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Abstract: In this paper, we investigate subspace supercyclicity of tuples of operators.
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1. Introduction

By an n-tuple of operators we mean a finite sequence of length n of commuting continuous linear operators on a Banach space $X$.

Definition 1.1. Let $\mathcal{T} = (T_1, T_2, ..., T_n)$ be an n-tuple of operators acting on a separable infinite dimensional Banach space $X$ over $\mathbb{C}$ and let $M$ be a nonzero subspace of $X$. We will let

$$\mathcal{F} = \{T_1^{k_1}T_2^{k_2}...T_n^{k_n} : k_i \geq 0, i = 1, ..., n\}$$

be the semigroup generated by $\mathcal{T}$. For $x \in X$, the orbit of $x$ under the tuple $\mathcal{T}$ is the set $\text{Orb}(\mathcal{T}, x) = \{Sx : S \in \mathcal{F}\}$. A vector $x$ is called a $M$-supercyclic vector for $\mathcal{T}$ if $\mathcal{COrb}(\mathcal{T}, x) \cap M$ is dense in $M$ and in this case the tuple $\mathcal{T}$ is called $M$-supercyclic. The set of all $M$-supercyclic vectors of $\mathcal{T}$ is denoted by $SC(\mathcal{T}, M)$. Also, for all $k \geq 2$, by $\mathcal{T}_d^{(k)}$ we will refer to the set of all $k$ copies of
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an element of $\mathcal{F}$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{T}_d^{(k)} = \{S_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus S_k : S_1 = \ldots = S_k \in \mathcal{F}\}.$$ 

We say that $\mathcal{T}_d^{(k)}$ is subspace-supercyclic, with respect to $M$, provided there exist $x_1, \ldots, x_k \in X$ such that $\mathbb{C}\{W(x_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus x_k) : W \in \mathcal{T}_d^{(k)}\} \cap M$ is dense in the $k$ copies of $M$, $M \oplus \ldots \oplus M$.

Surprisingly, there are something that does not happen for single operators. For example, hypercyclic tuples can arise in finite dimensional, and there are operators that have somewhere dense orbits that are not everywhere dense. Also, we note that there are subspace-hypercyclic operators that are not hypercyclic. For some topics we refer to [1]-[3].

2. Main Results

In this section, we introduce subspace-supercyclicity criterion for tuples of operators and we give some relations between the concept of subspace-supercyclicity and the subspace-supercyclicity criterion.

**Theorem 2.1.** Suppose that $\mathcal{T} = (T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_n)$ is an $n$-tuple of operators acting on a separable infinite dimensional Banach space $X$ over $\mathbb{C}$ and $M$ is a nonzero subspace of $X$. Then $SC(\mathcal{T}, M)$ is a $G_\delta$ set.

**Proof.** Let $\{B_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a countable open basis for the relative topology of $M$. Note that

$$x \in \bigcap_i \bigcup \{\lambda T_1^{-k_1} T_2^{-k_2} \ldots T_n^{-k_n} (B_i) \cap M : k_1, \ldots, k_n \geq 0, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\{0\}\}$$

if and only if for any integer $j \geq 1$, there exists a $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}\{0\}$ and a tuple $(k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_n)$ of integers such that $\lambda T_1^{k_1} T_2^{k_2} \ldots T_n^{k_n} x \in B_j$. This occurs if and only if $\mathbb{C}Orb(\mathcal{T}, x) \cap M$ is dense in $M$ or equivalently, if $x \in SC(\mathcal{T}, M)$. Thus $SC(\mathcal{T}, M)$ is indeed a $G_\delta$ set. \hfill \Box

**Corollary 2.2.** Suppose that $\mathcal{T} = (T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_n)$ is an $n$-tuple of operators acting on a separable infinite dimensional Banach space $X$ over $\mathbb{C}$ and $M$ is a nonzero subspace of $X$. Then

$$SC(\mathcal{T}, M) = \bigcap_i \bigcup \{\lambda T_1^{-k_1} T_2^{-k_2} \ldots T_n^{-k_n} (B_i) \cap M : k_1, \ldots, k_n \geq 0, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\{0\}\}.$$
**Proof.** By the proof of Theorem 2.1, it is clear. \(\square\)

**Theorem 2.3.** Suppose that \(T = (T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_n)\) is an \(n\)-tuple of operators acting on a separable infinite dimensional Banach space \(X\) over \(\mathbb{C}\) and \(M\) is a nonzero subspace of \(X\). Then the following conditions are equivalent:

i) For any nonempty sets \(U \subset M\) and \(V \subset M\), both relatively open, there exist a \(\lambda \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}\) and a tuple \((k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_n)\) of integers such that 
\[
\lambda^{-1} T_1^{-k_1} T_2^{-k_2} \ldots T_n^{-k_n} (U) \cap V
\]
contains a relatively open nonempty subset of \(M\).

ii) For any nonempty sets \(U \subset M\) and \(V \subset M\), both relatively open, there exist a \(\lambda \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}\) and a tuple \((k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_n)\) of integers such that 
\[
\lambda^{-1} T_1^{-k_1} T_2^{-k_2} \ldots T_n^{-k_n} (U) \cap V
\]
is nonempty and \(T_1^{k_1} T_2^{k_2} \ldots T_n^{k_n} M \subset M\).

Proof. Let (i) holds and let \(U\) and \(V\) be nonempty relatively open subsets of \(M\). Hence there exist a \(\lambda \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}\) and a tuple \((k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_n)\) of integers such that 
\[
\lambda^{-1} T_1^{-k_1} T_2^{-k_2} \ldots T_n^{-k_n} (U) \cap V
\]
contains a relatively open nonempty set \(W\) in \(M\). To show that \(T_1^{k_1} T_2^{k_2} \ldots T_n^{k_n} M \subset M\), let \(x \in M\) and note that 
\[
\lambda T_1^{k_1} T_2^{k_2} \ldots T_n^{k_n} W \subset U \cap \lambda T_1^{k_1} T_2^{k_2} \ldots T_n^{k_n} V \subset U \subset M.
\]
Hence 
\[
T_1^{k_1} T_2^{k_2} \ldots T_n^{k_n} W \subset M.
\]
If \(x_0 \in W\), then there exists \(r > 0\) small enough such that \(x_0 + rx \in W\), since \(W\) is relatively open. Thus 
\[
T_1^{k_1} T_2^{k_2} \ldots T_n^{k_n} (x_0 + rx) = T_1^{k_1} T_2^{k_2} \ldots T_n^{k_n} x_0 + r T_1^{k_1} T_2^{k_2} \ldots T_n^{k_n} x \in M,
\]
which implies that \(T_1^{k_1} T_2^{k_2} \ldots T_n^{k_n} x \in M\). Thus \(T_1^{k_1} T_2^{k_2} \ldots T_n^{k_n} M \subset M\).

Now, let (ii) holds. Note that for all \(\lambda \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}\) and all tuples \((k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_n)\) of integers, \(\lambda T_1^{k_1} T_2^{k_2} \ldots T_n^{k_n} : M \to M\) is continuous and so 
\[
\lambda^{-1} T_1^{-k_1} T_2^{-k_2} \ldots T_n^{-k_n} (U) \cap V
\]
is relatively open and nonempty subset of \(M\). This completes the proof. \(\square\)
Lemma 2.4. Suppose that $T = (T_1, T_2, ..., T_n)$ is an $n$-tuple of operators acting on a separable infinite dimensional Banach space $X$ over $\mathbb{C}$ and $M$ is a nonzero subspace of $X$. If any of the conditions in Theorem 2.3 is satisfied, then $SC(T, M)$ is a dense subset of $M$.

Proof. Let $\{B_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a countable open basis for the relative topology of $M$. In Theorem 2.2 (i), put $U = B_i$ and $V = B_j$, then there exist $\lambda_{i,j} \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$ and $K_{i,j}^m \in \mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$ for $m = 1, ..., n$ satisfying that $\lambda_{i,j}^{-1}T_1^{-k_{i,j}^1}T_2^{-k_{i,j}^2}...T_n^{-k_{i,j}^n}(B_i) \cap B_j$ is relatively open. Hence, the sets

$$G_i = \bigcup_j \lambda_{i,j}^{-1}T_1^{-k_{i,j}^1}T_2^{-k_{i,j}^2}...T_n^{-k_{i,j}^n}(B_i) \cap B_j$$

are relatively open. Also, each $G_i$ is dense since it intersects each relatively open set in $M$. Hence, $\bigcap_i G_i$ is also dense. Since

$$\bigcap_i \bigcup_j \lambda_{i,j}^{-1}T_1^{-k_{i,j}^1}T_2^{-k_{i,j}^2}...T_n^{-k_{i,j}^n}(B_i) \cap B_j$$

is a subset of

$$\bigcap_i \bigcup\{\lambda^{-1}T_1^{-k_1}T_2^{-k_2}...T_n^{-k_n}(B_i) \cap M : K_1, ..., k_n \geq 0, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}\},$$

by Corollary 2.2, it is clear. \qed
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