

SOME PROPERTIES OF STARLIKE AND CLOSE  
TO CONVEX FUNCTIONS WITH RESPECT  
TO SYMMETRIC CONJUGATE POINTS

C. Selvaraj<sup>1</sup>, G. Thirupathi<sup>2</sup> §

<sup>1</sup>Presidency College

Chennai, 600 005, Tamilnadu, INDIA

<sup>2</sup>R.M.K. Engineering College

R.S.M. Nagar, Kavaraipettai, 601 206, Tamilnadu, INDIA

**Abstract:** In the present paper, we introduce and investigate some new subclasses of  $\alpha$ -starlike and  $\alpha$ -close to convex functions with respect to symmetric conjugate points. Inclusion relationships, integral representations and some interesting convolution properties for these functions are obtained.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 30C45

**Key Words:** multivalent functions, hadamard product, close to convex functions,  $(2j, k)$  symmetric conjugate points

1. Introduction, Definitions and Preliminaries

Let  $\mathcal{A}_p$  be the class of functions  $f(z)$ , of the form

$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic in the unit disc  $\mathbb{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ . And let  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_1$ .

We denote by  $\mathcal{S}$ ,  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  $\mathcal{K}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  the familiar subclasses of  $\mathcal{A}$  consisting of

---

Received: August 30, 2014

© 2014 Academic Publications, Ltd.  
url: [www.acadpubl.eu](http://www.acadpubl.eu)

§Correspondence author

functions which are respectively starlike, convex, close-to-convex and quasi-convex in  $\mathbb{U}$ . The references of the field are [5, 6], it covers most of the topics.

Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the subclass of  $\mathcal{A}$  consisting of all functions which are univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$ . Also, let  $\mathcal{P}$  denote the class of functions of the form

$$p(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1} c_n z^n$$

which are analytic and convex in  $\mathbb{U}$  and satisfy the condition

$$\Re(p(z)) > 0, (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Let  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  be analytic in  $\mathbb{U}$ . Then we say that the function  $f(z)$  is subordinate to  $g(z)$  in  $\mathbb{U}$ , if there exists an analytic function  $w(z)$  in  $\mathbb{U}$  such that  $|w(z)| < |z|$  and  $f(z) = g(w(z))$ , denoted by  $f(z) \prec g(z)$ . If  $g(z)$  is univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$ , then the subordination is equivalent to  $f(0) = g(0)$  and  $f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U})$ .

Let  $k$  be a positive integer and  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, (k-1)$ . A domain  $D$  is said to be  $(j, k)$ -fold symmetric if a rotation of  $D$  about the origin through an angle  $2\pi j/k$  carries  $D$  onto itself. A function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  is said to be  $(j, k)$ -symmetrical if for each  $z \in \mathbb{U}$

$$f(\varepsilon z) = \varepsilon^j f(z), \quad (1.2)$$

where  $\varepsilon = \exp(2\pi i/k)$ . The family of  $(j, k)$ -symmetrical functions will be denoted by  $\mathcal{F}_k^j$ . For every function  $f$  defined on a symmetrical subset  $\mathbb{U}$  of  $\mathbb{C}$ , there exists a unique sequence of  $(j, k)$ -symmetrical functions  $f_{j,k}(z), j = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$  such that

$$f = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} f_{j,k}.$$

Moreover,

$$f_{j,k}(z) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{\nu=0}^{k-1} \frac{f(\varepsilon^\nu z)}{\varepsilon^{\nu p j}}, \quad (f \in \mathcal{A}_p; k = 1, 2, \dots; j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, (k-1)). \quad (1.3)$$

This decomposition is a generalization of the well known fact that each function defined on a symmetrical subset  $\mathbb{U}$  of  $\mathbb{C}$  can be uniquely represented as the sum of an even function and an odd functions (see Theorem 1 of [7]). It is obvious that  $f_{j,k}(z)$  is a linear operator from  $\mathbb{U}$  into  $\mathbb{U}$ . The notion of  $(j, k)$ -symmetrical functions was first introduced and studied by P. Liczberski and J. Polubiński in [7]. The class of  $(j, k)$ -symmetrical functions was extended

to the class  $(j, k)$ -symmetrical conjugate functions in [12]. For fixed positive integers  $j$  and  $k$ , let  $f_{2j,k}(z)$  be defined by the following equality

$$f_{2j,k}(z) = \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{\nu=0}^{k-1} [\varepsilon^{-\nu pj} f(\varepsilon^\nu z) + \varepsilon^{\nu pj} \overline{f(\varepsilon^\nu \bar{z})}], \quad (f \in \mathcal{A}_p). \tag{1.4}$$

If  $\nu$  is an integer, then the following identities follow directly from (1.4):

$$\begin{aligned} f'_{2j,k}(z) &= \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{\nu=0}^{k-1} [\varepsilon^{-\nu pj + \nu} f'(\varepsilon^\nu z) + \varepsilon^{\nu pj - \nu} \overline{f'(\varepsilon^\nu \bar{z})}], \\ f''_{2j,k}(z) &= \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{\nu=0}^{k-1} [\varepsilon^{-\nu pj + 2\nu} f''(\varepsilon^\nu z) + \varepsilon^{\nu pj - 2\nu} \overline{f''(\varepsilon^\nu \bar{z})}], \end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f_{2j,k}(\varepsilon^\nu z) &= \varepsilon^{\nu pj} f_{2j,k}(z), & f_{2j,k}(z) &= \overline{f_{2j,k}(\bar{z})}, \\ f'_{2j,k}(\varepsilon^\nu z) &= \varepsilon^{\nu pj - \nu} f'_{2j,k}(z), & f'_{2j,k}(\bar{z}) &= \overline{f'_{2j,k}(z)}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

Motivated by the concept introduced by Sakaguchi in [11], recently several subclasses of analytic functions with respect to  $k$ -symmetric points were introduced and studied by various authors (see [1, 2, 14, 15, 16]). Parvatham in ([10]) introduced and investigated  $K_n(\alpha, h)$  - so called class of  $\alpha$  starlike functions with respect to  $n$  symmetric points.

**Definition 1.1.** The function  $f \in \mathcal{A}_p$  and  $\frac{f(z)f(z)}{z} \neq 0$  in  $\mathbb{U}$  is said to be in the class  $\mathcal{S}_p^{j,k}(\alpha, \phi)$  if and only if it satisfies the condition

$$\frac{1}{p} \left( \frac{\alpha z (zf(z)) + (1 - \alpha) zf(z)}{\alpha z f_{2j,k}(z) + (1 - \alpha) f_{2j,k}(z)} \right) \prec \phi(z), \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \tag{1.7}$$

where,  $\phi \in \mathcal{P}$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$  and  $f_{2j,k}(z) \neq 0$  is defined by the equality (1.4). Similarly, we say that a function  $f \in \mathcal{A}_p$  is in the class  $\mathcal{C}_p^{j,k}(\alpha, \phi)$  if and only if

$$zf \in \mathcal{S}_p^{j,k}(\alpha, \phi).$$

**Definition 1.2.** The function  $f \in \mathcal{A}_p$  and  $\frac{f(z)f(z)}{z} \neq 0$  in  $\mathbb{U}$  is said to be in the class  $\mathcal{M}_p^{j,k}(\alpha, \phi)$  if and only if it satisfies the condition

$$\frac{1}{p} \left( (1 - \alpha) \frac{zf(z)}{f_{2j,k}(z)} + \alpha \frac{(zf(z))}{f_{2j,k}(z)} \right) \prec \phi(z), \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \tag{1.8}$$

where,  $\phi \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $\alpha \geq 0$ .

**Definition 1.3.** The function  $f \in \mathcal{A}_p$  and  $\frac{f(z)f'(z)}{z} \neq 0$  in  $\mathbb{U}$  is said to be in the class  $\mathcal{K}_p^{j,k}(\alpha, \phi)$  if and only if it satisfies the condition

$$\frac{1}{p} \left( \frac{\alpha z (zf'(z)) + (1 - \alpha) z f'(z)}{\alpha z g_{2j,k}(z) + (1 - \alpha) g_{2j,k}(z)} \right) \prec \phi(z), \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \quad (1.9)$$

where,  $\phi \in \mathcal{P}$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$  and  $g_{2j,k}(z) \neq 0$  is defined by (1.4) with  $g_{2j,k}(z) \in \mathcal{S}_p^{j,k}(\alpha, \phi)$ .

**Remark 1.1.** In view of definitions 1.1 and 1.2, we know that the classes  $\mathcal{S}_p^{j,k}(\alpha, \phi)$  and  $\mathcal{C}_p^{j,k}(\alpha, \phi)$  unify the classes of  $\alpha$  starlike and  $\alpha$  convex functions with respect to  $(2j, k)$  symmetric conjugate points. Further we note that several other new and well known subclasses of analytic functions can be obtained as special cases of  $\mathcal{S}_p^{j,k}(\alpha, \phi)$  and  $\mathcal{C}_p^{j,k}(\alpha, \phi)$ .

If we put  $\alpha = 0$ , then the classes  $\mathcal{S}_p^{j,k}(\alpha, \phi)$  and  $\mathcal{C}_p^{j,k}(\alpha, \phi)$  reduces to the respective class  $\mathcal{S}_p^{j,k}(\phi)$  and  $\mathcal{C}_p^{j,k}(\phi)$  introduced by Karthikeyan [12]. Also, if we let  $p = j = k = 1$ ,  $\alpha = 0$  and  $h(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$  then the class  $\mathcal{S}_p^{j,k}(\alpha, \phi)$  reduces to the class  $\mathcal{S}_c$  investigated by EL Ashwa and Thomas in [4].

**Lemma 1.1.** [3, 8] Let  $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ . Suppose that  $\phi$  is convex and univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$  with  $\phi(0) = 1$  and  $\Re(\beta\phi(z) + \gamma) > 0$ , ( $z \in \mathbb{U}$ ) and let  $p$  be analytic in  $\mathbb{U}$  with  $p(0) = 1$ , then the subordination

$$p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{\beta p(z) + \gamma} \prec \phi(z) \Rightarrow p(z) \prec \phi(z).$$

**Lemma 1.2.** [9] Let  $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ . Suppose that  $\phi$  is convex and univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$  with  $\phi(0) = 1$  and  $\Re(\beta\phi(z) + \gamma) > 0$ , ( $z \in \mathbb{U}$ ). Also, let  $q(z) \prec \phi(z)$ . If  $u \in \mathcal{P}$  and satisfies the subordination

$$u(z) + \frac{zu'(z)}{\beta q(z) + \gamma} \prec \phi(z),$$

then,

$$u(z) \prec \phi(z).$$

**Lemma 1.3.** [13] Let  $\phi \in \mathcal{P}$ . Then,

$$\mathcal{S}_p^{j,k}(\phi) \subset \mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{S}.$$

**Lemma 1.4.** [13] Let  $\phi \in \mathcal{P}$ . Then,

$$\mathcal{C}_p^{j,k}(\phi) \subset \mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{C}.$$

### 2. Inclusion Relationship

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $\phi \in \mathcal{P}$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$  and let  $f \in \mathcal{S}_p^{j,k}(\alpha, \phi)$ . Then  $f_{2j,k} \in \mathcal{S}_p^{j,k}(\alpha, \phi)$ . Furthermore for any  $z \in \mathbb{U}$ ,

$$\mathcal{S}_p^{j,k}(\alpha, \phi) \subset \mathcal{S}_p^{j,k}(\phi) \subset \mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{S}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}_p^{j,k}(\alpha, \phi)$ . From the definition of  $\mathcal{S}_p^{j,k}(\alpha, \phi)$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{p} \left( \frac{\alpha z (zf(z)) + (1 - \alpha) z f(z)}{\alpha z f_{2j,k}(z) + (1 - \alpha) f_{2j,k}(z)} \right) \prec \phi(z), \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \tag{2.1}$$

On a simple computation, we have

$$\frac{1}{p} \left( \frac{zf(z) + \alpha z^2 f(z)}{(1 - \alpha) f_{2j,k}(z) + \alpha z f_{2j,k}(z)} \right) \prec \phi(z). \tag{2.2}$$

If we replace  $z$  by  $\varepsilon^\nu z$  in (2.2), then (2.2) will be of the form

$$\frac{1}{p} \left( \frac{\varepsilon^\nu z f'(\varepsilon^\nu z) + \alpha (\varepsilon^\nu z)^2 f'(\varepsilon^\nu z)}{(1 - \alpha) f_{2j,k}(\varepsilon^\nu z) + \alpha z \varepsilon^\nu f_{2j,k}(\varepsilon^\nu z)} \right) \prec \phi(z) \tag{2.3}$$

$(z \in \mathcal{U}; \nu = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k - 1).$

Also we have,

$$\frac{1}{p} \left( \frac{\overline{\varepsilon^\nu z} f'(\overline{\varepsilon^\nu z}) + \alpha (\overline{\varepsilon^\nu z})^2 f'(\overline{\varepsilon^\nu z})}{(1 - \alpha) f_{2j,k}(\overline{\varepsilon^\nu z}) + \alpha z \overline{\varepsilon^\nu} f_{2j,k}(\overline{\varepsilon^\nu z})} \right) \prec \phi(z) \tag{2.4}$$

$(z \in \mathcal{U}; \nu = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k - 1).$

Using the equality (1.6), (2.3) and (2.4) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{1}{p} \left( \frac{\varepsilon^{\nu-\nu pj} (zf'(\varepsilon^\nu z) + \alpha z^2 \varepsilon^\nu f'(\varepsilon^\nu z))}{(1 - \alpha) f_{2j,k}(z) + \alpha z f_{2j,k}(z)} \right) \prec \phi(z) \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{p} \left( \frac{\varepsilon^{-\nu+\nu pj} (\overline{z} f'(\overline{\varepsilon^\nu z}) + \alpha z^2 \varepsilon^{-\nu} \overline{f'(\varepsilon^\nu z)})}{(1 - \alpha) f_{2j,k}(z) + \alpha z f_{2j,k}(z)} \right) \prec \phi(z)$$

$$(z \in \mathcal{U}; \nu = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k - 1). \tag{2.6}$$

Adding (2.5) and (2.6), we get

$$\frac{1}{p} \left( \frac{\frac{z}{2} \left( \varepsilon^{\nu-\nu pj} f'(\varepsilon^\nu z) + \varepsilon^{-\nu+\nu pj} \overline{f'(\varepsilon^\nu \bar{z})} \right) + \frac{\alpha z^2}{2} \left( \varepsilon^{2\nu-\nu pj} f''(\varepsilon^\nu z) + \varepsilon^{-2\nu+\nu pj} \overline{f''(\varepsilon^\nu \bar{z})} \right)}{(1 - \alpha) f_{2j,k}(z) + \alpha z f'_{2j,k}(z)} \right) \prec \phi(z). \tag{2.7}$$

Let  $\nu = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k - 1$  in (2.7) respectively and summing them, we get

$$\frac{1}{p} \frac{\frac{z}{2k} \sum_{\nu=0}^{k-1} [\varepsilon^{\nu-\nu pj} f'(\varepsilon^\nu z) + \varepsilon^{-\nu+\nu pj} \overline{f'(\varepsilon^\nu \bar{z})}] + \frac{\alpha z^2}{2k} \sum_{\nu=0}^{k-1} [\varepsilon^{2\nu-\nu pj} f''(\varepsilon^\nu z) + \varepsilon^{-2\nu+\nu pj} \overline{f''(\varepsilon^\nu \bar{z})}]}{(1 - \alpha) f_{2j,k}(z) + \alpha z f'_{2j,k}(z)} \prec \phi(z), \tag{2.8}$$

or equivalently,

$$\frac{1}{p} \frac{z f_{2j,k}(z) + \alpha z^2 f_{2j,k}(z)}{(1 - \alpha) f_{2j,k}(z) + \alpha z f'_{2j,k}(z)} \prec \phi(z), \tag{2.9}$$

which implies that  $f_{2j,k} \in \mathcal{S}_p^{j,k}(\alpha, \phi)$ .

If we set

$$p(z) = \frac{z f_{2j,k}(z)}{p f_{2j,k}(z)}, \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

then (2.9) can be written as

$$\frac{1}{p} \frac{z f_{2j,k}(z) + \alpha z^2 f_{2j,k}(z)}{(1 - \alpha) f_{2j,k}(z) + \alpha z f'_{2j,k}(z)} = p(z) + \frac{\alpha z p(z)}{(1 - \alpha) + p \alpha p(z)} \prec \phi(z). \tag{2.10}$$

It is very clear that  $p(z) \prec \phi(z)$ , since  $\alpha$  is a real number with the condition imposed  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ .

Setting

$$h(z) = \frac{z f(z)}{p f_{2j,k}(z)}, \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{p} \left( \frac{(1 - \alpha) z f(z) + \alpha z (z f'(z))}{(1 - \alpha) f_{2j,k}(z) + \alpha z f'_{2j,k}(z)} \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{p} \frac{(1 - \alpha) p h(z) f_{2j,k}(z) + \alpha z p [h(z) f_{2j,k}(z) + h(z) f'_{2j,k}(z)]}{(1 - \alpha) f_{2j,k}(z) + \alpha z f'_{2j,k}(z)} \\
 &= \frac{(1 - \alpha) h(z) + \alpha z h'(z) + \frac{\alpha z f'_{2j,k}(z)}{f_{2j,k}(z)} \cdot h(z)}{(1 - \alpha) + \frac{\alpha z f'_{2j,k}(z)}{f_{2j,k}(z)}} \\
 &= h(z) + \frac{\alpha z h'(z)}{(1 - \alpha) + p \alpha p(z)}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

Now, by applying the above proof for  $p(z) \prec \phi(z)$  and using Lemma 1.2 in (2.11), we know that

$$h(z) = \frac{z f'(z)}{p f_{2j,k}(z)} \prec \phi(z), \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

which implies that

$$\mathcal{S}_p^{j,k}(\alpha, \phi) \subset \mathcal{S}_p^{j,k}(\phi).$$

And also

$$\mathcal{S}_p^{j,k}(\alpha, \phi) \subset \mathcal{S}_p^{j,k}(\phi) \subset \mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{S}.$$

□

By means of Lemma 1.4 and making use of similar arguments given in the proof for Theorem 2.1, we easily get the following inclusion relationship for the class  $\mathcal{C}_p^{j,k}(\alpha, \phi)$ .

**Corollary 2.2.** *Let  $\phi \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ , then*

$$\mathcal{C}_p^{j,k}(\alpha, \phi) \subset \mathcal{C}_p^{j,k}(\phi) \subset \mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{C}.$$

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $\phi \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ , then*

$$\mathcal{K}_p^{j,k}(\alpha, \phi; g) \subset \mathcal{K}_p^{j,k}(\phi; g).$$

*Proof.* Let  $f \in \mathcal{K}_p^{j,k}(\alpha, \phi)$ . Setting  $p(z) = \frac{zf(z)}{pg_{2j,k}(z)}$  and  $q(z) = \frac{zg_{2j,k}(z)}{pg_{2j,k}(z)}$ , we have,

$$\frac{1}{p} \frac{\alpha z(zf(z)) + (1-\alpha)zf(z)}{\alpha zg_{2j,k}(z) + (1-\alpha)g_{2j,k}(z)} = \frac{\alpha zp(z) + p(z)[(1-\alpha) + \alpha q(z)]}{(1-\alpha) + p\alpha q(z)}$$

$$= p(z) + \frac{\alpha zp(z)}{(1-\alpha) + p\alpha q(z)} \prec \phi(z)$$

since  $f \in \mathcal{K}_p^{j,k}(\alpha, \phi)$ . Here  $q(z) \prec \phi(z)$  (by lemma). Again an application of Lemma (1.2) yields  $p(z) = \frac{zf(z)}{pg_{2j,k}(z)}$  which establish the theorem.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $\phi \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ , then*

$$\mathcal{M}_p^{j,k}(\alpha, \phi) \subset \mathcal{S}_p^{j,k}(\phi) \subset \mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{S}.$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $f \in \mathcal{M}_p^{j,k}(\alpha, \phi)$ . It follows from (1.8) that

$$\frac{1}{p} \left( (1-\alpha) \frac{zf(z)}{f_{2j,k}(z)} + \alpha \frac{(zf(z))}{f_{2j,k}(z)} \right) = \frac{(1-\alpha)zf(z)}{p f_{2j,k}(z)} + \frac{\alpha f(z) + zf(z)}{p f_{2j,k}(z)} \prec \phi(z) \tag{2.12}$$

If we replace  $z$  by  $\varepsilon^\nu z$  ( $\nu = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k-1$ ) in (2.12), then (2.12) will be of the form

$$\frac{(1-\alpha)\varepsilon^\nu zf(\varepsilon^\nu z)}{p f_{2j,k}(\varepsilon^\nu z)} + \frac{\alpha f(\varepsilon^\nu z) + \varepsilon^\nu zf(\varepsilon^\nu z)}{p f_{2j,k}(\varepsilon^\nu z)} \prec \phi(z). \tag{2.13}$$

From (2.13), we have,

$$\frac{(1-\alpha)\overline{\varepsilon^\nu \bar{z} f(\varepsilon^\nu \bar{z})}}{p \overline{f_{2j,k}(\varepsilon^\nu \bar{z})}} + \frac{\alpha \overline{f(\varepsilon^\nu \bar{z})} + \overline{\varepsilon^\nu \bar{z} f(\varepsilon^\nu \bar{z})}}{p \overline{f_{2j,k}(\varepsilon^\nu \bar{z})}} \prec \phi(z). \tag{2.14}$$

Proceeding as in Theorem (2.1), we have

$$\frac{1}{p} \left( (1-\alpha) \frac{zf_{2j,k}(z)}{f_{2j,k}(z)} + \alpha \frac{(zf_{2j,k}(z))}{f_{2j,k}(z)} \right) \prec \phi(z). \tag{2.15}$$

Letting



$$p(z) = \frac{zf_{2j,k}(z)}{pf_{2j,k}(z)}, \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Then, (2.15) can be written as

$$\frac{1}{p} \left( (1 - \alpha) \frac{zf_{2j,k}(z)}{f_{2j,k}(z)} + \alpha \frac{(zf_{2j,k}(z))}{f_{2j,k}(z)} \right) = p(z) + \alpha \frac{zp(z)}{pp(z)} \prec \phi(z).$$

By Lemma 1.1, we have

$$p(z) = \frac{zf_{2j,k}(z)}{pf_{2j,k}(z)} \prec \phi(z).$$

If we let

$$h(z) = \frac{zf(z)}{pf_{2j,k}(z)},$$

then (1.8), can be written as follows:

$$\frac{1}{p} \left( (1 - \alpha) \frac{zf(z)}{f_{2j,k}(z)} + \alpha \frac{(zf(z))}{f_{2j,k}(z)} \right) = h(z) + \alpha \frac{zh(z)}{pp(z)} \prec \phi(z).$$

Since

$$p(z) \prec \phi(z),$$

By Lemma 1.2, yields

$$\mathcal{M}_p^{j,k}(\alpha, \phi) \subset \mathcal{S}_p^{j,k}(\phi).$$

Then Lemma 1.3, we have

$$\mathcal{M}_p^{j,k}(\alpha, \phi) \subset \mathcal{S}_p^{j,k}(\phi) \subset \mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{S}.$$

□

### 3. Integral Representation

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}_p^{j,k}(\alpha, \phi)$  with  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ . Then*

$$f_{2j,k}(z) = \frac{1}{\alpha} z^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \int_0^z \exp \left( \frac{p}{2k} \sum_{\nu=0}^{k-1} \int_0^u \frac{\phi(w(\varepsilon^\nu \zeta)) + \overline{\phi(w(\varepsilon^\nu \bar{\zeta}))} - 2}{\zeta} d\zeta \right) u^{\frac{1}{\alpha}+p-2} du, \quad (3.1)$$

where,  $f_{2j,k}(z)$  is defined by (1.4),  $w$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{U}$  with

$$w(0) = 0 \text{ and } |w(z)| < 1, \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $f \in \mathcal{S}_p^{j,k}(\alpha, \phi)$ . We know that the condition (1.7) can be written as

$$\frac{1}{p} \left( \frac{\alpha z (zf(z)) + (1-\alpha)zf(z)}{\alpha z f_{2j,k}(z) + (1-\alpha)f_{2j,k}(z)} \right) = \phi(w(z)), \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}) \quad (3.2)$$

where,  $w$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{U}$  with

$$w(0) = 0 \text{ and } |w(z)| < 1, \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

By similar application of the arguments given in the proof for Theorem 2.1 to (3.2), we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{p} \frac{\alpha z (zf_{2j,k}(z)) + (1-\alpha)zf_{2j,k}(z)}{\alpha z f_{2j,k}(z) + (1-\alpha)f_{2j,k}(z)} &= \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{\nu=0}^{k-1} \left( \phi(w(\varepsilon^\nu z)) + \overline{\phi(w(\varepsilon^\nu \bar{z}))} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

From (3.3),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\alpha (zf_{2j,k}(z)) + (1-\alpha)f_{2j,k}(z)}{\alpha z f_{2j,k}(z) + (1-\alpha)f_{2j,k}(z)} - \frac{p}{z} &= \frac{p}{2k} \sum_{\nu=0}^{k-1} \frac{\left( \phi(w(\varepsilon^\nu z)) + \overline{\phi(w(\varepsilon^\nu \bar{z}))} - 2 \right)}{z}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Integrating this equality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \log \left( \frac{\alpha z f_{2j,k}(z) + (1 - \alpha) f_{2j,k}(z)}{z^p} \right) \\ = \frac{p}{2k} \sum_{\nu=0}^{k-1} \int_0^z \frac{\left( \phi(w(\varepsilon^\nu \zeta)) + \overline{\phi(w(\varepsilon^\nu \bar{\zeta}))} - 2 \right)}{\zeta} d\zeta, \end{aligned}$$

or equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha z f_{2j,k}(z) + (1 - \alpha) f_{2j,k}(z) \\ = z^p \exp \left\{ \frac{p}{2k} \sum_{\nu=0}^{k-1} \int_0^z \frac{\left( \phi(w(\varepsilon^\nu \zeta)) + \overline{\phi(w(\varepsilon^\nu \bar{\zeta}))} - 2 \right)}{\zeta} d\zeta \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Now we can derive (3.1) from (3.5). □

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}_p^{j,k}(\alpha, \phi)$  with  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) = \\ \frac{1}{\alpha} z^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \int_0^z \int_0^u \exp \left( \frac{p}{2k} \sum_{\nu=0}^{k-1} \int_0^\eta \frac{\left( \phi(w(\varepsilon^\nu \zeta)) + \overline{\phi(w(\varepsilon^\nu \bar{\zeta}))} - 2 \right)}{\zeta} d\zeta \right) \phi(w(\eta)) d\eta \\ u^{\frac{1}{\alpha}+p-2} du \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

where,  $w$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{U}$  with  $w(0) = 0$  and  $|w(z)| < 1$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $f \in \mathcal{S}_p^{j,k}(\alpha, \phi)$ . Then by (3.2) and (3.5),

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha (zf(z)) + (1 - \alpha) f(z) &= \frac{\alpha z f_{2j,k}(z) + (1 - \alpha) f_{2j,k}(z)}{z} \cdot \phi(w(z)) \\ &= \exp \left\{ \frac{p}{2k} \sum_{\nu=0}^{k-1} \int_0^z \frac{\left( \phi(w(\varepsilon^\nu \zeta)) + \overline{\phi(w(\varepsilon^\nu \bar{\zeta}))} - 2 \right)}{\zeta} d\zeta \right\} \phi(w(z)). \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Integrating the above equality two times, will give the assertions of the theorem. □

### 4. Convolution Conditions

Let  $f, g \in \mathcal{A}_p$ , where  $f(z)$  is given by (1.1) and  $g(z)$  is defined by

$$g(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=p+1} b_n z^n,$$

then the Hadamard product (or convolution)  $f * g$  is defined by

$$(f * g)(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=p+1} a_n b_n z^n = (g * f)(z).$$

We now derive some convolution properties for the function classes  $\mathcal{S}_p^{j,k}(\alpha, \phi)$  and  $\mathcal{C}_p^{j,k}(\alpha, \phi)$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}_p^{j,k}(\alpha, \phi)$  if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{zp} \left\{ f * \left[ (1 - \alpha) \left( \frac{z}{(1 - z)^2} - \frac{\phi(e^{i\theta})}{2} h \right) + \alpha z \left( \frac{z}{(1 - z)^2} - \frac{\phi(e^{i\theta})}{2} h \right) \right] (z) \right\} \\ - \frac{1}{zp} \left\{ \phi(e^{i\theta}) \cdot \overline{f * \left( \frac{1 - \alpha}{2} h + \frac{\alpha z}{2} h \right) (\bar{z})} \right\} \neq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

for all  $z \in \mathbb{U}$  and  $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$  where  $h$  is given by

$$h(z) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{\nu=0}^{k-1} \frac{z}{1 - \varepsilon^\nu z}. \tag{4.2}$$

*Proof.* Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}_p^{j,k}(\alpha, \phi)$ , then

$$\frac{1}{p} \left( \frac{\alpha z (zf(z)) + (1 - \alpha) zf(z)}{\alpha z f_{2j,k}(z) + (1 - \alpha) f_{2j,k}(z)} \right) \prec \phi(z),$$

it is equivalent to

$$\frac{1}{p} \frac{\alpha z (zf(z)) + (1 - \alpha) zf(z)}{\alpha z f_{2j,k}(z) + (1 - \alpha) f_{2j,k}(z)} \neq \phi(e^{i\theta}) \tag{4.3}$$

for all  $z \in \mathbb{U}$  and  $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$ . Now (4.3) can be written as in the form of

$$\frac{1}{p} \left\{ (1 - \alpha) z f'(z) + \alpha z (z f'(z)) - [\alpha z f_{2j,k}(z) + (1 - \alpha) f_{2j,k}(z)] \phi(e^{i\theta}) \right\} \neq 0. \quad (4.4)$$

It is well known that,

$$z f'(z) = f(z) * \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}. \quad (4.5)$$

From the definition of  $f_{2j,k}(z)$ ,

$$f_{2j,k}(z) = \frac{1}{2} \left( (f * h)(z) + \overline{(f * h)(\bar{z})} \right) \quad (4.6)$$

where  $h$  is defined by (4.2). Substitute (4.5) and (4.6) in (4.4), we get (4.7).  $\square$

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\phi \in \mathcal{P}$ . Then  $f \in \mathcal{C}_p^{j,k}(\alpha, \phi)$  if and only if

$$\frac{1}{zp} \left\{ f * z \left[ (1 - \alpha) \left( \frac{z}{(1-z)^2} - \frac{\phi(e^{i\theta})}{2} h \right) + \alpha z \left( \frac{z}{(1-z)^2} - \frac{\phi(e^{i\theta})}{2} h \right) \right] (z) \right. \\ \left. - \frac{1}{zp} \left\{ \phi(e^{i\theta}) \cdot \overline{f * \left[ z \left( \frac{1-\alpha}{2} h + \frac{\alpha z}{2} h \right) \right]} (\bar{z}) \right\} \right\} \neq 0. \quad (4.7)$$

for all  $z \in \mathbb{U}$  and  $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$ , where  $h$  is given by (4.2).

## References

- [1] R.M. Ali, A. O. Badghaish, V. Ravichandran, Multivalent functions with respect to  $n$ -ply points and symmetric conjugate points, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, **60**, No. 11 (2010), 2926–2935.
- [2] R. Chandrashekar, Rosihan M. Ali, See Keong Lee, V. Ravichandran, Convolutions of meromorphic multivalent functions with respect to  $n$ -ply points and symmetric conjugate points, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **218**, No. 3 (2011), 723–728, doi: 10.1016/j.amc.2011.03.069.
- [3] Paul Eenigenburg, Sanford S. Miller, Petru T. Mocanu, Maxwell O. Reade, *On a Briot-Bouquet Differential Subordination. General Inequalities*, 3 (Oberwolfach, 1981), 339–348, *Internat. Schriftenreihe Numer. Math.*, **64**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1983.

- [4] R.Md. El-Ashwah, D.K. Thomas, Some subclasses of close-to-convex functions, *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.*, **2**, No. 1 (1987), 85-100.
- [5] A.W. Goodman, *Univalent Functions*, Volume I, Mariner, Tampa, FL, 1983.
- [6] Ian Graham, Gabriela Kohr, *Geometric Function Theory in One and Higher Dimensions*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 255. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 2003.
- [7] P. Liczberski, J. Połubiński, On  $(j, k)$ -symmetrical functions, *Math. Bohem.*, **120**, No. 1 (1995), 13-28.
- [8] Wan Cang Ma, David Minda, A unified treatment of some special classes of univalent functions, In: *Proceedings of the Conference on Complex Analysis* (Tianjin, 1992), 157-169, Conf. Proc. Lecture Notes Anal., I, Int. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1994.
- [9] K.S. Padmanabhan, R. Parvatham, Some applications of differential subordination, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, **32**, No. 3 (1985), 321-330.
- [10] R. Parvatham, S. Radha, On  $\alpha$ -starlike and  $\alpha$ -close-to-convex functions with respect to  $n$ -symmetric points, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **17**, No. 9 (1986), 1114-1122.
- [11] K. Sakaguchi, On a certain univalent mapping, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **11** (1959), 72-75, doi: 10.2969/jmsj/01110072.
- [12] C. Selvaraj, K.R. Karthikeyan, G. Thirupathi, Multivalent Functions with respect to symmetric conjugate points, *Punjab University Journal of Mathematics*, **46**, No. 1 (2014), 1-8.
- [13] Z.-G. Wang, C.-Y. Gao, On starlike and convex functions with respect to  $2k$ -symmetric conjugate points, *Tamsui Oxf. J. Math. Sci.*, **24**, No. 3 (2008), 277-287.
- [14] Z.-G. Wang, C.-Y. Gao, S.-M. Yuan, On certain subclasses of close-to-convex and quasi-convex functions with respect to  $k$ -symmetric points, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **322**, No. 1 (2006), 97-106.
- [15] Z.-G. Wang, Y.-P. Jiang, H.M. Srivastava, Some subclasses of multivalent analytic functions involving the Dziok-Srivastava operator, *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.*, **19**, No-s: 1-2 (2008), 129-146.

- [16] S.-M. Yuan, Z.-M. Liu, Some properties of  $\alpha$ -convex and  $\alpha$ -quasiconvex functions with respect to  $n$ -symmetric points, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **188**, No. 2 (2007), 1142-1150.

