

UNIQUENESS OF DIFFERENCE POLYNOMIALS OF MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS

Harina P. Waghmare¹ §, Rajeshwari S.²

^{1,2}Department of Mathematics
Jnanabharathi Campus
Bangalore University
Bangalore, 560 056, INDIA

Abstract: In this paper, we study the zero distributions on the derivatives of q -shift difference polynomials of meromorphic functions with zero order and obtain two theorems that extend results of [3].

AMS Subject Classification: 30D35

Key Words: uniqueness, meromorphic function, difference polynomials, shared function

1. Introduction

In this paper, a meromorphic functions f means meromorphic in the complex plane. If no poles occur, then f reduces to an entire function. Throughout of this paper, we denote by $\rho(f)$ and $\rho_2(f)$ the order of f and the hyper order of f (Laine, 1993 and Yang and Yi, 2003). In addition, if $f - a$ and $g - a$ have the same zeros, then we say that f and g share the value a IM (ignoring multiplicities). If $f - a$ and $g - a$ have the same zeros, then we say that f and g share the value a CM (counting multiplicities). We assume that the reader is familiar with standard symbols and fundamental results of Nevanlinna Theory (Halburd Korhonen and Tohge; Laine, 1993 and Yang and Yi, 2003).

Given a meromorphic function $f(z)$, recall that $\alpha(z) \neq 0, \infty$ is a small function with respect to $f(z)$, if $T(r, \alpha) = S(r, f)$, where $S(r, f)$ is used to denote any quantity satisfying $S(r, f) = o(T(r, f))$, and $r \rightarrow \infty$ outside of a

Received: January 5, 2016

Published: May 7, 2016

© 2016 Academic Publications, Ltd.

url: www.acadpubl.eu

§Correspondence author

possible exceptional set of finite logarithmic measure.

Recently, K. Liu, X. Liu and T. B. Cao (2012) proved the following.

Theorem A. (Liu, Liu and Coa, 2012) *Let f be a transcendental entire function of $\rho_2(f) < 1$. For $n \geq t(k+1) + 1$, then $[P(f)f(z+c)]^{(k)} - \alpha(z)$ has infinitely many zeros.*

Theorem B. (Liu, Liu and Coa, 2012) *Let f be a transcendental meromorphic function of $\rho_2(f) < 1$, not a periodic function with period c . If $n \geq (t+1)(k+1) + 1$, then $[f(z)^n(\Delta_c f)^s]^{(k)} - \alpha(z)$ has infinitely many zeros.*

Theorem C. (Liu, Liu and Coa, 2012) *Let f be a transcendental meromorphic function of $\rho_2(f) < 1$. For $n \geq t(k+1) + 5$, then $[P(f)f(z+c)]^{(k)} - \alpha(z)$ has infinitely many zeros.*

Theorem D. (Liu, Liu and Coa, 2012) *Let f be a transcendental meromorphic function of $\rho_2(f) < 1$. If $n \geq (t+2)(k+1) + 3 + s$, then $[P(f)(\Delta_c f)^s]^{(k)} - \alpha(z)$ has infinitely many zeros.*

Theorem E. (Liu, Liu and Coa, 2012) *Let f and g be a transcendental entire function of $\rho_2(f) < 1$, $n \geq 2k + m + 6$. If $[f^n(f^m - 1)f(z+c)]^{(k)}$ and $[g^n(g^m - 1)g(z+c)]^{(k)}$ share the 1 CM, then $f = tg$, where $t^{n+1} = t^m = 1$.*

Theorem F. (Liu, Liu and Coa, 2012) *The conclusion of Theorem E is also valid, if $n \geq 5k + 4m + 12$. and $[f^n(f^m - 1)f(z+c)]^{(k)}$ and $[g^n(g^m - 1)g(z+c)]^{(k)}$ share the 1 IM.*

In 2013, Harina P. Waghmare and Tanuja A. extend Theorem E and Theorem F to meromorphic functions.

Theorem G. (Harina P.W and Tanuja A, 2013) *Let f and g be a transcendental meromorphic function with zero order. If $n \geq 4k + m + 8$, $[f^n(f^m - 1)f(qz+c)]^{(k)}$ and $[g^n(g^m - 1)g(qz+c)]^{(k)}$ share the 1 CM, then $f = tg$, where $t^{n+1} = t^m = 1$.*

Theorem H. (Harina P.W and Tanuja A, 2013) *Let f and g be a transcendental meromorphic function with zero order. If $n \geq 5k + 4m + 17$, $[f^n(f^m - 1)f(qz+c)]^{(k)}$ and $[g^n(g^m - 1)g(qz+c)]^{(k)}$ share the 1 IM, then $f = tg$, where $t^{n+1} = t^m = 1$.*

In this paper, we extend Theorem G and Theorem H to difference polynomials and obtain the following results.

Theorem 1. *Let f and g be a transcendental meromorphic (resp. entire) function with zero order. If $n \geq 4k + 8$ ($n \geq 2k + 6$), $[P(f)f(qz + c)]^{(k)}$ and $[P(g)g(qz + c)]^{(k)}$ share the 1 CM, then:*

1. $f \equiv tg$ for a constant t such that $t^d = 1$.
2. f and g satisfy the algebraic equation $R(f, g) \equiv 0$, where $R(w_1, w_2) = P(w_1)w_1(qz + c) - P(w_2)w_2(qz + c)$.

Theorem 2. *Let f and g be a transcendental meromorphic (resp. entire) function with zero order. If $n \geq 10k + 14$ ($n \geq 5k + 12$), $[P(f)f(qz + c)]^{(k)}$ and $[P(g)g(qz + c)]^{(k)}$ share the 1 IM, then the conclusion of theorem 1 still holds.*

2. Some Lemmas

In this section, we present some definitions and lemmas which will be needed in the sequel.

Lemma 2.1. (Halburd, Korhonen and Tohge, Theorem 5.1) *Let $f(z)$ be a transcendental meromorphic function of $\rho_1(f) < 1$, $\varsigma < 1$, ϵ is enough small number. Then*

$$m(r, \frac{f(z+c)}{f(z)}) = o\left(\frac{T(r, f)}{r^{1-\varsigma-\epsilon}}\right) = S(r, f), \quad (2.1)$$

for all r outside of a set of finite logarithmic measure. Combining the proof of (Luo and Lin, 2011, Lemma 5) with Lemma 2.1, we can get the following Lemma 2.2.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $f(z)$ be a transcendental entire function of $\rho_2(f) < 1$. If $F = P(f)f(z+c)$, then*

$$T(r, F) = T(P(f)f(z)) + S(r, f) = (n+1)T(r, f) + S(r, f). \quad (2.2)$$

Lemma 2.3. (Liu, Liu and Cao, 2012, Lemma 2.5) *Let $f(z)$ be a transcendental meromorphic function of $\rho_2(f) < 1$. If $F = P(f)f(z+c)$, then*

$$(n-1)T(r, f) + S(r, f) \leq T(r, F) \leq (n+1)T(r, f) + S(r, f). \quad (2.3)$$

Lemma 2.4. (Zhang and Korhonen, 2010, Theorem 1.1) Let $f(z)$ be a transcendental meromorphic function of zero order. Then

$$T(r, f(qz)) = T(r, f(z)) + S(r, f)$$

on a set of logarithmic density 1.

The following lemma has little modifications of the original version (Theorem 2.1 of Chiang and Feng, 2008).

Lemma 2.5. Let $f(z)$ be a transcendental meromorphic function of finite order. Then

$$T(r, f(z+c)) = T(r, f) + S(r, f). \quad (2.4)$$

combining Lemma 2.4 with Lemma 2.5, we get the following result easily.

Lemma 2.6. Let $f(z)$ be a transcendental meromorphic function of zero order. Then

$$T(r, f(qz+c)) = T(r, f(z)) + S(r, f) \quad (2.5)$$

on a set of logarithmic density 1.

Lemma 2.7. (Yang and Hua, 1997, Lemma 3) Let F and G be non constant meromorphic functions. If F and G share 1 CM, then one of the following three cases holds:

(i) $\max \{T(r, F), T(r, G)\} \leq N_2(r, \frac{1}{F}) + N_2(r, F) + N_2(r, \frac{1}{G}) + N_2(r, G) + S(r, F) + S(r, G)$.

(ii) $F = G$.

(iii) $F.G = 1$.

Lemma 2.8. (Xu an Yi, 2007, Lemma 2.3) Let F and G be non constant meromorphic function sharing the value 1 IM. Let

$$H = \frac{F}{F} - 2\frac{F}{F-1} - \frac{G}{G} + 2\frac{G}{G-1}.$$

If $H \neq 0$, then

$$T(r, F) + T(r, G) \leq 2 \left(N_2 \left(r, \frac{1}{F} \right) + N_2(r, F) + N_2 \left(r, \frac{1}{G} \right) + N_2(r, G) \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + 3 \left(\overline{N}(r, F) + \overline{N} \left(r, \frac{1}{F} \right) + \overline{N}(r, G) + \overline{N} \left(r, \frac{1}{G} \right) \right) \\
& + S(r, F) + S(r, G).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Lemma 2.9. *Let $f(z)$ be a meromorphic function, and p, k be positive integers. Then*

$$T(r, f^{(k)}) \leq T(r, f) + k\overline{N}(r, f) + S(r, f). \tag{2.7}$$

$$N_p \left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}} \right) \leq T(r, f^{(k)}) - T(r, f) + N_{p+k} \left(r, \frac{1}{f} \right) + S(r, f). \tag{2.8}$$

$$N_p \left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}} \right) \leq k\overline{N}(r, f) + N_{p+k} \left(r, \frac{1}{f} \right) + S(r, f). \tag{2.9}$$

Lemma 2.10. *Let f and g be a transcendental meromorphic function of zero order. If $n \geq k + 6$ and*

$$[P(f)f(qz + c)]^{(k)} = [P(g)g(qz + c)]^{(k)} \tag{2.10}$$

then $f = tg$, where $t^{n+1} = t^m = 1$, and f and g satisfy the algebraic equation

$$R(w_1, w_2) = P(w_1)w_1(qz + c) - P(w_2)w_2(qz + c).$$

Proof. From (2.10), we have

$$P(f)f(qz + c) = P(g)g(qz + c) + Q(z).$$

Where $Q(z)$ is a polynomial of degree atmost $k = 1$. If $Q(z) \neq 0$, then we have

$$\frac{P(f)f(z + c)}{Q(z)} = \frac{P(g)g(qz + c)}{Q(z)} + 1$$

From the second main theorem of Nevanlinna and by Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (n + 1)T(r, f) &= T\left(r, \frac{P(f)f(qz + c)}{Q(z)}\right) + S(r, f) \\
 &\leq \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{P(f)f(qz + c)}{Q(z)}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{Q(z)}{P(f)f(qz + c)}\right) \\
 &\quad + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{Q(z)}{P(g)g(qz + c)}\right) + S(r, f) \\
 &\leq \bar{N}(r, P(f)) + \bar{N}(r, f(qz + c)) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{P(f)}\right) \\
 &\quad + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f(qz + c)}\right) \\
 &\quad + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g(z)}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g(qz + c)}\right) + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\
 &\leq 4T(r, f) + 2T(r, g) + S(r, f) + S(r, g).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.11}$$

Similarly as above, we have

$$(n + 1)T(r, g) \leq 4T(r, g) + 2T(r, f) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \tag{2.12}$$

Thus, we get

$$(n + 1)[T(r, f) + T(r, g)] \leq 6[T(r, f) + T(r, g)] + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \tag{2.13}$$

which is in contradiction with $n \geq k + 6$. Hence, we get $Q(z) \equiv 0$, which implies that

$$P(f)f(qz + c) = P(g)g(qz + c). \tag{2.14}$$

Set $h(z) = \frac{f(z)}{g(z)}$, we break the rest of the proof into two cases.

Case 1. Suppose $h(z)$ is a constant. Then by substituting $f = gh$ into (2.14), we obtain

$$g(qz + c)[a_n g^n (h^{n+1} - 1) + a_{n-1} g^{n-1} (h^n - 1) + \dots + a_0 (h - 1)] \equiv 0 \tag{2.15}$$

where $a_n (\neq 0), a_{n-1}, \dots, a_0$ are complex constants. By the fact that g is a transcendental entire functions, we have $g(qz + c) \not\equiv 0$. Hence, we obtain

$$[a_n g^n (h^{n+1} - 1) + a_{n-1} g^{n-1} (h^n - 1) + \dots + a_0 (h - 1)] \equiv 0. \tag{2.16}$$

Equation (2.16) implies that $h^{n+1} = 1$ and $h^{i+1} = 1$ when $a_i \neq 0$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$. Therefore $h^d = 1$, where $d = GCD(\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$.

Case 2. Suppose that h is not a constant, then we know by (2.14) that f and g satisfy the algebraic equation $R(f, g) = 0$, where $R(w_1, w_2) = p(w_1)w_1(qz + c) - p(w_2)w_2(qz + c)$.

Lemma 2.11. *Let f and g be transcendental entire function of finite order. If $n \geq k + 4$, and $[P(f)f(qz + c)]^{(k)} = [P(g)g(qz + c)]^{(k)}$ then the condition of Lemma 2.10 holds.*

Proof. Substituting $\overline{N}(r, f) = \overline{N}(r, g) = 0$ and proceeding as in the proof of Lemma 2.10, we get Lemma 2.11.

3. Proof of the Theorem

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $F = [P(f)f(qz + c)]^{(k)}$ and $G = [P(g)g(qz + c)]^{(k)}$. Thus F and G share the value 1 CM. From (2.7) and f is a transcendental meromorphic function, then

$$T(r, F) \leq T(r, P(f)f(qz + c)) + k\overline{N}(r, f) + S(r, P(f)f(qz + c)) \tag{3.1}$$

combining (3.1) with Lemma 2.2, we have $S(r, F) = S(r, f)$. We also have $S(r, G) = S(r, g)$, from the same reason as above, from (2.8) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} N_2(r, \frac{1}{F}) &= N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{[P(f)f(qz + c)]^{(k)}}\right) \\ &\leq T(r, F) - T(r, P(f)f(qz + c)) \\ &\quad + N_{k+2}\left(r, \frac{1}{P(f)f(qz + c)}\right) + S(r, f). \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Thus, from Lemma 2.2 and (3.2) we get

$$\begin{aligned} (n + 1)T(r, f) &= T(r, P(f)f(qz + c)) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq T(r, F) - N_2(r, \frac{1}{F}) \\ &\quad + N_{k+2}\left(r, \frac{1}{P(f)f(qz + c)}\right) + S(r, f) \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

From (2.9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} N_2(r, \frac{1}{F}) &\leq N_{k+2}\left(r, \frac{1}{P(f)f(qz + c)}\right) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq (k + 2)N(r, \frac{1}{f}) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{f(qz + c)}\right) + k\overline{N}(r, f) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq (2k + 3)T(r, f) + S(r, f). \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Similarly as above, we have

$$(n + 1)T(r, g) \leq T(r, G) - N_2(r, \frac{1}{G}) + N_{k+2} \left(r, \frac{1}{P(g)g(qz + c)} \right) + S(r, g) \tag{3.5}$$

$$N_2(r, \frac{1}{G}) \leq (2k + 3)T(r, g) + S(r, g). \tag{3.6}$$

If the (i) of Lemma 2.7 is satisfied implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \max\{T(r, F)T(r, G)\} &\leq N_2 \left(r, \frac{1}{F} \right) + N_2 \left(r, \frac{1}{G} \right) + N_2(r, F) \\ &\quad + N_2(r, G) + S(r, F) + S(r, G). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, combining above with (3.3)-(3.6) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (n + 1)\{T(r, f) + T(r, g)\} &\leq 2[N(r, f) + N(r, g)] + 2N_{k+2} \left(r, \frac{1}{P(f)f(qz + c)} \right) \\ &\quad + 2N_{k+2} \left(r, \frac{1}{P(g)g(qz + c)} \right) + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\ &\leq 2(2k + 4)\{T(r, f) + T(r, g)\} + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned}$$

Which is in contradiction with $n \geq 4k + 8$. Hence $F = G$ or $FG = 1$. From Lemma 2.10, we get $f = tg$ for $t^m = t^{n+1} = 1$ and f and g satisfy the algebraic equation $R(f, g) = 0$, where $R(w_1, w_2) = P(w_1)w_1(qz + c) - p(w_2)w_2(qz + c)$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let

$$F = [P(f)f(qz + c)]^{(k)}, \quad G = [P(g)g(qz + c)]^{(k)}.$$

Let H be defined as in Lemma 2.8. Assume that $H \neq 0$, from (2.6) we get

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, F) + T(r, G) &\leq 2 \left[N_2 \left(r, \frac{1}{F} \right) + N_2 \left(r, \frac{1}{G} \right) + N_2(r, F) + N_2(r, G) \right] \\ &\quad + 3 \left[\overline{N}(r, F) + \overline{N}(r, G) + \overline{N} \left(r, \frac{1}{F} \right) + \overline{N} \left(r, \frac{1}{G} \right) \right] \tag{3.7} \\ &\quad + S(r, F) + S(r, G). \end{aligned}$$

Combining above with (3.3)-(3.6) and (2.9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (n + 1)[T(r, f) + T(r, g)] &\leq T(r, F) + T(r, G) - N_2(r, \frac{1}{F}) - N_2(r, \frac{1}{G}) \\
 &\quad + N_{k+2} \left(r, \frac{1}{P(f)f(qz + c)} \right) + N_{k+2} \left(r, \frac{1}{P(g)g(qz + c)} \right) \\
 &\quad + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\
 &\leq 2(N_2(r, F) + N_2(r, G)) + 2N_{k+2} \left(r, \frac{1}{P(f)f(qz + c)} \right) \\
 &\quad + 2N_{k+2} \left(r, \frac{1}{P(g)g(qz + c)} \right) + 3 \left[\overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{F}) + \overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{G}) \right] \\
 &\quad + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\
 &\leq 2(2k + 4)\{T(r, f) + T(r, g)\} + 3(2k + 2)\{T(r, f) + T(r, g)\} \\
 &\quad + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\
 &\leq (10k + 14)\{T(r, f) + T(r, g)\}
 \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction with $n \geq 10k + 14$. Thus we get $H \equiv 0$. The following proof is trivial, we give the complete proof. By integration for H twice, we obtain

$$F = \frac{(b + 1)G + (a - b - 1)}{bG + (a - b)}, \quad G = \frac{(a - b - 1) - (a - b)F}{Fb - (b + 1)} \tag{3.8}$$

which implies that $T(r, F) = T(r, G) + O(1)$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(r, F) &\leq T(r, P(f)f(qz + c)) + S(r, f) \\
 &\leq (n + 1)T(r, f) + S(r, f),
 \end{aligned}$$

then $S(r, F) = S(r, f)$. So $S(r, G) = S(r, g)$ is. We distinguish into three cases as follows.

Case 1. $b \neq 0, -1$. If $a - b - 1 \neq 0$, then by (3.8), we get

$$\overline{N} \left(r, \frac{1}{F} \right) = \overline{N} \left(r, \frac{1}{F - \frac{a-b-1}{b+1}} \right) \tag{3.9}$$

By the Nevanlinna second main theorem, (2.8) and (2.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (n + 1)T(r, g) &\leq T(r, G) + N_{k+2} \left(r, \frac{1}{P(g)g(qz + c)} \right) \\
 &\quad - N \left(r, \frac{1}{G} \right) + S(r, g) \\
 &\leq (k + 1)T(r, g) + (2k + 2)T(r, f) + S(r, f) + S(r, g)
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Similarly, we get

$$(n + 1)T(r, f) \leq (k + 1)T(r, f) + (2k + 2)T(r, g) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \quad (3.11)$$

Thus from (3.10) and (3.11), then

$$(n + 1)\{T(r, f) + T(r, g)\} \leq (3k + 3)\{T(r, f) + T(r, g)\} + S(r, f) + S(r, g).$$

Which is in contradiction with $n \geq 10k + 14$. Thus $a - b - 1 = 0$, then

$$F = \frac{(b + 1)G}{bG + 1} \quad (3.12)$$

using the same method as above, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (n + 1)T(r, g) &\leq T(r, G) + N_k \left(r, \frac{1}{P(g)g(qz + c)} \right) \\ &\quad - N(r, \frac{1}{G}) + S(r, g) \\ &\leq N_k \left(r, \frac{1}{P(g)g(qz + c)} \right) + \overline{N} \left(r, \frac{1}{G + \frac{1}{b}} \right) + S(r, g) \\ &\leq (k + 1)T(r, g) + S(r, g). \end{aligned}$$

Which is a contradiction.

Case 2. $b = 0, a \neq 1$. From (3.8), we have

$$F = \frac{G + a - 1}{a}.$$

Similarly, we also can get a contradiction. Thus $a = 1$ follows, it implies that $F = G$.

Case 3. $b = -1, a \neq -1$. From (3.8), we obtain

$$F = \frac{a}{a + 1 - G}.$$

Similarly, we can get a contradiction, $a = -1$ follows. Thus, we get $F.G = 1$.

From Lemma 2.10, we get $f = tg$ for $t^m = t^{n+1} = 1$, and f and g satisfy the algebraic expression $R(f, g) = 0$, where

$$R(w_1, w_2) = P(w_1)w_1(qz + c) - P(w_2)w_2(qz + c)$$

Thus, we have completed the proofs.

References

- [1] Y.-M. Chiang and S.-J. Feng, On the Nevanlinna characteristic of $f(z + \eta)$ and difference equations in the complex plane, *Ramanujan J.* **16** (2008), no. 1, 105–129.
- [2] R. Halburd, R. Korhonen and K. Tohge, Holomorphic curves with shift-invariant hyperplane preimages, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **366** (2014), no. 8, 4267–4298.
- [3] H. P. Waghmare and A. Tanuja, Uniqueness of difference polynomials of meromorphic functions, *Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc.* **105** (2013), no. 3, 227–236.
- [4] W. K. Hayman, *Meromorphic functions*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1964.
- [5] I. Laine, *Nevanlinna theory and complex differential equations*, de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, 15, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1993.
- [6] Liu Kai; Liu Xinling and Cao Tingbin (2012): *Some results on zeros distributions and uniqueness of derivatives of difference polynomials*, *Ann. Polon. Math.*, 109, 137.
- [7] X. Luo and W.-C. Lin, Value sharing results for shifts of meromorphic functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **377** (2011), no. 2, 441–449.
- [8] A. Z. Mohon'ko, The Nevanlinna characteristics of certain meromorphic functions, *Teor. Funkcii Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen. No. 14* (1971), 83–87.
- [9] J. Xu and H. Yi, Uniqueness of entire functions and differential polynomials, *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.* **44** (2007), no. 4, 623–629.
- [10] C.-C. Yang and H.-X. Yi, *Uniqueness theory of meromorphic functions*, Mathematics and its Applications, 557, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2003.
- [11] C.-C. Yang and X. Hua, Uniqueness and value-sharing of meromorphic functions, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.* **22** (1997), no. 2, 395–406.
- [12] J. Zhang and R. Korhonen, On the Nevanlinna characteristic of $f(qz)$ and its applications, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **369** (2010), no. 2, 537–544.

