

PROPERTIES OF b - θ -COMPACT SPACES

N. Karthikeyan¹ §, R. Balaji², N. Rajesh³

¹Department of Mathematics
Jeppiaar Engineering College
Chennai, 600119, TamilNadu, INDIA

²Department of Mathematics
Agni College of Technology
Chennai, 600130, TamilNadu, INDIA

³Department of Mathematics
Rajah Serfoji Govt. College
Thanjavur, 613005, Tamilnadu, INDIA

Abstract: In this paper, we present and study the notion of firm b - θ -continuity to investigate b - θ -compactness. We also present some properties of b - θ -compactness in terms of nets and ultranets.

AMS Subject Classification: 54A05, 54D10

Key Words: topological spaces, b -open sets, b - θ -open sets, b - θ -compact

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Generalized open sets play a very important role in General Topology and they are now the research topics of many topologists worldwide, Indeed a significant theme in General Topology and Real analysis concerns the variously modified forms of continuity, separation axioms etc. by utilizing generalized open sets. For a subset A of a topological space (X, τ) , $\text{Cl}(A)$ and $\text{Int}(A)$ denote the closure

Received: May 26, 2015

© 2015 Academic Publications, Ltd.
url: www.acadpubl.eu

§Correspondence author

of A and the interior of A , respectively. A set A is called b -open [1] ($= \gamma$ -open [2]) if $A \subset \text{Int}(\text{Cl}(A)) \cup \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(A))$. The complement of b -open set is called b -closed. The intersection of b -closed sets of X containing A is called b -closure [1] of A and is denoted by $b\text{Cl}(A)$. A set A is b -closed if and only if $A = b\text{Cl}(A)$. The b - θ -closure [3], denoted by $b\text{Cl}_\theta(A)$, is the set of all $x \in X$ such that $b\text{Cl}(U) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ for every b -open set U containing x . A subset A is called b - θ -closed [3] if $A = \text{Cl}_\theta(A)$. By [3], it is proved that, for a subset A , $b\text{Cl}_\theta(A)$ is the intersection of all b - θ -closed sets containing A . The complement of a b - θ -closed set is called b - θ -open. The family of all b - θ -open (resp. b - θ -closed) sets of (X, τ) is denoted by $B\theta O(X, \tau)$ (resp. $B\theta C(X, \tau)$). In this paper, we present and study the notion of firm b - θ -continuity to investigate b - θ -compactness. We also present some properties of b - θ -compactness in terms of nets and ultranets. Moreover, we introduce and investigate some basic properties of b - θ - (m, n) -compact spaces.

2. Characterization of b - θ -Compact Spaces

Definition 2.1. A subset K of a nonempty set X is said to be b - θ -compact relative to (X, τ) if every cover of K by b - θ -open sets of X has a finite subcover. We say that (X, τ) is b - θ -compact if X is b - θ -compact.

Definition 2.2. A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to have property \mathcal{P} if for every b - θ -open cover ∇ of Y there exists a finite cover (the members of which need not be necessarily b - θ -open) $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n\}$ of X such that for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, there exists $U_i \in \nabla$ such that $f(A_i) \subset U_i$. Recall that a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be quasi b - θ -continuous if $f^{-1}(V)$ is b - θ -open in X for every b - θ -open set V of Y .

Theorem 2.3. A topological space X is b - θ -compact if and only if for every topological space Y and every quasi b - θ -continuous function $f : X \rightarrow Y$, f has the property \mathcal{P} .

Proof. Let the topological space X be b - θ -compact and the function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be quasi b - θ -continuous. Suppose that Ω be a b - θ -open cover of Y . The set $f(X)$ is b - θ -compact relative to Y . This means that there exists a finite subfamily $\{U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n\}$ of Ω which cover $f(X)$. Then the sets $A_1 = f^{-1}(U_1)$, $A_2 = f^{-1}(U_2), \dots, A_n = f^{-1}(U_n)$ form a cover of X such that $f(A_i) \subset U_i$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Conversely, suppose that X is a topological space such that for every topological space Y and every quasi b - θ -continuous function $f : X \rightarrow Y$, f has property \mathcal{P} . It follows that the identity function $id_X : X \rightarrow X$ has the

property \mathcal{P} . Hence, for every b - θ -open cover Ω of X , there exists a finite cover A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n of X such that for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ there exists a set $U_i \in \Omega$ such that $A_i = id_X(A_i) \subset U_i$. Then U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n are finite b - θ -subcover of Ω . Since Ω was an arbitrary b - θ -open cover of X , the space X is b - θ -compact. \square

Theorem 2.4. *A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is called firmly b - θ -continuous if for every b - θ -open cover ∇ of Y there exists a finite b - θ -open cover Ω of X such that for every $U \in \Theta$, there exists a set $G \in \Omega$ such that $f(U) \subset G$.*

Remark 2.5. It should be noted that if the topological space, then every quasi b - θ -continuous function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is firmly b - θ -continuous.

Lemma 2.6. *Let X, Y, Z and W be topological spaces. Let $g : X \rightarrow Y$ and $h : Z \rightarrow W$ be quasi b - θ -continuous functions and let $f : Y \rightarrow Z$ be firmly b - θ -continuous. Then the functions $f \circ g : X \rightarrow Z$ and $h \circ f : Y \rightarrow W$ are firmly b - θ -continuous.*

Lemma 2.7. *Let X and Y be topological spaces. Suppose that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a quasi b - θ -continuous function which has the property \mathcal{P} . Then f is firmly b - θ -continuous.*

Theorem 2.8. *The following statements are equivalent for a topological space (X, τ) :*

- (i) X is b - θ -compact.
- (ii) The identity function $id_X : X \rightarrow X$ is firmly b - θ -continuous;
- (iii) Every quasi b - θ -continuous function from X to X is firmly b - θ -continuous;
- (iv) Every quasi b - θ -continuous function from X to a topological space Y is firmly b - θ -continuous;
- (v) Every quasi b - θ -continuous function from X to a topological space Y has the property \mathcal{P} ;
- (vi) For each topological space Y and each quasi b - θ -continuous function $f : Y \rightarrow X$, f is firmly b - θ -continuous.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii): Let X be a b - θ -compact space. The identity function $id_X : X \rightarrow X$ is quasi b - θ -continuous and by Remark 2.5 id_X is firmly b - θ -continuous.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii): Let $f : X \rightarrow X$ is any quasi b - θ -continuous function. By (ii), the identity function $id_X : X \rightarrow X$ is firmly b - θ -continuous. Therefore by Lemma 2.6 $f = id_X : X \rightarrow X$ is firmly b - θ -continuous.

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv): Suppose that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is any quasi b - θ -continuous function. The identity function $id_X : X \rightarrow X$ is firmly b - θ -continuous and by (iii) id_X is firmly b - θ -continuous. As a consequence of Lemma 2.6, We have that $f = f \circ id_X : X \rightarrow Y$ is firmly b - θ -continuous.

(iv) \Rightarrow (v): Obvious.

(v) \Rightarrow (i): This is an immediate consequence of Lemma 2.3.

(vi) \Rightarrow (ii): Suppose that $id_X : X \rightarrow X$ is the identity function. Then id_X is quasi b - θ -continuous and by (vi) id_X is firmly b - θ -continuous.

(i) \Rightarrow (vi): Suppose that ∇ is b - θ -open cover of X . Since X is b - θ -compact, then there is a finite b - θ -subcover U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n of ∇ . Let $A_i = f^{-1}(U_i)$ for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. We have that $f(A_i) \subset U_i$ for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Therefore, f is firmly b - θ -continuous. \square

Definition 2.9. A topological space (X, τ) is said to be b - θ - T_1 if for each pair of distinct points x and y of X , there exists b - θ -open sets U and V of X such that $x \in U$ and $y \notin U$ and $y \in V$ and $x \notin V$.

Theorem 2.10. If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a firmly b - θ -continuous function, where X is a topological space and Y is b - θ - T_1 space, then f is quasi b - θ -continuous.

Proof. Let x be an arbitrary point of X and V be a b - θ -open set of Y containing $f(x)$. We define a b - θ -open cover Ω of Y such that $\Omega = \{V, Y \setminus f(x)\}$. Since f is firmly b - θ -continuous, it follows that there exists a finite b - θ -open cover $\{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n\}$ of X such that $f(P_i) \subset V$ or $f(P_i) \subset Y \setminus f(x)$ for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Let $x \in P_j$ for some index j . Since $f(P_j)$ contains $f(x)$, so it follows that $f(P_j) \subset V$. This shows that f is quasi b - θ -continuous. \square

3. Properties of b - θ -Compact Spaces in Terms of Nets and Ultranets

Definition 3.1. Let (X, τ) be a topological space, $x \in X$ and $\{x_\ell, \ell \in L\}$ be a net of X . We say that a net $\{x_\ell, \ell \in L\}$ b - θ -converges to x if for each b - θ -open set U containing x , there exists an element $\ell_0 \in L$ such that $\ell \geq \ell_0$ implies $x_\ell \in U$.

Definition 3.2. Let (X, τ) be a topological space, $G = \{F_i : i \in I\}$ be a filterbase of X and $x \in X$. A filterbase G is said to be b - θ -converges to x if there exists a member $F_i \in G$ such that $F_i \subseteq U$ for each b - θ -open set containing x .

Proposition 3.3. *If $x \in U$ and $U \in B\theta C(X, \tau)$, then there exists a net $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ that b - θ -converges to x and $x_i \in U$ for each $i \in I$.*

Proof. Suppose that $x \in U$ and $U \in B\theta C(X, \tau)$ which means $U = bCl_\theta(U)$. This means that if $x \in N$ and $N \in B\theta O(X, \tau)$ then $N \cap U \neq \emptyset$. It follows that there exists an element $x_N \in N \cap U$. This implies that $\{x_N\}_{N \in I}$ b - θ -converges to x . □

Proposition 3.4. *Let $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a net in (X, τ) and $U \in B\theta C(X, \tau)$, where $x_i \in U$ for each $i \in I$. If $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ b - θ -converges to x , then $x \in U$.*

Proof. Assume that $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ b - θ -converges to x , then $x \notin U$. Then there exists a b - θ -open set N such that $x \in N$ and $N \cap U = \emptyset$. This means that there exists $i_0 \in I$ such that $x_i \in N$ for each $i \geq i_0$. Then x_i is not an element of U for each $i \geq i_0$. But this is a contradiction and hence the result. □

Definition 3.5. A point y is a b - θ -cluster point of $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ if for each $i_0 \in I$ and $U \in B\theta O(X, \tau)$ such that $y \in U$, there exists an $i_1 \geq i_0$ such that $x_{i_1} \in U$.

Proposition 3.6. *Let $(\ell_i)_{i \in I}$ be an ultranet and y be a b - θ -cluster point of the net. Then the ultranet $(\ell_i)_{i \in I}$ b - θ -converges to y .*

Proof. Suppose that $(\ell_i)_{i \in I}$ is an ultranet in a topological space (X, τ) and y be a b - θ -cluster point of the net $(\ell_i)_{i \in I}$. Suppose that, $(\ell_i)_{i \in I}$ does not b - θ -converges to y . This means that there exists $U \in B\theta O(X, \tau)$ such that $y \in U$ and ℓ_i is not an element of U for any $i \in I$. So y is not a b - θ -cluster point of $(\ell_i)_{i \in I}$. □

Proposition 3.7. *Let $(\ell_i)_{i \in I}$ be a net in a topological space (X, τ) . Then $y \in X$ is a b - θ -cluster point of $(\ell_i)_{i \in I}$, if and only if a subnet of $(\ell_i)_{i \in I}$ b - θ -converges to y .*

Proof. Let $(\ell_i)_{i \in I}$ have a subnet $(\ell_{k_j})_{j \in J}$ that b - θ -converges to y and J be a directed set. Now suppose that $y \in X$ is not a b - θ -cluster point of $(\ell_i)_{i \in I}$. This means that there exists $U \in B\theta O(X, \tau)$ and $i_0 \in I$ such that s_{i_1} is not an element of U for every $i_1 \geq i_0$. Then $(\ell_{k_j})_{j \in J}$ does not b - θ -converges to y . Conversely, assume that y is a b - θ -cluster point of $(\ell_i)_{i \in I}$. $J = \{(i, U) : i \in I, y \in U, U \in B\theta O(X, \tau) \text{ and } \ell_i \in U\}$ is a partially ordered set where $(i, U) \leq (i_1, V)$, if $i \leq i_1$ and $V \subset U$. (i) $(i, U) \leq (i, U)$ for every $(i, U) \in J$. Because, $i \leq i$ and $U \subset U$ for every $i \in I$ and $U \in B\theta O(X, \tau)$. (ii) Let $(i, U) \leq (i_1, V)$ and $(i_1, V) \leq (i, U)$. Then, $i \leq i_1$, $V \subset U$ and $i_1 \leq i$, $U \subset V$. This follows that $i = i_1$, $V = U$. Then $(i_1, V) = (i, U)$. (iii) Let $(i, U), (i_1, V)$ and $(i_2, W) \in J$

such that $(i, U) \leq (i_1, V)$ and $(i_1, V) \leq (i_2, W)$. Since I is a directed set, $i \leq i_2$ where $i \leq i_1$ and $i_1 \leq i_2$. Also, we know that $W \subset U$ where $V \subset U$ and $W \subset V$. Then $(i, U) \leq (i_2, W)$ where $i \leq i_2$ and $W \subset U$. Consequently, J is a partially ordered set. Now let $(i, U), (i_1, V) \in J$. Then $U \cap V \in B\theta O(X, \tau)$. We know that $U \cap V \subset U$ and $U \cap V \subset V$ and $y \in U \cap V$. Since y is a b - θ -cluster point of $(\ell_i)_{i \in I}$, there exists $i_2 \in I$ such that $i \leq i_2, i_1 \leq i_2$ and $s_{i_2} \in U \cap V$. Then $(i_1, V) \leq (i_2, U \cap V)$ and $(i, U) \leq (i_2, U \cap V)$. This means that J is a directed set. Define $k : J \rightarrow I$ by $k(i, A) = i$. (a) $(i, U) \leq (i_1, V)$ means that $i \leq i_1$. Then $k(i, U) \leq k(i_1, V)$. (b). Let $i, i_1 \in I$ and $U \in B\theta O(X, \tau)$ which contains y . Then there exists $i_2 \in I$ such that $i \leq i_2, i_1 \leq i_2$ and $\ell_{i_2} \in U$. This means that $(i_2, U) \in J, i \leq k(i_2, U)$ and $i_1 \leq k(i_1, U)$. This follows that $\{\ell_{k(i, U)}\}_{i \in I}$. Consider the set $U \in B\theta O(X, \tau)$ which contains y . There exists $i_0 \in I$ such that $\ell_{i_0} \in U$. This $(i_0, U) \in J$. For every $(i, V) \in J$ that $(i_0, U) \leq (i, V), V \subset U$ and $\ell_i \in V$. This follows that $\ell_{k(i, V)} \in U$ for every $(i_0, U) \leq (i, V)$. So the subnet, $\{\ell_{k(i, U)}\}_{(i, U) \in J}$, b - θ -converges to y . \square

Proposition 3.8. *Let (X, τ) be topological space. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(i) (X, τ) is b - θ -compact.

(ii) For any family Ψ of b - θ -closed subsets of X such that $\bigcap_{k \in \Psi} K = \emptyset$, there exists a finite subfamily $\Phi \subset \Psi$ such that $\bigcap_{L \in \Phi} L = \emptyset$.

(iii) $\bigcap_{k \in \Psi} K \neq \emptyset$ for any family Ψ of b - θ -closed subsets of X such that $\bigcap_{L \in \Phi} L \neq \emptyset$ where $\Phi \subset \Psi$ is a finite subfamily.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii): Let (X, τ) be b - θ -compact and Ψ be a family of b - θ -closed subsets such that $\bigcap_{k \in \Psi} K = \emptyset$. Then $[\bigcap_{k \in \Psi} K]^c = [\emptyset]^c$. This means $\bigcup_{k \in \Psi} K^c = X$. There exists a finite subfamily $\Phi \subset \Psi$ such that $\bigcap_{L \in \Phi} L = \emptyset$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii): Let Ψ be a family of b - θ -closed subsets of X . From the assumption if $\bigcap_{k \in \Psi} K \neq \emptyset$, then there exists a finite subfamily $\Phi \subset \Psi$ such that $\bigcap_{L \in \Phi} L = \emptyset$. This means that if Ψ does not have any finite subfamily Φ such that $\bigcap_{L \in \Phi} L = \emptyset$, then $\bigcap_{k \in \Psi} K = \emptyset$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (ii): Let Ψ be a family of b - θ -closed subsets of X . From the assumption if $\bigcap_{L \in \Phi} L \neq \emptyset$ for any subfamily $\Phi \subset \Psi$, then $\bigcap_{k \in \Psi} K \neq \emptyset$. This means that, if $\bigcap_{k \in \Psi} K = \emptyset$, then there exists at least one subfamily $\Phi \subset \Psi$ such that $\bigcap_{L \in \Phi} L = \emptyset$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): Let $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a b - θ -open cover of X . Then, $\bigcup_{i \in I} U_i = X$. This means that $\bigcap_{i \in I} U_i^c = \emptyset$ and $U_i^c \in B\theta C(X, \tau)$ for each $i \in I$. It follows from the

assumption that there exists a finite subfamily $J \subset I$ such that $\bigcap_{j \in J} U_j^c = \emptyset$. So $\bigcup_{j \in J} U_j = X$. Therefore (X, τ) is b - θ -compact. \square

Proposition 3.9. *A topological space (X, τ) is b - θ -compact if and only if every net has at least one b - θ -cluster point in the topological space.*

Proof. Let (X, τ) be b - θ -compact and $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ be any net in this space. Let us consider a family $bCl_\theta(B_j)$ of subsets, where $B_j = \{x_i : j \leq i\}$. Then, $bCl_\theta(B_j) \in B\theta C(X, \tau)$ for any $j \in I$ and the intersection of finitely many of $bCl_\theta(B_j)$ is nonempty. It follows from proposition 3.8 that $\bigcap_{j \in J} bCl_\theta(B_j) \neq \emptyset$ for (X, τ) is b - θ -compact. Let $y \in \bigcap_{j \in J} bCl_\theta(B_j)$. Then $y \in bCl_\theta(B_j)$ for any $j \in I$. Consider $y \in U$, $U \in B\theta O(X, \tau)$ and $r \in I$. Then $U \cap B_r \neq \emptyset$. So $U \cap B_k \neq \emptyset$ for any $k \in I$ such that $k \geq r$. Consequently y is a b - θ -cluster point of $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$. Now suppose that every net in (X, τ) has at least one b - θ -cluster point. Let $\{F_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of b - θ -closed sets where intersection of finitely many of F_i 's is nonempty. Consider the set $J = \{\bigcap_{j=1}^n G_{i_j} : \{G_{i_j}\}_{j=1}^n \subset \{F_i\}_{i \in I}\}$ and the relation " \leq ", where $A \leq B$ whenever $B \subset A$ and $A, B \in J$. (i) $A \subset A$ for every set $A \in J$. This means that $A \leq A$ for every set $A \in J$. (ii) We know that if $A \supset B$ and $B \supset A$, then $A = B$. So $A \leq B$ and $B \leq A$ then $A = B$. (iii) We know that if $C \supset B$ and $B \supset A$, then $C \supset A$. So, if $C \leq B$ and $B \leq A$, then $C \leq A$. This means that (J, \leq) is a directed set and partially ordered. Let us consider the function $\ell : J \rightarrow X$ such that $\ell(A) \in A$ for every $A \in J$. Then $\{\ell_A\}_{A \in J}$ is a net in X and by the assumption has a b - θ -cluster point. Let y be the b - θ -cluster point of $\{\ell_A\}_{A \in J}$. We know that if $A \in J$ and $F_k \leq A$, then $A \subset F_k$, where $F_k \in \{F_i\}_{i \in I}$. So $\ell_B \in F_k$ whenever $A \leq B$. Then, $\{\ell_A\}_{A \in J}$ is residually in F_k . By proposition 3.7, since y is a b - θ -cluster point of $\{\ell_A\}_{A \in J}$, a subset of $\{\ell_A\}_{A \in J}$ b - θ -converges to y . Since $\{\ell_A\}_{A \in J}$ is residually in F_k for each k , such a subnet would be residually in F_k for each k . By Proposition 3.4, $y \in F_k$ for each k . So $\bigcap_{i \in I} F_i \neq \emptyset$. By Proposition 3.8, (X, τ) is b - θ -compact. \square

Proposition 3.10. *A topological space (X, τ) is b - θ -compact if and only if every ultranet in it is b - θ -convergent.*

Proof. Suppose (X, τ) is b - θ -compact and $\{\ell_i\}_{i \in I}$ is an ultranet in (X, τ) . By Proposition 3.9, $\{\ell_i\}_{i \in I}$ has at least one b - θ -cluster point. From Proposition 3.6, $\{\ell_i\}_{i \in I}$ b - θ -converges to its b - θ -cluster point. Hence, $\{\ell_i\}_{i \in I}$ is b - θ -convergent. Conversely, assume that every ultra net in (X, τ) b - θ -convergent. Let $\{\ell_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a net in (X, τ) . Since every net has a subnet which is an ultranet, so there exists a subnet of $\{\ell_i\}_{i \in I}$ which is an ultranet. This ultranet b - θ -converges to a point which is b - θ -cluster point of $\{\ell_i\}_{i \in I}$. \square

4. b - θ -(m, n)-Compact Spaces

Definition 4.1. A space (X, τ) is said to be b - θ -(m, n)-compact if from every b - θ -open covering $\{U_i : i \in I\}$ of X whose cardinality I , denoted by $\text{card } I$, is at most n , one can select a subcovering $\{U_j : j \in J\}$ of X whose $\text{card } J$ is at most m .

Definition 4.2. A subset A of a space (X, τ) is said to be a b - θ -(m, n)-compact subspace if the subspace A is b - θ -(m, n)-compact.

Definition 4.3. A space (X, τ) is said to be a completely b - θ -(m, n)-compact if every subspace X is b - θ -(m, n)-compact.

Remark 4.4. It should be noted that a b - θ -($1, n$)-compact space is a b - θ - n -compact space and b - θ -($1, \infty$)-compact space is the usual b - θ -compact space. A b - θ -(ω, ∞)-compact space is a b - θ -Lindeloff space.

Definition 4.5. A family $\{U_i : i \in I\}$ of subsets of a set X is said to have the m -intersection property if every subfamily of cardinality at most m has a non-void intersection.

Theorem 4.6. A space (X, τ) is b - θ -(m, n)-compact if and only if every family $\{P_i\}$ of b - θ -closed sets $P_i \subseteq X$ having the m -intersection property also has the n -intersection property.

Proof. The proof is a consequence of the following equivalent statements: (i) X is b - θ -(m, n)-compact. (ii) If $\{U_i : i \in I\}$ is a b - θ -open cover of X such that $\text{card } I \leq n$, then there is a subcover $\{U_{ij} : j \in J\}$ of X such that $\text{card } J \leq m$. (iii) If $\{U_i : i \in I\}$ is a b - θ -open sets such that $\text{card } I \leq n$ and every subfamily $\{U_{ij}\}$ of $\text{card } J \leq m$ has the property $X \setminus (U_i U_{ij}) \neq \emptyset$, then $X \setminus (U_{i \in I} U_{ij}) \neq \emptyset$. (iv) If $\{U_i : i \in I\}$ is a family of b - θ -open sets such that $X \setminus (U_{j \in J} U_{ij}) \neq \emptyset$ whenever $\text{card } J \leq m$, then $X \setminus (U_{j \in J} U_{ij}) \neq \emptyset$ whenever $\text{card } J \leq n$. (v) If $\{P_i : i \in I\}$ is a family of b - θ -closed sets having the m -intersection property, then $\{P_i\}$ has also the n -intersection property. \square

Theorem 4.7. If a space X is b - θ -(m, n)-compact and if Y is a b - θ -closed subset of X , then Y is a b - θ -(m, n)-compact subspace of X .

Proof. Suppose that $\{U_i : i \in I\}$ is a b - θ -open cover of Y such that $\text{card } I \leq n$. By adding $U_j = X \setminus Y$, we obtain a b - θ -open cover of X with cardinality at most n . By eliminating U_j , we have a subcover of $\{U_j\}$ whose cardinality is at most m . \square

Theorem 4.8. *If X is a space such that every b - θ -open subset of X is a b - θ - (m, n) -compact subspace of X , then X is completely b - θ - (m, n) -compact.*

Proof. Let $Y \subset X$ and $\{U_i : i \in I\}$ be b - θ -open cover of Y such that $\text{card } I \leq n$. Then the family $\{U_i : i \in I\}$ is a b - θ -open cover of the b - θ -open set $\cup_i U_i$. Then there is a subfamily $\{U_{i_j} : j \in J\}$ of $\text{card } J \leq m$ which covers $\cup_i U_i$. This subfamily also covers the set Y and therefore Y is b - θ - (m, n) -compact. \square

Theorem 4.9. *Let X be a topological space and $\{Y_k : k \in K\}$ be a family of subsets of X . If every Y_k is b - θ - (m, n) -compact for some $m \geq \text{card}K$, then $\cup_{k \in K} Y_k$ is a b - θ - (m, n) -compact subspace of X .*

Proof. If $\{U_i : i \in I\}$ is a b - θ -open cover of $Y = \cup_K Y_k$, then it is a b - θ -open cover of Y_k for every $k \in K$. If $\text{card } I \leq n$, then $\{U_i\}$ contains a subfamily $\{U_{i_{j_k}} : j_k \in J_k\}$ for which $\text{card } J_k \leq m$ and is a covering of Y_k . The union of these families is a b - θ -open subfamily of $\{U_i\}$ which covers Y and its cardinality is at most m . \square

Definition 4.10. A point $x \in X$ is said to be an m - b - θ -accumulation point of a set S in X if for every b - θ -open set U_x containing x , we have $\text{card}(U_x \cap S) > m$.

It should be noted that if $m = 0, 1$ or ω , then the relation $\text{card}(U_x \cap S) > m$ means that $U_x \cap S \neq \emptyset$, not finite or not countable.

Theorem 4.11. *Let X be a topological space and $S \subset X$ and $\text{card } S > m$. If X is b - θ - (m, n) -compact for some $n > m$, then S has a b - θ -accumulation point in X . If X is b - θ - (m, ∞) -compact, then S has an m - b - θ -accumulation point in X .*

Proof. Let $S \subset X$ and n be the cardinality at most n which has no b - θ -accumulation points in X . Then for each $x \in X$, there is a b -open set U_x such that at most one point of S belong to U_x . Suppose U is the union of all sets U_x which contain no points of S . Let U_s denote the union of all sets U_x which contain the point $s \in S$. Then U and U_s are b - θ -open sets. Therefore $\{U, U_s\}$ is a b - θ -open cover of X of cardinality at most n . If X is b - θ - (m, n) -compact, then this cover contains a subcover of cardinality at most m . But this subcover must contain every U_s since $s \in S$ is covered only by U_s . Hence $\text{card } S \leq m$. If the cardinality of a set S is greater than m , then S has at least one b - θ -accumulation point in X . The two other cases can be proved similarly with a little modification. \square

References

- [1] D. Andrijevic, On b -open sets, *Math. Vesnik*, **48** (1996), 59-64.
- [2] A.A. El-Atik, *A Study of Some Types of Mappings on Topological Spaces*, Master's Thesis, Faculty of Science, Tanta University, Tanta, Egypt (1997).
- [3] J.H. Park, Strongly θ - b -continuous functions, *Acta. Math. Hungar.*, **110**, No. 4 (2006), 347-359.