

POSTULATION OF GENERAL UNIONS OF DECORATED LINES

E. Ballico

Department of Mathematics

University of Trento

38 123 Povo (Trento) - Via Sommarive, 14, ITALY

Abstract: For all integers $a \geq 0$ and $r \geq 3$ an a -decorated line $D \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ is a scheme union of a line D_{red} and a tangent vectors of \mathbb{P}^r at points of D_{red} . Here we study the postulation of general disjoint unions of a -decorated lines.

AMS Subject Classification: 14N05, 14H99

Key Words: postulation, Hilbert function, unions of lines, decorated lines

1. Introduction

Fix a line $L \subset \mathbb{P}^r$, $r \geq 2$, an integer $a \geq 0$ and a finite set $S \subset L$ such that $\sharp(S) = a$. For each $P \in S$ fix a degree two zero-dimensional scheme $v_P \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ such that $\deg(v_P) = 2$ and $\langle v_P \rangle \neq L$, where $\langle \cdot \rangle$ denote the linear span; we call v_P a tangent vector with P as its reduction and the line $\langle v_P \rangle$ as its support, or the tangent vector of $\langle v_P \rangle$ at P . Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ be the minimal closed subscheme containing L and each v_P , $P \in S$. We say that X is an a -decorated line with L as its support, S as the support of its nilradical (or of the nilradical of the sheaf \mathcal{O}_X) and the tangent vectors $\{v_P\}_{P \in S}$ as its nil-directions. The scheme X has dimension 1, $X_{\text{red}} = L$, $h^0(\mathcal{O}_X) = a + 1$, $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X) = 1 + a$. By construction the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$ is very ample and $x + a + 1$ is the Hilbert polynomial $p_X(x)$ of X with respect to $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$. We have $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(x)) = 0$ for all $x \geq 0$ and hence $h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(x)) = x + 1 + a$ for all $x \geq 0$. It is elementary to check that $h^1(\mathcal{I}_X(t)) = 0$ for all $t \geq a - 1$. For all integers $r \geq 3$, $t > 0$ and $a \geq 0$

let $W(r, t, a)$ be the set of all disjoint unions $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ of t a -decorated lines of \mathbb{P}^r . The set of all a -decorated lines of \mathbb{P}^r with a fixed line D as their support is parametrized by an irreducible variety of dimension $a + r - 1$. Hence $W(r, t, a)$ is parametrized by an irreducible variety. Hence (as in [14] for the case $a = 0$) it makes sense to compute the Hilbert function of a general element of $W(r, t, a)$. In this paper we prove the following result

Theorem 1. *Fix integers r, k, a, t such that $r \geq 3, t > 0, k > 0$ and $0 \leq a \leq k + 1$. Let X be a general element of $W(r, t, a)$. Then either $h^0(\mathcal{I}_X(k)) = 0$ or $h^1(\mathcal{I}_X(k)) = 0$.*

We work over an algebraically closed field \mathbb{K} such that either $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) = 0$ or $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) > k$ (see Remark ++ for the positive characteristic case).

2. Preliminaries

For all integers $r \geq 3, k > 0$ and $a \geq 0$. Set $x_{r,k,a} := \lfloor \binom{r+k}{r} / (k + 1 + a) \rfloor$ and $y_{r,y,a} := \binom{r+k}{r} - (k + 1 + a)x_{r,k,a}$. We have $0 \leq y_{r,k,a}$ and

$$(k + 1 + a)x_{r,k,a} + y_{r,k,a} = \binom{r + k}{r} \tag{1}$$

Remark 1. Fix integers r, k, a such that $r \geq 3, k > 0$ and $0 \leq a \leq k + 1$. To prove Theorem 1 for all quadruples (r, k, a, t) is sufficient to prove that $h^1(\mathcal{I}_X(k)) = 0$ for some $X \in W(r, x_{r,t,a}, a)$ and that $h^0(\mathcal{I}_Y(k)) = 0$ for some $Y \in W(r, x_{r,k,a} + 1, a)$. Take any $X \in W(r, x_{r,t,a}, a)$. Since $h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(k)) = (k + 1 + a)x_{r,k,a}$, (1) shows that $h^0(\mathcal{I}_X(k)) = y_{r,k,a} + h^1(\mathcal{I}_X(k))$.

Fix $P \in \mathbb{P}^r, r \geq 2$, and a line $D \subset \mathbb{P}^r$. The 2-point $2P$ is the closed subscheme of \mathbb{P}^r with $(\mathcal{I}_P)^2$ as its ideal sheaf. The 2-line $2D$ is the closed subscheme of \mathbb{P}^r with $(\mathcal{I}_D)^2$ as its ideal sheaf.

Lemma 1. *Let $V \subset H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(2)), r \geq 2$, be a linear system containing a line L in its base locus. Fix a general $P \in L$ and let v be a general tangent vector of \mathbb{P}^r with $v_{\text{red}} = \{P\}$. Set $V' := \{f \in V : f|_v \equiv 0\}$. We have $\dim(V') = \dim(V)$ if a general $T \in |V|$ is not a quadric cone with L in its vertex and $V' = V$ if every $\{g = 0\}, g \in V \setminus \{0\}$, is a quadric cone with vertex containing L .*

Proof. A quadric hypersurface contains every (or a general) degree 2 connected scheme v with $v_{\text{red}} = \{P\}$ if and only if it is a quadric cone with vertex

containing P . A quadric cone has vertex containing L if and only if its vertex contains a general $P \in L$. \square

Remark 2. Fix positive integers r, k, t . Fix any linear subspace of $H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(k))$. Let $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ be a general union of t tangent vectors. By [8, Lemma 1.4] or [12] (in characteristic zero) or if $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) > k$ ([7], Z imposes $\min\{\dim(V), 2t\}$ independent conditions to V).

3. Proof in \mathbb{P}^3

Let $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be a smooth quadric surface.

Remark 3. No cubic surface $T \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ has a singular locus containing 3 disjoint lines (e.g. by Bezout and the fact that 3 disjoint lines of \mathbb{P}^3 are contained in a smooth quadric surface, but no plane contains two disjoint lines).

Remark 4. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (x_{3,3,0}, y_{3,3,0}) &= (5, 0), & (x_{3,3,1}, y_{3,3,1}) &= (4, 0), & (x_{3,3,2}, y_{3,3,2}) &= (3, 2), \\
 (x_{3,3,3}, y_{3,3,3}) &= (2, 6), & (x_{3,3,4}, y_{3,3,4}) &= (2, 4), & (x_{3,4,0}, y_{3,4,0}) &= (7, 0), \\
 (x_{3,4,1}, y_{3,4,1}) &= (5, 5), & (x_{3,4,2}, y_{3,4,2}) &= (5, 0), & (x_{3,4,3}, y_{3,4,3}) &= (4, 3), \\
 (x_{3,4,4}, y_{3,4,4}) &= (3, 8), & (x_{3,4,5}, y_{3,4,5}) &= (3, 5), & (x_{3,5,0}, y_{3,5,0}) &= (9, 2), \\
 (x_{3,5,1}, y_{3,5,1}) &= (8, 0), & (x_{3,5,2}, y_{3,5,2}) &= (7, 0), & (x_{3,5,3}, y_{3,5,3}) &= (6, 2), \\
 (x_{3,5,4}, y_{3,5,4}) &= (5, 6), & (x_{3,5,5}, y_{3,5,5}) &= (5, 1), & (x_{3,5,6}, y_{3,5,6}) &= (4, 7), \\
 (x_{3,6,0}, y_{3,6,0}) &= (12, 0), & (x_{3,6,1}, y_{3,6,1}) &= (10, 4), & (x_{3,6,2}, y_{3,6,2}) &= (9, 3), \\
 (x_{3,6,3}, y_{3,6,3}) &= (8, 4), & (x_{3,6,4}, y_{3,6,4}) &= (7, 7), & (x_{3,6,5}, y_{3,6,5}) &= (7, 0), \\
 (x_{3,6,6}, y_{3,6,6}) &= (6, 6), & (x_{3,6,7}, y_{3,6,7}) &= (6, 0), & (x_{3,7,0}, y_{3,7,0}) &= (15, 0), \\
 (x_{3,7,1}, y_{3,7,1}) &= (13, 3), & (x_{3,7,2}, y_{3,7,2}) &= (12, 0), & (x_{3,7,3}, y_{3,7,3}) &= (10, 9), \\
 (x_{3,7,4}, y_{3,7,4}) &= (10, 0), & (x_{3,7,5}, y_{3,7,5}) &= (9, 3), & (x_{3,7,6}, y_{3,7,6}) &= (8, 8), \\
 (x_{3,7,7}, y_{3,7,7}) &= (8, 0), & (x_{3,7,8}, y_{3,7,8}) &= (7, 8), & (x_{3,8,0}, y_{3,8,0}) &= (18, 3), \\
 (x_{3,8,1}, y_{3,8,1}) &= (16, 5), & (x_{3,8,2}, y_{3,8,2}) &= (15, 0), & (x_{3,8,3}, y_{3,8,3}) &= (13, 9), \\
 (x_{3,8,4}, y_{3,8,4}) &= (12, 9), & (x_{3,8,5}, y_{3,8,5}) &= (11, 11), & (x_{3,8,6}, y_{3,8,6}) &= (11, 0), \\
 (x_{3,8,7}, y_{3,8,7}) &= (10, 5), & (x_{3,8,8}, y_{3,8,8}) &= (9, 12), & (x_{3,8,9}, y_{3,8,9}) &= (9, 3), \\
 (x_{3,9,0}, y_{3,9,0}) &= (22, 0), & (x_{3,9,1}, y_{3,9,1}) &= (20, 0), & (x_{3,9,2}, y_{3,9,2}) &= (18, 4), \\
 (x_{3,9,3}, y_{3,9,3}) &= (16, 12), & (x_{3,9,4}, y_{3,9,4}) &= (15, 10), & (x_{3,9,5}, y_{3,9,5}) &= (14, 10),
 \end{aligned}$$

$$(x_{3,9,6}, y_{3,9,6}) = (13, 12), \quad (x_{3,9,7}, y_{3,9,7}) = (12, 16), \quad (x_{3,9,8}, y_{3,9,8}) = (12, 4),$$

$$(x_{3,9,9}, y_{3,9,9}) = (11, 11), \quad (x_{3,9,10}, y_{3,9,10}) = (11, 0).$$

Proof of Theorem 1 in \mathbb{P}^3 . By Lemma 1 we may assume $k \geq 3$. Taking the difference between (1) with $(r', k', a') = (3, k, a)$ and $(r', k', a') = (3, k - 2, a - 2)$ we get

$$4x_{3,k-2,a-2} + (k + 1 + a)(x_{3,k,a} - x_{3,k-2,a-2}) + y_{3,k,a} - y_{3,k-2,a-2} = (k + 1)^2. \quad (2)$$

If $a \geq 3$ (resp. $a \geq 4$), then we also have the following relations:

$$5x_{3,k-2,a-3} + (k + 1 + a)(x_{3,k,a} - x_{3,k-2,a-3}) + y_{3,k,a} - y_{3,k-2,a-3} = (k + 1)^2, \quad (3)$$

$$6x_{3,k-2,a-4} + (k + 1 + a)(x_{3,k,a} - x_{3,k-2,a-4}) + y_{3,k,a} - y_{3,k-2,a-4} = (k + 1)^2 \quad (4)$$

By [14] and [5] the theorem is true if $a \leq 1$. Fix an integer a with $2 \leq a \leq k + 1$. Let $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be a general union of $x_{3,k-2,a-2}$ $(a - 2)$ -decorated lines. Since $a - 2 \leq k - 1$, the inductive assumption gives $h^1(\mathcal{I}_Y(k - 2)) = 0$ and $h^0(\mathcal{I}_Y(k - 2)) = y_{3,k-2,a-2}$. Set $w := x_{3,k,a} - x_{3,k-2,a-2}$. By (2) we have $w \leq k$ for all $k \geq 3$. We assume $k \geq 5$ and use Lemma 1 and Remark 3 for the integer $k - 2$ (plus the explicit values of the integer $x_{3,k-2,a-2}$) to handle the cases $k = 3, 4$. For each $P \in Y \cap Q$ let v_P be a general tangent vector of Q with P as its reduction. Set $Z := \cup_{P \in Y \cap Q} v_P$. For general Y the scheme Z is a general union of $x_{3,k-2,a-2}$ tangent vectors of Q .

Claim 1. *if $2 \leq a \leq k + 1$ and either $k \geq 20$ or $a \geq (k + 2)/2$, then $w \leq (k + 1)/2$.*

Proof of Claim 1. Assume $w \geq (k + 2)/2$. Since $y_{3,k,a} \geq 0$, $y_{3,k,a-2} \leq k - 3 + a$ and $4(k - 3 + a)x_{3,k-2,a-2} + 4y_{3,k-2,a-2} = 4\binom{k+1}{3}$, (2) gives $4\binom{k+1}{3} - (k + 1 + a)(k - 3 + a) + (k + 1 + a)(k - 3 + a)(k + 2)/2 < (k + 1 + a)(k + 1)^2$. Hence $4\binom{k+1}{3} < (k + 1 + a)(k^2 - ak + 5k - 4)/2$. Since the right hand side of the last inequality is a decreasing function of a when $a > 0$, we get a contradiction for all $k \geq 20$ and, if $a \geq (k + 2)/2$, for all $k \geq 10$.

(a) In this step we assume $y_{3,k,a} \geq y_{3,k-2,a-2}$. Let $E \subset Q$ be a general union of w lines of type $(0, 1)$. For each line $L \subseteq E$ fix a general $S_L \subset L$ such that $\sharp(S_L) = a$. For each line $L \subseteq E$ and each $P \in S_L$ let v_P be a tangent vector of Q with $(v_P)_{\text{red}} = \{P\}$ and not tangent to L . Set $S := \cup_{L \subseteq E} S_L$ and $X := Y \cup Z \cup E \cup \bigcup_{P \in S} v_P$. Since X is a disjoint union of $x_{3,k,a}$ a -decorated lines, to prove the theorem for the integers k and a it is sufficient to prove that $h^1(\mathcal{I}_X(k)) = 0$. Since $\text{Res}_Q(X) = Y$, it is sufficient to prove that $h^1(Q, \mathcal{I}_{X \cap Q}(k)) = 0$. Since $\text{Res}_E(X \cap Q) = S \cup Z$, it is sufficient to prove that $h^1(Q, \mathcal{I}_{S \cup Z}(k, k - w)) = 0$. Since $\text{deg}(S \cup Z) = (k + 1)(k + 1 - w) + y_{3,k,a} - y_{3,k-2,a-2}$, it is sufficient to prove that $h^1(Q, \mathcal{I}_S(k, k - w)) = 0$ (Remark 3). For each line $L \subseteq E$ fix $S'_L \subset L$ such that $\sharp(S'_L) = k + 1$ and $S'_L \supset S_L$. It is sufficient to prove that $h^1(Q, \mathcal{I}_{\cup_{L \subseteq E} S'_L}(k, k - w)) = 0$. Assume for the moment that either $k \geq 20$ or $k \geq 10$ and $a \geq (k + 2)/2$. Since $\sharp(S'_L) = k + 1$ for all L , we have $h^1(Q, \mathcal{I}_{\cup_{L \subseteq E} S'_L}(k, k - w)) = h^1(Q, \mathcal{O}_Q(k, k - 2w)) = 0$, the latter equality coming from the inequality $2w \leq k + 1$ (Claim 1). Now assume $a \leq (k + 1)/2$. In this case we only need the inequality $w + \lceil w/2 \rceil \leq k + 1$, instead of the inequality $2w \leq k + 1$; this weaker inequality is true for all $k \geq 5$. The explicit values in Remark 4 show that we always have $w \leq (k + 1)/2$.

(b) In this step we assume $y_{3,k,a} < y_{3,k-2,a-2}$. Set $e := y_{3,k-2,a-2} - y_{3,k,a}$. We modify the construction just given in step (a) in the following way. Fix $S' \subset Y \cap Q$ such that $\sharp(S') = e$ and each line of Y_{red} contains at most one point of S' ; this is possible because $x_{3,k-2,a-2} = \lfloor \binom{k+1}{3} / (k-3+a) \rfloor \geq k-4+a \geq y_{3,k-2,a-2} \geq e$. Call R_1, \dots, R_e the lines of Y_{red} containing a point of S' . For each $P \in S'$ with, say $P \in R_i \cap Q$ let v_P be a general tangent vector of \mathbb{P}^3 with as v_{red} a general point of R_i . Set $Y_1 := Y \cup \bigcup_{P \in S'} v_P$. Then take $E \subset Q$ and $v_P \subset Q, P \in S_L \subset L, L \subseteq E$ as in step (a). Set $X := Y_1 \cup E \cup \bigcup_{P \in S_L, L \subseteq E} v_P$. It is sufficient to prove that $h^1(\mathcal{I}_X(k)) = 0$. As in step (a) we have $h^1(Q, \mathcal{I}_{X \cap Q}(k)) = 0$. Hence it is sufficient to prove that $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{\text{Res}_Q(X)}(k - 2)) = 0$. We have $\text{Res}_Q(X) = Y_1$. Assume $f := h^1(\mathcal{I}_{Y_1}(k - 2)) > 0$. Since $Y \subset Y_1$, $h^1(\mathcal{I}_Y(k - 2)) = 0$ and the ideal sheaf of Y in Y_1 is a skyscraper sheaf with S as its support and length 1 at each $P \in S$, we have $e \leq \sharp(S') = e$ and we may order the lines $L_1, \dots, L_{x_{3,k-2,a-2}}$ of Y_{red} so that $S' \subset (L_1 \cup \dots \cup L_{y_{3,k-2,a-2} - y_{3,k,a}}) \cap Q$ and, calling $Y[i], 1 \leq i \leq y_{3,k-2,a-2} - y_{3,k,a}$, the union of Y and the schemes $v_P, P \in Q \cap (L_1 \cup \dots \cup L_i)$ (with $Y[0] := Y$), we have $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{Y[i]}(k - 2)) = 0$ for all $i \leq \alpha := w - f$ and $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{Y[\alpha]}(k - 2)) = h^0(\mathcal{I}_{Y[\alpha+1]}(k - 2))$. Call D_1, \dots, D_α the lines of Y_{red} supporting the ideal sheaf of Y in $Y[\alpha]$ and $D_{\alpha+1}, \dots, D_{y_{3,k-2,a-2}}$ all the other lines of Y_{red} . Moving $D_{\alpha+1}, \dots, D_{y_{3,k-2,a-2}}$ and the vectors v_P at all points of the lines R_i not on some D_1, \dots, D_α , we get a monodromy group which is a full symmetric group. Hence every surface $T \in |\mathcal{I}_{Y[\alpha]}(k - 2)|$ contains

the double line D_i . Call W the union of these double lines and of the connected components of Y_1 with D_1, \dots, D_α as its support. We have $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{Y_1}(k-2)) = h^0(\mathcal{I}_W(k-2)) = y_{3,k-2,a-2} - \alpha$ and $W \cap Q$ is a general union of α tangent vectors of Q , α points of Q and $2(x_{3,k-2,a-2} - \alpha)$ 2-points of Q . Let $E_1 \subset Q$ be a general union of w_1 lines of type $(0, 1)$. For each $L \subseteq E_1$ take subset $S_L \subset L$ with $\sharp(S_L) = k + 1$. For any line $L \subseteq E_1$ and any $P \in S_L$ let v_P be any tangent vector of Q with P as its reduction and not contained in L . Let $L \subset Q$ be a general line of type $(1, 0)$. Set $X_1 := Y_1 \cup L \cup E_1 \cup \bigcup_{P \in S_L, L \subseteq E_1} v_P$. Since X_1 is a disjoint union of $x_{3,k,a} - 1$ a -decorated lines and $y_{3,k-2,a-2} - \alpha < 2k + 1 + y_{3,k,a}$, to get a contradiction it is sufficient to prove that $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{X_1}(k)) \leq y_{3,k-2,a-2} - \alpha$. Since $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{Y_1}(k-2)) = h^0(\mathcal{I}_W(k-2)) = y_{3,k-2,a-2} - \alpha$, it is sufficient to prove that $h^0(Q, \mathcal{I}_{Q \cap W}(k, k + 1 - w)) = 0$. This is true by (2) and [15], because $\deg(W \cap Q) - \deg(Y(Y \cup Z) \cap Q) > k + 1 + e$.

(c) To check that $h^0(\mathcal{I}_U(k)) = 0$ for some $U \in W(r, x_{3,k,a} + 1, a)$ modify step (b) taking $e := y_{3,k-2,a-2}$ and adding one more a -line in Q . □

4. Proof in \mathbb{P}^r , $r \geq 4$

We fix an integer $r \geq 4$ and we assume that Theorem 1 is true in \mathbb{P}^{r-1} . By [5] to prove Theorem 1 in \mathbb{P}^r we may assume $a \geq 2$. We have $a \leq k + 1$ by assumption. Since Theorem 1 is true for the integer $k = 2$ (Lemma 1) we may assume $k \geq 3$ and that Theorem 1 is true for the triple $(r', k', a') = (r, k - 1, a - 1)$. Let $H \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ be a hyperplane. Taking the difference between (1) and the same equation for the integers $r, a' := a - 1$ and $k' := k - 1$ we get

$$2x_{r,k-1,a-1} + (k + 1 + a)(x_{r,k,a} - x_{r,k-1,a-1}) + y_{r,k,a} - y_{r,k-1,a-1} = \binom{r+k-1}{r-1}. \tag{5}$$

Set $w := x_{r,k,a} - x_{r,k-1,a-1}$.

Claim 1. *We have $w \geq 0$.*

Proof of Claim 1. Assume $w \leq -1$. Since $x_{r,k-1,a-1} = \lfloor \binom{r+k-1}{r} / (k+a-1) \rfloor$, $y_{r,k,a} \leq k+a$ and $y_{r,k-1,a-1} \geq 0$, (5) gives the inequality $2\binom{r+k-1}{r} - 2(k+a-1) \geq (k+a-1)\binom{r+k-1}{r-1}$. Since $a > 0$ and $k\binom{r+k-1}{r-1} - 2\binom{r+k-1}{r} = \binom{r+k-1}{r}[r-2] > 0$, we get a contradiction.

Let $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ be a general union of $x_{r,k-1,a-1}$ $(a - 1)$ -decorated lines. By the inductive assumption we have $h^0(\mathcal{I}_Y(k-1)) = 0$ and $h^0(\mathcal{I}_Y(k-1)) = y_{r,k-1,a-1}$.

Since Y is general $Y \cap H$ is a general subset of H with cardinality $x_{r,k-1,a-1}$. For each $P \in H \cap Y$ let v_P be a general tangent of H with $\{P\} = (v_P)_{\text{red}}$. The scheme $Y \cup Z$ is a disjoint union of $x_{r,k-1,a-1}$ $(a - 1)$ -decorated lines, $\text{Res}_H(Y \cup Z) = Y$ and $(Y \cup Z) \cap H = Z$ (scheme-theoretic intersection). We have $\text{deg}(Z) = 2x_{r,k-1,a-1}$.

(a) In this step we assume $y_{r,k,a} \geq y_{r,k,a-1}$. Let $E \subset H$ be a general union of w a -decorated lines of H . Set $X := Y \cup Z \cup E$. Since $\text{Res}_H(X) = Y$, $X \cap H = Z \cup E$ and $h^1(\mathcal{I}_Y(k - 1)) = 0$, a Castelnuovo's sequence shows that it is sufficient to prove that $h^1(H, \mathcal{I}_{Z \cup E}(k)) = 0$. By (5) we have $h^0(\mathcal{O}_{X \cup E}(k)) = \binom{r+k-1}{r-1} + y_{r,k-1,a-1} - y_{r,k,a} \leq \binom{r+k-1}{r-1}$. By Remark 3 it is sufficient to prove that $h^1(H, \mathcal{I}_E(k)) = 0$. This vanishing is true, because $H \cong \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$.

(b) In this step we assume $y_{r,k,a} < y_{r,k,a-1}$ and $w \geq y := y_{r,k,a-1} - y_{r,k,a}$. Set $a' := \min\{a - 1, k - 1\}$ and let $G \subseteq Y$ be any union of (a') -decorated lines. Since $h^0(\mathcal{I}_Y(k - 1)) = y_{r,k-1,a-1} \leq k - 2 - a < \binom{r+k-1}{r} - \binom{r+k-2}{r}$, the inductive assumption gives $h^0(\mathcal{I}_G(k - 2)) = 0$. Hence $h^0(\mathcal{I}_Y(k - 1)) = 0$ (this vanishing is easily proved, without using induction on k). Let $F \subset H$ be a general union of w lines. Write $F = A \sqcup B$ with B union of y lines and A union of $w - y$ lines. Let $A' \subset H$ be a general union of a -decorated lines of H with $A'_{\text{red}} = A'$. Let $B'' \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ be a general union of a -decorated lines with the following restriction; for each line $D \subseteq B$ let E_D be the connected component of B'' with D as its support; we assume that $E_D \cap H$ is an $(a - 1)$ -decorated lines and hence the scheme $\text{Res}_H(E_D)$ is a point of D . Set $X := Y \cup \bigcup Z \cup A' \cup B''$ and $S' := \text{Res}_H(B'')$. We have $\text{Res}_H(X) = Y \cup S'$. Since B is a general union of y lines of H and each point $\text{Res}_H(E_D)$, D a connected component of B , is a general point of D , S' is a general union of y points of H . Since $\text{Res}_H(Y) = Y$, S' is general in H and $h^0(\mathcal{I}_Y(k - 2)) = 0$, we have $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{Y \cup S'}(k - 1)) = y_{r,k,a}$ and $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{Y \cup S'}(k - 1)) = 0$ (see, e.g., [6], Lemma 4). A Castelnuovo's sequence gives $h^1(\mathcal{I}_X(k)) = 0$.

(c) In this step we assume $y_{r,k,a} < y_{r,k,a-1}$ and $w < y := y_{r,k,a-1} - y_{r,k,a}$. We have $x_{r,k-1,a-1} = \lfloor \binom{r+k-1}{r} / (k - 1 - a) \rfloor > 2y$

Claim 2. We have $x_{r,k-1,a-1} - y > \binom{t+k-1}{r-1} / r$.

Proof of Claim 2. By (5) and the assumption $w < y := y_{r,k,a-1} - y_{r,k,a}$ we have $2x_{r,k-1,a-1} - 2y + (k + 1 + a)y - k - 1 - a \geq \binom{r+k-1}{r-1} + y$. Since $r \geq 4$ and $x_{r,k-1,a-1} = \lfloor \binom{r+k-1}{r} / (k - 1 - a) \rfloor > 2y$, we get $r(x_{r,k-1,a-1} - y) > \binom{t+k-1}{r-1}$. For each $P \in Y \cap H$ let D_P be the line of Y_{red} containing P . Fix $S \subset Y \cap H$ such that $\sharp(S) = y$. Set $Z' := \cup_{P \in Y \cap H \setminus S} v_P$. Let Y' be the union of the connected

components of Y with D_P , $P \in Y \cap H \setminus S$ as their support. Y' is a general union of $x_{r,k-1,a-1} - y_{r,k,a-1} + y_{r,k,a}$ $(a - 1)$ -decorated lines. For each $P \in S$ fix a general $O_P \in D_P$ and let w_P be a general tangent vector of \mathbb{P}^r with O_P as its reduction. The scheme $Y_1 := Y \cup \bigcup_{P \in S} w_P$ is a general union of $x_{r,k-1,a-1} - y$ $(a - 1)$ -decorated lines and y a -decorated lines. Set $Y_2 := Y_1 \cup Z'$. Y_2 is a disjoint union of $x_{r,k-1,a-1}$ a -decorated lines, $Y \cap Y_2 = Z' \cup S$ and $\text{Res}_H(Y_2) = Y_1$. We have $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{Y_1}(k - 1)) = y_{r,k,a} + h^1(\mathcal{I}_{Y_1}(k - 1))$. First assume $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{Y_1}(k - 1)) = 0$. In this case to prove Theorem 1 for the triple (r, k, a) it is sufficient to repeat the proof of step (a) adding to Y_2 a general union of w a -decorated lines of H . Now assume $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{Y_1}(k - 1)) > 0$. Since $h^1(\mathcal{I}_Y(k - 1)) = 0$, we may order the points of S , say $S = \{P_1, \dots, P_y\}$, so that the $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{Y \cup \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq e} w_P}(k - 1)) = 0$ and $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{Y \cup \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq e+1} w_{P_i}}(k - 1)) > 0$. Since $w_{P_{e+1}}$ is a general tangent vector of \mathbb{P}^r supported by a general point of $D_{P_{e+1}}$, we get that every $T \in |\mathcal{I}_{Y \cup \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq e+1} w_{P_i}}(k - 1)|$ contains the double line $2D_{P_{e+1}}$ of \mathbb{P}^r . The monodromy group of the symmetric product of $x_{r,k-1,a-1} - e$ copies of H is the full symmetric group and for each $P \in H$ the set of all $(a - 1)$ -decorated lines of \mathbb{P}^r containing P , but intersecting H only at P is irreducible. Hence a monodromy argument gives that every $T \in |\mathcal{I}_{Y \cup \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq e} w_{P_i}}(k - 1)|$ contains the 2-line $2D_P$ for all $P \in Y \cap H \setminus S$. Fix a general hyperplane $M \subset \mathbb{P}^r$. For general Y the scheme $T \cap M$ contains $x_{r,k-1,a-1} - y$ general 2-points; call $W \subset M$ the union of these 2-points. Assume for the moment either $k \geq 4$ and $r \geq 6$ or $r = 4, 5$ and $k \geq 5$. By Claim 2 and a theorem of Alexander-Hirschowitz ([1], [2], [3], [4], [13]), we have $h^0(M, \mathcal{I}_W(k - 1)) = 0$. Hence $M \subset T$. Varying M we get a contradiction (it is even easily proved that no $T' \in |\mathcal{I}_Y(k - 1)|$ contains a hyperplane). Now assume $k = 3$. In this case we get $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{Y \cup \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq e} w_P}(2)) = 0$, because Y_{red} spans \mathbb{P}^r and the singular locus of a quadric hypersurface is a linear subspace. In the case $k = 4$ and $r = 4$ use Remark 2. Now assume $k = 4$ and $r = 5$. We have $r_{5,3,a-1} \geq \lfloor \binom{8}{3} / 8 \rfloor = 7$ and no cubic hypersurface of \mathbb{P}^4 has a singular locus containing 7 general lines (e.g., use a Castelnuovo's sequence).

(d) A small adaptation of steps (b) and (c) gives that $h^0(\mathcal{I}_A(k)) = 0$ for some $A \in W(r, x_{r,k,a} + 1, a)$. □

Remark 5. To prove an equivalent of Theorem 1 for larger values of a , say $k + 2 \leq a \leq 2k$, one should use (3) and 4). Fix $Y \in W(3, x_{3,k-2,a-2}, a - 2)$ as in the proof of the case $r = 3$ of Theorem 1 as in the proof and a line $D \subset Y_{\text{red}}$. Notice that the 2-point of Q with P as its support is the flat limit of a family of tangent vectors of \mathbb{P}^3 supported by general points of D . The same observation may be used in \mathbb{P}^r , using both Alexander-Hirschowitz theorem and [9].

Acknowledgements

The author was partially supported by MIUR and GNSAGA of INdAM (Italy).

References

- [1] J. Alexander, Singularités imposables en position générale aux hypersurfaces de \mathbb{P}^n , *Compositio Math.* 68 (1988), 305–354.
- [2] J. Alexander and A. Hirschowitz, Un lemme d’Horace différentiel: application aux singularité hyperquartiques de \mathbb{P}^5 , *J. Alg. Geom.* 1 (1992), 411–426.
- [3] J. Alexander and A. Hirschowitz, La méthode d’Horace éclaté: application à l’interpolation en degré quatre, *Invent. Math.* 107 (1992), 585–602.
- [4] J. Alexander and A. Hirschowitz, Polynomial interpolation in several variables, *J. Alg. Geom.* 4 (1995), 201–222.
- [5] E. Ballico, Postulation of general unions of lines and decorated lines, preprint.
- [6] E. Ballico, Postulation of general unions of lines and multiplicity two points in \mathbb{P}^r , $r \leq 5$, preprint.
- [7] E. Ballico, Postulation of unions if tangent vectors, preprint.
- [8] A. Bernardi, M. V. Catalisano, A. Gimigliano and M. Idà, Secant varieties to osculating varieties of Veronese embeddings of \mathbb{P}^n . *J. Algebra* 321 (2009), no. 3, 982–1004.
- [9] M. C. Brambilla and G. Ottaviani, On partial polynomial interpolation. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 435 (2011), no. 6, 1415–1445.
- [10] E. Carlini, M. V. Catalisano and A. V. Geramita, 3-dimensional sundials, *Cent. Eur. J. Math.* 9 (2011), no. 5, 949–971.
- [11] E. Carlini, M. V. Catalisano and A. V. Geramita, Reduced and non-reduced linear spaces: lines and points, arXiv: 1308.0796.
- [12] C. Ciliberto and R. Miranda, Interpolations on curvilinear schemes, *J. Algebra* 203 (1998), no. 2, 677–678.

- [13] K. Chandler, A brief proof of a maximal rank theorem for generic 2-points in projective space. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 353 (2000), no. 5, 1907–1920.
- [14] R. Hartshorne and A. Hirschowitz, Droites en position générale dans \mathbb{P}^n , *Algebraic Geometry, Proceedings, La Rábida 1981*, 169–188, *Lect. Notes in Math.* 961, Springer, Berlin, 1982.
- [15] A. Laface, On linear systems of curves on rational scrolls, *Geom. Dedicata* 90 (2002), 127–144.