

MORPHISMS ON CLOSURE SPACES AND MOORE SPACES

B. Venkateswarlu¹ §, R. Vasu Babu², Getnet Alemu³

¹Department of Mathematics

GIT, GITAM University

Visakhapatnam, 530 045, A.P., INDIA

²Department of Mathematics

Shri Vishnu Engineering college for Women

Bhimavaram, 534201, W.G. Dist., A.P., INDIA

³Department of Mathematics

Gondar University

Gondar, ETHIOPIA

Abstract: We discuss an equivalence of the concepts of complete lattices, Moore classes, and a one-to-one correspondence between Moore classes of subsets of a set X and closure operators on X . Also, we establish a correspondence between closure operators on sets and complete lattices. We describe morphisms among partially ordered sets, lattices, Moore classes, closure operators and complete lattices and discuss certain inter-relationships between these objects.

AMS Subject Classification: 06A15, 06F30, 54H12

Key Words: closure operator, Moore class, compact element, complete lattice, algebraic Lattice, frame, morphisms

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

A partially ordered set (poset) is a pair (X, \leq) , where X is a non empty set and

Received: September 26, 2013

© 2014 Academic Publications, Ltd.
url: www.acadpubl.eu

§Correspondence author

\leq is a partial order (a reflexive, transitive and antisymmetric binary relation) on X . For any subset A of X and $x \in X$, x is called a lower bound (upper bound) of A if $x \leq a$ ($a \leq x$ respectively) for all $a \in A$. A poset (X, \leq) is called a lattice if every nonempty finite subset of X has greatest lower bound (or glb or infimum) and least upper bound (or lub or supremum) in X . If (X, \leq) is a lattice and, for any $a, b \in X$, if we define $a \wedge b = \text{infimum } \{a, b\}$ and $a \vee b = \text{supremum } \{a, b\}$, then \wedge and \vee are binary operations on X which are commutative, associative and idempotent and satisfy the absorption laws $a \wedge (a \vee b) = a = a \vee (a \wedge b)$. Conversely, any algebraic system (X, \wedge, \vee) satisfying the above properties becomes a lattice in which the partial order is defined by $a \leq b \iff a = a \wedge b \iff a \vee b = b$. A lattice (X, \wedge, \vee) is called distributive if $a \wedge (b \vee c) = (a \wedge b) \vee (a \wedge c)$ for all $a, b, c \in X$ (equivalently $a \vee (b \wedge c) = (a \vee b) \wedge (a \vee c)$ for all $a, b, c \in X$). A lattice (X, \wedge, \vee) is called a bounded lattice if it has the smallest element 0 and largest element 1; that is, there are elements 0 and 1 in X , such that $0 \leq x \leq 1$ for all $x \in X$.

A partially ordered set in which every subset has infimum and supremum is called a complete lattice. If (L, \leq) is a complete lattice and $X \subseteq L$, we write $\text{inf}X$ or $\wedge X$ or $\bigwedge_{x \in X} x$ for the infimum of X and $\text{sup}X$ or $\vee X$ or $\bigvee_{x \in X} x$ for the supremum of X . If $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ is a finite subset, then we write $\bigwedge_{i=1}^n x_i$

or $x_1 \wedge x_2 \wedge \dots \wedge x_n$ for $\text{inf}X$ and $\bigvee_{i=1}^n x_i$ or $x_1 \vee x_2 \vee \dots \vee x_n$ for $\text{sup}X$. Any complete lattice has the smallest element and the greatest element which are denoted by 0 and 1 respectively. Logically, the infimum and supremum of the empty set are 1 and 0 respectively. An element $a \neq 0$ in a complete lattice L is called compact if, for any $A \subseteq L$, $a \leq \text{sup}A \implies a \leq \text{sup}F$ for some finite $F \subseteq A$. A complete lattice in which every element is the supremum of a set of compact elements is called an algebraic lattice. For elementary properties of posets and lattices we refer to [1] and [2].

2. Moore Classes and Closure Operators

In this section, we introduce the notion of a Moore class and discuss certain important elementary properties of these. To begin with, we have the following.

Definition 2.1. Let X be any non-empty set. A non-empty class \mathcal{M} of subsets of X is called a Moore class on X if \mathcal{M} is closed under arbitrary intersections, in the sense that, if $\{M_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Delta}$ is a subclass of \mathcal{M} , then $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta} M_\alpha \in \mathcal{M}$.

\mathcal{M} .

Example 2.2. Let X be a topological space and \mathcal{C} be the class of all closed subsets of X [3]. Then \mathcal{C} is a Moore class on the set X .

Example 2.3. Let G be a group and \mathcal{S} be the class of all subgroups of G . Then \mathcal{S} is a Moore class on the set G .

Example 2.4. Let A be any universal algebra [4], where there is at least one fundamental nullary operation, and let \mathcal{S} be the class of all subalgebras of A . If a_0 is an element of A corresponding to a fundamental nullary operation on A , then a_0 belongs to every subalgebra of A . From this it follows that the intersection of any class of subalgebras of A is non-empty and hence a subalgebra of A . Thus \mathcal{S} is a Moore class on A .

Example 2.5. For any non-empty set X , the whole power set $\mathcal{P}(X)$ is a Moore class on X and is called the discrete Moore class on X .

Example 2.6. Let X be a non-empty set and $A \subseteq X$. Then the class $\{A, X\}$ is a Moore class on X .

Since the intersection of the empty class of subsets of a set X is the whole set X , it follows that any Moore class necessarily contains X . The following is a straight forward verification.

Theorem 2.7. Let \mathcal{M} be a Moore class on a set X . For any subset A of X , define

$$\bar{A} = \cap \{M \in \mathcal{M} \mid A \subseteq M\}.$$

Then the following hold for any subsets A and B of X

- (1). $A \subseteq \bar{A}$
- (2). $\overline{\bar{A}} = \bar{A}$
- (3). $A \subseteq B \implies \bar{A} \subseteq \bar{B}$
- (4). \bar{A} is the smallest member in \mathcal{M} containing A .

Corollary 2.8. If \mathcal{M} is a Moore class on X , then $\mathcal{M} = \{A \subseteq X \mid \bar{A} = A\}$.

Note that in 2.2 above, \bar{A} is the topological closure of A , while in 2.3, \bar{A} is the subgroups generated by A .

Definition 2.9. Let X be a non-empty set and $\mathcal{P}(X)$ the set of all subsets of X . A mapping $c : \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$ is called a closure operator on X if it satisfies the following for any A and B in $\mathcal{P}(X)$

- (1). c is extensive ; that is, $A \subseteq c(A)$
- (2). c is idempotent ; that is, $c(c(A)) = c(A)$
- (3). c is inclusion preserving ; that is, $A \subseteq B \Rightarrow c(A) \subseteq c(B)$.

The following is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.7.

Theorem 2.10. *Let \mathcal{M} be a Moore class on a set X and, for any $A \subseteq X$, define*

$$c_{\mathcal{M}}(A) = \bigcap \{M \in \mathcal{M} \mid A \subseteq M\}.$$

Then $c_{\mathcal{M}}$ is a closure operator on X .

The following is routine verification.

Theorem 2.11. *Let c be a closure operator on a set X and*

$$\mathcal{M}_c = \{A \subseteq X \mid c(A) = A\} = \{c(A) \mid A \subseteq X\}.$$

Then \mathcal{M}_c is a Moore class on X . Also, $c \mapsto \mathcal{M}_c$ is a one-to-one correspondence between the closure operators on X and Moore classes on X .

Definition 2.12. A closure operator c on a set X is called a topological closure operator if $c(\phi) = \phi$ and $c(A \cup B) = c(A) \cup c(B)$ for any subsets A and B of X .

Theorem 2.13. *Let c be a closure operator on a set X and \mathcal{M}_c be the Moore class on X corresponding to c , as given in Theorem 2.11. Then c is a topological closure operator on X if and only if \mathcal{M}_c is closed under finite unions, in the sense that, for any finite subclass $\{M_i\}_{i \in I}$ of \mathcal{M}_c , $\bigcup_{i \in I} M_i \in \mathcal{M}_c$.*

Proof. Suppose that c is a topological closure operator on X . First, let us observe that the empty set ϕ belongs to \mathcal{M}_c , since $c(\phi) = \phi$. Let $\{M_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a finite subclass of \mathcal{M}_c . If I is empty, then

$$\bigcup_{i \in I} M_i = \phi \in \mathcal{M}_c$$

Therefore, we can assume that I is non-empty, say $I = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, where n is a positive integer. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcup_{i \in I} M_i &= M_1 \cup M_2 \cup \dots \cup M_n \\ &= c(M_1) \cup c(M_2) \cup \dots \cup c(M_n), \text{ since } M_i \in \mathcal{M}_c \end{aligned}$$

$$= c(M_1 \cup M_2 \cup \dots \cup M_n)$$

and therefore $\bigcup_{i \in I} M_i \in \mathcal{M}_c$. Thus \mathcal{M}_c is closed under finite unions.

Conversely, suppose that \mathcal{M}_c is closed under finite unions. In particular, $\phi \in \mathcal{M}_c$, since the union of the empty class of sets is empty. Therefore $c(\phi) = \phi$. Also, for any subsets A and B of X , we have

$$c(c(A)) = c(A) \text{ and } c(c(B)) = c(B)$$

and hence $c(A)$ and $c(B)$ are members of \mathcal{M}_c . Since \mathcal{M}_c is closed under finite unions, it follows that

$$c(A) \cup c(B) \in \mathcal{M}_c$$

and therefore $c(c(A) \cup c(B)) = c(A) \cup c(B)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now, } c(A) \cup c(B) &\subseteq c(A \cup B) \\ &\subseteq c(c(A) \cup c(B)) \\ &= c(A) \cup c(B) \end{aligned}$$

and hence $c(A \cup B) = c(A) \cup c(B)$. Thus c is a topological closure operator. \square

The following can be easily verified.

Theorem 2.14. *Let c be a closure operator on a set X . Then c is topological if and only if there is a unique topology on X with respect to which $c(A)$ is the closure of A , for all subsets A of X .*

3. Complete Lattices

In this section, we establish a correspondence between Moore classes on sets and complete lattices. Let us first recall that two posets (X, \leq) and (Y, \leq) are said to be isomorphic if there is a bijection $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $a \leq b$ in $X \Leftrightarrow f(a) \leq f(b)$ in Y . If \mathcal{M} is a Moore class on a set X , then \mathcal{M} becomes a complete lattice under the set inclusion ordering in which, for any $\{M_i\}_{i \in I}$ in \mathcal{M} ,

$$\inf\{M_i\}_{i \in I} = \bigcap_{i \in I} M_i \text{ and } \sup\{M_i\}_{i \in I} = \overline{\bigcup_{i \in I} M_i}$$

The converse of this is proved in the following.

Theorem 3.1. *Let (L, \leq) be a complete lattice. Then (L, \leq) is isomorphic to the Moore class \mathcal{M}_c corresponding to a closure operator c on a suitable set.*

Proof. Consider the set L and define,

$$c : \mathcal{P}(L) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(L) \text{ by } c(A) = \{x \in L \mid x \leq \sup A\}$$

for any subset A of L . Then, clearly $A \subseteq c(A)$ and

$$A \subseteq B \implies \sup A \leq \sup B \implies c(A) \subseteq c(B)$$

for any A and B in $\mathcal{P}(L)$. Also, for any $A \subseteq L$,

$$\sup A \in c(A) \text{ and } x \leq \sup A \text{ for all } x \in c(A)$$

and hence $\sup A = \sup c(A)$, so that $c(c(A)) = c(A)$. Thus c is a closure operator on the set L . Now consider the Moore class \mathcal{M}_c defined by

$$\mathcal{M}_c = \{A \subseteq X \mid c(A) = A\}.$$

For any $a \in L$, let $c(a)$ denote $c(\{a\})$. Define

$$f : L \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_c \text{ by } f(a) = c(a) \text{ for any } a \in L.$$

Clearly, $a \leq b \iff f(a) \subseteq f(b)$ for all $a, b \in L$. This implies that f is an injection also. Further, for any $A \in \mathcal{M}_c$, we have $A = c(A) = f(\sup A)$. Therefore f is a surjection too. Thus $f : L \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_c$ is an isomorphism. \square

4. Morphisms

In this section, we describe morphisms among partially ordered sets, lattices, Moore classes, closure operators and complete lattices and discuss certain inter-relationships between these. First we recall the following.

Definition 4.1. Let (X_1, \leq) and (X_2, \leq) be partially ordered sets. A mapping $f : X_1 \longrightarrow X_2$ is called an order-preserving mapping or an order homomorphism, if

$$a \leq b \text{ in } X_1 \implies f(a) \leq f(b) \text{ in } X_2$$

for any a and $b \in X_1$. A bijection $f : X_1 \longrightarrow X_2$ is called an order isomorphism if

$$a \leq b \text{ in } X_1 \iff f(a) \leq f(b) \text{ in } X_2$$

for any a and b in X_1 .

Definition 4.2. Let (X_1, \wedge, \vee) and (X_2, \wedge, \vee) be lattices and $f : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ be a mapping. Then

- (1). f is called a meet homomorphism if $f(a \wedge b) = f(a) \wedge f(b)$ for all $a, b \in X_1$.
- (2). f is called a join homomorphism if $f(a \vee b) = f(a) \vee f(b)$ for all $a, b \in X_1$.
- (3). f is called a lattice homomorphism if f is both a meet and join homomorphism.
- (4). f is called a meet (or join or lattice) isomorphism if f is a bijection and meet (respectively join or lattice) homomorphism.

Remark 4.3. For any $f : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$, it is clear that (3) implies (1) or (2) and that either of (1) or (2) implies that f is an order homomorphism. One can construct examples of lattices to establish that the converse of each of these implications is not true.

Definition 4.4. A pair (X, \mathcal{M}) is called a Moore space if X is a non-empty set and \mathcal{M} is a Moore class on X .

Definition 4.5. A pair (X, c) is called a closure space if X is a non-empty set and c is a closure operator on X .

Definition 4.6. Let (X_1, \mathcal{M}_1) and (X_2, \mathcal{M}_2) be Moore spaces. A mapping $f : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ is called a homomorphism of Moore spaces if $f^{-1}(A) \in \mathcal{M}_1$ for all $A \in \mathcal{M}_2$.

Definition 4.7. Let (X_1, c_1) and (X_2, c_2) be closure spaces and $f : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ a mapping. Then f is said to be a homomorphism of closure spaces if

$$f(c_1(A)) \subseteq c_2(f(A)) \text{ for all } A \subseteq X_1.$$

The following result describes the inter relationships between homomorphisms of Moore spaces and those of closure spaces. First, recall from 2.10 that a Moore space (X, \mathcal{M}) induces a closure operator $c_{\mathcal{M}}$ on X where $c_{\mathcal{M}}$ is defined by

$$c_{\mathcal{M}}(A) = \bigcap \{M \in \mathcal{M} \mid A \subseteq M\}, \text{ for any } A \subseteq X.$$

Theorem 4.8. Let (X_1, \mathcal{M}_1) and (X_2, \mathcal{M}_2) be Moore spaces and $(X_1, c_{\mathcal{M}_1})$ and $(X_2, c_{\mathcal{M}_2})$ be the corresponding closure spaces. Then any mapping $f : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ is a homomorphism of Moore spaces if and only if it is a homomorphism of closure spaces.

Proof. For simplicity, Let us write c_1 and c_2 for $c_{\mathcal{M}_1}$ and $c_{\mathcal{M}_2}$ respectively. Let $f : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ be a mapping. First suppose that f is a homomorphism of Moore spaces; that is,

$$f^{-1}(M) \in \mathcal{M}_1 \quad \text{for all } M \in \mathcal{M}_2.$$

Now, for any subset A of X_1 and $M \in \mathcal{M}_2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(A) \subseteq M &\implies A \subseteq f^{-1}(f(A)) \subseteq f^{-1}(M) \in \mathcal{M}_1 \\ &\implies c_1(A) \subseteq f^{-1}(M) \\ &\implies f(c_1(A)) \subseteq f(f^{-1}(M)) \subseteq M. \end{aligned}$$

From this it follows that $f(c_1(A)) \subseteq \bigcap \{M \in \mathcal{M}_2 \mid f(A) \subseteq M\} = c_2(f(A))$.

Thus $f : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ is a homomorphism of closure spaces.

Conversely, suppose that f is a homomorphism of closure spaces; that is,

$$f(c_1(A)) \subseteq c_2(f(A)) \quad \text{for all } A \subseteq X.$$

For any $M \in \mathcal{M}_2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(c_1(f^{-1}(M))) &\subseteq c_2(f(f^{-1}(M))) \\ &\subseteq c_2(M), \quad \text{Since } f(f^{-1}(M)) \subseteq M \\ &= M, \quad \text{since } M \in \mathcal{M}_2. \end{aligned}$$

So that $c_1(f^{-1}(M)) \subseteq f^{-1}(M)$ and therefore $f^{-1}(M) = c_1(f^{-1}(M)) \in \mathcal{M}_1$. Therefore f is a homomorphism of Moore spaces. \square

Definition 4.9. Let (L_1, \leq) and (L_2, \leq) be complete lattices. A function $f : L_1 \rightarrow L_2$ is called complete join homomorphism if

$$f(\sup A) = \sup f(A) \quad \text{for all } A \subseteq L_1;$$

f is called a complete meet homomorphism if

$$f(\inf A) = \inf f(A), \quad \text{for all } A \subseteq L_1.$$

Clearly every complete join or meet homomorphism is an order homomorphism. Also, any complete join (meet) homomorphism is a join (meet respectively) homomorphism. The converse of these are false. In fact a lattice homomorphism need not be a complete meet (or join) homomorphism. For, consider the following .

Example 4.10. Let \mathbb{R} be the topological space of real numbers with respect to the usual topology. Let $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{R})$ be the set of all open subsets of \mathbb{R} . Then $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{R})$ together with the inclusion ordering is a complete lattice in which, for any $\{A_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Delta} \subseteq \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{R})$,

$$\sup\{A_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Delta} = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} A_\alpha \text{ and } \inf\{A_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Delta} = \text{The interior of } \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta} A_\alpha.$$

Let $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$ be the complete lattice of all subsets of \mathbb{R} in which the supremums and infimums are simply the set unions and set intersections. Let $i : \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$ be the inclusion map (that is, $i(A) = A$ for all $A \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{R})$). Then clearly i is a lattice homomorphism, since $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{R})$ is closed under arbitrary unions and finite intersections. Also, for any $\{A_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Delta} \subseteq \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{R})$,

$$i \left(\sup_{\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{R})} \{A_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Delta} \right) = i \left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} A_\alpha \right) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} i(A_\alpha) = \sup_{\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})} \{i(A_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \Delta}$$

and therefore i is a complete join homomorphism. However, i is not a complete meet homomorphism, since

$$\begin{aligned} i \left(\inf_{\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{R})} \left\{ \left(-1, \frac{1}{n}\right) \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+} \right) &= \text{The interior of } \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+} \left(-1, \frac{1}{n}\right) \\ &= \text{The interior of } (-1, 0] = (-1, 0) \\ \text{and } \inf_{\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})} \{i(-1, \frac{1}{n})\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+} &= \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+} \left(-1, \frac{1}{n}\right) = (-1, 0] \end{aligned}$$

and hence i is not a complete meet homomorphism.

By considering the dual lattices of the above, we can ascertain that there are complete meet homomorphisms which are not complete join homomorphisms.

Note 4.11. Let L_1 and L_2 be complete lattices and $f : L_1 \rightarrow L_2$ be an order homomorphism. Then, for any subset A of L_1 , we have

$$f(\sup A) \geq \sup(f(A)) \text{ and } f(\inf A) \leq \inf(f(A)).$$

From this it follows that f is a complete join homomorphism if and only if

$$f(\sup A) \leq \sup(f(A)) \text{ for all } A \subseteq L_1$$

and that f is a complete meet homomorphism if and only if

$$f(\inf A) \geq \inf(f(A)) \text{ for all } A \subseteq L_1.$$

Let us recall, from Sections 2 and 3, that each of Moore classes, complete lattices and closure operators are in one-to-one correspondence with each other. If (L, \leq) is a complete lattice, then we have the closure space (L, c) , where c is the closure operator on L defined by $c(A) = \{x \in L \mid x \leq \text{sup}A\}$ for any $A \subseteq L$. Also, we have the Moore space (L, \mathcal{M}) where \mathcal{M} is given by

$$\mathcal{M} = \{A \subseteq L \mid c(A) = A\} = \{A \subseteq L \mid x \leq \text{sup}A \iff x \in A \text{ for any } x \in L\}.$$

Now, we prove the following.

Theorem 4.12. *Let (L_1, \leq) and (L_2, \leq) be complete lattices, (L_1, c_1) and (L_2, c_2) be the corresponding closure spaces and (L_1, \mathcal{M}_1) and (L_2, \mathcal{M}_2) be the corresponding Moore spaces respectively. Then the following are equivalent to each other for any mapping $f : L_1 \rightarrow L_2$.*

- (1). *f is a complete join homomorphism of (L_1, \leq) into (L_2, \leq) .*
- (2). *f is a homomorphism of the closure space (L_1, c_1) into the closure space (L_2, c_2) .*
- (3). *f is a homomorphism of the Moore space (L_1, \mathcal{M}_1) into the Moore space (L_2, \mathcal{M}_2) .*

Proof. (2) \iff (3) is proved in 4.8.

(1) \implies (2) : Suppose that f is a complete join homomorphism. Let A be any subset of L_1 . Then

$$x \in c_1(A) \implies x \leq \text{sup}A \implies f(x) \leq f(\text{sup}A) = \text{sup}f(A) \implies f(x) \in c_2(f(A))$$

and hence $f(c_1(A)) \subseteq c_2(f(A))$. Thus f is a homomorphism of closure spaces.

(2) \implies (1) : Suppose that f is a homomorphism of closure spaces. Let $A \subseteq L_1$ and $x = \text{sup}A$. Then $x \in c_1(A)$

and hence $f(x) \in f(c_1(A)) \subseteq c_2(f(A))$, which implies that $f(x) \leq \text{sup}(f(A))$. Therefore

$$f(\text{sup}A) \leq \text{sup}(f(A)) \text{ for all } A \subseteq L_1.$$

Thus f is a complete join homomorphism. □

The authors thank Professor U.M. Swamy for his help in preparing this paper.

References

- [1] G. Birkhoff, *Lattice Theory*, Amer. Math. Soc., Colloq. Publ. XXV, Providence (1967), U.S.A.
- [2] G. Gratzer, *General Lattice Theory*, Academic Press, New York, Sanfransisco (1978).
- [3] G.F. Simmons, *Introduction to Topology and Modern Analysis*, McGraw-Hill Book Co.Inc, NewYork (1963).
- [4] N.B. Stanley, H.P. Sankappanavar, *A Course in Universal Algebra*, Springer-Verlag, New York (1980).
- [5] U.M. Swamy, R.S. Rao, Algebraic topological closure operators, *South East Asian Bull. Math.*, **26** (2002), 669-678.

