

ON THE RANKS OF POINTS OF TANGENT DEVELOPABLES  
(SCROLLS AND SEGRE-VERONESE VARIETIES)

E. Ballico

Department of Mathematics

University of Trento

38 123 Povo (Trento) - Via Sommarive, 14, ITALY

**Abstract:** We compute the X-rank of points of the tangent developable of embeddings of the Hirzebruch surfaces.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 14N05, 14Q05, 15A69

**Key Words:** X-rank, tangent developable, generic tangent space

1. Introduction

For any integral variety  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$  defined over an algebraically closed field  $\mathbb{K}$  let  $\tau(X) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^r$  denote the tangent developable of  $X$ , i.e. the closure in  $\mathbb{P}^r$  of all Zariski tangent spaces  $T_P X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ ,  $P \in X_{reg}$ . For each  $P \in \mathbb{P}^r$  the X-rank  $r_X(P)$  of  $P$  is the minimal cardinality of a set  $A \subset X$  such that  $P \in \langle A \rangle$ , where  $\langle \cdot \rangle$  denote the linear span ([7]).

For all integers  $a > 0$  and  $b > 0$  let  $\nu_{a,b} : \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$ ,  $N = ab + a + b$ , denote the Segre-Veronese embedding of  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ , i.e. the embedding of  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  induced by the complete linear system  $|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}(a, b)|$ .

**Theorem 1.** Fix positive integers  $a, b$  and assume that either  $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) = 0$  or  $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) \neq 2$  or  $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) = 2$ ,  $a \neq 2$ ,  $b \neq 2$  and  $(a, b) \neq (1, 1)$ . Fix  $P \in \tau(\nu_{a,b}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1)) \setminus \nu_{a,b}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1)$ . Let  $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  be the degree two zero-dimensional scheme such that  $P \in \langle \nu_{a,b}(Z) \rangle$ . Set  $\rho := r_{\nu_{a,b}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1)}(P)$ .

(i) If  $Z$  is contained in some  $D \in |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}(1, 0)|$ , then  $\rho = b$ .

- (ii) If  $Z$  is contained in some  $E \in |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}(0, 1)|$ , then  $\rho = a$ .
- (iii) In all other cases we have  $\rho = a + b$ .

If  $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) = 2$ , then it is easy to check that in cases (i), (ii), (iii) the rank of  $P$  is 3 in case (i) if  $b = 2$ , in case (ii) if  $a = 2$  and in case (iii) if  $(a, b) = (1, 1)$ .

**Theorem 2.** Fix integers  $e > 0$ ,  $a > 0$  and  $b \geq ae$ . Assume either  $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) = 0$  or  $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) > b$ . Let  $X_{e,a,b} \subset \mathbb{P}^r$  be the image of  $F_e$  by the morphism  $\phi$  induced by the complete linear system  $|\mathcal{O}_{F_e}(ah + bf)|$ . Fix  $P \in \tau(X_{e,a,b}) \setminus X_{e,a,b}$ ; if  $b = ea$  assume the existence of  $v \subset F_e \setminus h$  such that  $\deg(v) = 2$  and  $P \in \langle \phi(v) \rangle$ . There is a degree 2 connected scheme  $v \subset F_e$  such that  $P \in \langle \phi(v) \rangle$ . Set  $O := v_{\text{red}}$ . Let  $F$  be the fiber of the ruling of  $F_e$  containing  $O$ . Set  $\rho := r_{X_{e,a,b}}(P)$ .

- (i) If  $v \subset F$ , then  $\rho = a$ .
- (ii) If  $v \not\subset F$  and  $O \notin h$ , then  $\rho = b$ .
- (iii) If  $v \not\subset F$ ,  $O \in h$  and  $v \not\subset h$ , then  $\rho = b - ea + a$ .
- (iv) Assume  $v \subset h$ . Then  $\rho = b - ea$ .

Is concision true for Segre-Veronese embeddings? More precisely we may ask it in a weak form (part (a) of Question 1) and in a strong form (part (b) of Question 1).

**Question 1.** Fix integers  $n \geq 2$  and  $d_i \geq 1$ ,  $r_i \geq m_i \geq 0$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Fix linear subspaces  $M_i \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{r_i}$ . Let  $u : \mathbb{P}^{m_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{P}^{m_n} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$ ,  $N := -1 + \prod_{i=1}^n \binom{m_i + d_i}{m_i}$ , be the Segre-Veronese embedding of  $\mathbb{P}^{m_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{P}^{m_n}$  of multidegree  $(d_1, \dots, d_n)$ . Set  $X := u(\mathbb{P}^{m_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{P}^{m_n})$  and  $Y := u(M_1 \times \dots \times M_n)$ . Fix  $P \in \langle Y \rangle$ ?

(a) Is  $r_X(P) = r_Y(P)$  ?

(b) If (a) is true for  $P$  is every set  $S \subset X$  evincing the  $X$ -rank of  $P$  contained in  $Y$  ?

If part (a) of Question 1 is true, then we may use Theorem 1 for Segre-Veronese embeddings.

## 2. The Proofs

For any smooth surface  $X$ , every effective divisor  $D \subset X$  and every zero-dimensional scheme  $Z \subset X$  let  $\text{Res}_D(Z)$  denote the residual scheme of  $Z$  with respect to  $D$ , i.e. the closed subscheme of  $X$  with  $\mathcal{I}_X : \mathcal{I}_D$  as its ideal sheaf.

**Lemma 1.** Fix integers  $a \geq 0$  and  $b \geq 0$ . Let  $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  be a zero-dimensional scheme such that  $\deg(Z) \leq a + b + 1$ . We have  $h^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(a, b)) > 0$  if and only if either there is  $D \in |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}(1, 0)|$  such that  $\deg(Z \cap D) \geq b + 2$  or there is  $E \in |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}(0, 1)|$  such that  $\deg(E \cap Z) \geq a + 2$ .

*Proof.* Since the “if” part is obvious by the cohomology of line bundles on  $D$  and  $E$ , it is sufficient to prove the “only if” part. We use induction on the integer  $a + b$ , the case  $(a, b) = (0, 0)$  being obvious, since  $h^1(\mathcal{I}_P) = 0$  for all  $P \in \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ . Similarly, we conclude if either  $a = 0$  or  $b = 0$ . Hence we may assume  $a > 0$  and  $b > 0$ . Let  $\alpha$  be the maximum of all integers  $\deg(Z \cap D)$  for all  $D \in |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}(1, 0)|$  and  $\beta$  the maximum of all integers  $\deg(E \cap Z)$  for all  $E \in |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}(0, 1)|$ . Assume  $\alpha \leq b + 1$  and  $\beta \leq a + 1$ . Take  $D \in |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}(1, 0)|$  such that  $\deg(Z \cap D) = \alpha$ . We have  $\deg(\text{Res}_D(Z)) = \deg(Z \cap D) \leq a + b - 1$ . Since  $\deg(Z \cap D) \leq b + 1$ , we have  $h^1(D, \mathcal{I}_{Z \cap D}(a, b)) = 0$ . Hence the Castelnuovo’s sequence gives  $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{\text{Res}_D(Z)}(a - 1, b)) > 0$ . By the inductive assumption either there is  $T \in |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}(1, 0)|$  such that  $\deg(\text{Res}_D(Z) \cap T) \geq b + 2$  or there is  $E \in |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}(0, 1)|$  such that  $\deg(E \cap \text{Res}_D(Z)) \geq a + 1$ . The first case is impossible, because  $Z \supseteq \text{Res}_D(Z)$  and so  $\deg(\text{Res}_D(Z) \cap T) \leq \deg(Z \cap T) \leq \alpha$ . Therefore the latter case occurs, i.e.  $\beta = a + 1$  and  $D \cap Z \cap E = \emptyset$ . Exchanging the role of the rulings we conclude by induction on  $a + b$ , unless  $\alpha = b + 1$ . The scheme  $Z \cap (D \cup E)$  has degree  $a + b + 2$  and hence  $\deg(Z) \geq a + b + 2$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** Fix a rational normal curve  $C \subset \mathbb{P}^r$  and  $P \in \tau(C) \setminus C$ . If  $r = 2$  and  $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) = 2$ , then either  $r_C(P) = 3$  or  $r_C(P) = 3$  and the latter occurs if and only if  $P$  is the strange point of  $C$ . In all other cases we have  $r_C(P) = r$ .

*Proof.* Since  $C$  is a smooth variety and  $P \in \tau(C) \setminus C$ , there is a degree two zero-dimensional connected scheme  $Z \subset C$  such that  $P \in \langle Z \rangle$ . Since  $P \notin C$ , we have  $P \notin \langle Z' \rangle$  for any  $Z' \subsetneq Z$ . Take  $A \subset C$  evincing the  $C$ -rank of  $P$ . Since  $Z$  is not reduced, we have  $Z \neq A$ . Hence  $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{A \cup Z}(1)) > 0$  ([5], Lemma 1). Since  $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ , the cohomology of line bundles on  $\mathbb{P}^1$  gives  $\deg(Z \cup A) \geq r + 2$ . Therefore  $r_C(P) = \sharp(A) \geq r$ . For degree reasons  $P$  may be a strange point of  $C$  only if  $\text{char}(K) = 2 = r$ . If  $P$  is not a strange point of  $C$ , then  $r_C(P) \leq r$  ([1]). If  $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) = 2 = r$  and  $P$  is the strange point of  $C$ , then  $r_C(P) = 3$  ([1])  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.* The scheme  $Z$  exists, because  $\nu_{a,b}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1)$  is a smooth variety. In all cases the proof below easily check the uniqueness of  $Z$ ; anyway, the proof works for any  $Z$  without using its uniqueness. Set  $\{O\} := Z_{red}$ . In the set-up of part (i)  $Z$  is contained in a the linear span of the degree  $b$

rational normal curve  $\nu_{a,b}(D)$ . Since  $r_{\nu_{a,b}(D)}(P) \leq b$  (Lemma 2) we get the inequality  $\rho \leq b$  in the set-up of part (i). In the same way the curve  $r_{a,b}(E)$  gives the inequality  $\rho \leq a$  in the set-up of (ii). Take the set-up of (iii). Since  $\dim(|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}(1, 1)|) = 3 \geq 2 = \deg(Z)$ , there is  $C \in |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}(1, 1)|$  containing  $Z$ . Since  $Z$  is neither as in case (i) nor in case (ii),  $C$  is irreducible and hence  $P \in \langle \nu_{a,b}(C) \rangle$  with  $\nu_{a,b}(C)$  rational normal curve of degree  $a+b$ . Hence  $\rho \leq a+b$  by Lemma 2.

Fix  $A$  evincing  $r_X(P)$  and assume  $\sharp(A) \leq a+b-1$ . We have  $h^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(a, b)) > 0$  ([5, Lemma 1]). Set  $\{O\} := Z_{red}$ . Set  $W := Z \cup A$ . We have  $h^1(\mathcal{I}_A(a, b)) = 0$  and  $h^1(\mathcal{I}_W(a, b)) > 0$ . Since  $\deg(W) \leq a + b + 1$ , either there is  $D \in |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}(1, 0)|$  such that  $\deg(D \cap W) \geq b+2$  or there is some  $E \in |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}(0, 1)|$  such that  $\deg(E \cap W) \geq a + 2$  (Lemma 1). Assume for instance the existence of  $D$ . We need to prove that we are in case (i). Assume that  $Z \not\subseteq D$ . Since  $A$  evinces  $\rho$ ,  $\nu_{a,b}(A)$  is linearly independent. Hence  $\sharp(A \cap D) \leq b+1$ . Since  $Z \not\subseteq D$ , we get  $O \in D$ ,  $O \notin A$  and  $\sharp(A \cap D) = d+1$ . We have  $\deg(\text{Res}_D(W)) = \deg(W) - b - 2$ . Assume for the moment  $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{\text{Res}_D(W)}(a-1, b)) = 0$ . By [6, Lemma 5.1] we get  $\text{Res}_D(Z) = A \setminus A \cap D$ . Since  $\text{Res}_D(Z) = \{O\} \not\subseteq A$ , we get a contradiction. Now assume  $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{\text{Res}_D(W)}(a-1, b)) > 0$ . Since  $\deg(W) \leq (a-1) + b$ , either there is  $D' \in |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}(1, 0)|$  such that  $\deg(D' \cap \text{Res}_D(W)) \geq b+2$  or there is some  $E' \in |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}(0, 1)|$  such that  $\deg(E' \cap \text{Res}_D(W)) \geq a+1$  (Lemma 1). First assume the existence of  $D'$ . Since  $\text{Res}_D(W) = \{O\} \cup (A \setminus A \cap D)$ , we have  $D' \neq D$ . Hence  $\sharp(A \cap D') \geq b+2$ . Hence  $\nu_{a,b}(A)$  is linearly dependent, a contradiction. Now assume the existence of  $E'$ . Since  $\deg(\{O\} \cap E') \leq 1$  we get  $\sharp(A \cap E') \geq a$ . Since  $\deg(D \cap E') = 1$ , we get  $\sharp(A) \geq b+2+a-1 = a+b+1$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** *Fix integers  $e > 0$ ,  $a > 0$  and  $b \geq ae$ . Fix a degree 2 connected scheme  $v \subset F_e$  and set  $O := v_{red}$ . If  $O \in h$ , then assume  $b > ae$ . Let  $A \subset F_e$  be any finite set such that  $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{v \cup A}(ah + bf)) > h^1(\mathcal{I}_{\{O\} \cup A}(ah + bf))$  and with minimal cardinality,  $\rho$ , among all such finite sets. Let  $F$  be the fiber of the ruling of  $F_e$  containing  $O$ .*

- (i) *If  $v \subset F$ , then  $\rho = a$ ,  $O \notin A$  and  $A \subset F$ .*
- (ii) *If  $v \not\subseteq F$  and  $O \notin h$ , then  $\rho = b$ .*
- (iii) *If  $v \not\subseteq F$ ,  $O \in h$  and  $v \not\subseteq h$ , then  $\rho = b - ea + a$ .*
- (iv) *If  $v \subset h$ , then  $\rho = b - ea$ .*

*Proof.* It is easy to check that the minimality condition for the set  $A$  implies  $O \notin A$ ,  $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{A \cup \{O\}}(ah + bf)) = 0$ , and  $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{v \cup A}(ah + bf)) = 1$ . Let  $\pi : F_e \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  denote the ruling of  $F_e$ .

(a) In this step we prove that in each case (i), (ii), (iii) and (iv) the integer  $\rho$  is at most the one claimed in the statement of the lemma. Take  $v$  as in case (i). For each  $E \subset F \setminus \{O\}$  with  $\sharp(E) = a$  we have  $h^1(F, \mathcal{I}_{E \cup v}(ah + bf)) = 1$  and  $h^1(F, \mathcal{I}_{\{O\} \cup E}(ah + bf)) = 0$ . Since  $b \geq ea$ , we have  $h^1(\mathcal{O}_{F_e}(ah + (b - 1)f)) = 0$ . Hence  $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{E \cup v}(ah + bf)) = 1$  and  $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{\{O\} \cup E}(ah + bf)) = 0$ . Therefore  $\rho \leq a$ . Take  $v$  as in case (ii). Since  $O \notin h$ , the linear system  $|\mathcal{O}_{F_e}(h + ef)|$  induces an embedding at  $O$  and it is injective outside  $h$ . Take a general  $C \in |\mathcal{I}_v(h + ef)|$ . Since  $v \not\subseteq F$ , a dimensional count gives the irreducibility of  $C$ . Hence  $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ . Since  $\deg(\mathcal{O}_C(ah + bf)) = b$ , we have  $h^1(C, \mathcal{I}_{E \cup v}(ah + bf)) = 1$  and  $h^1(C, \mathcal{I}_{v \cup E}(ah + bf)) = 0$  for all  $E \subset C \setminus \{O\}$  with  $\sharp(E) = b$ . Since  $h^1(\mathcal{O}_{F_e}((a - 1)h + (b - e)f)) = 0$ , we get  $\rho \leq b$ . In case (iv) we use the curve  $h \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ . In case (iii) we use the curve  $h \cup F$  with  $E \subset h \cup F \setminus \{O\}$ ,  $\sharp(E \cap h) = b - ea$  and  $\sharp(E \cap F) = a$ ; indeed, we have  $h^0(h \cup F, \mathcal{O}_{h \cup F}(ah + bf)) = b - ea + a$ .

(b) Take the set-up of (ii) and take any  $E \subset F_e$  with  $\sharp(E) \leq b - 1$  and  $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{\{O\} \cup E}(ah + bf)) = 0$ . We need to prove that  $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{v \cup E}(ah + bf)) = 0$ . Assume  $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{v \cup E}(ah + bf)) > 0$ . Set  $B_0 := \{O\} \cup E$ . Take  $D_1 \in |\mathcal{O}_{F_e}(f)|$  such that  $a_1 := \sharp(B_0 \cap D_1)$  is maximal and set  $B_1 := B_0 \setminus D_1$ . For all  $i = 2, \dots, b$  define recursively  $D_i, a_i$  and  $B_i$  in the following way. Take  $D_i \in |\mathcal{O}_{F_e}(f)|$  such that  $a_i := \sharp(B_{i-1} \cap D_i)$  is maximal with the only restriction that  $D_i \neq D_j$  for  $j < i$  if  $B_{i-1} = \emptyset$ . Set  $B_i := B_{i-1} \setminus B_{i-1} \cap D_i$ . The sequence  $a_1, \dots, a_b$  is non-increasing. Since  $\sharp(B) \leq b$ , we have  $B_b = \emptyset$  and  $a_1 + \dots + a_b = \sharp(B)$ . Set  $T := ah + D_1 + \dots + D_b$ . We have  $B \subset T$ , but  $v \not\subseteq T$ , because  $F$  appears only once in the sequence  $D_1, \dots, D_b$  and  $O \notin h$ . Hence  $\text{Res}_T(A \cup v) = \{O\}$ . Hence  $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{\text{Res}_T(v \cup A)}) = 0$ . Since  $h^1(\mathcal{I}_B(ah + bf)) = 0$ , the Castelnuovo's sequence (e.g. [2], eq. (1)) gives a contradiction.

(c) Take the set-up of (iv). Hence  $b > ae$ . Take any  $E \subset F_e$  such that  $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{\{O\} \cup E}(ah + bf)) = 0$ ,  $\sharp(E) \leq b - ea - 1$ ,  $O \notin E$ ,  $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{v \cup E}(ah + bf)) > 0$  and  $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{v \cup E'}(ah + bf)) = 0$  for all  $E' \subsetneq E$ . Take  $B_0 := \{O\} \cup E$  and make the construction  $a_i, D_i, B_i$  as in step (b). Let  $x$  be the minimal integer such that  $a_{x+1} = 0$ . In the set-up of (iv) we have  $x \leq b - eb$ . Set  $T' := h \cup D_1 \cup \dots \cup D_{x-1}$ . Since  $v \cup E \subset T' \cup D_x$ , we have  $\text{Res}_{T'}(v \cup E) \subset D_x$ . Since  $v \subset h \subset T'$ , we have  $\text{Res}_{T'}(v \cup E) = D_x \cap (E \setminus E \cap D_x \cap h)$ . First assume  $x \geq 2$  and  $1 \leq \sharp(E \setminus E \cap D_x \cap h) \leq a$ . Since  $\text{Res}_{T'}(v \cup E) \neq \emptyset$ , minimality of  $A$  gives  $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{(v \cup E) \cap T'}(ah + bf)) = 0$ . The Castelnuovo' sequence gives  $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{\text{Res}_{T'}(v \cup E)}((a - 1)h + (b - x)f)) > 0$ . Since  $\sharp(\text{Res}_{T'}(v \cup E)) \leq a$  and  $\text{Res}_{T'}(v \cup E) \cap h = \emptyset$ , we get a contradiction. Now assume  $x \geq 2$  and  $\sharp(E \setminus E \cap D_x \cap h) \geq a + 1$ . Since  $h^1(\mathcal{I}_E(ah + bf)) = 0$ , we get  $a_x = a + 1$  and that  $D_x \cap E \cap h = \emptyset$ . Since the sequence  $a_i$  is non-decreasing, we get

$a_i = a + 1$  for all  $i \leq x$  and hence  $\sharp(B) = x(a + 1)$ . In this case we may exchange the role of the curves  $D_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq x$ , and win if there is at least one  $i \in \{1, \dots, x\}$  such that  $D_i \cap A \cap h \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $O \notin E$ , the curve  $D_i$  containing  $O$  has this property. Now assume  $x = 1$ . Since  $O \in B$ , we get  $D_1 = F$ . Since  $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{\{O\} \cup E}(ah + bf)) = 0$ , we have  $\sharp(A) \leq a$ . Since  $b > ae$  and  $h^1(\mathcal{O}_{F_e}((a - 1)h + (b - 1)f)) = 0$ , the linear system  $|\mathcal{O}_{F_e}(ah + bf)|$  gives an embedding  $\phi$  of the curve  $h \cup F$  with  $\langle \phi(h + F) \rangle \cong \mathbb{P}^{a+b-ae}$ ,  $\langle \phi(h) \rangle = \mathbb{P}^a$ ,  $\langle \phi(h) \rangle = \mathbb{P}^{b-ae}$  and  $\phi(h)$ ,  $\phi(F)$  rational normal curves in their linear span. Since  $p_a(h + F) = 0$ , we get  $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{v \cup F}(ah + bf)) = 0$ , a contradiction.

(d) Take the set-up of (iii). Hence  $b > ae$ . Take any  $E \subset F_e$  such that  $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{\{O\} \cup E}(ah + bf)) = 0$ ,  $\sharp(E) \leq b - ea - 1$ ,  $O \notin E$ ,  $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{v \cup E}(ah + bf)) > 0$  and  $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{v \cup E'}(ah + bf)) = 0$  for all  $E' \subsetneq E$ . Take  $B_0 := \{O\} \cup E$  and make the construction  $a_i, D_i, B_i$  as in steps (b) and (c). Let  $x$  be the minimal integer such that  $a_{x+1} = 0$ . In the set-up of (iii) we have  $x \leq b - eb - a$ . Let  $c \in \{1, \dots, x\}$  be the integer such that  $D_c = F$ . First assume  $a_c \leq a$ . Take  $T := h \cup (\bigcup_{i \neq c} D_i)$ . We have  $\text{Res}_T(v \cup E) = D_c \cap B$ , because  $\text{Res}_T(v) = \{O\}$ . We conclude as in step (c). Now assume  $a_c = a + 1$ . If  $c < x$  and  $a_x \leq a$ , then we conclude as in step (c) using  $T'$  instead of  $T$ , because  $v \subset F \cup h \subset T'$ . Hence we may assume  $a_i = a + 1$  for all  $i$ . Let  $J := D_1 \cup \dots \cup D_x$ . Since  $F \subseteq J$  and  $v \not\subseteq F$ , we have  $\text{Res}_{v \cup J}(v \cup E) = \{O\}$ . Hence  $(v \cup E) \cap J \neq v \cup A$ . Hence  $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{(v \cup E) \cap J}(ah + bf)) = 0$ . Since  $(a + 1)x \leq b - ea + a$ , we have  $b - x \geq ea$ . Hence  $\mathcal{O}_{F_e}(ah + (b - x)f)$  is spanned. Therefore  $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{\{O\}}(ah + (b - x)f)) = 0$ . The Castelnuovo's sequence gives  $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{v \cup E}(ah + bf)) = 0$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.* In the case  $b = ae$  we may assume  $b > ea$ . Use Lemma 3 to get that  $\rho$  is at least the value claimed in the statement of Theorem 2. In all cases we get the opposite inequality is true using [1] and the curves introduced in step (a) of the proof of Lemma 3.  $\square$

### Acknowledgements

The author was partially supported by MIUR and GNSAGA of INdAM (Italy).

### References

[1] E. Ballico, An upper bound for the X-ranks of points of  $\mathbb{P}^n$  in positive characteristic. *Albanian J. Math.* **5** (2011), no. 1, 3–10.

- [2] , E. Ballico, On the generic rank of linear spans of tangent vectors, preprint.
- [3] E. Ballico, An Upper Bound for the Symmetric Tensor Rank of a Low Degree Polynomial in a Large Number of Variables, Hindawi Publishing Corporation Geometry Volume 2013, Article ID 715907, 2 pages <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2013/715907>
- [4] E. Ballico, Symmetric Tensor Rank and Scheme Rank: An Upper Bound in terms of Secant Varieties, Geometry Volume 2013, Article ID 614195, 3 pages <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2013/614195>
- [5] E. Ballico and A. Bernardi, *Decomposition of homogeneous polynomials with low rank*, Math. Z. **271** (2012) 1141–1149.
- [6] E. Ballico and A. Bernardi, *Stratification of the fourth secant variety of Veronese variety via the symmetric rank*, Adv. Pure Appl. Math. **4** (2013), no. 2, 215–250; DOI: 10.1515/apam-2013-0015
- [7] J. M. Landsberg, Tensors: Geometry and Applications. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, Vol. 128, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, 2012.

