

## ON THE LATTICE OF GENERALIZED TOPOLOGIES

P.M. Dhanya

Department of Mathematics

University of Calicut

Calicut university P.O.

Malappuram, Kerala, 673635, INDIA

**Abstract:** In this paper we discuss some properties of the lattice  $LGT(X)$  of generalized topologies on a fixed set  $X$  and determine the automorphism group of  $LGT(X)$ . We define simple expansion of a generalized topological space and prove that any cover of a generalized topology  $\mu$  on a set  $X$  is a simple expansion of  $\mu$ . Further if  $X$  is finite, cardinality of any cover of  $\mu$  is exactly one element more than that of  $\mu$ .

**AMS Subject Classification:** 54A05, 06B30

**Key Words:** generalized topology, atoms, dual atoms, graded poset

### 1. Introduction

*Császár* introduced the notion of Generalized Topology in [2]. A collection  $\mu$  of subsets of a set  $X$  is said to form a generalized topology on  $X$  if  $\emptyset \in \mu$  and arbitrary union of elements in  $\mu$  is again in  $\mu$ . Let  $LGT(X)$  denotes the collection of all generalized topologies on  $X$ . Then  $LGT(X)$  is a poset under the order of set inclusion. Baskaran, Murugalingam and Sivaraj proved that  $LGT(X)$  forms a complete lattice and studied the properties of  $LGT(X)$  in their paper[6]. The lattice  $(L, \leq)$  is denoted by  $L$ , when there is no confusion.

We use the following definitions and theorems in this paper. For more details see[3, 4].

Let  $L$  be a lattice. For  $a, b \in L$ , by  $a$  covers  $b$  we mean  $b < a$  and for any  $c \in L$  with  $b \leq c \leq a$ , we have either  $c = b$  or  $c = a$ . An atom of a lattice is an element which covers the smallest element 0 if it exists. A lattice is atomic if every element other than the least element can be written as the join of atoms. An anti-atom is an element which is covered by the largest element 1 (if it exists) in the lattice. An anti-atom is also called dual atom. A lattice is anti-atomic if every element other than the largest element can be written as the meet of anti-atoms.

A lattice is called distributive if  $a \wedge (b \vee c) = (a \wedge b) \vee (a \wedge c)$  for all  $a, b, c \in L$ . The lattice  $L$  called modular if for any  $a, b, c \in L$ ,  $a \leq c$  implies  $a \vee (b \wedge c) = (a \vee b) \wedge c$ . A lattice  $L$  is modular if and only if it has no sublattice isomorphic to a pentagon[4]. The lattice  $L$  is called semi-modular if for any  $a, b \in L$  with  $a \neq b$ , and if  $a$  and  $b$  cover  $a \wedge b$ , then  $a \vee b$  covers  $a$  and  $b$ .

A bijective map from a lattice  $L$  to a lattice  $K$  is called a lattice isomorphism if it preserves finite meets and joins. Equivalently an isomorphism between two lattices  $L$  and  $M$  is a bijection  $f : L \rightarrow M$  such that for  $x, y \in L$ ,  $x \leq y$  if and only if  $f(x) \leq f(y)$  for  $x, y \in L$ . Two lattices are said to be isomorphic if there exist an isomorphism between them and an isomorphism of a lattice with itself is called an automorphism. A lattice is called self dual if it is isomorphic to its dual lattice.

A poset  $P$  is called graded if we can define an integer-valued function  $h$  on  $P$  such that for  $x, y \in P$ ,  $x \leq y$  and  $h(x) + 1 = h(y)$  if and only if  $x$  covers  $y$ .

## 2. Some Properties of $LGT(X)$

Lattice  $LGT(X)$  of topologies on a set  $X$  is both atomic and anti-atomic [7]. Here we prove that  $LGT(X)$  is atomic but not anti atomic.

**Theorem 1.**  *$LGT(X)$  is an atomic lattice. The atoms are generalized topologies of the form  $\{\emptyset, A\}$ , where  $\emptyset \subsetneq A \subseteq X$ . If  $X$  is finite and if  $|X| = n$ , then  $LGT(X)$  contain  $2^n - 1$  atoms. If  $X$  is infinite and  $|X| = \alpha$ , then  $LGT(X)$  contain  $2^\alpha$  atoms.*

*Proof.* It can be seen that the atoms in  $LGT(X)$  are precisely the generalized topologies of the form  $\{\emptyset, A\}$ , where  $A$  is a nonempty subset of  $X$ . Also given any generalized topology  $\mu$  on  $X$ , we have  $\mu = \bigvee_{\substack{A \in \mu \\ A \neq \emptyset}} \{\emptyset, A\}$ . Thus  $LGT(X)$

is an atomic lattice. Since we are considering every non empty subset  $A$  of  $X$  here, the total number of atoms in  $LGT(X)$  is  $2^n - 1$  where  $n = |X|$  and number of atoms in  $LGT(X)$  is  $2^\alpha$  if  $X$  is an infinite set of cardinality  $\alpha$ .  $\square$

**Note 2.1.**  $LGT(X)$  possess anti-atoms. The anti-atoms are precisely the generalized topologies of the form  $P(X) \setminus \{\{x\}\}$ ,  $x \in X$ . Because given any generalized topology  $\mu$  on  $X$  with  $\mu \neq P(X)$ , there exist an  $x \in X$  such that  $\{x\}$  does not belong to  $\mu$ . This implies  $\mu \subset P(X) \setminus \{\{x\}\}$  and also  $P(X) \setminus \{\{x\}\}$  is a generalized topology since  $\emptyset \in P(X) \setminus \{\{x\}\}$  and any arbitrary union of elements in  $P(X) \setminus \{\{x\}\}$  is again in the same collection. Also it is obvious that there is no proper subset of  $P(X)$  between  $P(X)$  and  $P(X) \setminus \{\{x\}\}$  and hence no generalized topology exists between them for any  $x \in X$ . If  $|X| = \alpha$ ,  $LGT(X)$  contain  $\alpha$  anti-atoms. But  $LGT(X)$  is not anti-atomic since  $\{\emptyset, \{x\}\}$  cannot be written as the meet of any collection of anti-atoms because every anti-atom contains  $X$  so is their intersection.

It is known that every distributive lattice is modular[4]. But it is proved that[6]  $LGT(X)$  is not distributive. In the next theorem we enquires when  $LGT(X)$  become modular.

**Theorem 2.**  $LGT(X)$  is modular if  $|X| \leq 2$  and not modular if  $|X| \geq 3$ .

*Proof.* If  $|X| \leq 2$ , we can see from the lattice diagrams below that it has no sublattice isomorphic to a pentagon. Hence  $LGT(X)$  is modular if  $|X| \leq 2$ .

Let  $|X| = n$  with  $n \geq 3$ . Then there exist  $a, b, c \in X$  with  $a \neq b \neq c$ . Consider the generalized topologies  $G_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, 5$  on  $X$ , where  $G_1 = \{\emptyset\}$ ,  $G_2 = \{\emptyset, \{a, b\}\}$ ,  $G_3 = \{\emptyset, \{a, c\}\}$ ,  $G_4 = \{\emptyset, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$  and  $G_5 = \{\emptyset, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$ . It can be observed that in the lattice diagram of  $LGT(X)$ , the above five generalized topologies constitute a pentagon as below and hence is not modular.  $\square$

**Definition 3.** [4] Let  $L$  be a lattice with least element  $0$ . We define the height function as follows: for  $a \in L$ , let  $h(a)$  denotes the length of a longest maximal chain in  $[0, a]$ , if it exists; otherwise, put  $h(a) = \infty$ .

**Theorem 4.** [4] Let  $L$  be a finite lattice.  $L$  is semimodular if and only if  $h(a) + h(b) \geq h(a \wedge b) + h(a \vee b)$  for all  $a, b$  and  $c$  in  $L$ , where  $h$  is the height function.

We use above theorem to prove that  $LGT(X)$  is not semimodular when  $X$  is finite with  $|X| \geq 3$ .

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $X$  be a finite set. Then  $LGT(X)$  is semimodular if and only if  $|X| \leq 2$ .*

*Proof.* When  $|X| \leq 2$ , we proved that it is modular and hence semimodular. Let  $|X| \geq 3$  and let  $a, b$  and  $c$  be three distinct elements in  $X$ , and consider the generalized topologies  $\mu_1 = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$ , and  $\mu_2 = \{\emptyset, \{c\}, \{b, c\}\}$ . Then  $\mu_1 \vee \mu_2 = P(\{a, b, c\})$  and  $\mu_1 \wedge \mu_2 = \{\emptyset\}$ . Also  $h(\mu_1) = 3, h(\mu_2) = 2$  and  $h(\mu_1 \vee \mu_2) = 7, h(\mu_1 \wedge \mu_2) = 0$ . We can see that  $h$  does not satisfy the condition in previous theorem and there by concluding that lattice of generalized topologies on any finite set of cardinality greater than 3 is not semimodular.  $\square$

**Theorem 6.**  *$LGT(X)$  is self dual if and only if  $|X| = 1$ .*

*Proof.* If  $|X| = 1$ ,  $LGT(X)$  contain only two elements, namely  $\{\emptyset\}$  and  $\{\emptyset, X\} = P(X)$ . Hence  $LGT(X)$  is obviously self dual. When  $|X| > 2$ , as the number of atoms and dual atoms are different,  $LGT(X)$  is not self dual.  $\square$

Set of all topological spaces of  $X$  is not a sublattice of  $LGT(X)$  if  $|X| \geq 3$ . Let  $a, b$  and  $c$  be three distinct elements in  $X$  and let  $\tau_1 = \{\emptyset, X, \{a, b\}\}$  and  $\tau_2 = \{\emptyset, X, \{a, c\}\}$ , then  $\tau_1 \vee \tau_2 = \{\emptyset, X, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$  is not a topology on  $X$ .

### 3. Simple Expansion of a Generalized Topological Spaces

Simple expansion of lattice of topologies has been studied previously by many mathematicians and the similar concept can be carried over to generalized topologies.

**Definition 7.** Let  $X$  be a set,  $\mu \in LGT(X)$  and  $A$  be a subset of  $X$  which does not belong to  $\mu$ . Then the simple expansion of  $\mu$  by  $A$ , denoted by  $\mu(A)$ , is defined as

$$\mu(A) = \mu \cup \{G \cup A : G \in \mu\}$$

**Remark 3.1.** *We can prove that a simple expansion of  $\mu$  forms a generalized topological space. Also it is obvious from the definition that  $\mu(A)$  is the smallest generalized topology containing  $\mu$  and  $A$ . Hence we have the following theorem.*

**Theorem 8.** *Let  $\mu \in LGT(X)$  and suppose that  $\mu(A)$  is a simple expansion of  $\mu$ . Then*

$$\mu(A) \in LGT(X) \text{ and } \mu(A) = \mu \vee \{\emptyset, A\}.$$

We can see that  $\mu(A)$  is obviously an upper neighbor of  $\mu$ . The following example shows that it need not always be a cover.

**Example 3.1.** Consider the set  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$  and the generalized topology  $\mu = \{\emptyset, X, \{a, b, c\}, \{d\}\}$  on  $X$ . Let  $A = \{a\}$ . Then

$$\mu(A) = \{\emptyset, X, \{a, b, c\}, \{d\}, \{a\}, \{a, d\}\}.$$

We can see that  $\mu(A)$  is not a cover of  $\mu$  since if we take

$$\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a, b, c\}, \{d\}, \{a, d\}\}, \quad \tau \in LGT(X)$$

and  $\mu < \tau < \mu(A)$ .

But we can prove that every cover is a simple expansion of some subset of  $X$ .

**Theorem 9.** *Let  $\mu \in LGT(X)$ . If  $\tau$  is a cover of  $\mu$  in  $LGT(X)$ , then there exist  $A \subseteq X$  such that  $\tau = \mu(A)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A \in \tau \setminus \mu$ . Then  $\mu(A)$  is the smallest generalized topology containing  $\mu$  and  $A$ . Thus  $\mu < \mu(A) \leq \tau$ . But  $\tau$  is a cover of  $\mu$ , hence  $\mu(A) = \tau$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.2.** *From the above proof it also implies that every cover  $\tau$  of  $\mu$  is of the form  $\mu(A)$ , for every  $A \in \tau \setminus \mu$ .*

We now show the existence of a cover of  $\mu$  which has cardinality exactly one more than that of  $\mu$  when  $X$  is finite.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $\mu$  be a generalized topology on a finite set  $X$  and  $\mu \neq \mathcal{P}(X)$ . Then there exists a subset  $A$  of  $X$  that does not belong to  $\mu$  such that  $\mu(A) = \mu \cup \{A\}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $|X| = n$ . It is enough to show the existence of a set  $A \subset X$  such that  $\mu \cup \{A\}$  is a generalized topology on  $X$ . If  $X$  does not belong to  $\mu$ , take  $A = X$ , so that  $\mu \cup \{X\} \in LGT(X)$ . If  $X \in \mu$ , then consider the collection of all subsets of  $X$  with cardinality  $n - 1$ . Let us denote the collection by  $F_{n-1}$ . If  $F_{n-1} \not\subseteq \mu$  then choose  $A \in F_{n-1}$  such that  $A \notin \mu$ .

**Claim.**  $\mu \cup \{A\}$  is a generalized topology on  $X$ .

Let  $U, V \in \mu \cup \{A\}$ . If  $U, V \in \mu$ , then obviously  $U \cup V \in \mu$ . If  $U \in \mu$  and  $V = A$ , then either  $U \cup V = X$  or  $U \cup V = A$ . In either case  $U \cup V \in \mu \cup \{A\}$ . Also  $\emptyset \in \mu$  implies that  $\mu \cup \{A\} \in LGT(X)$ .

If  $F_{n-1} \subset \mu$ , then consider  $F_{n-2}$ , which is the collection of all subsets of  $X$  with cardinality  $n - 2$ . If  $F_{n-2} \not\subset \mu$  then choose  $A \in F_{n-2}$  such that  $A \notin \mu$  and we can prove that  $\mu \cup \{A\}$  is a generalized topology on  $X$ . Proceeding similarly if  $\mu$  contain all 2 element sets and its supersets, since  $\mu \neq P(X)$ , there exist an  $x \in X$  such that  $\{x\}$  does not belong to  $\mu$ , then take  $A = \{x\}$ . Then  $A$  will satisfy the required property. Hence the theorem.  $\square$

**Theorem 10.** If  $X$  is finite, every cover of  $\mu$  is of the form  $\mu \cup \{A\}$  for some set  $A \subset X$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\tau$  be a cover of  $\mu$  with  $|\tau| = |\mu| + k$  where  $k \geq 2$ . Let us write  $\tau$  as  $\mu \cup \{A_1, A_2 \dots A_k\}$  where  $A_1, A_2 \dots A_k$  are distinct subsets of  $X$  which do not belong to  $\mu$ . Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{A_1, A_2 \dots A_k\}$  and  $I = \{1, 2, 3, \dots k\}$ .

**Case 1.** Let  $A_i \not\subset A_j$  for  $i, j \in I$  with  $i \neq j$ .

Consider  $\mu \cup \{A_1\}$ .  $\emptyset \in \mu \cup \{A_1\}$  since  $\mu$  is a generalized topology. Let  $U, V \in \mu \cup \{A_1\}$ . If  $U, V \in \mu$ , then  $U \cup V \in \mu$  since  $\mu$  is a generalized topological space. If  $U \in \mu$  and  $V = A_1$  then also  $U \cup V \in \mu \cup \{A_1\}$ , for otherwise if  $U \cup V = A_j$  for some  $j \neq 1$  then  $A_1 \subset A_j$  which is not possible. Therefore  $\mu \cup \{A_1\}$  is a generalized topological space. Then  $\mu < \mu \cup \{A_1\} < \tau$  which is a contradiction since  $\tau$  is a cover of  $\{\mu\}$ .

**Case 2.** There exist  $i, j \in I$  with  $A_i \subset A_j$  where  $i \neq j$ .

Let  $\mathcal{F}_j = \{A_i : i \in I, i \neq j, A_i \subset A_j\}$ . Consider  $\mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{F}_j$ . Rename the elements in  $\mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{F}_j$  such that  $\mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{F}_j = \{A_j, A_{j+1}, \dots A_k\}$ . Let us denote  $\mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{F}_j$  by  $\mathcal{F}_j^c$ .  $\mathcal{F}_j^c \neq \emptyset$  as  $A_j \in \mathcal{F}_j^c$ .

**Claim.**  $\mu \cup \mathcal{F}_j^c$  is a generalized topology.

$\emptyset \in \mu \cup \mathcal{F}_j^c$  since  $\emptyset \in \mu$ . Let  $U, V \in \mu \cup \mathcal{F}_j^c$ . If  $U, V \in \mu$ , then obviously  $U \cup V \in \mu$ . If  $U \in \mu$  and  $V \in \mathcal{F}_j^c$ , then also  $U \cup V \in \mu \cup \mathcal{F}_j^c$ , otherwise  $U \cup V \in \mathcal{F}_j$ . Then  $U \cup V = A_h$  for some  $h \in \{1, 2, \dots, j - 1\}$  so that  $V \subset A_h \subset A_j$ . Thus  $V \in \mathcal{F}_j$  which is not possible since  $V \in \mathcal{F}_j^c$ . Similarly if  $U, V \in \mathcal{F}_j^c$  then  $U \cup V \in \mu \cup \mathcal{F}_j^c$  by the same argument. Hence the claim.

Thus we get  $\mu < \mu \cup \mathcal{F}_j^c < \tau$  a contradiction since  $\tau$  is a cover. Thus in both cases we proved that any cover of  $\mu$  can not have a cover with cardinality  $|\mu| + k$

where  $k \geq 2$ . By Lemma[3.1] we have that there exist a cover with cardinality  $|\mu| + 1$ . Thus every cover of  $\mu$  is of the form  $\mu \cup \{A\}$  for some set  $A \subset X$  and  $A \notin \mu$ . □

**Corollary 11.**  *$LGT(X)$  is a graded poset when  $X$  is finite.*

*Proof.* Define a function

$$h : LGT(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+$$

by  $h(\mu) = |\mu|$ . That is,  $h$  maps each generalized topology into its cardinal number. Then by the above theorem, if  $\tau \in LGT(X)$  is a cover of  $\mu \in LGT(X)$ , then  $|\tau| = |\mu| + 1$ . Thus  $LGT(X)$  is a graded poset. □

**Remark 3.3.** *The following example shows that there exists generalized topology whose simple expansion by any subset contains an infinite number of elements other than that in generalized topology. Consider  $\mathbb{R}$ , the set of real numbers and the following generalized topology on  $\mathbb{R}$ ,*

$$\mu = \{\emptyset, \mathbb{R}\} \cup P(\mathbb{Q}) \cup \{X \cup Y : X = \mathbb{Q} \setminus A$$

where  $A$  is a finite subset of  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $Y \subset \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}\}$ .

*If  $A$  is any subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  which does not belongs to  $\mu$  then  $A$  is of the form  $G \cup H$  where  $G$  is a subset of  $\mathbb{Q}$  from which an infinite subset of  $\mathbb{Q}$  is removed and  $H$  is any subset of  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ . In this case  $\mu(A) \setminus \mu$  contains infinite number of elements.*

#### 4. Automorphisms of Lattice of Generalized Topologies

In[5] it is proved that when  $X$  is infinite or  $X$  consists of atmost two elements, the lattice  $LT(X)$  of topologies on  $X$  is isomorphic to the symmetric group on  $X$ . From this it can be seen that if  $X$  is an infinite set and  $P$  is any topological property, then the set of all topologies in  $LT(X)$  possessing the property  $P$  may be identified exclusively from the lattice structure of  $LT(X)$  and hence the topological properties of elements of  $LT(X)$  must be determined by the position of the topologies in  $LT(X)$  [7]. Here we prove that automorphism group of lattice of generalized topologies on any set  $X$  is isomorphic to the symmetric group on  $X$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $S(X)$  be the group of all bijections of  $X$  with operation as composition of functions. For  $p \in S(X)$  and  $\mu \in LGT(X)$  let  $p(\mu) = \{p(G) : G \in \mu\}$  where  $p(G) = \{p(x) : x \in G\}$ . Then  $p(\mu)$  is a generalized topology on  $X$ .*

*Proof.*  $p(\emptyset) = \emptyset$  implies  $\emptyset \in p(\mu)$ . Now consider an arbitrary collection of sets  $\{G_i\}_{i \in I}$  in  $p(\mu)$ . Then for every  $i \in I$ ,  $G_i = p(U_i)$  for some  $U_i \in \mu$ . Also  $\bigcup_{i \in I} G_i = \bigcup_{i \in I} p(U_i) = p(\bigcup_{i \in I} U_i) \in p(\mu)$  since  $\bigcup_{i \in I} U_i \in \mu$ . Thus  $p(\mu)$  is a generalized topology on  $X$ .  $\square$

Now we prove that each bijection in  $X$  naturally induces an automorphism in  $LGT(X)$ .

**Theorem 12.** *Let  $p \in S(X)$ , define a map  $A_p$  on  $LGT(X)$  by  $A_p(\mu) = p(\mu)$  for  $\mu \in LGT(X)$ . Then  $A_p$  is an automorphism of  $LGT(X)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mu, \tau \in LGT(X)$ .  $A_p(\mu) = A_p(\tau)$  implies  $p(\mu) = p(\tau)$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} G \in \mu &\Leftrightarrow p(G) \in p(\mu) \\ &\Leftrightarrow p(G) \in p(\tau) \\ &\Leftrightarrow G \in \tau. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that  $\mu = \tau$ . Hence  $A_p$  is one-one. Let  $\mu \in LGT(X)$  and take  $\tau = \{p^{-1}(G) : G \in \mu\}$  where  $p^{-1}(G) = \{x \in X : p(x) \in G\}$ . Then  $\tau = p^{-1}(\mu)$  and  $A_p(\tau) = p(p^{-1}(\mu)) = \mu$  proving that  $A_p$  is onto.

Let  $\mu \subseteq \tau$ . That is

$$\begin{aligned} (G \in \mu \Rightarrow G \in \tau) &\Leftrightarrow (p(G) \in p(\mu) \Rightarrow p(G) \in p(\tau)) \\ &\Leftrightarrow p(\mu) \subseteq p(\tau) \\ &\Leftrightarrow A_p(\mu) \subseteq A_p(\tau). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $A_p$  is an automorphism of  $LGT(X)$ .  $\square$

**Note 4.1.** *An automorphism of  $LGT(X)$  maps atoms of a lattice to atoms and dual atoms to dual atoms[3].*

**Lemma 4.2.** *An automorphism of  $LGT(X)$  maps a generalized topology consisting of  $n$  elements to a generalized topology consisting of same number of elements.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mu$  be a generalized topology consisting of  $n$  elements. and  $A$  be an automorphism of  $LGT(X)$ . Then  $\mu$  is larger than precisely  $n - 1$  atoms. Therefore  $A(\mu)$  must be larger than precisely  $n - 1$  atoms. Hence  $A(\mu)$  consists of  $n$  elements.  $\square$



**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $A$  be an automorphism of  $LGT(X)$  and  $\mu, \tau \in LGT(X)$  are complements to each other. Then  $A(\mu)$  and  $A(\tau)$  are complements to each other.*

*Proof.* We have  $\mu \vee \tau = P(X)$  and  $\mu \wedge \tau = \{\emptyset\}$  where  $P(X)$  and  $\{\emptyset\}$  being the largest and smallest elements of  $LGT(X)$ . Also  $A(\mu) \vee A(\tau) = A(\mu \vee \tau) = A(P(X)) = P(X)$  and  $A(\mu) \wedge A(\tau) = A(\mu \wedge \tau) = A(\{\emptyset\}) = \{\emptyset\}$ , since an automorphism always preserves the largest and smallest element of a lattice. Hence  $A(\mu)$  and  $A(\tau)$  are complements to each other.  $\square$

For  $p \in S(X)$  consider the map  $A_p$  on  $LGT(X)$  where  $A_p(\mu) = p(\mu)$  for  $\mu \in LGT(X)$  and  $p(\mu) = \{p(G) : G \in \mu\}$  where  $p(G) = \{p(x) : x \in G\}$ . Then we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 13.** *The set of automorphisms of  $LGT(X)$  is precisely  $\{A_p : p \in S(X)\}$ .*

*Proof.* In theorem [4.1], it is proved that  $A_p$  is an automorphism of  $LGT(X)$  for every  $p \in S(X)$ . Now let  $A$  be an automorphism of  $LGT(X)$ . Let  $\mathcal{N}$  denotes the collection of all atoms  $I_x = \{\emptyset, \{x\}\}$  where  $x \in X$ .

**Claim:**  $A$  maps  $\mathcal{N}$  onto itself.

Let  $I_x \in \mathcal{N}$ . Consider the dual atom  $\mu = \mathcal{P}(X) \setminus \{\{x\}\}$ . As  $\mu$  and  $I_x$  are complements to each other,  $A(\mu)$  and  $A(I_x)$  are complements to each other. Since  $A(\mu)$  is also a dual atom there exists a  $y \in X$  such that  $A(\mu) = \mathcal{P}(X) \setminus \{\{y\}\}$ . Then  $A(I_x)$  must contain  $\{y\}$  since  $A(\mu) \vee A(I_x) = \mathcal{P}(X)$  and therefore  $\{\emptyset, \{y\}\} \subseteq A(I_x)$ . But  $A(I_x)$  is an atom implying that  $A(I_x) = \{\emptyset, \{y\}\}$ . Thus  $A$  maps  $\mathcal{N}$  to itself. Now take  $I_y \in \mathcal{N}$ . Consider  $\tau = \mathcal{P}(X) \setminus \{\{y\}\}$ . Since  $A$  is onto, there exists a dual atom, say  $\mu \in LGT(X)$  such that  $A(\mu) = \tau$ . Let  $\mu = \mathcal{P}(X) \setminus \{\{x\}\}$  and  $I_x = \{\emptyset, \{x\}\}$ . As  $A(I_x) \vee \tau = A(I_x) \vee A(\mu) = A(I_x \vee \mu) = A(\mathcal{P}(X)) = \mathcal{P}(X)$ ,  $\{y\}$  must belong to  $A(I_x)$ . Since  $A(I_x)$  is an atom  $A(I_x) = I_y$ . Hence the claim.

Now define a map  $p : X \rightarrow X$  such that  $p(x) = y$  whenever  $A(I_x) = I_y$ . Since  $y$  is unique for a fixed  $x$  implying that  $p$  is well defined. Let  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  such that  $x_1 \neq x_2$ . Since  $A$  is one-one  $A(I_{x_1}) \neq A(I_{x_2})$ . Hence  $p(x_1) \neq p(x_2)$  implies that  $p$  is one-one. Let  $y \in X$  and consider  $I_y = \{\emptyset, \{y\}\}$ . Since  $A$  is onto there exists an  $x \in X$  such that  $A(I_x) = I_y$  implies  $p(x) = y$ . Hence  $p$  is onto.

Now consider the automorphism  $A_p$  on  $LGT(X)$  induced by the bijection  $p$ .

**Claim.**  $A = A_p$  on  $\mathcal{N}$ .

Let  $I_x \in \mathcal{N}$ . Then  $A(I_x) = I_{p(x)} = \{\emptyset, \{p(x)\}\} = p(\{\emptyset, \{x\}\}) = p(I_x) = A_p(I_x)$ . Hence  $A = A_p$  on  $\mathcal{N}$ .

Let  $\alpha = \{\emptyset, G\}$ ,  $G \subseteq X$ , be an atom which does not belong to  $\mathcal{N}$ . Let  $A(\alpha) = \{\emptyset, H\}$  where  $H \subseteq X$ . Consider  $A_p(\alpha) = \{\emptyset, p(G)\}$ . We have to prove that  $A_p(\alpha) = A(\alpha)$ .

Let  $x \in G$  and  $A(I_x) = I_y$ . Then  $y = p(x) \in p(G)$ . Now  $A(\{\emptyset, \{x\}, G\}) = A(\{\emptyset, \{x\}\} \vee \{\emptyset, G\}) = A(\{\emptyset, \{x\}\}) \vee A(\{\emptyset, G\}) = \{\emptyset, \{y\}\} \vee \{\emptyset, H\} = \{\emptyset, \{y\}, H, H \cup \{y\}\}$ . But  $A$  maps an  $n$  element set to  $n$  element set only, hence  $H \cup \{y\} = H$ . This implies  $y \in H$ . Since  $x \in G$  is arbitrary  $p(G) \subseteq H$ .

To prove the reverse inclusion, let  $y \notin p(G)$  and  $A(I_x) = I_y$  implies  $x \notin G$ .  $I_x \vee \alpha = \{\emptyset, H\}$ . As  $I_x \vee \alpha = \{\emptyset, \{x\}\} \vee \{\emptyset, G\} = \{\emptyset, \{x\}, G, G \cup \{x\}\}$ . Since  $x \notin G$ ,  $G \neq G \cup \{x\}$ , hence  $A(I_x \vee \alpha)$  is a four element set. That is  $A(I_x \vee \alpha) = A(I_x) \vee A(\alpha) = I_y \vee \{\emptyset, H\} = \{\emptyset, \{y\}, H, H \cup \{y\}\}$  is a four element set, which implies  $y \notin H$  proving that  $H \subseteq p(G)$ .

Hence  $H = p(G)$  and hence  $A(\{\emptyset, G\}) = \{\emptyset, H\} = \{\emptyset, p(G)\} = A_p(\{\emptyset, G\})$ . Thus we proved that  $A = A_p$  on all atoms of  $LGT(X)$ . Since  $LGT(X)$  is an atomic lattice,  $A = A_p$  on  $LGT(X)$ .  $\square$

## Acknowledgments

I express my sincere thanks to my supervising guide Dr. Ramachandran P. T., Associate Professor, Dept. of Mathematics, University of Calicut, for his constant support and critical suggestions through out the preparation of this paper. Financial support from CSIR, Govt. of India is greatly acknowledged.

## References

- [1] Á. Császár, Generalized Open Sets, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **75** (1997), 65-87.
- [2] Á. Császár, Generalized Topology, Generalized Continuity, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **96** (2002), 351-357.
- [3] G. Birkhoff, *Lattice Theory*, American Mathematical Soc., Second Edition, (1984).
- [4] G. Grätzer, *General Lattice Theory*, Birkhäuser Verlag, Second Edition, (1998).

- [5] J. Hartmanis, On the Lattice of Topologies, *Canad. J. Math.*, **10** (1958), 547-553.
- [6] R. Baskaran, M. Murugalingam, and D. Sivaraj, Lattice of Generalized Topologies, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **133**, No.4 (2011).
- [7] R.E.Larson, S.J.Andima, The Lattice Of Topologies: A Survey, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*, **5**, No.2 (1975).



