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1. Introduction

We consider \(n \times n\) \((k, k')\)-pentadiagonal matrices \(A^{(k,k')}_{n} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}\) defined as
\[ A_n^{(k,k')} := \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 2 & \cdots & k & k+1 & k+2 & \cdots & k' & k'+1 & k'+2 & \cdots & n \\
& d_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & a_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & a'_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
& 0 & d_2 & & a_2 & a' & \vdots \\
& & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\
& 0 & b_{k+1} & & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\
& 0 & b_{k+2} & & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
& 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\
& 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & a_{n-k} \\
& 0 & b'_{k'+1} & & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\
& 0 & b'_{k'+2} & & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
& \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\
& 0 & \cdots & 0 & b'_n & 0 & \cdots & 0 & b_n & 0 & \cdots & 0 & d_n 
\end{bmatrix} \quad (1) \]

where \( 1 \leq k \leq k' < n \). The matrices arise in a finite difference discretization of partial differential equations (see, e.g., [1, Section 12.1]).

The matrices (1) include three important matrices. First, \( A_n^{(1,2)} \) corresponds to an ordinary pentadiagonal matrix. For the recent developments, see, e.g., [2], [5], [6], and [10]. Second, \( A_n^{(k,k)} \) corresponds to a \( k \)-tridiagonal matrix. For the recent developments, see, e.g., [4], [7], [8], and [9]. Third, if \( a'_i = b_j = 0 \) for all \( i \) and \( j \) or \( a_i = b'_j = 0 \) for all \( i \) and \( j \) in \( A_n^{(k,k')} \), the matrices arise in the discrete hungry Lotka-Volterra system, see, e.g., [3].

The purpose of this paper is to present a block diagonalization algorithm of \((k,k')\)-pentadiagonal matrices. The algorithm can be regarded as an extension of a block diagonalization algorithm of \(k\)-tridiagonal matrices in [8].

This paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, we give two lemmas as preliminaries; in Section 3, we present a block diagonalization algorithm of \((k,k')\)-pentadiagonal matrices and describe the nonzero structures of the obtained diagonal blocks; in Section 4, illustrative examples are shown; finally, concluding remarks are made in Section 5.
Preliminaries

In this section, we give two lemmas for proving the theorem in the next section.

Let $P$ and $Q$ be $n \times n$ permutation matrices, and let $P'$ and $Q'$ be $n \times m$ and $n \times \ell$ submatrices of $P$ and $Q$, respectively. Then, $P'$ and $Q'$ are written

$$
P' = [e_{i_1}, e_{i_2}, \ldots, e_{i_m}], \quad Q' = [e_{j_1}, e_{j_2}, \ldots, e_{j_r}],$$

(2)

where $i_p, j_q \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, $p = 1, 2, \ldots, m$, $q = 1, 2, \ldots, \ell$, and $e_{i_p}$ denotes the $n$-dimensional $i_p$-th canonical vector.

We now have the following lemmas:

**Lemma 1.** Let $M \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$. Then, multiplying $M$ by $(P')^T$ and $Q'$ in (2) yields an $m \times \ell$ submatrix of $P^T MQ$ where the $(p, q)$ element is represented by

$$( (P')^T MQ' )_{p,q} = M_{i_p,j_q},$$

where $M_{i_p,j_q}$ denotes the $(i_p, j_q)$ element of $M$.

**Proof.** Easy. □

**Lemma 2.** Let $A_n^{(k,k')}$ be an $n \times n$ $(k, k')$-pentadiagonal matrix, and let $\overline{\tau}$ be the equivalence class of the set $\mathbb{N}_n := \{ i \in \mathbb{N} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n \}$ as follows:

$\overline{\tau} := \{ j \in \mathbb{N}_n \mid j \equiv r \pmod{m} \}$, where $m := \gcd(k, k')$. That is, $\mathbb{N}_n = \bigcup_{r=1}^{m} \overline{\tau}$. Then, it follows that $(A_n^{(k,k')})_{p,q} = 0$ for $p \in \overline{\tau}_1$ and $q \in \overline{\tau}_2$ ($r_1 \neq r_2$), where $r_1, r_2 \in \{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$.

**Proof.** From the definition of $A_n^{(k,k')}$ in (1), the $(i, j)$ element of the matrix is represented by

$$
(A_n^{(k,k')})_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 
d_i & \text{if } i = j, \\
a_i & \text{if } i = j - k, \\
b_i & \text{if } i = j + k, \\
a_i' & \text{if } i = j - k', \\
b_i' & \text{if } i = j + k', \\
0 & \text{otherwise,}
\end{cases}
$$

(3)

where $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$. 


Let \( \ell \in \{0, -k, k, -k', k'\} \). Then, from \( m = \gcd(k, k') \), it follows that \( \ell \in m\mathbb{Z} \).
Since \( q \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \), we see that \( q \in r_2 + m\mathbb{Z} \). Thus,
\[
q + \ell \in r_2 + m\mathbb{Z}.
\]
(4)
From \( p \in \mathbb{Z}_1 \), it follows that \( p \in r_1 + m\mathbb{Z} \).
(5)
\( r_1 \neq r_2 \) indicates that \( (r_1 + m\mathbb{Z}) \cap (r_2 + m\mathbb{Z}) = \emptyset \). Hence, from (4) and (5), we have, for any \( p \in \mathbb{Z}_1 \) and any \( q \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \), that
\[
p \neq q + \ell, \quad \ell \in \{0, -k, k, -k', k'\}.
\]
(6)
(3) and (6) lead to \( (A_n^{(k,k')})_{p,q} = 0 \) for any \( p \in \mathbb{Z}_1 \) and any \( q \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \), which concludes the proof.
2. Main Results
In this section, we propose a block diagonalization algorithm of a \((k, k')\)-pentadiagonal matrix that is an extension of a block diagonalization algorithm of \(k\)-tridiagonal matrices in [8].
Using Lemma 2, we can block-diagonalize \( A_n^{(k,k')} \) as shown in Theorem 3.

**Theorem 3.** Let \( A_n^{(k,k')} \) be an \( n \times n \) \((k, k')\)-pentadiagonal matrix, let \( \mathbb{N}_n \) and \( \mathbb{Z}_t \) be the same notations as in Lemma 2, and let \( |\mathbb{Z}_t| \) be the number of elements in \( \mathbb{Z}_t \). Then, \( A_n^{(k,k')} \) is block-diagonalized into a block diagonal matrix with \( m \) diagonal blocks by an \( n \times n \) permutation matrix \( P \) of the form
\[
P := [P_1^T, P_2^T, \ldots, P_m^T],
\]
where \( P_r \) \((r = 1, 2, \ldots, m)\) are \( n \times |\mathbb{Z}_t| \) matrices as follows:
\[
P_r := [e_{i_1}, e_{i_2}, \ldots, e_{i_{|\mathbb{Z}_t|}}],
\]
where \( i_p \in \mathbb{Z}_t \) \((p = 1, 2, \ldots, |\mathbb{Z}_t|)\).

**Proof.** Substituting (7) into \( P^T A_n^{(k,k')} P \) yields
\[
P^T A_n^{(k,k')} P =
\begin{pmatrix}
P_1^T A_n^{(k,k')} P_1 & P_1^T A_n^{(k,k')} P_2 & \cdots & P_1^T A_n^{(k,k')} P_m^T \\
P_2^T A_n^{(k,k')} P_1 & P_2^T A_n^{(k,k')} P_2 & \cdots & P_2^T A_n^{(k,k')} P_m^T \\
& \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
P_m^T A_n^{(k,k')} P_1 & P_m^T A_n^{(k,k')} P_2 & \cdots & P_m^T A_n^{(k,k')} P_m^T
\end{pmatrix}.
\]
Here, let $P_{r_1} := [e_{i_1}, e_{i_2}, \ldots, e_{i_{|r_1|}}]$ and $P_{r_2} := [e_{j_1}, e_{j_2}, \ldots, e_{j_{|r_2|}}]$ for $i_p \in r_1$ and $j_q \in r_2$ ($r_1 \neq r_2$). Then, we have

$$P_{r_1}^T A_n^{(k,k')} P_{r_2} = O_{|r_1| \times |r_2|}$$

since $(P_{r_1}^T A_n^{(k,k')} P_{r_2})_{p,q} = (A_n^{(k,k')})_{i_p,j_q} = 0$ from Lemmas 1 and 2. Thus, we have

$$P^T A_n^{(k,k')} P = \begin{pmatrix} P_{r_1}^T A_n^{(k,k')} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & P_{r_m}^T A_n^{(k,k')} \end{pmatrix}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

This concludes the proof. \hfill \Box

Hereafter, for simplicity, (9) is rewritten as

$$P^T A_n^{(k,k')} P = A_{r_1} \oplus A_{r_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus A_{r_m},$$

where $A_r := P_r^T A_n^{(k,k')} P_r$ and “$\oplus$” denotes direct sum.

A block diagonalization algorithm in Theorem 3 is summarized in Algorithm 1.

**Algorithm 1**

Step 1: Generate $P_{r_1}, P_{r_2}, \ldots, P_{r_m}$ using (8).

Step 2: Generate a permutation matrix $P$ using (7).

Step 3: $P^T A_n^{(k,k')} P = A_{r_1} \oplus A_{r_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus A_{r_m}$.

Here, we have the following two notes: if $k = k'$, then Algorithm 1 reduces to the algorithm in [8]; $A_n^{(k,k')}$ is block-diagonalized regardless of order of canonical vectors in (8).

The following proposition may lead to a further block-diagonalization of $A_n^{(k,k')}$. 

**Proposition 4.** Let $A_n^{(k,k')}$ be an $n \times n$ $(k, k')$-pentadiagonal matrix, where $n$ and $k$ satisfy that $\mathbb{N}_{n,k} := \{i \in \mathbb{N}_n \mid n - k < i \leq k\} \neq \emptyset$. Let $\mathbb{N}_n$, $\mathbb{N}$, and $|\mathbb{N}|$ be the same notations as in Theorem 3, and let $|\mathbb{N}_{n,k}|$ be the number of elements
Then, \( A^{(k,k')}_{n \kappa} \) is block-diagonalized into a block diagonal matrix with \((m + |N_{n,k}|) n \times n\) diagonal blocks by an \(n \times n\) permutation matrix \( P' \) of the form

\[
P' := [P'_1, P'_2, \ldots, P'_m],
\]

where \( P'_r \) \((r = 1, 2, \ldots, m)\) are \(n \times |r|\) matrices as follows:

\[
P'_r := [\hat{P}_{r1}, \hat{P}_{r2}, \ldots, \hat{P}_{r\, |r|}], \quad \hat{P}_{rp} := [e_{i_1}, e_{i_2}, \ldots, e_{i_{|r|}}],
\]

where \( j_q \in \mathbb{N}_{n,k} \) \((q = 1, 2, \ldots, |r|)\) and \( i_p \in \mathbb{N}_n \) \((p = 1, 2, \ldots, |r|)\).

**Proof.** Since \( P \) in Theorem 3 includes \( P' \) in (10), we have

\[
(P')^T A^{(k,k')}_{n \kappa} P' = A'_1 \oplus A'_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus A'_m.
\]

where \( A'_r := (P'_r)^T A^{(k,k')}_{n \kappa} P'_r \) \((r = 1, 2, \ldots, m)\). Substituting (11) into the definition of \( A'_r \) yields

\[
A'_r = (P'_r)^T A^{(k,k')}_{n \kappa} P'_r = \left[ \hat{P}_{r1}, \hat{P}_{r2}, \ldots, \hat{P}_{r\, |r|} \right] \left[ A^{(k,k')}_{n \kappa}, \hat{P}_{r1}, \hat{P}_{r2}, \ldots, \hat{P}_{r\, |r|} \right] = \left( \begin{array}{c}
\hat{P}_{r1}^T A^{(k,k')}_{n \kappa} \hat{P}_{r1} \\
\hat{P}_{r2}^T A^{(k,k')}_{n \kappa} \hat{P}_{r2} \\
\vdots \\
\hat{P}_{r|r|}^T A^{(k,k')}_{n \kappa} \hat{P}_{r|r|}
\end{array} \right).
\]

Here, let \( i \in \mathbb{N}_n \) and \( j \in \mathbb{N}_{n,k} \). Then, since \( j + k > n, j + k' > n, j - k < 1, \) and \( j - k' < 1, \) we have

\[
i \neq j \pm k, \quad i \neq j \pm k'.
\]

Thus, using (3), we obtain

\[
i \in \mathbb{N}_n \text{ and } j \in \mathbb{N}_{n,k} \Rightarrow (A^{(k,k')}_{n \kappa})_{i,j} = d_i \delta_{i,j},
\]

where \( \delta_{i,j} \) denotes the Kronecker’s delta. Similarly, let \( i \in \mathbb{N}_{n,k} \) and \( j \in \mathbb{N}_n \). Then, since \( i + k > n, i + k' > n, i - k < 1, \) and \( i - k' < 1, \) we have

\[
j \neq i \pm k, \quad j \neq i \pm k'.
\]

Thus, using (3), we obtain

\[
i \in \mathbb{N}_{n,k} \text{ and } j \in \mathbb{N}_n \Rightarrow (A^{(k,k')}_{n \kappa})_{i,j} = d_i \delta_{i,j}.
\]
From Lemma 1 and (12), it follows that

\[(\hat{P}_r^T A_n^{(k,k')} \hat{P}_r^*)_{p,q} = (A_n^{(k,k')})_{i_p,j_q}, \quad (16)\]
\[(\hat{P}_r^T A_n^{(k,k')} \hat{P}_r^*)_{q,p} = (A_n^{(k,k')})_{j_q,i_p}. \quad (17)\]

Since \(r' \cap r^* = \emptyset\), we obtain \(i_p \neq j_q\). Thus, from (14) and (16), it follows that 
\[(\hat{P}_r^T A_n^{(k,k')} \hat{P}_r^*)_{p,q} = 0.\]
Similarly, it follows from (15) and (17) that we have 
\[(\hat{P}_r^T A_n^{(k,k')} \hat{P}_r^*)_{q,p} = 0.\]
Therefore,

\[\hat{P}_r^T A_n^{(k,k')} \hat{P}_r^* = O_{|r^*| \times |r^*|}, \quad \hat{P}_r^T A_n^{(k,k')} \hat{P}_r^* = O_{|r'| \times |r'|}. \quad (18)\]

Substituting (18) into (13) yields

\[A'_r = \hat{P}_r^T A_n^{(k,k')} \hat{P}_r^* \oplus \hat{P}_r^T A_n^{(k,k')} \hat{P}_r^*. \quad (19)\]

From Lemma 1 and (12), it follows that

\[(\hat{P}_r^T A_n^{(k,k')} \hat{P}_r^*)_{q,q'} = (A_n^{(k,k')})_{j_q,j_{q'}}. \quad (20)\]

where \(j_q, j_{q'} \in r'\). From \(r' \subseteq N_{n,k} \subseteq N_n\), we see that \(j_q\) and \(j_{q'}\) are written by \(j_q \in N_n\) and \(j_{q'} \in N_{n,k}\). Thus, using (14), we have

\[(A_n^{(k,k')})_{j_q,j_{q'}} = d_{j_q} \delta_{j_q,j_{q'}}.\]

Hence,

\[\hat{P}_r^T A_n^{(k,k')} \hat{P}_r^* = d_{j_1} \oplus d_{j_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus d_{j_{|r'|}}. \quad (20)\]

Substituting (20) into (19) yields

\[A'_r = \hat{P}_r^T A_n^{(k,k')} \hat{P}_r^* \oplus (d_{j_1} \oplus d_{j_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus d_{j_{|r'|}}).\]

Namely, \(A'_r\) is further block-diagonalized into a block diagonal matrix with one diagonal block of the size \(|r^*| \times |r^*|\) and \(|r'|\) diagonal blocks of the size \(1 \times 1\) by \(P'_r\) in (11).

Therefore, using \(P'_r\) in (10), \(A_n^{(k,k')}\) is block-diagonalized into a block diagonal matrix with \(m\) diagonal blocks of the form \(\hat{P}_r^T A_n^{(k,k')} \hat{P}_r^*\) and \(|N_{n,k}|\) diagonal blocks of the size \(1 \times 1\).

A block diagonalization algorithm in Proposition 4 is summarized in Algorithm 2.
Algorithm 2

Step 1: Generate \( P_r' := [\hat{P}_r', \hat{P}'_r] \) for \( r = 1, 2, \ldots, m \) using (11).

Step 2: Generate a permutation matrix \( P' \) using (10).

Step 3: \( (P')^T A_n^{(k,k')} P' = A_T' \oplus A_2' \oplus \cdots \oplus A_m' \).

Next, the nonzero structures of diagonal blocks obtained from Algorithms 1 is shown in Proposition 5.

**Proposition 5.** Let \( A_r \) be diagonal blocks obtained from Step 3 of Algorithm 1, where \( r = 1, 2, \ldots, m \). Let \( i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_{|\tau|} \) in (8), and let \( k = k/m \) and \( \tilde{k}' = k'/m \). Then, \( A_{\tau} \) has the following nonzero structure:

\[
(A_{\tau})_{p,q} = \begin{cases} 
  d_{i_p} & \text{if } p = q, \\
  a_{i_p} & \text{if } p = q - \tilde{k}, \\
  b_{i_p} & \text{if } p = q + \tilde{k}, \\
  a'_{i_p} & \text{if } p = q - \tilde{k}' , \\
  b'_{i_p} & \text{if } p = q + \tilde{k}' , \\
  0 & \text{otherwise.} 
\end{cases}
\]  

(21)

**Proof.** Using Lemmas 1 and 2, the \((p,q)\) element of \( A_{\tau} \) is represented by

\[
(A_{\tau})_{p,q} = (A_n^{(k,k')})_{i_p,i_q} = \begin{cases} 
  d_{i_p} & \text{if } i_p = i_q, \\
  a_{i_p} & \text{if } i_p = i_q - k, \\
  b_{i_p} & \text{if } i_p = i_q + k, \\
  a'_{i_p} & \text{if } i_p = i_q - k', \\
  b'_{i_p} & \text{if } i_p = i_q + k', \\
  0 & \text{otherwise,} 
\end{cases}
\]  

(22)

where \( i_p, i_q \in \tau \). Here, it follows from the definition of \( \tau \), \( k = m\tilde{k} \), \( k' = m\tilde{k}' \), and the assumption that we obtain

\[
i_q \pm k = i_{q \pm \tilde{k}}, \quad i_q \pm k' = i_{q \pm \tilde{k}'},
\]  

(23)
Substituting (23) into (22) yields

\[(A_r)_{p,q} = \begin{cases} 
  d_{ip} & \text{if } i_p = i_q, \\
  a_{ip} & \text{if } i_p = i_{q-k}, \\
  b_{ip} & \text{if } i_p = i_{q+k}, \\
  a'_{ip} & \text{if } i_p = i_{q-k'}, \\
  b'_{ip} & \text{if } i_p = i_{q+k'}, \\
  0 & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}\]

Thus, we obtain (21). This concludes the proof. \(\square\)

We see from (3) and (21) that \(A_r\) inherits essentially the same structure from the original matrix (1). Thus, Algorithm 1 is a structure-preserving algorithm.

3. Illustrative Examples

In this section, illustrative examples of Algorithms 1 and 2 are provided.

Example 6. Let \(A^{(3,6)}_{10}\) be a \((3,6)\)-pentadiagonal matrix as follows:

\[
A^{(3,6)}_{10} := \begin{pmatrix}
  d_1 & 0 & 0 & a_1 & 0 & 0 & a'_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
  0 & d_2 & 0 & 0 & a_2 & 0 & 0 & a'_2 & 0 & 0 \\
  0 & 0 & d_3 & 0 & 0 & a_3 & 0 & 0 & a'_3 & 0 \\
  b_4 & 0 & 0 & d_4 & 0 & 0 & a_4 & 0 & 0 & a'_4 \\
  0 & b_5 & 0 & 0 & d_5 & 0 & 0 & a_5 & 0 & 0 \\
  0 & 0 & b_6 & 0 & 0 & d_6 & 0 & 0 & a_6 & 0 \\
  0 & b'_7 & 0 & 0 & b_7 & 0 & 0 & d_7 & 0 & 0 \\
  0 & 0 & b'_8 & 0 & 0 & b_8 & 0 & 0 & d_8 & 0 \\
  0 & 0 & b'_{10} & 0 & 0 & b_{10} & 0 & 0 & d_{10} & 0
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

The result of Algorithm 1 applied to \(A^{(3,6)}_{10}\) is shown next. From \(m = 3\), \(N_{10}\) is divided into the following three equivalence classes: \(\Gamma = \{1, 4, 7, 10\}; \Gamma' = \{2, 5, 8\}; \Gamma'' = \{3, 6, 9\}\). Step 1 yields \(P_1 = [e_1, e_4, e_7, e_{10}]\), \(P_2 = [e_2, e_5, e_8]\), and \(P_3 = [e_3, e_6, e_9]\). Step 2 yields \(P = [P_1, P_2, P_3]\). In Step 3, we have \(P^T A^{(3,6)}_{10} P = A_\Gamma \oplus A_\Gamma' \oplus A_\Gamma''\), where

\[
A_\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix}
  d_1 & a_1 & a'_1 & 0 \\
  b_4 & d_4 & a_4 & a'_4 \\
  b'_7 & b_7 & d_7 & a_7 \\
  0 & b'_{10} & b_{10} & d_{10}
\end{pmatrix}, \quad A_\Gamma' = \begin{pmatrix}
  d_2 & a_2 & a'_2 \\
  b_5 & d_5 & a_5 \\
  b'_8 & b_8 & d_8
\end{pmatrix}.
\]
Example 7. Let $A_7^{(4,6)}$ be a $(4,6)$-pentadiagonal matrix as follows:

$$A_7^{(4,6)} := \begin{pmatrix}
  d_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_1 & 0 & a'_1 \\
  0 & d_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_2 & 0 \\
  0 & 0 & d_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_3 \\
  0 & 0 & 0 & d_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
  b_5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & d_5 & 0 & 0 \\
  0 & b_6 & 0 & 0 & 0 & d_6 & 0 \\
  b'_7 & 0 & b_7 & 0 & 0 & 0 & d_7 \\
\end{pmatrix}. $$

From $m = 2$, $N_7$ is divided into the following two equivalence classes: $\overline{1} = \{1, 3, 5, 7\}; \overline{2} = \{2, 4, 6\}$. Here, Algorithm 2 can be applied since $N_7, 4 = \{4\} \neq \emptyset$.

First, the result of Algorithm 1 applied to $A_7^{(4,6)}$ is shown next. Steps 1 and 2 yield $P = [P_1, P_2]$, where $P_1 = [e_1, e_3, e_5, e_7]$ and $P_2 = [e_2, e_4, e_6]$. In Step 3, we have $P^T A_7^{(4,6)} P = A_1 \oplus A_2$, where

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & 0 & a_1 & a'_1 \\
  0 & d_3 & 0 & a_3 \\
  b_5 & 0 & d_5 & 0 \\
  b'_7 & b_7 & 0 & d_7 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} d_2 & 0 & a_2 \\
  0 & d_4 & 0 \\
  b_6 & 0 & d_6 \end{pmatrix}. $$

Second, the result of Algorithm 2 applied to $A_7^{(4,6)}$ is shown next. Before Step 1, $\overline{2}' = \{4\}$ and $\overline{2} = \{2, 6\}$ are generated. Steps 1 and 2 yield $P' = [P'_1, P'_2]$, where $P'_1 = P_1$ and $P'_2 = \hat{P}'_2, \hat{P}'_2 = [e_2, e_6, e_4]$. In Step 3, we have $(P')^T A_7^{(4,6)} P' = A'_1 \oplus A'_2$, where

$$A'_1 = A_1, \quad A'_2 = \hat{P}'_2 A_7^{(4,6)} \hat{P}'_2 \oplus d_4 = \begin{pmatrix} d_2 & a_2 \\
  b_6 & d_6 \end{pmatrix} \oplus d_4. $$

In this case, $A_2$ is further block-diagonalized into $A'_2$ by Algorithm 2.

4. Concluding Remarks

In this paper, we proposed a block diagonalization algorithm of $(k,k')$-pentadiagonal matrices that is an extension of the block diagonalization algorithm of
$k$-tridiagonal matrices in [8]. As for the obtained diagonal blocks, we showed that the nonzero structures of the diagonal blocks are essentially the same as that of the original matrix.
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