

## SUFFICIENT CONDITIONS FOR MEROMORPHIC CLOSE-TO-CONVEX FUNCTIONS

Jing Wang<sup>1</sup> §, Lifeng Guo<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Mathematical Science and Technology  
Northeast Petroleum University  
Daqing, 163318, P.R. CHINA

<sup>2</sup>School of Mathematical Science and Technology  
Northeast Petroleum University  
Daqing, 163318, P.R. CHINA

**Abstract:** The object of the present paper is to consider some sufficient conditions for meromorphic close-to-convex functions in the punctured unit disc.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 30C45

**Key Words:** meromorphic Starlike functions, meromorphic close-to-convex functions

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\Sigma$  denote the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + a_1z + a_2z^2 + \cdots \quad (1)$$

which are analytic in the punctured unit disk  $\mathfrak{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < |z| < 1\}$ .

A function  $f \in \Sigma$  is said to be meromorphic starlike of order  $\alpha$  if it satisfies the following inequality

---

Received: December 4, 2013

© 2014 Academic Publications, Ltd.  
url: [www.acadpubl.eu](http://www.acadpubl.eu)

§Correspondence author

$$-Re\left\{\frac{zf(z)}{f(z)}\right\} > \alpha \quad (z \in \mathfrak{D}), \tag{2}$$

for some  $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha < 1)$  .We denote by  $\Sigma(\alpha)$  the class of all meromorphic starlike functions of order  $\alpha$ .

Similarly,a function  $f \in \Sigma$  is said to be meromorphic convex of order  $\alpha$  if it satisfies the following inequality

$$-Re\left\{1 + \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right\} > \alpha \quad (z \in \mathfrak{D}), \tag{3}$$

for some  $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha < 1)$  .We denote by  $\mathcal{MK}(\alpha)$  the class of all meromorphic convex functions of order  $\alpha$ .

Let  $\mathcal{MC}(\alpha)$  be the subclass of  $\Sigma$  consisting of functions which satisfy the following inequality

$$-Re\left\{\frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)}\right\} > \alpha, z \in \mathfrak{D} \tag{4}$$

for some  $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha < 1)$ and for some meromorphic starlike function  $g(z) \in \Sigma$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{MC}(\alpha)$  the class of all meromorphic close-to-convex functions of order  $\alpha$ . Also we write  $\mathcal{MC}(0)$  simply by  $\mathcal{MC}$ .

Especially,taking  $g(z) = 1/z$ ,we can get

$$-Re\{z^2 f'(z)\} > \alpha \quad (z \in \mathfrak{D}). \tag{5}$$

This class was introduced by Ganigi and Uralegaddi in [1]. What’s more, Cho and Owa investigated this class in [2]. Uralegaddi and Desai also discussed some new criteria for meromorphic close-to-convex functions in [3].

In this paper,we deal with the question of another condition different from [1] , [2] and [3].This is the extension of their works.

### 2. The Main Results

In order to establish our main results,we require the following lemma:

**Lemma 2.1.** (see [4]) *Let  $p(z) = 1 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots$  be analytic in the unit disc  $U = \{z \in C : |z| < 1\}$  and suppose that there exists a point  $z_0 \in U$  such that*

$$Re\{p(z)\} > 0 \text{ for } |z| < |z_0| \tag{6}$$

and

$$Re\{p(z_0)\} = 0. \tag{7}$$

Then we have

$$z_0p(z_0) \leq -\frac{1}{2}(1 + |p(z_0)|^2). \tag{8}$$

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $f(z) \in \Sigma$ , and suppose that there exists a meromorphic starlike function  $g(z)$  such that*

$$Re \left\{ \frac{zf(z)}{g(z)} \left( 1 + \frac{zf(z)}{f(z)} - \frac{zg(z)}{g(z)} \right) \right\} > \frac{1}{2}(1 + \left| \frac{zf(z)}{g(z)} \right|^2), z \in \mathfrak{D} \tag{9}$$

then  $f(z) \in \mathcal{MC}$ .

*Proof.* Let

$$p(z) = -\frac{zf(z)}{g(z)}, \tag{10}$$

then  $p(z)$  is analytic in  $U$  and  $p(0) = 1$ . Suppose that there exists a point  $z_0 \in U$  which satisfies the conditions (6) and (7) of Lemma 2.1.

Now using (10), it follows that

$$\frac{z_0f(z_0)}{g(z_0)} \left( 1 + \frac{z_0f(z_0)}{f(z_0)} - \frac{z_0g(z_0)}{g(z_0)} \right) = z_0p(z_0). \tag{11}$$

Since the function  $p(z)$  and the point  $z_0$  satisfy all conditions Lemma 2.1, therefore in view of (8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Re \left\{ \frac{z_0f(z_0)}{g(z_0)} \left( 1 + \frac{z_0f(z_0)}{f(z_0)} - \frac{z_0g(z_0)}{g(z_0)} \right) \right\} &\leq -\frac{1}{2}(1 + |p(z_0)|^2) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \left| \frac{z_0f(z_0)}{g(z_0)} \right|^2 \right). \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

This is a contradiction with (9) and therefore the proof of the Theorem 2.1 is completed.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $f(z) \in \Sigma$ , and suppose that there exists a meromorphic starlike function  $g(z)$  such that*

$$Re \left\{ \frac{zf(z)}{g(z)} \left( -1 - \frac{zf(z)}{f(z)} + \frac{zg(z)}{g(z)} \right) \right\} > -\frac{1}{4}(1 + \left| \frac{zf(z)}{g(z)} \right|^2), z \in \mathfrak{D} \tag{13}$$

then  $f(z) \in \mathcal{MC}(1/2)$ .

*Proof.* Let

$$p(z) = 2\left(-\frac{zf(z)}{g(z)} - \frac{1}{2}\right), \tag{14}$$

then  $p(z)$  is analytic in  $U$  and  $p(0) = 1$ . Suppose that there exists a point  $z_0 \in U$  which satisfies the conditions (6) and (7) of Lemma 2.1.

Now using (15), it follows that

$$\frac{z_0 f(z_0)}{g(z_0)} \left( -1 - \frac{z_0 f(z_0)}{f(z_0)} + \frac{z_0 g(z_0)}{g(z_0)} \right) = \frac{1}{2} z_0 p(z_0). \tag{15}$$

Since the function  $p(z)$  and the point  $z_0$  satisfy all conditions of Lemma 2.1, therefore in view of (8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z_0 f(z_0)}{g(z_0)} \left( -1 - \frac{z_0 f(z_0)}{f(z_0)} + \frac{z_0 g(z_0)}{g(z_0)} \right) \right\} &\leq -\frac{1}{4} (1 + |p(z_0)|^2) \\ &= -\frac{1}{4} \left( 1 + \left| \frac{z_0 f(z_0)}{g(z_0)} \right|^2 \right). \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

This is a contradiction with (14) and therefore the proof of the Theorem 2.2 is completed.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $f(z) \in \Sigma, 0 \leq \alpha < 1$  and suppose that there exists a starlike function  $g(z)$  such that*

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z f(z)}{g(z)} \left( -1 - \frac{z f(z)}{f(z)} + \frac{z g(z)}{g(z)} \right) \right\} > -\frac{1}{2} (1 - \alpha), z \in U \tag{17}$$

then  $f(z) \in \mathcal{MC}(\alpha)$ .

*Proof.* Let

$$-\frac{z f(z)}{g(z)} = (1 - \alpha)p(z) + \alpha, \tag{18}$$

then  $p(z)$  is analytic in  $U$  and  $p(0) = 1$ . Suppose that there exists a point  $z_0 \in U$  which satisfies the conditions (6) and (7) of Lemma 2.1.

Making use of (20), it follows that

$$-\frac{z_0 f(z_0)}{g(z_0)} \left( 1 + \frac{z_0 f(z_0)}{f(z_0)} - \frac{z_0 g(z_0)}{g(z_0)} \right) = (1 - \alpha) z_0 p(z_0). \tag{19}$$

Since the function  $p(z)$  and the point  $z_0$  satisfy all conditions Lemma 2.1, therefore in view of (8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z_0 f(z_0)}{g(z_0)} \left( -1 - \frac{z_0 f(z_0)}{f(z_0)} + \frac{z_0 g(z_0)}{g(z_0)} \right) \right\} &\leq -\frac{1}{2} (1 - \alpha) (1 + |p(z_0)|^2) \\ &\leq -\frac{1}{2} (1 - \alpha). \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

This is a contradiction with (19) and therefore proof of the Theorem 2.3 is completed.

### References

- [1] M.D. Ganigi, B.A. Uralegaddi, Subclasses of meromorphic close-to-convex functions, *Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. R.S. Roumanie (N.S.)*, **33**, No. 2 (1989), 105-109.
- [2] N.E. Cho, S. Owa, Sufficient conditions for meromorphic starlikeness and close-to-convexity of order  $\alpha$ , *Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, **26**, No. 5 (2001), 317-319.
- [3] B.A. Uralegaddi, A.R. Desai, On some criteria for close-to-convexity of meromorphic functions, *Journal of mathematical research Exposition*, **20**, No. 2 (2000), 301-311.
- [4] M. Nunokawa, S.P. Goyal, R. Kumar, Sufficient conditions for starlikeness, *Journal of Classical Analysis*, **1**, No. 1 (2012), 85-90.

