

**OPTIMAL CONVEX COMBINATION BOUNDS OF  
THE CONTRAHARMONIC AND HARMONIC  
MEANS FOR THE SEIFFERT MEAN**

Gao Shaoqin<sup>1 §</sup>, Song Lingling<sup>2</sup>, You Mengna<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1,2,3</sup>College of Mathematics and Computer Sciences

Hebei University

Baoding, 071002, P.R. CHINA

**Abstract:** We find the greatest value  $\alpha$  and the least value  $\beta$  such that the double inequality

$$\alpha C(a, b) + (1 - \alpha)H(a, b) < P(a, b) < \beta C(a, b) + (1 - \beta)H(a, b)$$

holds for all  $a, b > 0$  with  $a \neq b$ . Here  $C(a, b)$ ,  $H(a, b)$  and  $P(a, b)$  denote the contraharmonic, harmonic, and the Seiffert means of two positive numbers  $a$  and  $b$  respectively.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 26D15

**Key Words:** optimal convex combination bound, contraharmonic mean, harmonic mean, the Seiffert means

## 1. Introduction

For  $a, b > 0$  with  $a \neq b$  the Seiffert means  $P(a, b)$  was introduced by Seiffert [1, 2] as follows:

$$P(a, b) = \frac{a - b}{4 \arctan(\sqrt{a/b}) - \pi}. \quad (1)$$

Recently, the inequalities for means have been the subject of intensive re-

---

Received: April 7, 2014

© 2014 Academic Publications, Ltd.  
url: [www.acadpubl.eu](http://www.acadpubl.eu)

<sup>§</sup>Correspondence author

search [3, 8]. In particular, many remarkable inequalities for the Seiffert mean can be found in the literature [4, 6, 8].

Let  $H(a, b) = \frac{2ab}{a+b}$ ,  $G(a, b) = \sqrt{ab}$ ,  $L(a, b) = \frac{b-a}{\ln b - \ln a}$ ,  $P(a, b) = \frac{a-b}{4 \arctan \sqrt{\frac{a}{b}} - \pi}$ ,  $I(a, b) = \frac{1}{e} \left(\frac{b^b}{a^a}\right)^{\frac{1}{b-a}}$ ,  $A(a, b) = \frac{a+b}{2}$ ,  $T(a, b) = \frac{2(a^2+ab+b^2)}{3(a+b)}$  and  $C(a, b) = \frac{a^2+b^2}{a+b}$  be the harmonic, geometric, logarithmic, Seiffert, identric, arithmetic, centroidal and contraharmonic means of two positive real numbers  $a$  and  $b$  with  $a \neq b$ . Then

$$\min\{a, b\} < H(a, b) < G(a, b) < L(a, b) < P(a, b) < I(a, b) < A(a, b) < T(a, b) < S(a, b) < C(a, b) < \max\{a, b\}. \quad (2)$$

In [1], Seiffert proved

$$L(a, b) < P(a, b) < I(a, b) \quad (3)$$

for all  $a, b > 0$  with  $a \neq b$ .

The following bounds for the Seiffert mean  $P(a, b)$  in terms of the power mean  $M_r(a, b) = ((a^r + b^r)/2)^{1/r}$  ( $r \neq 0$ ) were presented by Jagers in [7]:

$$M_{1/2}(a, b) < P(a, b) < M_{2/3}(a, b) \quad (4)$$

for all  $a, b > 0$  with  $a \neq b$ .

Hästö[8] found the sharp lower bound for the Seiffert mean as follow:

$$M_{\log 2 / \log \pi}(a, b) < P(a, b) \quad (5)$$

for all  $a, b > 0$  with  $a \neq b$ .

In [3], Seiffert proved

$$P(a, b) > \frac{3A(a, b)G(a, b)}{A(a, b) + 2G(a, b)} \quad (6)$$

and

$$P(a, b) > \frac{2}{\pi} A(a, b) \quad (7)$$

for all  $a, b > 0$  with  $a \neq b$ .

In [4], the authors found the greatest value  $\alpha$  and the least value  $\beta$  such that the double inequality

$$\alpha A(a, b) + (1 - \alpha)H(a, b) < P(a, b) < \beta A(a, b) + (1 - \beta)H(a, b) \quad (8)$$

for all  $a, b > 0$  with  $a \neq b$ .

The purpose of the present paper is to find the greatest value  $\alpha$  and the least value  $\beta$  such that the double inequality

$$\alpha C(a, b) + (1 - \alpha)H(a, b) < P(a, b) < \beta C(a, b) + (1 - \beta)H(a, b) \quad (9)$$

holds for all  $a, b > 0$  with  $a \neq b$ .

## 2. Main Result

### 2.1. Theorem

The double inequality

$$\alpha C(a, b) + (1 - \alpha)H(a, b) \leq P(a, b) \leq \beta C(a, b) + (1 - \beta)H(a, b)$$

holds for all  $a, b > 0$  with  $a \neq b$  if and only if  $\alpha \leq \frac{1}{\pi}$  and  $\beta \geq \frac{5}{12}$ .

*Proof.* Firstly, we prove that

$$P(a, b) < \frac{5}{12}C(a, b) + \frac{7}{12}H(a, b), \quad (10)$$

$$P(a, b) > \frac{1}{\pi}C(a, b) + (1 - \frac{1}{\pi})H(a, b), \quad (11)$$

for all  $a, b > 0$  with  $a \neq b$ .

Without loss of generality, we assume  $a > b > 0$ . Let  $t = \sqrt{\frac{a}{b}} > 1$  and  $q \in \{\frac{1}{\pi}, \frac{5}{12}\}$ . Then (1) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{b} [qC(a, b) + (1 - q)H(a, b) - P(a, b)] \\ &= \frac{qt^4 + 2(1-q)t^2 + q}{(t^2+1)(4\arctan t - \pi)} f(t), \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where

$$f(t) = 4 \arctan t - \pi - \frac{t^4 - 1}{qt^4 + 2(1 - q)t^2 + q}. \quad (13)$$

Simple computations lead to

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^+} f(t) = 0, \quad (14)$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} f(t) = \pi - \frac{1}{q}. \quad (15)$$

$$f'(t) = \frac{4}{(t^2 + 1)[qt^4 + 2(1 - q)t^2 + q]^2} g_1(t), \quad (16)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(t) &= q^2 t^8 - (1 - q)t^7 + 4q(1 - q)t^6 - (1 + q)t^5 \\ &\quad + 2(3q^2 - 4q + 2)t^4 - (1 + q)t^3 \\ &\quad + 4q(1 - q)t^2 - (1 - q)t + q^2. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Now we divide the proof into two cases:

Case 1. If  $q = \frac{5}{12}$ . (17) leads to

$$g_1(t) = \frac{(t - 1)^4}{144} (25t^4 + 16t^3 + 54t^2 + 16t + 25) > 0 \quad (18)$$

for  $t > 1$ . (18) and (16) imply  $f'(t) > 0$ , thus  $f(t)$  is strictly increasing for  $t > 1$ . Then inequality (10) follows from (12)-(14).

Case 2. If  $q = \frac{1}{\pi}$ , then from (17) we get

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^+} g_1(t) = 0, \quad (19)$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} g_1(t) = +\infty. \quad (20)$$

$$\begin{aligned} g_1'(t) &= 8q^2 t^7 - 7(1 - q)t^6 + 24q(1 - q)t^5 - 5(1 + q)t^4 \\ &\quad + 8(3q^2 - 4q + 2)t^3 - 3(1 + q)t^2 + 8q(1 - q)t - (1 - q), \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^+} g_1'(t) = 0, \quad (22)$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} g_1'(t) = +\infty. \quad (23)$$

$$g_1''(t) = 56q^2t^6 - 42(1-q)t^5 + 120q(1-q)t^4 - 20(1+q)t^3 + 24(3q^2 - 4q + 2)t^2 - 6(1+q)t + 8q(1-q), \quad (24)$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^+} g_1''(t) = 48q - 20 = \frac{48}{\pi} - 20 < 0, \quad (25)$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} g_1''(t) = +\infty. \quad (26)$$

$$g_1'''(t) = 6\left[\frac{56}{\pi^2}t^5 - 35\left(1 - \frac{1}{\pi}\right)t^4 + \frac{80}{\pi}\left(1 - \frac{1}{\pi}\right)t^3 - 10\left(1 + \frac{1}{\pi}\right)t^2 + \left(\frac{3}{2\pi^2} - \frac{2}{\pi} + 1\right)t - \left(1 + \frac{1}{\pi}\right)\right] \quad (27)$$

Let

$$g_2(t) = \frac{56}{\pi^2}t^5 - 35\left(1 - \frac{1}{\pi}\right)t^4 + \frac{80}{\pi}\left(1 - \frac{1}{\pi}\right)t^3 - 10\left(1 + \frac{1}{\pi}\right)t^2 + \left(\frac{3}{2\pi^2} - \frac{2}{\pi} + 1\right)t - \left(1 + \frac{1}{\pi}\right). \quad (28)$$

Then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^+} g_2(t) = \frac{72}{\pi} - 30 < 0, \quad (29)$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} g_2(t) = +\infty. \quad (30)$$

$$g_2'(t) = \frac{280}{\pi^2}t^4 - 140\left(1 - \frac{1}{\pi}\right)t^3 + \frac{240}{\pi}\left(1 - \frac{1}{\pi}\right)t^2 - 20\left(1 + \frac{1}{\pi}\right)t + 16\left(1 + \frac{3}{2\pi^2} - \frac{2}{\pi}\right), \quad (31)$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^+} g_2'(t) = \frac{64}{\pi^2} + \frac{328}{\pi} - 144 < 0, \quad (32)$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} g_2'(t) = +\infty. \quad (33)$$

$$g_2''(t) = 20\left[\frac{56}{\pi^2}t^3 - 21\left(1 - \frac{1}{\pi}\right)t^2 + \frac{24}{\pi}\left(1 - \frac{1}{\pi}\right)t - \left(1 + \frac{1}{\pi}\right)\right] = 20g_3(t), \quad (34)$$

where

$$g_3(t) = \frac{56}{\pi^2}t^3 - 21\left(1 - \frac{1}{\pi}\right)t^2 + \frac{24}{\pi}\left(1 - \frac{1}{\pi}\right)t - \left(1 + \frac{1}{\pi}\right). \quad (35)$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^+} g_3(t) = \frac{32}{\pi^2} + \frac{44}{\pi} - 22 < 0, \quad (36)$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} g_3(t) = +\infty. \quad (37)$$

$$g_3'(t) = 6\left[\frac{28}{\pi^2}t^2 - 7\left(1 - \frac{1}{\pi}\right)t + \frac{4}{\pi}\left(1 - \frac{1}{\pi}\right)\right] = 6g_4(t), \quad (38)$$

where

$$g_4(t) = \frac{28}{\pi^2}t^2 - 7\left(1 - \frac{1}{\pi}\right)t + \frac{4}{\pi}\left(1 - \frac{1}{\pi}\right). \quad (39)$$

By simple computations, we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^+} g_4(t) = \frac{24}{\pi^2} + \frac{11}{\pi} - 7 < 0, \quad (40)$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} g_4(t) = +\infty. \quad (41)$$

$$g_4'(t) = \frac{56}{\pi^2}t - 7\left(1 - \frac{1}{\pi}\right), \quad (42)$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^+} g_4'(t) = \frac{56}{\pi^2} - 7\left(1 - \frac{1}{\pi}\right) > 0, \quad (43)$$

$$g_4''(t) = \frac{56}{\pi^2} > 0. \quad (44)$$

From (44) and (43) we clearly see that  $g_4'(t) > 0$  for  $t > 1$ , hence  $g_4(t)$  is strictly increasing in  $[1, +\infty)$ . It follows from (40) and (41) together with the monotonicity of  $g_4(t)$  that there exists  $\lambda_1 > 1$  such that  $g_4(t) < 0$  for  $t \in [1, \lambda_1)$  and  $g_4(t) > 0$  for  $t \in (\lambda_1, +\infty)$ , hence from (38)  $g_3(t)$  is strictly decreasing in  $[1, \lambda_1]$  and strictly increasing in  $[\lambda_1, +\infty)$ .

From (36) and (37) together with the monotonicity of  $g_3(t)$  we know that there exists  $\lambda_2 > 1$  such that  $g_3(t) < 0$  for  $t \in [1, \lambda_2)$  and  $g_3(t) > 0$  for  $t \in (\lambda_2, +\infty)$ , hence from (34)  $g_2'(t)$  is strictly decreasing in  $[1, \lambda_2]$  and strictly increasing in  $[\lambda_2, +\infty)$ .

From (32) and (33) together with the monotonicity of  $g_2'(t)$  we can see that there exists  $\lambda_3 > 1$  such that  $g_2(t)$  is strictly decreasing in  $[1, \lambda_3]$  and strictly increasing in  $[\lambda_3, +\infty)$ . It follows from (27)(29) and (30) together with the monotonicity of  $g_2(t)$  we clearly see there exists  $\lambda_4 > 1$  such that  $g_1''(t)$  is strictly decreasing in  $[1, \lambda_4]$  and strictly increasing in  $[\lambda_4, +\infty)$ .

From (25) and (26) together with the monotonicity of  $g_1''(t)$  we can see that there exists  $\lambda_5 > 1$  such that  $g_1'(t)$  is strictly decreasing in  $[1, \lambda_5]$  and strictly increasing in  $[\lambda_5, +\infty)$ . From (22) and (23) together with the monotonicity of  $g_1'(t)$  we clearly see there exists  $\lambda_6 > 1$  such that  $g_1(t)$  is strictly decreasing in  $[1, \lambda_6]$  and strictly increasing in  $[\lambda_6, +\infty)$ . Then (16) (19) and (20) imply that there exists  $\lambda_7 > 1$  such that  $f(t)$  is strictly decreasing in  $[1, \lambda_7]$  and strictly increasing in  $[\lambda_7, +\infty)$ . Note that (15) becomes

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} f(t) = 0 \quad (45)$$

for  $p = \frac{1}{\pi}$ .

It follows from (14) and (45) together with the monotonicity of  $f(t)$  that

$$f(t) < 0 \quad (46)$$

for  $t > 1$ .

Therefore, inequality (11) follows from (12) and (13) together with (46).

Secondly, we prove that  $\frac{5}{12}C(a, b) + \frac{7}{12}H(a, b)$  is the best possible upper convex combination bound of contraharmonic and harmonic means for the Seiffert mean  $P(a, b)$ .

For any  $t > 1$  and  $\alpha \in R$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha C(t^2, 1) + (1 - \alpha)H(t^2, 1) - P(t^2, 1) \\ &= \frac{q(t^4+1)}{t^2+1} + (1 - q)\frac{2t^2}{t^2+1} - \frac{(t^2-1)}{4\arctant-\pi} \\ &= \frac{h(t)}{(t^2+1)(4\arctant-\pi)}, \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

where

$$h(t) = [qt^4 + q + 2(1 - q)t^2](4\arctant - \pi) - (t^4 - 1). \quad (48)$$

It follows from (2.39) that

$$h(1) = h'(1) = h''(1) = 0, \quad (49)$$

$$h'''(1) = 4(12\alpha - 5). \quad (50)$$

If  $\alpha < \frac{5}{12}$ , then (2.41) leads to

$$h'''(1) < 0. \quad (51)$$

From (2.42) and the continuity of  $h'''(t)$  we see that there exists  $\delta = \delta(\alpha) > 0$  such that

$$h'''(t) < 0 \quad (52)$$

for  $t \in [1, 1 + \delta)$ . Then (2.40) and (2.43) imply that

$$h(t) < 0 \quad (53)$$

for  $t \in [1, 1 + \delta)$ .

Therefore,  $\alpha C(t^2, 1) + (1 - \alpha)H(t^2, 1) < P(t^2, 1)$  for  $t \in (1, 1 + \delta)$  follows from (2.38) and (2.44).

Finally, we prove that  $\frac{1}{\pi}C(a, b) + (1 - \frac{1}{\pi})H(a, b)$  is the best possible lower convex combination bound of contraharmonic and harmonic means for the Seiffert mean  $P(a, b)$ . In fact, for  $\beta > \frac{1}{\pi}$ , we have

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\beta C(1, x) + (1 - \beta)H(1, x)}{P(1, x)} = \pi\beta > 1 \quad (54)$$

Inequality (2.45) implies that for any  $\beta > \frac{1}{\pi}$  there exists  $X = X(\beta) > 1$  such that  $\beta C(1, x) + (1 - \beta)H(1, x) > P(1, x)$  for  $x \in (X, +\infty)$ .  $\square$

### Acknowledgments

This research is partly supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11271106).



### References

- [1] H. Seiffert, Problem 887, *Nieuw Archief voor Wiskunde*, vol.11, No.2 (1993), 176-176.
- [2] H. Seiffert, Aufgabe 16, *Die Wurzel*, no.29 (1995), 221-222.
- [3] H. Seiffert, Ungleichungen für einen bestimmten mittelwert, *Nieuw Archief voor Wiskunde*, vol.13, No.2 (1995), 195-198.
- [4] Y. Chu, Y. Qiu, M. Wang, G. Wang, The optimal convex combination bounds of arithmetic and harmonic means for the Seifferts mean, *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, Article ID 436457, doi: 10.1155/436457,2010,7 pages .
- [5] T. Hara, M. Uchiyama, S. Takahasi, A refinement of various mean inequalities, *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol.2, No.4 (1998), 387-395.
- [6] E. Neuman, J. Sándor, On the Schwab-Borchardt mean, *Mathematica Pannonica*, vol.17, No.1 (2006), 49-59.
- [7] A. Jagers, Solution of problem 887, *Nieuw Archief voor Wiskunde*, 12(1994), 230-231.
- [8] P. P. Hästö, Optimal inequalities between Seiffert's mean and power mean, *Mathematical Inequalities and Applications*, vol.7, No.1 (2004), 47-53.

