ & \quad + M(Tv_n, Sz, t) * M(Az, Sz, t) * M(Bv_n, Tv_n, t) \\ & \quad * M(Bv_n, Sz, t) * M(Az, Tv_n, t) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [1 + aN(Sz, Tv_n, kt)] \diamond N(Az, Bv_n, kt) \\
 & \leq a[N(Az, Sz, kt) \diamond N(Bv_n, Tv_n, kt) \diamond N(Bv_n, Sz, kt)] \\
 & \quad + N(Tv_n, Sz, t) \diamond N(Az, Sz, t) \diamond N(Bv_n, Tv_n, t) \\
 & \quad \diamond N(Bv_n, Sz, t) \diamond N(Az, Tv_n, t).
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [1 + aM(Sz, Sz, kt)] * M(Az, Sz, kt) \\
 & \geq a[M(Az, Sz, kt) * M(Sz, Sz, kt) * M(Sz, Sz, kt)] \\
 & \quad + M(Sz, Sz, t) * M(Az, Sz, t) * M(Sz, Sz, t) \\
 & \quad * M(Sz, Sz, t) * M(Az, Tv_n, t)
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [1 + aN(Sz, Sz, kt)] \diamond N(Az, Sz, kt) \\
 & \leq a[N(Az, Sz, kt) \diamond N(Sz, Sz, kt) \diamond N(Sz, Sz, kt)] \\
 & \quad + N(Sz, Sz, t) \diamond N(Az, Sz, t) \diamond N(Sz, Sz, t) \\
 & \quad \diamond N(Sz, Sz, t) \diamond N(Az, Sz, t),
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$M(Az, Sz, kt) \geq M(Az, Sz, t) \quad \text{and} \quad N(Az, Sz, kt) \geq N(Az, Sz, t).$$

By Lemma 2.14, $Az = Sz$. Therefore, $SAu_n \rightarrow Sz$ and $ASu_n \rightarrow Sz = Az$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, A and S are reciprocally continuous on X .

Similarly, if the pair (B, T) is compatible and T is continuous, then the proof is similar. This completes the proof. □

Now, we prove main theorem.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ be a complete intuitionistic fuzzy metric space with $t * t \geq t$ and $(1 - t) \diamond (1 - t) \leq (1 - t)$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Further, let A, B, S and T be four self-mappings of X satisfying (C1) and (C2). If the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) are pointwise R -weakly commuting and one of the mappings in compatible pair (A, S) or (B, T) is continuous, then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point.*

Proof. By (C1) since $A(X) \subset T(X)$, for any point $x_0 \in X$, there exists a point $x_1 \in X$ such that $Ax_0 = Tx_1$. Since $B(X) \subset S(X)$, for this point $x_1 \in X$, we can choose a point x_2 in X such that $Bx_1 = Sx_2$ and so on. Inductively, we can define a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in X such that for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

$$y_{2n} = Ax_{2n} = Tx_{2n+1} \quad \text{and} \quad y_{2n+1} = Bx_{2n+1} = Sx_{2n+2}.$$

By (C2), for all $t > 0$ and $\alpha = 1 - q$ with $q \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [1 + aM(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, kt) * M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, kt)] \\ & \geq a[M(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}, kt) * M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, kt) * M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, kt)] \\ & \quad + M(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t) * M(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}, t) * M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, t) \\ & \quad * M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, (1 - q)t) * M(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n}, (1 + q)t) \\ & \geq a[M(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}, kt) * M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, kt)] \\ & \quad + M(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t) * M(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}, t) * M(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, qt) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & [1 + aN(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, kt)] \diamond N(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, kt) \\ & \leq a[N(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}, kt) \diamond N(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, kt) \diamond N(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, kt)] \\ & \quad + N(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t) \diamond N(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}, t) \diamond N(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, t) \\ & \quad \diamond N(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, (1 - q)t) \diamond N(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n}, (1 + q)t) \\ & \leq a[M(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}, kt) \diamond M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, kt)] \\ & \quad + M(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t) \diamond M(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}, t) \diamond M(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, qt). \end{aligned}$$

Thus it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, kt) & \geq M(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t) * M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, t) \\ & \quad * M(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, qt) \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} N(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, kt) & \leq N(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t) \diamond N(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, t) \\ & \quad \diamond N(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, qt). \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Since the t -norm and the t -conorm are continuous and $M(x, y, \cdot)$ is left continuous and $N(x, y, \cdot)$ is right continuous. Letting $q \rightarrow 1$ in (3.1) and (3.2), we have

$$M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, kt) \geq M(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t) * M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, t)$$

and

$$N(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, kt) \leq N(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t) \diamond N(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, t).$$

Similarly, we also have

$$M(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+3}, kt) \geq M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, t) * M(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+3}, t)$$

and

$$N(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+3}, kt) \leq N(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, t) \diamond N(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+3}, t).$$

In general, for $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

$$M(y_{m+1}, y_{m+2}, kt) \geq M(y_m, y_{m+1}, t) * M(y_{m+1}, y_{m+2}, t)$$

and

$$N(y_{m+1}, y_{m+2}, kt) \leq N(y_m, y_{m+1}, t) \diamond N(y_{m+1}, y_{m+3}, t).$$

Consequently, it follows that for $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ and $p = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

$$M(y_{m+1}, y_{m+2}, kt) \geq M(y_m, y_{m+1}, t) * M(y_{m+1}, y_{m+2}, t/k^p)$$

and

$$N(y_{m+1}, y_{m+2}, kt) \leq N(y_m, y_{m+1}, t) \diamond N(y_{m+1}, y_{m+3}, t/k^p).$$

As $p \rightarrow \infty$,

$$M(y_{m+1}, y_{m+2}, kt) \geq M(y_m, y_{m+1}, t)$$

and

$$N(y_{m+1}, y_{m+2}, kt) \leq N(y_m, y_{m+1}, t).$$

Hence by Lemma 2.13, $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X . Since X is complete, $\{y_n\}$ converges to $z \in X$. Its subsequences $\{Ax_{2n}\}$, $\{Tx_{2n+1}\}$, $\{Bx_{2n+1}\}$ and $\{Sx_{2n+2}\}$ also converges to z .

Now, suppose that (A, S) is a compatible pair and S is continuous. Then by Lemma 3.1, A and S are reciprocally continuous, thus $S Ax_n \rightarrow Sz$ and $ASx_n \rightarrow Az$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. As (A, S) is a compatible pair, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(ASx_n, SAx_n, t) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(ASx_n, SAx_n, t) = 0,$$

that is,

$$M(Az, Sz, t) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad N(Az, Sz, t) = 0.$$

Hence $Az = Sz$. Since $A(X) \subset T(X)$, there exists a point $p \in X$ such that $Az = Tp = Sz$. By (C2), take $\alpha = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & [1 + aM(Sz, Tp, kt)] * M(Az, Bp, kt) \\ & \geq a[M(Az, Sz, kt) * M(Bp, Tp, kt) * M(Bp, Sz, kt)] \\ & \quad + M(Tp, Sz, t) * M(Az, Sz, t) * M(Bp, Tp, t) \\ & \quad * M(Bp, Sz, t) * M(Az, Tp, t) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & [1 + aN(Sz, Tp, kt)] \diamond N(Az, Bp, kt) \\ & \leq a[N(Az, Sz, kt) \diamond N(Bp, Tp, kt) \diamond N(Bp, Sz, kt)] \\ & \quad + N(Tp, Sz, t) \diamond N(Az, Sz, t) \diamond N(Bp, Tp, t) \\ & \quad \diamond N(Bp, Sz, t) \diamond N(Az, Tp, t), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$M(Az, Bp, kt) \leq M(Az, Bp, t) \quad \text{and} \quad N(Az, Bp, kt) \geq N(Az, Bp, t)$$

for all $t > 0$. By Lemma 2.14, we have $Az = Bp$. Thus, $Az = Bp = Sz = Tp$. Since A and S are pointwise R -weakly commuting mappings, there exists $R > 0$ such that

$$M(ASz, SAz, t) \geq M(Az, Sz, t/R) = 1$$

and

$$N(ASz, SAz, t) \leq N(Az, Sz, t/R) = 0.$$

Therefore, $ASz = SAz$ and $AAz = ASz = SAz = SSz$.

Similarly, B and T are pointwise R -weakly commuting mappings, we have $BBp = BTp = TBp = TTp$. Again by (C2), take $\alpha = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & [1 + aM(SAz, Tp, kt)] * M(AAz, Bp, kt) \\ & \geq a[M(AAz, SAz, kt) * M(Bp, Tp, kt) * M(Bp, SAz, kt)] \\ & \quad + M(Tp, SAz, t) * M(AAz, SAz, t) * M(Bp, Tp, t) \\ & \quad * M(Bp, SAz, t) * M(AAz, Tp, t) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & [1 + aN(SAz, Tp, kt)] \diamond N(AAz, Bp, kt) \\ & \leq a[N(AAz, SAz, kt) \diamond N(Bp, Tp, kt) \diamond N(Bp, SAz, kt)] \\ & \quad + N(Tp, SAz, t) \diamond N(AAz, SAz, t) \diamond N(Bp, Tp, t) \\ & \quad \diamond N(Bp, SAz, t) \diamond N(AAz, Tp, t), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$M(AAz, Az, kt) \leq M(AAz, Az, t)$$

and

$$N(AAz, Az, kt) \geq N(AAz, Az, t).$$

Hence by Lemma 2.14, $AAz = Az = SAz$. Hence Az is common fixed point of A and S . Similarly by (C2), $Bp = Az$ is a common fixed point of B and T . Hence, Az is a common fixed point of A, B, S and T .

Finally, suppose that Ap ($\neq Az$) is another common fixed point of A, B, S and T . Again by (C2), take $\alpha = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & [1 + aM(SAz, TAp, kt)] * M(AAz, BAp, kt) \\ & \geq a[M(AAz, SAz, kt) * M(BAp, TAp, kt) * M(BAp, SAz, kt)] \\ & \quad + M(TAp, SAz, t) * M(AAz, SAz, t) * M(BAp, TAp, t) \\ & \quad * M(BAp, SAz, t) * M(AAz, TAp, t) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & [1 + aN(SAz, TAp, kt)] \diamond N(AAz, BAp, kt) \\ & \leq a[N(AAz, SAz, kt) \diamond N(Bp, TAp, kt) \diamond N(BAp, SAz, kt)] \\ & \quad + N(TAp, SAz, t) \diamond N(AAz, SAz, t) \diamond N(BAp, TAp, t) \\ & \quad \diamond N(BAp, SAz, t) \diamond N(AAz, TAp, t), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$M(Az, Ap, kt) \geq M(Az, Ap, t) \quad \text{and} \quad N(Az, Ap, kt) \leq N(Az, Ap, t).$$

Hence by using Lemma 2.14, $Az = Ap$. Thus uniqueness follows. This completes the proof. \square

If S and T are identity mappings in Theorem 3.2, we get following result.

Corollary 3.3. *Let $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ be a complete intuitionistic fuzzy metric space with $t * t \geq t$ and $(1 - t) \diamond (1 - t) \leq (1 - t)$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Further, let A and B be reciprocally continuous mappings on X satisfying*

(C3) *there exists a constant $k \in (0, 1)$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & [1 + aM(x, y, kt)] * M(Ax, By, kt) \\ & \geq a[M(Ax, x, kt) * M(By, y, kt) * M(By, x, kt)] \\ & \quad + M(y, x, t) * M(Ax, x, t) * M(By, y, t) \\ & \quad * M(By, x, \alpha t) * M(Ax, y, (2 - \alpha)t) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & [1 + aN(x, y, kt)] \diamond N(Ax, By, kt) \\ & \leq a[N(Ax, x, kt) \diamond N(By, y, kt) \diamond N(By, x, kt)] \\ & \quad + N(y, x, t) \diamond N(Ax, x, t) \diamond N(By, y, t) \\ & \quad \diamond N(By, x, \alpha t) \diamond N(Ax, y, (2 - \alpha)t) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in X$, $a \geq 0$, $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ and $t > 0$.

Then A and B has a unique common fixed point.

References

- [1] C. Alaca, I. Altun, D. Türkoğlu, On compatible mappings of type (I) and (II) in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces, *Commun. Korean Math. Soc.*, **23**, No. 3 (2008), 427-446, doi: 10.4134/CKMS.2008.23.3.427

- [2] C. Alaca, D. Türkoğlu, C. Yildiz, Fixed points in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces, *Chaos, Solitons Fractals*, **29**, No. 5 (2006), 1073-1078, **doi:** 10.1016/j.chaos.2005.08.066
- [3] K.T. Atanassov, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, *Fuzzy Sets and System*, **20**, No. 1 (1986), 87-96, **doi:** 10.1016/S0165-0114(86)80034-3
- [4] G. Jungck, Commuting mappings and fixed points, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **83**, No. 4 (1976), 261-263, **doi:** 10.2307/2318216
- [5] I. Kramosil, J. Michálek, Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces, *Kybernetika*, **11**, No. 5 (1975), 326-334, **doi:** 10.2298/FIL0802043K
- [6] S. Muralisankar, G. Kalpana, Common fixed point theorems in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces using new contractive condition of integral type, *Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sci.*, **4**, No. 11 (2009), 505-518.
- [7] J.H. Park, Intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces, *Chaos, Solitons Fractals*, **22**, No. 5 (2004), 1039-1046, **doi:** 10.1016/j.chaos.2004.02.051
- [8] B. Schweizer, A. Sklar, *Probabilistic Metric Spaces*, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1983.
- [9] D. Türkoğlu, C. Alaca, Y.J. Cho, C. Yildiz, Common fixed point theorems in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces, *J. Appl. Math Comput.*, **22**, No. 1-2 (2006), 411-424, **doi:** 10.1007/BF02896489
- [10] D. Türkoğlu, C. Alaca, C. Yildiz, Compatible maps and compatible maps of type (α) and (β) in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces, *Demonstratio Math.*, **39**, No. 3 (2006), 671-684.
- [11] D. Türkoğlu, C. Alaca, C. Yildiz, Common fixed point theorems of compatible maps in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces, *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.*, **32**, No. 1 (2008), 21-33.