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Abstract: This paper studies the problem of guaranteed cost control for a class of Hopfield delayed neural networks with multiple time-varying delays. The time delay is a continuous function belonging to a given interval, but not necessary to be differentiable. A cost function is considered as a nonlinear performance measure for the closed-loop system. The stabilizing controllers to be designed must satisfy some exponential stability constraints on the closed-loop poles. By constructing a set of augmented Lyapunov-Krasovskii functionals combined with Newton-Leibniz formula, a guaranteed cost controller is designed via memoryless state feedback control and new sufficient conditions for the existence of the guaranteed cost state-feedback for the system are given in terms of linear matrix inequalities (LMIs).
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1. Introduction

There has been great interest recently in dynamical characteristics of neural
networks or neural nets since Hopfield constructed a simplified neural network model, in which each neuron [1-8] is represented by a linear circuit consisting of a resistor and a capacitor, and is connected to other neurons via nonlinear sigmoidal activation functions, called transfer functions. Based on the Hopfield neural network model, Marcus and Westervelt argued that the nonlinear sigmoidal activation functions which connected to the other neurons would include discrete delays.

Guaranteed cost control problem [9-12] has the advantage of providing an upper bound on a given system performance index and thus the system performance degradation incurred by the uncertainties or time delays is guaranteed to be less than this bound. Nevertheless, despite such diversity of results available, most existing work either assumed that the time delays are constant or differentiable [13, 14]. Although, in some cases, delay-dependent guaranteed cost control for systems with time-varying delays were considered in [12–14], the approach used there can not be applied to systems with interval, non-differentiable time-varying delays.

In this paper, we investigate the guaranteed cost control for Hopfield delayed neural networks problem with multiple time-varying delays. The novel features here are that the delayed neural network under consideration is with various globally Lipschitz continuous activation functions, and the multiple time-varying delays function is interval, non-differentiable. A nonlinear cost function is considered as a performance measure for the closed-loop system. The stabilizing controllers to be designed must satisfy some exponential stability constraints on the closed-loop poles. Based on constructing a set of augmented Lyapunov-Krasovskii functional combined with Newton-Leibniz formula, new delay-dependent criteria for guaranteed cost control via memoryless feedback control is established in terms of LMIs.

The outline of the paper is as follows. Section 2 presents definitions and some well-known technical propositions needed for the proof of the main result. LMI delay-dependent criteria for guaranteed cost control is presented in Section 3. The paper ends with conclusions and cited references.

2. Preliminaries

The following notation will be used in this paper. \( \mathbb{R}^+ \) denotes the set of all real non-negative numbers; \( \mathbb{R}^n \) denotes the \( n \)–dimensional space with the scalar product \( \langle x, y \rangle \) or \( x^Ty \) of two vectors \( x, y \), and the vector norm \( \| \cdot \| \); \( M^{n \times r} \) denotes the space of all matrices of \( (n \times r) \)–dimensions. \( A^T \) denotes the
transposed of matrix $A$; $A$ is symmetric if $A = A^T$; $I$ denotes the identity matrix; 
$\lambda(A)$ denotes the set of all eigenvalues of $A$; $\lambda_{\max}(A) = \max\{\text{Re} \lambda; \lambda \in \lambda(A)\}$. 

$x_t := \{x(t + s) : s \in [-h, 0]\}, \|x_t\| = \sup_{s \in [-h, 0]} \|x(t + s)\|; C^1([0, t], \mathbb{R}^n)$ denotes the set of all $\mathbb{R}^n$-valued continuously differentiable functions on $[0, t]$; 
$L_2([0, t], \mathbb{R}^m)$ denotes the set of all the $\mathbb{R}^m$-valued square integrable functions on $[0, t]$;

Matrix $A$ is called semi-positive definite ($A \succeq 0$) if $\langle Ax, x \rangle \geq 0$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$; $A$ is positive definite ($A > 0$) if $\langle Ax, x \rangle > 0$ for all $x \neq 0$; $A > B$ means $A - B > 0$. The notation $\text{diag}\{\ldots\}$ stands for a block-diagonal matrix. The symmetric term in a matrix is denoted by $\ast$.

Consider the following Hopfield neural networks with interval multiple time-varying delays:

$$
\dot{x}(t) = -Ax(t) + W_0f(x(t)) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} W_1i g(x(t - h_i(t))) + Bu(t), \quad t \geq 0,
$$  

$$
i = 1, 2, \ldots, m, \quad x(t) = \phi(t), t \in [-h_{1i}, 0], \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, m,
$$

where $x(t) = [x_1(t), x_2(t), \ldots, x_n(t)]^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the state of the neural; $u(.) \in L_2([0, t], \mathbb{R}^m)$ is the control; $n$ is the number of neurons, and

$$f(x(t)) = [f_1(x_1(t)), f_2(x_2(t)), \ldots, f_n(x_n(t))]^T,$$

$$g(x(t)) = [g_1(x_1(t)), g_2(x_2(t)), \ldots, g_n(x_n(t))]^T,$$

are the activation functions; $A = \text{diag}(\overline{a}_1, \overline{a}_2, \ldots, \overline{a}_n), \overline{a}_i > 0$ represents the self-feedback term; $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ is control input matrix; $W_0, W_1$ denote the connection weights, the discretely delayed connection weights and the distributively delayed connection weight, respectively; The multiple time-varying delays function $h_i(t), i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$ satisfies the condition

$$0 \leq h_{0i} \leq h_i(t) \leq h_{1i}, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, m,$$

The initial functions $\phi(t) \in C^1([-h_{1i}, 0], \mathbb{R}^n)$, with the norm

$$\|\phi\| = \sup_{t \in [-h_{1i}, 0]} \sqrt{\|\phi(t)\|^2 + \|\dot{\phi}(t)\|^2}.$$

In this paper we consider various activation functions and assume that the activation functions $f(\cdot), g(\cdot)$ are Lipschitzian with the Lipschitz constants $f_i, e_i > 0$:

$$|f_i(\xi_1) - f_i(\xi_2)| \leq f_i|\xi_1 - \xi_2|, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n, \forall \xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$|g_i(\xi_1) - g_i(\xi_2)| \leq e_i|\xi_1 - \xi_2|, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n, \forall \xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathbb{R},$$  

(2)
The performance index associated with the system (1) is the following function

\[ J = \int_0^\infty f^0(t, x(t), x(t - h(t)), u(t)) dt, \]  

(3)

where \( f^0(t, x(t), x(t - h(t)), u(t)) : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^+ \), is a nonlinear cost function satisfies

\[ \exists Q_1, Q_2, R : f^0(t, x, y, u) \leq \langle Q_1 x, x \rangle + \langle Q_2 y, y \rangle + \langle R u, u \rangle, \]  

(4)

for all \( (t, x, u) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \) and \( Q_1, Q_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, R \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m} \), are given symmetric positive definite matrices. The objective of this paper is to design a memoryless state feedback controller \( u(t) = Kx(t) \) for system (1) and the cost function (3) such that the resulting closed-loop system

\[ \dot{x}(t) = (A+BK)x(t)+W_0f(x(t))+\sum_{i=1}^{m} W_{1i}g(x(t-h_i(t))), \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, m, \]  

(5)

is exponentially stable and the closed-loop value of the cost function (3) is minimized.

**Definition 1.** Given \( \alpha > 0 \). The zero solution of closed-loop system (5) is \( \alpha \)-exponentially stabilizable if there exist a positive number \( N > 0 \) such that every solution \( x(t, \phi) \) satisfies the following condition:

\[ \| x(t, \phi) \| \leq Ne^{-\alpha t} \| \phi \|, \quad \forall t \geq 0. \]

**Definition 2.** Consider the control system (1). If there exist a memoryless state feedback control law \( u^*(t) = Kx(t) \) and a positive number \( J^* \) such that the zero solution of the closed-loop system (5) is exponentially stable and the cost function (3) satisfies \( J \leq J^* \), then the value \( J^* \) is a guaranteed constant and \( u^*(t) \) is a guaranteed cost control law of the system and its corresponding cost function.

We introduce the following technical well-known propositions, which will be used in the proof of our results.

**Proposition 1.** (Schur complement lemma [15]). Given constant matrices \( X, Y, Z \) with appropriate dimensions satisfying \( X = X^T, Y = Y^T > 0 \). Then \( X + Z^TY^{-1}Z < 0 \) if and only if

\[ \begin{pmatrix} X & Z^T \\ Z & -Y \end{pmatrix} < 0. \]
Proposition 2. (Integral matrix inequality [15]). For any symmetric positive definite matrix $M > 0$, scalar $\gamma > 0$ and vector function $\omega : [0, \gamma] \to \mathbb{R}^n$ such that the integrations concerned are well defined, the following inequality holds

$$
\left( \int_0^\gamma \omega(s) \, ds \right)^T M \left( \int_0^\gamma \omega(s) \, ds \right) \leq \gamma \left( \int_0^\gamma \omega^T(s) M \omega(s) \, ds \right)
$$

3. Main Results

In this section, we give a design of memoryless guaranteed feedback cost control for neural networks (1). Let us set

$$
W_{11i} = -[P + \alpha I]A - A^T [P + \alpha I] - 2BB^T + 0.25BB^T + \sum_{i=0}^{1} G_i,
$$

$$
W_{12i} = P + AP + 0.5BB^T, W_{13i} = e^{-2\alpha h_0} H_0 + 0.5BB^T + AP,
$$

$$
W_{14i} = 2e^{-2\alpha h_1} H_1 + 0.5BB^T + AP, W_{15i} = P0.5BB^T + AP,
$$

$$
W_{22i} = W_0D_0W_0^T + \sum_{i=1}^{m} W_{1i}D_{1i}W_{1i}^T + \sum_{i=0}^{1} h_i^2 H_i + (h_1 - h_0)U - 2P - BB^T,
$$

$$
W_{23i} = P, \quad W_{24i} = P, \quad W_{25i} = P,
$$

$$
W_{33i} = -e^{-2\alpha h_0} G_0 - e^{-2\alpha h_0} H_0 - e^{-2\alpha h_1} U + W_0D_0W_0^T + \sum_{i=1}^{m} W_{1i}D_{1i}W_{1i}^T,
$$

$$
W_{34i} = 0, \quad W_{35i} = -2\alpha h_1 U,
$$

$$
W_{44i} = W_0D_0W_0^T + \sum_{i=1}^{m} W_{1i}D_{1i}W_{1i}^T - e^{-2\alpha h_1} U - e^{-2\alpha h_1} G_1 - e^{-2\alpha h_1} H_1,
$$

$$
W_{45i} = e^{-2\alpha h_1} U, W_{55i} = -e^{-2\alpha h_1} U + W_0D_0W_0^T,
$$

$$
E = \text{diag}\{e_i, i = 1, \ldots, n\}, \quad F = \text{diag}\{f_i, i = 1, \ldots, n\}, \lambda_1 = \lambda_{\min}(P^{-1}),
$$

$$
\lambda_2 = \lambda_{\max}(P^{-1}) + h_0\lambda_{\max}[P^{-1}(\sum_{i=0}^{1} G_i)P^{-1}]
$$

$$
+ h_{1i}^2 \lambda_{\max}[P^{-1}(\sum_{i=0}^{1} H_i)P^{-1}] + (h_{1i} - h_0)\lambda_{\max}(P^{-1}UP^{-1}).
$$
Theorem 3.1. Consider control system (1) and the cost function (3). If there exist symmetric positive definite matrices $P, U, G_0, G_1, H_0, H_1$, and diagonal positive definite matrices $D_0, D_1, i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$ satisfying the following LMIs

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
W_{11i} & W_{12i} & W_{13i} & W_{14i} & W_{15i} \\
* & W_{22i} & W_{23i} & W_{24i} & W_{25i} \\
* & * & W_{33i} & W_{34i} & W_{35i} \\
* & * & * & W_{44i} & W_{45i} \\
* & * & * & * & W_{55i}
\end{bmatrix} < 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, m, \quad (6)
$$

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
-PA - A^TP - e^{-2\alpha h_0}H_0 - e^{-2\alpha h_1}H_1 & 2PF & PQ_1 \\
* & -D_0 & 0 \\
* & * & -Q_1^{-1}
\end{bmatrix} < 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, m, \quad (7)
$$

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
W_{1i}D_{1i}W_{1i}^T - e^{-2\alpha h_1}U & 2PE & PQ_2 \\
* & -D_{1i} & 0 \\
* & * & -Q_2^{-1}
\end{bmatrix} < 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, m, \quad (8)
$$

then

$$
u(t) = -\frac{1}{2}B^TP^{-1}x(t), \quad t \geq 0. \quad (9)$$

is a guaranteed cost control and the guaranteed cost value is given by

$$J^* = \lambda_2 \|\phi\|^2.$$

Moreover, the solution $x(t, \phi)$ of the system satisfies

$$\|x(t, \phi)\| \leq \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2}} e^{-\alpha t} \|\phi\|, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Proof. Let $Y = P^{-1}, y(t) = Yx(t)$. Using the feedback control (2.5) we consider the following Lyapunov-Krasovskii functional

$$V(t, x_t) = \sum_{i=1}^{6} V_i(t, x_t),$$
\[ V_1 = x^T(t)Yx(t), \]
\[ V_2 = \int_{t-h_0}^{t} e^{2\alpha(s-t)}x^T(s)YG_0Yx(s)\,ds, \]
\[ V_3 = \int_{t-h_1}^{t} e^{2\alpha(s-t)}x^T(s)YG_1Yx(s)\,ds, \]
\[ V_4 = h_0 \int_{-h_0}^{0} \int_{t+s}^{t} e^{2\alpha(\tau-t)}\dot{x}^T(\tau)YH_0Y\dot{x}(\tau)\,d\tau\,ds, \]
\[ V_5 = h_1 \int_{-h_1}^{0} \int_{t+s}^{t} e^{2\alpha(\tau-t)}\dot{x}^T(\tau)YH_1Y\dot{x}(\tau)\,d\tau\,ds, \]
\[ V_6 = (h_1 - h_0) \int_{t-h_1}^{t} \int_{t+s}^{t} e^{2\alpha(\tau-t)}\dot{x}^T(\tau)YUY\dot{x}(\tau)\,d\tau\,ds. \]

It is easy to check that
\[ \lambda_1 \| x(t) \|^2 \leq V(t, x_t) \leq \lambda_2 \| x_t \|^2, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad (10) \]

Taking the derivative of \( V_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, 6, \) we have
\[ \dot{V}_1 = 2x^T(t)Y\dot{x}(t) \]
\[ = y^T(t)[-PA^T - AP]y(t) - y^T(t)BB^Ty(t) \]
\[ + 2y^T(t)W_0 f(.)(y(t)) + 2y^T(t) \sum_{i=1}^{m} W_{i1} g(x(t - h_i(t)))y(t) \]
\[ \dot{V}_2 = y^T(t)G_0y(t) - e^{-2\alpha h_0}y^T(t - h_0)G_0y(t - h_0) - 2\alpha V_2; \]
\[ \dot{V}_3 = y^T(t)G_1y(t) - e^{-2\alpha h_1}y^T(t - h_1)G_1y(t - h_1) - 2\alpha V_3; \]
\[ \dot{V}_4 = h_0^2y^T(t)H_0\dot{y}(t) - h_1 e^{-2\alpha h_0} \int_{t-h_0}^{t} \dot{x}^T(s)H_0\dot{x}(s)\,ds - 2\alpha V_4; \]
\[ \dot{V}_5 = h_1^2y^T(t)H_1\dot{y}(t) - h_1 e^{-2\alpha h_1} \int_{t-h_1}^{t} \dot{y}^T(s)H_1\dot{y}(s)\,ds - 2\alpha V_4; \]
\[ \dot{V}_6 = (h_1 - h_0)^2 y^T(t)U\dot{y}(t) - (h_1 - h_0)e^{-2\alpha h_1} \int_{t-h_1}^{t-h_0} \dot{y}^T(s)U\dot{y}(s)\,ds - 2\alpha V_6. \]

we obtain
\[ \dot{V}(.) + 2\alpha V(.) \leq \zeta^T(t)E_i\zeta(t) + y^T(t)S_{1i}y(t) + y^T(t - h_i(t))S_{2i}y(t - h_i(t)) \]
\[ - f^0(t, x(t), x(t - h_i(t)), u(t)) \quad (11) \]
Integrating both sides of (15) from 0 to $t$,

Furthermore, taking condition (3.5) into account, we have

$$\lambda_1 \| x(t, \phi) \|^2 \leq V(x_t) \leq V(\phi)e^{-2\alpha t} \leq \lambda_2 e^{-2\alpha t} \| \phi \|^2,$$

then

$$\| x(t, \phi) \| \leq \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}} e^{-\alpha t} \| \phi \|, \quad t \geq 0,$$

which concludes the exponential stability of the closed-loop system (5). To prove the optimal level of the cost function (3), we derive from (14) and (6) - (8) that

$$\dot{V}(t, z_t) \leq -f^0(t, x(t), x(t - h_i(t)), u(t)), \quad t \geq 0. \quad (13)$$

Integrating both sides of (16) from 0 to $t$ leads to

$$\int_0^t f^0(t, x(t), x(t - h_i(t)), u(t)) dt \leq V(0, z_0) - V(t, z_t) \leq V(0, z_0),$$

due to $V(t, z_t) \geq 0$. Hence, letting $t \to +\infty$, we have

$$J = \int_0^\infty f^0(t, x(t), x(t - h_i(t)), u(t)) dt \leq V(0, z_0) \leq \lambda_2 \| \phi \|^2 = J^*.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.
4. Conclusion

In this paper, the problem of guaranteed cost control for Hopfield neural networks with interval multiple nondifferentiable time-varying delays has been studied. A nonlinear quadratic cost function is considered as a performance measure for the closed-loop system. The stabilizing controllers to be designed must satisfy some exponential stability constraints on the closed-loop poles.
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