

## BOUNDEDNESS OF MARCINKIEWICZ INTEGRALS ON PRODUCT SPACES AND EXTRAPOLATION

Mohammed Ali<sup>1</sup> §, Ameera Al-Senjlawi<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1,2</sup>Department of Mathematics and Statistics  
Jordan University of Science and Technology  
Irbid, JORDAN

**Abstract:** In this article, we establish  $L^p$  estimates for the Marcinkiewicz integral operators with rough kernels on product spaces. These estimates and extrapolation arguments improve and extend some known results on Marcinkiewicz integrals.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 40B15, 40B20, 40B25

**Key Words:**  $L^p$  boundedness, Marcinkiewicz integrals, rough kernels, extrapolation

### 1. Introduction

Let  $n, m \geq 2$ , and let  $\mathbf{S}^{N-1}$  ( $N = n$  or  $m$ ) be the unit sphere in  $\mathbf{R}^N$  equipped with the normalized Lebesgue surface measure  $d\sigma = d\sigma(\cdot)$ . Also, let  $x' = x/|x|$  for  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $y' = y/|y|$  for  $y \in \mathbf{R}^m \setminus \{0\}$ . Let  $p'$  denote to the exponent conjugate to  $p$ .

For  $\rho = a_1 + ib_1$ ,  $\tau = a_2 + ib_2$  ( $a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2 \in \mathbf{R}$  with  $a_1, a_2 > 0$ ), let  $K_{\Omega, h}(x, y) = \Omega(x', y')|x|^{\rho-n}|y|^{\tau-m}h(|x|, |y|)$ , where  $h$  is a measurable function on  $\mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}^+$  and  $\Omega$  is a function on  $\mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{m-1}$  with  $\Omega \in L^1(\mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{m-1})$

---

Received: June 24, 2014

© 2014 Academic Publications, Ltd.  
url: [www.acadpubl.eu](http://www.acadpubl.eu)

§Correspondence author

satisfying the cancellation conditions:

$$\int_{\mathbf{S}^{n-1}} \Omega(x', \cdot) d\sigma(x') = \int_{\mathbf{S}^{m-1}} \Omega(\cdot, y') d\sigma(y') = 0. \quad (1)$$

For suitable mappings  $\phi, \psi : \mathbf{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ , a measurable function  $h$  on  $\mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}^+$  and an  $\Omega$  on  $\mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{m-1}$  satisfying (1), we define the Marcinkiewicz integral operator  $\mathcal{M}_{\Omega, h, \phi, \psi}^{\rho, \tau}$  for  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^m)$  by

$$\mathcal{M}_{\Omega, h, \phi, \psi}^{\rho, \tau} f(x, y) = \left( \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \left| \frac{1}{t^\rho s^\tau} F_{t, s}^{\phi, \psi} f(x, y) \right|^2 \frac{dt ds}{ts} \right)^{1/2}, \quad (2)$$

where

$$F_{t, s}^{\phi, \psi} f(x, y) = \int_{|u| \leq t} \int_{|v| \leq s} f(x - \phi(|u|)u', y - \psi(|v|)v') K_{\Omega, h}(u, v) du dv. \quad (3)$$

For simplicity, we denote  $\mathcal{M}_{\Omega, h, \phi, \psi}^{\rho, \tau}$  by  $\mathcal{M}_{\Omega, h}^{\rho, \tau}$  if  $\phi(r) = \psi(r) = r$ . Also, if  $\rho = \tau = 1$  and the function  $h = 1$ , the operator  $\mathcal{M}_{\Omega, h}^{\rho, \tau}$  is the classical Marcinkiewicz integral operator on product domains which is denoted by  $\mathcal{M}_{\Omega, c}$ .

The investigations of the  $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^m)$  boundedness of Marcinkiewicz integral operators on product spaces began by Ding in [17] in which he established the  $L^2$  boundedness of  $\mathcal{M}_{\Omega, c}$  if  $\Omega \in L(\log L)^2(\mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{m-1})$ . Subsequently, it was studied by many mathematicians. For example, the author of [14] proved that  $\mathcal{M}_{\Omega, c}$  is bounded for all  $1 < p < \infty$  provided that  $\Omega \in L(\log L)^2(\mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{m-1})$ . For more information about the importance and the recent advances on the study of such operators, the readers are referred (for instance to [1], [3], [13], [15], [16], [29], [30], as well as [31], and the references therein).

We point out that the study of parametric Marcinkiewicz integral operator was initiated by Hörmander in [23] in which he showed that  $\mathcal{M}_{\Omega, 1}^\rho$  (in the one parameter case) is bounded on  $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$  for  $1 < p < \infty$  when  $\rho > 0$  and  $\Omega \in Lip_\alpha(\mathbf{S}^{n-1})$  with  $\alpha > 0$ , and subsequently by Sakamoto and Yabuta in [24] (for the corresponding results in the one parameter cases, see for instance [2], [5], [6], [8], [10], [11], [12], [18], [19], [26], and [28]).

For  $d \neq 0$ , we let  $\mathcal{H}_d$  be the class of all functions  $\phi : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  which are smooth and satisfy the following growth conditions:

$$|\phi(t)| \leq C_1 t^d, \quad |\phi''(t)| \leq C_2 t^{d-2}, \quad C_3 t^{d-1} \leq |\phi'(t)| \leq C_4 t^{d-1}$$

for  $t \in (0, \infty)$ , and  $C_1, C_2, C_3$  plus  $C_4$  are positive constants independent of  $t$ . Also, for  $\gamma \geq 1$ , we let  $\Delta_\gamma(\mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}^+)$  denote the collection of all measurable functions  $h : \mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  satisfying

$$\sup_{R_1, R_2 > 0} \left( \frac{1}{R_1 R_2} \int_0^{R_1} \int_0^{R_2} |h(t, s)|^\gamma dt ds \right)^{1/\gamma} < \infty.$$

The primary focus of this paper is establishing  $L^p$  estimates of  $\mathcal{M}_{\Omega, h, \phi, \psi}^{\rho, \tau}$  for various functions  $\phi \in \mathcal{H}_{d_1}$ ,  $\psi \in \mathcal{H}_{d_2}$  for some  $d_1, d_2 \neq 0$ , and  $h \in \Delta_\gamma(\mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}^+)$ ; and then apply the extrapolation argument used in [4] to obtain new improved results. Our main result is formulated as follows:

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\Omega \in L^q(\mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{m-1})$  for some  $1 < q \leq 2$ ,  $h \in \Delta_\gamma(\mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}^+)$  for some  $\gamma > 1$ . Suppose that  $\phi \in \mathcal{H}_{d_1}$ ,  $\psi \in \mathcal{H}_{d_2}$  for some  $d_1, d_2 \neq 0$ . Then there exists a constant  $C_p$  (independent of  $\Omega$ ,  $h$ ,  $\gamma$ , and  $q$ ) such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \mathcal{M}_{\Omega, h, \phi, \psi}^{\rho, \tau} f \right\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^m)} \\ & \leq C_p \frac{A(\gamma)}{q-1} \|h\|_{\Delta_\gamma(\mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}^+)} \|\Omega\|_{L^q(\mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{m-1})} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^m)} \end{aligned}$$

for  $|1/p - 1/2| < \min\{1/2, 1/\gamma'\}$ , where

$$A(\gamma) = \begin{cases} \gamma & \text{if } \gamma > 2, \\ (\gamma - 1)^{-1} & \text{if } 1 < \gamma \leq 2. \end{cases}$$

The power of our theorem lies in using its conclusion and extrapolation (see [4]) to obtain improved results. In particular, Theorem 1 and extrapolation lead to the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** *Suppose that  $h \in \Delta_\gamma(\mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}^+)$  for some  $\gamma > 1$ , and  $\Omega$  satisfies (1). Let  $\phi \in \mathcal{H}_{d_1}$  and  $\psi \in \mathcal{H}_{d_2}$  for some  $d_1, d_2 \neq 0$ .*

(i) *If  $\Omega \in B_q^{(0,0)}(\mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{m-1})$  for some  $q > 1$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \mathcal{M}_{\Omega, h, \phi, \psi}^{\rho, \tau} f \right\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^m)} \\ & \leq C_p A(\gamma) \|h\|_{\Delta_\gamma(\mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}^+)} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^m)} \left( 1 + \|\Omega\|_{B_q^{(0,0)}(\mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{m-1})} \right) \end{aligned}$$

for  $|1/p - 1/2| < \min\{1/2, 1/\gamma'\}$ ;

(ii) If  $\Omega \in L(\log L)(\mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{m-1})$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \mathcal{M}_{\Omega, h, \phi, \psi}^{\rho, \tau} f \right\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^m)} \\ & \leq C_p A(\gamma) \|h\|_{\Delta_\gamma(\mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}^+)} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^m)} \left(1 + \|\Omega\|_{L(\log L)(\mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{m-1})}\right) \end{aligned}$$

for  $|1/p - 1/2| < \min\{1/2, 1/\gamma'\}$ .

**Remarks.** (1) The class  $\mathcal{H}_d$  was introduced by Fan and Pan in [21] in their studies of singular integrals. Model functions for the  $\phi \in \mathcal{H}_d$  are  $\phi(t) = t^d$  with  $d > 0$  or  $\phi(t) = t^r$  with  $r < 0$ . We point out that the class  $\mathcal{H}_d$  is empty when  $d = 0$ .

(2) The authors of [3] established the  $L^p$  ( $1 < p < \infty$ ) boundedness of  $\mathcal{M}_{\Omega, c}^{1,1}$  under the condition  $\Omega \in L(\log L)(\mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{m-1})$ . Furthermore, they proved that the exponent 1 is optimal for the  $L^2$  boundedness of  $\mathcal{M}_{\Omega, c}^{1,1}$  to hold.

(3) Al-Qassem in [1] showed that  $\mathcal{M}_{\Omega, h}^{1,1}$  is of type  $(p, p)$  for  $p \in (1, \infty)$  if  $\Omega \in B_q^{(0,0)}(\mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{m-1})$  and  $h \in L^\infty(\mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}^+)$ . Moreover, in the same paper Al-Qassem showed that the condition  $\Omega \in B_q^{(0,0)}(\mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{m-1})$  is optimal in the sense that there exists  $\Omega \in B_q^{(0,\nu)}(\mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{m-1})$  for all  $-1 < \nu < 0$  such that  $\mathcal{M}_{\Omega, c}$  is not bounded in  $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^m)$ .

(4) If  $\Omega$  belongs to the block space  $B_q^{(0,0)}(\mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{m-1})$  and  $h \in \Delta_\gamma(\mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}^+)$  for some  $q, \gamma > 1$ , then the  $L^p$  boundedness of  $\mathcal{M}_{\Omega, \phi, \psi, h}^{\rho, \tau}$  was obtained in [9] for any  $p$  satisfying  $|1/p - 1/2| < \min\{1/2, 1/\gamma'\}$  provided that  $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{H}_d$  for some  $d \neq 0$ .

(5) In the one parameter case, the authors of [10] used the extrapolation arguments to show that if  $\Omega$  belongs to the class  $L(\log L)^{1/2}(\mathbf{S}^{n-1})$  or to the class  $B_q^{(0,-1/2)}(\mathbf{S}^{n-1})$ ,  $h \in \Delta_\gamma(\mathbf{R}^+)$ , and  $\phi \in \mathcal{H}_d$  for some  $q, \gamma > 1, d \neq 0$ , then  $\mathcal{M}_{\Omega, \phi, h}^\rho$  is bounded on  $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$  for any  $p$  satisfying  $|1/p - 1/2| < \min\{1/2, 1/\gamma'\}$ .

Here and henceforth, the letter C denotes a bounded positive constant that may vary at each occurrence but independent of the essential variables.

## 2. Preliminary Results

In this section, we introduce some notations and give some auxiliary lemmas used in the sequel. For suitable functions  $\phi, \psi$  on  $\mathbf{R}^+$ , a measurable function  $h : \mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ ,  $\theta \geq 2$ , and  $\Omega : \mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{m-1} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ , we define the family of measures  $\{\sigma_{\Omega, \phi, \psi, h, t, s} : t, s \in \mathbf{R}^+\}$  and the corresponding maximal operators  $\sigma_{\Omega, \phi, \psi, h}^*$  and  $M_{\Omega, \phi, \psi, h, \theta}$  on  $\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^m$  by

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^m} f d\sigma_{\Omega, \phi, \psi, h, t, s} \\ &= t^{-\rho} s^{-\tau} \int_{1/2t \leq |u| \leq t} \int_{1/2s \leq |v| \leq s} f(\phi(|u|)u', \psi(|v|)v') K_{\Omega, h}(u, v) dudv, \end{aligned}$$

$$\sigma_{\Omega, \phi, \psi, h}^* f(x, y) = \sup_{t, s \in \mathbf{R}^+} \|\sigma_{\Omega, \phi, \psi, h, t, s}\| * |f(x, y)|,$$

and

$$M_{\Omega, \phi, \psi, h, \theta} f(x, y) = \sup_{i, j \in \mathbf{Z}} \int_{\theta^i}^{\theta^{i+1}} \int_{\theta^j}^{\theta^{j+1}} \|\sigma_{\Omega, \phi, \psi, h, t, s}\| * |f(x, y)| \frac{dt ds}{ts},$$

where  $|\sigma_{\Omega, \phi, \psi, h, t}|$  is defined in the same way as  $\sigma_{\Omega, \phi, \psi, h, t}$ , but with replacing  $K_{\Omega, h}$  by  $|K_{\Omega, h}|$ . We write  $t^{\pm\alpha} = \min\{t^\alpha, t^{-\alpha}\}$  and  $\|\sigma_{\Omega, \phi, \psi, h, t, s}\|$  for the total variation of  $\sigma_{\Omega, \phi, \psi, h, t, s}$ .

The following lemma can be obtained by applying a similar argument used in [7].

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $\Omega \in L^q(\mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{m-1})$  for some  $q > 1$  and satisfies the cancellation conditions (1). Suppose that  $\phi \in \mathcal{H}_{d_1}$  and  $\psi \in \mathcal{H}_{d_2}$  for some  $d_1, d_2 \neq 0$ . For  $t, s > 0$ , let*

$$G_{t, s}(r, k) = \int_{\mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{m-1}} e^{-i\{\phi(tr)x \cdot \xi + \psi(sk)y \cdot \eta\}} \Omega(x, y) d\sigma(x) d\sigma(y).$$

Then there are constants  $C$  and  $\alpha$  with  $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2q}$  such that

$$\int_{1/2}^1 \int_{1/2}^1 |G_{t, s}(r, k)|^2 \frac{dr dk}{rk} \leq C \left| \xi t^{d_1} \right|^{\pm \frac{\alpha}{q}} \left| \eta s^{d_2} \right|^{\pm \frac{\alpha}{q}} \|\Omega\|_{L^q(\mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{m-1})}^2.$$

*Proof.* We prove this lemma only for  $d_1, d_2 > 0$  because the proof for the other cases are essentially the same and requires only minor modifications. Also we prove this lemma for the case  $1 < q \leq 2$ , since  $L^q(\mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{m-1}) \subseteq L^2(\mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{m-1})$  for  $q \geq 2$ . By Schwarz inequality, we get that

$$\int_{1/2}^1 \int_{1/2}^1 |G_{t,s}(r, k)|^2 \frac{dr dk}{rk} \leq C \int_{\mathbf{S}^{m-1}} \left( \int_{\mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{n-1}} J(\xi, x, u) \Omega(x, y) \overline{\Omega(u, y)} d\sigma(x) d\sigma(u) \right) d\sigma(y),$$

where  $J(\xi, x, u) = \int_{1/2}^1 e^{-i\phi(tr)\xi \cdot (x-u)} \frac{dr}{r}$ . Write  $J(\xi, x, u) = \int_{1/2}^1 Y'_t(r) \frac{dr}{r}$ , where

$$Y'_t(r) = \int_{1/2}^r e^{-i\phi(tz)\xi \cdot (x-u)} dz, \quad 1/2 \leq z \leq r \leq 1.$$

By Van der Corput's lemma, the assumptions on  $\phi$  and integration by parts, we conclude

$$|J(\xi, x, u)| \leq C \left| \xi \cdot (x-u) t^{d_1} \right|^{-1},$$

which when combined with the trivial estimate  $|J(\xi, x, u)| \leq C$  leads to

$$|J(\xi, x, u)| \leq C \left| \xi t^{d_1} \right|^{-\alpha} \left| \xi' \cdot (x-u) \right|^{-\alpha}$$

for any  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . Thus, by Hölder's inequality we have

$$\int_{1/2}^1 \int_{1/2}^1 |G_{t,s}(r, k)|^2 \frac{dr dk}{rk} \leq C \left| \xi t^{d_1} \right|^{-\frac{\alpha}{q'}} \|\Omega\|_{L^q(\mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{m-1})}^2 \times \left( \int_{\mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{n-1}} \left| \xi' \cdot (x-u) \right|^{-\alpha q'} d\sigma(x) d\sigma(y) \right)^{1/q'}.$$

By choosing  $0 < 2\alpha q' < 1$ , we get that the last integral is finite, and hence

$$\int_{1/2}^1 \int_{1/2}^1 |G_{t,s}(r, k)|^2 \frac{dr dk}{rk} \leq C \left| \xi t^{d_1} \right|^{-\frac{\alpha}{q'}} \|\Omega\|_{L^q(\mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{m-1})}^2. \quad (4)$$

In the same manner, we obtain

$$\int_{1/2}^1 \int_{1/2}^1 |G_{t,s}(r, k)|^2 \frac{dr dk}{rk} \leq C \left| \eta s^{d_2} \right|^{-\frac{\alpha}{q}} \|\Omega\|_{L^q(\mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{m-1})}^2. \quad (5)$$

Using the cancelation property of  $\Omega$ , we have by a simple change of variable that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{1/2}^1 \int_{1/2}^1 |G_{t,s}(r, k)|^2 \frac{dr dk}{rk} \\ & \leq C \int_{1/2}^1 \int_{1/2}^1 \left( \int_{\mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{m-1}} |e^{-i\phi(tr)\xi \cdot x} - 1| |\Omega(x, y)| d\sigma(x) d\sigma(y) \right)^2 \frac{dr dk}{rk} \\ & \leq C |\xi|^2 \|\Omega\|_{L^1(\mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{m-1})}^2 \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 |\phi(tr)|^2 \frac{dr}{r}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $|\phi(tr)| \leq C (tr)^{d_1}$  and  $\frac{1}{2} < r < 1$ , we achieve

$$\int_{1/2}^1 \int_{1/2}^1 |G_{t,s}(r, k)|^2 \frac{dr dk}{rk} \leq C |\xi t^{d_1}|^2 \|\Omega\|_{L^1(\mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{m-1})}^2.$$

Combine the last estimate with the trivial estimate  $\int_{1/2}^1 \int_{1/2}^1 |G_{t,s}(r, k)|^2 \frac{dr dk}{rk} \leq C \|\Omega\|_{L^1(\mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{m-1})}^2$ , we derive

$$\int_{1/2}^1 \int_{1/2}^1 |G_{t,s}(r, k)|^2 \frac{dr dk}{rk} \leq C |\xi t^{d_1}|^{\frac{\alpha}{q}} \|\Omega\|_{L^q(\mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{m-1})}^2. \quad (6)$$

Similarly, we obtain that

$$\int_{1/2}^1 \int_{1/2}^1 |G_{t,s}(r, k)|^2 \frac{dr dk}{rk} \leq C \left| \eta s^{d_2} \right|^{\frac{\alpha}{q}} \|\Omega\|_{L^q(\mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{m-1})}^2. \quad (7)$$

Therefore, by (4)-(7), the proof of this lemma is complete  $\square$

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $\theta \geq 2$ ,  $\Omega \in L^q(\mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{m-1})$  for some  $q > 1$  and  $h \in \Delta_\gamma(\mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}^+)$  for some  $\gamma > 1$ . Suppose that  $\phi, \psi$  are given as in Lemma 3. Then there are constants  $C$  and  $\alpha$  with  $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2q}$  such that*

$$\|\sigma_{\Omega, \phi, \psi, h, t, s}\| \leq C \|h\|_{\Delta_\gamma(\mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}^+)} \|\Omega\|_{L^q(\mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{m-1})}; \quad (8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\theta^i}^{\theta^{i+1}} \int_{\theta^j}^{\theta^{j+1}} |\hat{\sigma}_{\Omega, \phi, \psi, h, t, s}(\xi, \eta)|^2 \frac{dt ds}{ts} &\leq C \ln^2(\theta) \|h\|_{\Delta_\gamma(\mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}^+)}^2 \|\Omega\|_{L^q(\mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{m-1})}^2 \\ &\times \left| \xi \theta^{jd_1} \right|^{\pm \frac{\alpha}{\omega q'}} \left| \eta \theta^{id_2} \right|^{\pm \frac{\alpha}{\omega q'}} \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

hold for all  $i, j \in \mathbf{Z}$ , where  $\omega = \max\{2, \gamma'\}$ . The constant  $C$  is independent of  $i, j, \xi, \eta, q$ , and  $\theta$ .

*Proof.* By using the definition of  $\sigma_{\Omega, \phi, \psi, h, t, s}$ , it is easy to show that (8) holds. By Hölder's inequality, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{\sigma}_{\Omega, \phi, \psi, h, t, s}(\xi, \eta)| &\leq \int_{1/2}^1 \int_{1/2}^1 |h(tr, ks)| \left| \int_{\mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{m-1}} e^{-i\{\phi(tr)x \cdot \xi + \psi(sk)y \cdot \eta\}} \right. \\ &\times \left. \Omega(x, y) d\sigma(x) d\sigma(y) \right| \frac{dr dk}{rk} \\ &\leq C \|h\|_{\Delta_\gamma(\mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}^+)} \left( \int_{1/2}^1 \int_{1/2}^1 |G_{t,s}(r, k)|^{\gamma'} \frac{dr dk}{rk} \right)^{1/\gamma'}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $1 < \gamma \leq 2$ , then we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{\sigma}_{\Omega, \phi, \psi, h, t, s}(\xi, \eta)| &\leq \|h\|_{\Delta_\gamma(\mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}^+)} \|\Omega\|_{L^1(\mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{m-1})}^{(1-2/\gamma')} \left( \int_{1/2}^1 \int_{1/2}^1 |G_{t,s}(r, k)|^2 \frac{dr dk}{rk} \right)^{1/\gamma'}, \end{aligned}$$

and if  $\gamma > 2$ , then by Hölder's inequality, we deduce

$$|\hat{\sigma}_{\Omega, \phi, \psi, h, t, s}(\xi, \eta)| \leq \|h\|_{\Delta_\gamma(\mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}^+)} \left( \int_{1/2}^1 \int_{1/2}^1 |G_{t,s}(r, k)|^2 \frac{dr dk}{rk} \right)^{1/2}.$$



Thus, in either case we reach

$$|\hat{\sigma}_{\Omega,\phi,\psi,h,t,s}(\xi,\eta)| \leq C \|h\|_{\Delta_\gamma(\mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}^+)} \|\Omega\|_{L^1(\mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{m-1})}^{(\omega-2)/\gamma'} \left( \int_{1/2}^1 \int_{1/2}^1 |G_{t,s}(r,k)|^2 \frac{drdk}{rk} \right)^{1/\omega},$$

where  $\omega = \max\{2, \gamma'\}$ ; hence, by Lemma 3 we obtain

$$|\hat{\sigma}_{\Omega,\phi,\psi,h,t,s}(\xi,\eta)|^2 \leq C \|h\|_{\Delta_\gamma(\mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}^+)}^2 \|\Omega\|_{L^q(\mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{m-1})}^2 \left| \xi t^{d_1} \right|^{\pm \frac{2\alpha}{\omega q'}} \left| \eta s^{d_2} \right|^{\pm \frac{2\alpha}{\omega q'}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{\theta^i}^{\theta^{i+1}} \int_{\theta^j}^{\theta^{j+1}} |\hat{\sigma}_{\Omega,\phi,\psi,h,t,s}(\xi,\eta)|^2 \frac{dtds}{ts} \leq C \ln^2(\theta) \|h\|_{\Delta_\gamma(\mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}^+)}^2 \|\Omega\|_{L^q(\mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{m-1})}^2 \times \left| \xi \theta^{jd_1} \right|^{\pm \frac{\alpha}{\omega q'}} \left| \xi \theta^{id_2} \right|^{\pm \frac{\alpha}{\omega q'}}. \quad \square$$

The following lemma follows immediately by applying a well known argument found in [20].

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_d$  for some  $d \neq 0$ . Define the maximal function*

$$\mathcal{M}_\varphi f(x) = \sup_{t \in \mathbf{R}^+} \frac{1}{t} \left| \int_{\frac{1}{2}t}^t f(x - \varphi(r)) dr \right|.$$

Then for  $1 < p \leq \infty$ , there exists a constant  $C_p$  such that

$$\|\mathcal{M}_\varphi(f)\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R})} \leq C_p \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R})}$$

for any  $f \in L^p(\mathbf{R})$ .

*Proof.* It is easy to see that  $\mathcal{M}_\varphi f(x) \leq C \sup_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \left| \int_{2^k}^{2^{k+1}} f(x - \varphi(r)) \frac{dr}{r} \right|$ . Define a sequence of measures  $\nu_k$  on  $\mathbf{R}$  by

$$\hat{\nu}_k(\xi) = \int_{2^k}^{2^{k+1}} e^{-i\varphi(r)\xi} \frac{dr}{r}.$$

Following the same approaches used in the proof of Lemma 4, we achieve that

$$\begin{cases} |\hat{\nu}_k(\xi)| \leq C; \\ |\hat{\nu}_k(\xi) - \hat{\nu}_k(0)| \leq C |2^{kd}\xi|; \\ |\hat{\nu}_k(\xi)| \leq C |2^{kd}\xi|^{-1}. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, by invoking Theorem A of [20] we conclude that  $\mathcal{M}_\varphi(f)$  is bounded on  $L^p(\mathbf{R})$  for  $1 < p \leq \infty$ .  $\square$

By Lemma 5 and using the same arguments as in [[25], Proposition 1 (pp. 72)] (se also [[21], Lemma 3.1]), we immediately get the following lemma.

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_d$  for some  $d \neq 0$  and  $u \in \mathbf{S}^{N-1}$ . Define the maximal function*

$$\mathcal{M}_{\phi,u}f(x) = \sup_{t \in \mathbf{R}^+} \frac{1}{t} \left| \int_{\frac{1}{2}t}^t f(x - \varphi(r)u) dr \right|.$$

Then, a positive constant  $C_p$  exists such that for any  $f \in L^p(\mathbf{R}^N)$  with  $1 < p \leq \infty$ , we have

$$\|\mathcal{M}_{\phi,u}(f)\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^N)} \leq C_p \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^N)}.$$

**Lemma 7.** *Let  $\Omega \in L^1(\mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{m-1})$  and  $h \in \Delta_\gamma(\mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}^+)$  for some  $\gamma > 1$ . Assume that  $\phi, \psi$  are given as in Theorem 1. Then for any  $f \in L^p(\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^m)$  with  $\gamma' < p \leq \infty$ , there exists a constant  $C_p$  such that*

$$\|\sigma_{\Omega,\phi,\psi,h}^*(f)\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^m)} \leq C_p \|h\|_{\Delta_\gamma(\mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}^+)} \|\Omega\|_{L^1(\mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{m-1})} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^m)}.$$

*Proof.* By Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| |\sigma_{\Omega,\phi,\psi,h}| * f(x, y) \right| \\ & \leq C \|h\|_{\Delta_\gamma(\mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}^+)} \|\Omega\|_{L^1(\mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{m-1})}^{1/\gamma} \left( \frac{1}{ts} \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t \int_{\frac{s}{2}}^s \int_{\mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{m-1}} |\Omega(u, v)| \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times |f(x - \phi(r)u, y - \psi(k)v)|^{\gamma'} d\sigma(u) d\sigma(v) dr dk \right)^{1/\gamma'}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, Minkowski's inequality for integrals yields that

$$\|\sigma_{\Omega,\phi,\psi,h}^*f\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^m)} \leq \|h\|_{\Delta_\gamma(\mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}^+)} \|\Omega\|_{L^1(\mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{m-1})}^{1/\gamma}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \left( \int_{\mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{m-1}} |\Omega(u, v)| \right. \\
& \times \left. \| (\mathcal{M}_{\psi, v} \circ \mathcal{M}_{\phi, u}) (|f|^{\gamma'}) \|_{L^{(p/\gamma')}(\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^m)} d\sigma(u) d\sigma(v) \right)^{1/\gamma'} \\
& \leq \|h\|_{\Delta_\gamma(\mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}^+)} \|\Omega\|_{L^1(\mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{m-1})} \|\mathcal{M}_{\psi, v} \circ \mathcal{M}_{\phi, u}(|f|)\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^m)},
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{M}_{\phi, u} f(x, y) = \mathcal{M}_{\phi, u} f(\cdot, y)(x)$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_{\psi, v} f(x, y) = \mathcal{M}_{\psi, v} f(x, \cdot)(y)$  and  $\circ$  denotes the composition of operators. Thus, by using the last inequality and Lemma 6, we finish the proof of this lemma  $\square$

The following lemma can be obtained by applying the arguments (with only minor modifications) used in [4] and [7].

**Lemma 8.** *Let  $h \in \Delta_\gamma(\mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}^+)$  for some  $\gamma > 1$ ,  $\Omega \in L^q(\mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{m-1})$  for some  $1 < q \leq 2$  and  $\theta = 2^{q'\gamma'}$ . Assume that  $\phi, \psi$  are given as in Theorem 1. Then for any  $p$  satisfying  $|1/p - 1/2| < \min\{1/2, 1/\gamma'\}$ , there exists a positive constant  $C_p$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \left( \sum_{i, j \in \mathbf{Z}} \int_{\theta^j}^{\theta^{j+1}} \int_{\theta^i}^{\theta^{i+1}} |\sigma_{\Omega, \phi, \psi, h, t, s} * g_{i, j}|^2 \frac{ds dt}{st} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^m)} \\
& \leq C_p \frac{A(\gamma)}{q-1} \|h\|_{\Delta_\gamma(\mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}^+)} \|\Omega\|_{L^q(\mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{m-1})} \left\| \left( \sum_{i, j \in \mathbf{Z}} |g_{i, j}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^m)}
\end{aligned}$$

holds for arbitrary functions  $\{g_{i, j}(\cdot, \cdot), i, j \in \mathbf{Z}\}$  on  $\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^m$ .

*Proof.* Let us first prove this lemma for the case  $1 < \gamma \leq 2$ . On one hand, if  $2 \leq p < \frac{2\gamma}{2-\gamma}$ , then by duality, there is a non-negative function  $\Lambda \in L^{(p/2)'}(\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^m)$  with  $\|\Lambda\|_{L^{(p/2)'}(\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^m)} \leq 1$  such that

$$\left\| \left( \sum_{i, j \in \mathbf{Z}} \int_{\theta^j}^{\theta^{j+1}} \int_{\theta^i}^{\theta^{i+1}} |\sigma_{\Omega, \phi, \psi, h, t, s} * g_{i, j}|^2 \frac{dt ds}{ts} \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^m)}^2$$

$$= \int_{\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^m} \sum_{i,j \in \mathbf{Z}} \int_{\theta^j}^{\theta^{j+1}} \int_{\theta^i}^{\theta^{i+1}} |\sigma_{\Omega, \phi, \psi, h, t, s} * g_{i,j}(x, y)|^2 \frac{dt ds}{ts} \Lambda(x, y) dx dy.$$

By Schwarz's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\sigma_{\Omega, \phi, \psi, h, t, s} * g_{i,j}|^2 &\leq C \|h\|_{\Delta_\gamma(\mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}^+)}^\gamma \|\Omega\|_{L^q(\mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{m-1})} \\ &\quad \left( \int_{\frac{1}{2}t}^t \int_{\frac{1}{2}s}^s \int_{\mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{m-1}} |g_{i,j}(x - \phi(r)u, y - \psi(k)v)|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. \Omega(u, v) |h(r, k)|^{2-\gamma} d\sigma(u) d\sigma(v) \frac{dr dk}{rk} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by a change of variable we derive that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \left( \sum_{i,j \in \mathbf{Z}} \int_{\theta^j}^{\theta^{j+1}} \int_{\theta^i}^{\theta^{i+1}} |\sigma_{\Omega, \phi, \psi, h, t, s} * g_{i,j}|^2 \frac{dt ds}{ts} \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^m)}^2 \leq C \|h\|_{\Delta_\gamma(\mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}^+)}^\gamma \\ &\times \|\Omega\|_{L^q(\mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{m-1})} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^m} \left( \sum_{i,j \in \mathbf{Z}} |g_{i,j}(x, y)|^2 \right) M_{\Omega, \phi, \psi, |h|^{2-\gamma}, \theta} \tilde{\Lambda}(-x, -y) dx dy \\ &\leq C \|h\|_{\Delta_\gamma(\mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}^+)}^\gamma \|\Omega\|_{L^q(\mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{m-1})} \left\| \sum_{i,j \in \mathbf{Z}} |g_{i,j}|^2 \right\|_{L^{(p/2)}(\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^m)} \\ &\quad \left\| M_{\Omega, \phi, \psi, |h|^{2-\gamma}, \theta} \tilde{\Lambda} \right\|_{L^{(p/2)' }(\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^m)}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tilde{\Lambda}(-x, -y) = \Lambda(x, y)$ . As  $h \in \Delta_\gamma(\mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}^+)$ , we have  $|h|^{2-\gamma} \in \Delta_{\frac{\gamma}{2-\gamma}}(\mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}^+)$ , and since  $(\frac{p}{2})' > (\frac{\gamma}{2-\gamma})'$ , then by Hölder's inequality and Lemma 7, we reach that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \left( \sum_{i,j \in \mathbf{Z}} \int_{\theta^j}^{\theta^{j+1}} \int_{\theta^i}^{\theta^{i+1}} |\sigma_{\Omega, \phi, \psi, h, t, s} * g_{i,j}|^2 \frac{dt ds}{ts} \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^m)}^2 \\ &\leq C \ln^2(\theta) \|h\|_{\Delta_\gamma(\mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}^+)}^\gamma \|\Omega\|_{L^q(\mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{m-1})} \left\| \left( \sum_{i,j \in \mathbf{Z}} |g_{i,j}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^m)}^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \left\| \sigma_{\Omega, \phi, \psi, |h|^{2-\gamma}}^* \tilde{\Lambda} \right\|_{L^{(p/2)'}(\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^m)} \\
& \leq C_p \frac{1}{[(\gamma-1)(q-1)]^2} \|h\|_{\Delta_\gamma(\mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}^+)}^2 \|\Omega\|_{L^q(\mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{m-1})}^2 \\
& \quad \left\| \left( \sum_{i,j \in \mathbf{Z}} |g_{i,j}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^m)}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if  $\frac{2\gamma}{3\gamma-2} < p < 2$ , by the duality, there are functions  $\zeta = \zeta_{i,j}(x, y, t, s)$  defined on  $\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^m \times \mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}^+$  with

$$\left\| \left\| \zeta_{i,j} \right\|_{L^2([\theta^i, \theta^{i+1}] \times [\theta^j, \theta^{j+1}], \frac{dt ds}{ts})} \right\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^m)} \leq 1$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \left( \sum_{i,j \in \mathbf{Z}} \int_{\theta^j}^{\theta^{j+1}} \int_{\theta^i}^{\theta^{i+1}} |\sigma_{\Omega, \phi, \psi, h, t, s} * g_{i,j}|^2 \frac{dt ds}{ts} \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^m)} \\
& = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^m} \sum_{i,j \in \mathbf{Z}} \int_{\theta^j}^{\theta^{j+1}} \int_{\theta^i}^{\theta^{i+1}} (\sigma_{\Omega, \phi, \psi, h, t, s} * g_{i,j}(x, y)) \zeta_{i,j}(x, y, t, s) \frac{dt ds}{ts} dx dy \\
& \leq C_p \ln^2(\theta) \left\| (\Upsilon(\zeta))^{1/2} \right\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^m)} \left\| \left( \sum_{i,j \in \mathbf{Z}} |g_{i,j}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^m)}, \quad (10)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Upsilon(\zeta)(x, y) = \sum_{i,j \in \mathbf{Z}} \int_{\theta^j}^{\theta^{j+1}} \int_{\theta^i}^{\theta^{i+1}} |\sigma_{\Omega, \phi, \psi, h, t, s} * \zeta_{i,j}(x, y, t, s)|^2 \frac{dt ds}{ts}.$$

Since  $\frac{p'}{2} > 1$ , then there exists a function  $\vartheta \in L^{(p'/2)'(\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^m)}$  with

$$\|\vartheta\|_{L^{(p'/2)'(\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^m)}} \leq 1$$

such that

$$\left\| (\Upsilon(\zeta))^{1/2} \right\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^m)}^2 \quad (11)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{i,j \in \mathbf{Z}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^m} \int_{\theta^j}^{\theta^{j+1}} \int_{\theta^i}^{\theta^{i+1}} |\sigma_{\Omega, \phi, \psi, h, t, s} * \zeta_{i,j}(x, y, t, s)|^2 \frac{dt ds}{ts} \vartheta(x, y) dx dy \\
&\leq C \|h\|_{\Delta_\gamma(\mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}^+)}^\gamma \|\Omega\|_{L^q(\mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{m-1})} \left\| \sigma_{\Omega, \phi, \psi, |h|^{2-\gamma}, \theta}(\vartheta) \right\|_{L^{(p'/2)' }(\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^m)} \\
&\times \left\| \left( \sum_{i,j \in \mathbf{Z}} \int_{\theta^j}^{\theta^{j+1}} \int_{\theta^i}^{\theta^{i+1}} |\zeta_{i,j}(\cdot, \cdot, t, s)|^2 \frac{dt ds}{ts} \right) \right\|_{L^{(p'/2)}(\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^m)} \\
&\leq C \|h\|_{\Delta_\gamma(\mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}^+)}^2 \|\Omega\|_{L^q(\mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{m-1})}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by the last inequality plus (10), and since  $\ln(\theta) \leq \frac{C}{(\gamma-1)(q-1)}$ , our estimate holds for  $\frac{2\gamma}{3\gamma-2} \leq p < 2$ . using the same above technique gives the conclusion of Lemma 8 for the case  $\gamma \geq 2$ . Therefore, the desired estimate is satisfied.  $\square$

### 3. Proof of the Main Result

We prove Theorem 1 by applying the same approaches that Al-Qassem and Al-Salman [2] as well as Fan and Pan [22] used. Assume that  $h \in \Delta_\gamma(\mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}^+)$  for some  $\gamma > 1$ ; and  $\phi \in \mathcal{H}_{d_1}$ ,  $\psi \in \mathcal{H}_{d_2}$  for some  $d_1, d_2 \neq 0$ . By Minkowski's inequality, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_{\Omega, h, \phi, \psi}^{\rho, \tau} f(x, y) &= \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}^+} \left| \sum_{i,j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t^\rho s^\tau} \int_{2^{-i-1}t < |u| \leq 2^{-i}t} \int_{2^{-j-1}s < |v| \leq 2^{-j}s} \right. \right. \\
&\times \left. \left. f(x - \phi(|u|)u', y - \psi(|v|)v') K_{\Omega, h}(u, v) dudv \right|^2 \frac{dt ds}{ts} \right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq \sum_{i,j=0}^{\infty} \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}^+} \left| \frac{1}{t^\rho s^\tau} \int_{2^{-i-1}t < |u| \leq 2^{-i}t} \int_{2^{-j-1}s < |v| \leq 2^{-j}s} \right. \right. \\
&\times \left. \left. f(x - \phi(|u|)u', y - \psi(|v|)v') K_{\Omega, h}(u, v) dudv \right|^2 \frac{dt ds}{ts} \right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq \frac{2^{a_1+a_2}}{(2^{a_1}-1)(2^{a_2}-1)} \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}^+} |\sigma_{\Omega, \phi, \psi, h, t, s} * f(x, y)|^2 \frac{dt ds}{ts} \right)^{1/2}. \quad (12)
\end{aligned}$$

Let  $\theta = 2^{q'\gamma'}$ , and for  $i \in \mathbf{Z}$ , let  $\{\Gamma_{i, d_1}\}_{-\infty}^{\infty}$  be a smooth partition of unity in  $(0, \infty)$  adapted to the interval  $\mathcal{I}_{i, d_1} = [\theta^{-id_1 - |d_1|}, \theta^{-id_1 + |d_1|}]$ . More precisely,

we require the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{i,d_1} &\in C^\infty, \quad 0 \leq \Gamma_{i,d_1} \leq 1, \quad \sum_i \Gamma_{i,d_1}(t) = 1, \\ \text{supp } \Gamma_{i,d_1} &\subseteq \mathcal{I}_{i,d_1}, \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \frac{d^k \Gamma_{i,d_1}(t)}{dt^k} \right| \leq \frac{C_k}{t^k}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $C_k$  is independent of  $\theta$ . Define the multiplier operators  $M_{i,j}$  on  $\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^m$  by  $(\widehat{M_{i,j}f})(\xi, \eta) = \Gamma_{i,d_1}(|\xi|)\Gamma_{j,d_2}(|\eta|)\hat{f}(\xi, \eta)$ . Then for any  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^m)$  and  $i, j \in \mathbf{Z}$ , we have  $f(x, y) = \sum_{d,l \in \mathbf{Z}} M_{i+d,j+l}(f)(x, y)$ . Therefore, by Minkowski's inequality we obtain

$$\mathcal{M}_{\Omega, h, \phi, \psi}^{\rho, \tau} f(x, y) \leq C \sum_{d,l \in \mathbf{Z}} S_{d,l} f(x, y), \quad (13)$$

where

$$S_{d,l} f(x, y) = \left( \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty |Y_{d,l}(x, y, t, s)|^2 \frac{dt ds}{ts} \right)^{1/2},$$

$$Y_{d,l}(x, y, t, s) = \sum_{i,j \in \mathbf{Z}} \sigma_{\Omega, \phi, \psi, h, t, s} * M_{i+d,j+l} f(x, y) \chi_{[\theta^i, \theta^{i+1}) \times [\theta^j, \theta^{j+1})}(t, s).$$

Let us first compute the  $L^2$ -norm of  $S_{d,l}(f)$ . By using Plancherels theorem, Fubinis theorem, Lemma 4, plus the approaches used in [7], we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|S_{d,l}(f)\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^m)}^2 \quad (14) \\ &\leq \sum_{i,j \in \mathbf{Z}} \int_{\Delta_{i+d,j+l}} \left( \int_{\theta^i}^{\theta^{i+1}} \int_{\theta^j}^{\theta^{j+1}} |\hat{\sigma}_{\Omega, \phi, \psi, h, t, s}(\xi, \eta)|^2 \frac{dt ds}{ts} \right) |\hat{f}(\xi, \eta)|^2 d\xi d\eta \\ &\leq C_p \ln^2(\theta) \|h\|_{\Delta_\gamma(\mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}^+)}^2 \|\Omega\|_{L^q(\mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{m-1})}^2 \\ &\times \sum_{i,j \in \mathbf{Z}} \int_{\Delta_{i+d,j+l}} |\xi \theta^{jd_1}|^{\pm \frac{\alpha}{\omega q}} |\eta \theta^{jd_2}|^{\pm \frac{\alpha}{\omega q}} |\hat{f}(\xi, \eta)|^2 d\xi d\eta \\ &\leq C_p \ln^2(\theta) 2^{-\alpha(|l|+|d|)} \|h\|_{\Delta_\gamma(\mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}^+)}^2 \|\Omega\|_{L^q(\mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{m-1})}^2 \\ &\sum_{i,j \in \mathbf{Z}} \int_{\Delta_{i+d,j+l}} |\hat{f}(\xi, \eta)|^2 d\xi d\eta \\ &\leq C_p \left( \frac{A(\gamma)}{q-1} \right)^2 2^{-\alpha(|l|+|d|)} \|h\|_{\Delta_\gamma(\mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}^+)}^2 \|\Omega\|_{L^q(\mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{m-1})}^2 \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^m)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Delta_{i,j} = \{(\xi, \eta) \in \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^m : (|\xi|, |\eta|) \in \mathcal{I}_{i,d_1} \times \mathcal{I}_{j,d_2}\}$ .

Now, let us compute the  $L^p$ -norm of  $S_{d,l}(f)$  for any  $p$  satisfying  $|1/p - 1/2| < \min\{1/\gamma', 1/2\}$  with  $p \neq 2$ . By using Lemma 8, and applying the Littlewood-Paley theory and Theorem 3 along with the remark that follows its statement in [[27], pp. 96], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|S_{d,l}(f)\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^m)} \\ & \leq C_p \frac{A(\gamma)}{q-1} \|h\|_{\Delta_\gamma(\mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}^+)} \|\Omega\|_{L^q(\mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{m-1})} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^m)}. \end{aligned}$$

Interpolation between the last inequality and (13), we deduce that there exists  $0 < \kappa < 1$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|S_{d,l}(f)\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^m)} \\ & \leq C_p \frac{A(\gamma)}{q-1} 2^{-\frac{\kappa}{2}(|l|+|d|)} \|h\|_{\Delta_\gamma(\mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}^+)} \|\Omega\|_{L^q(\mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{m-1})} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^m)}, \quad (15) \end{aligned}$$

holds for any  $p$  with  $|1/p - 1/2| < \min\{1/\gamma', 1/2\}$ . Consequently, by (12) and (14), we finish the proof of Theorem 1.

## References

- [1] H. Al-Qassem, Rough Marcinkiewicz integral operators on product spaces, *Collect. Math.*, **56**, No. 3 (2005), 275-297.
- [2] H. Al-Qassem, A. Al-Salman, A note on Marcinkiewicz integral operators, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **282**, No. 2 (2003), 698-710.
- [3] H. Al-Qassem, A. Al-Salman, L. Cheng, Y. Pan, Marcinkiewicz integrals on product spaces, *Studia Math.*, **167**, No. 3 (2005), 227-234.
- [4] H. Al-Qassem, Y. Pan, On certain estimates for Marcinkiewicz integrals and extrapolation, *Collec. Math.*, **60**, No. 2 (2009), 123-145.
- [5] A. Al-Salman, H. Al-Qassem, L. Cheng, Y. Pan,  $L^p$  bounds for the function of Marcinkiewicz, *Math. Res. Lett.*, **9**, No. 1 (2002), 697-700.
- [6] M. Ali,  $L^p$  estimates for Marcinkiewicz integral operators and extrapolation, *Journal of Inequalities and Applications* (2014). doi: 10.1186/1029-242X-2014-269.



- [7] M. Ali,  $L^p$  estimates for Marcinkiewicz integrals on product spaces, *Houston J. of Math.*, accepted.
- [8] M. Ali, E. Abo-Shgair, On certain estimates for parabolic Marcinkiewicz integral and extrapolation, *Int. J. of Pure and App. Math.*, accepted.
- [9] M. Ali, M. Al-Jararhah, On certain estimates for Marcinkiewicz integrals with a rough kernel on product spaces, submitted.
- [10] M. Ali, M. Bataineh,  $L^p$  boundedness for Marcinkiewicz integrals and Extrapolation, submitted.
- [11] Y. Chen, Y. Ding,  $L^p$  bounds for the parabolic Marcinkiewicz integral with rough kernels, *J. Korean Math. Soc.*, **44**, No. 3 (2007), 733-745.
- [12] Y. Chen, Y. Ding, The parabolic Littlewood-Paley operator with Hardy space kernel, *Canad. Math. Bull.*, **52**, No. 4 (2009), 521-534.
- [13] J. Chen, Y. Ding, D. Fan, Certain square functions on product spaces, *Math. Nachr.*, **230**, No. 1 (2001), 5-18.
- [14] J. Chen, D. Fan, Y. Ying, Rough Marcinkiewicz integrals with  $L(\log L)^2$  kernels, *Adv. Math. (China)*, **30**, No. 2 (2001), 179-181.
- [15] J. Chen, D. Fan, Y. Ying, The method of rotation and Marcinkiewicz integrals on product domains, *Studia Math.*, **153**, No. 1 (2002), 41-58.
- [16] Y. Choi, Marcinkiewicz integrals with rough homogeneous kernel of degree zero in product domains, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **261**, No. 1 (2001), 53-60.
- [17] Y. Ding,  $L^2$ -boundedness of Marcinkiewicz integral with rough kernel, *Hokk. Math. J.*, **27**, No. 1 (1998), 105-115.
- [18] Y. Ding, On Marcinkiewicz integral, *Proc. of the conference Singular integrals and related topics III*, Ooka, Japan (2001).
- [19] Y. Ding, D. Fan, Y. Pan, On the  $L^p$  boundedness of Marcinkiewicz integrals, *Mich. Math. J.*, **50**, No. 1 (2002), 17-26.
- [20] J. Duoandikoetxea, J. Rubio de Francia, Maximal functions and singular integral operators via Fourier transform estimates, *Invent. Math.* **84**, No. 3 (1986), 541-561.
- [21] D. Fan, Y. Pan, A Singular integral operator with rough kernel, *Proce. Amer. Math. Soci.*, **125**, No. (1997), 3695-3703.

- [22] D. Fan, Y. Pan, Singular integral operators with rough kernels supported by subvarieties, *Amer. J. Math.*, **119**, No. 4 (1997), 799-839.
- [23] L. Hörmander, Estimates for translation invariant operators in  $L^p$  space, *Acta Math.*, **104** (1960), 93-139.
- [24] M. Sakamoto, K. Yabuta, Boundedness of Marcinkiewicz functions, *Studia Math.*, **135**, No. 2 (1999), 103-142.
- [25] E. Stein, Harmonic Analysis: *Real-Variable Methods*, Orthogonality and Oscillatory Integrals, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993.
- [26] E. Stein, On the functions of Littlewood-Paley, Lusin and Marcinkiewicz, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **88** (1958), 430-466.
- [27] E. Stein, Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions, *Princeton University Press*, Princeton, NJ, 1970.
- [28] T. Walsh, On the function of Marcinkiewicz, *Studia Math.*, **44**, No. 3 (1972), 203-217.
- [29] H. Wu, Boundedness of multiple Marcinkiewicz integral operators with rough kernels. *J. Korean. Math. Soc.*, **43**, No. 3 (2006), 635-658.
- [30] H. Wu, General Littlewood-Paley functions and singular integral operators on product spaces, *Math. Nachr.*, **279**, No. 4 (2006), 431-444.
- [31] Y. Wu and H. Wu, A Note on the Generalized Marcinkiewicz Integral Operators with Rough Kernels, *Acta Math. Sin.*, **28**, No. 12 (2012), 2395-2406.