

A NEW SUBCLASS OF P-VALENT FUNCTIONS
DEFINED BY AOUF ET AL DERIVATIVE OPERATOR

Jamiu Olusegun Hamzat¹, Olawale Joshua Adeleke^{2 §}

¹Department of Pure and Applied Mathematics
LAUTECH, PMB 4000, Ogbomoso, NIGERIA

²Department of Mathematics
Covenant University
Ota, NIGERIA

Abstract: In this present paper, we study a new subclass of $\delta_p(A, B, b, \omega, \lambda, l, n)$ of analytic p -valent functions in the unit disk $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ defined by Aouf et al derivative operator. Coefficient estimates and relevant connection of this class to the famous Fekete-Szego were obtained.

AMS Subject Classification: 30C45

Key Words: analytic function, univalent function, starlike function, convex function, coefficient inequalities

1. Introduction

Let $S(\omega)$ be the class of functions $f(z)$ of the form

$$f(z) = (z - \omega) + \sum_{k=2} a_k (z - \omega)^k,$$
$$U = \{z : z \in C \text{ and } |z| < 1\},$$

which are analytic and univalent in the open unit disk U , normalized with

Received: January 20, 2016

Revised: June 20, 2016

Published: November 4, 2016

© 2016 Academic Publications, Ltd.

url: www.acadpubl.eu

[§]Correspondence author

$f(\omega) = f(\omega) - 1 = 0$ and ω is an arbitrary fixed point in U .

Also, let $\delta_p(\omega)$ denote the class of analytic p -valent functions of the form:

$$h(z) = (z - \omega)^p + \sum_{k=1} a_{p+k}(z - \omega)^{p+k}, \tag{1}$$

defined in the unit disk where ω is an arbitrary fixed point in U and satisfying the conditions $h(\omega) = 0, |h(z)| < 1, z \in U$.

Wald in [11] established that, if $P(\omega) \subset P$ (i.e class of caratheodary functions) and $p(z)$ is of the form

$$p(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1} p_n(z - \omega)^n, \tag{2}$$

then

$$|p_k| \leq \frac{2}{(1+d)(1-d)^k}, \quad k \geq 1 \text{ and } |\omega| = d. \tag{3}$$

This result is scattered in many literatures [1,2,5,6,7,8] and we also note that if A and B are arbitrarily fixed integers and $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, then we have

$$|p_k| \leq \frac{A - B}{(1+d)(1-d)^k}, \quad k \geq 1, \quad -1 \leq B < A \leq 1, \text{ and } |\omega| = d.$$

For $f(z) \in \delta_p(\omega)$, Eker and Seker in [9] introduced the following differential operator

$$D^0 f(z) = f(z),$$

$$D^1 f(z) = D\left(f(z)\right) = \frac{(z - \omega)}{p} f(z) = (z - \omega)^p + \sum_{k=1} \left(\frac{p+k}{p}\right) a_{p+k}(z - \omega)^{p+k},$$

$$D^2 f(z) = \frac{(z - \omega)}{p} f(z) = (z - \omega)^p + \sum_{k=1} \left(\frac{p+k}{p}\right)^2 a_{p+k}(z - \omega)^{p+k},$$

and

$$D^{n+p} f(z) = D\left(D^{n+p-1} f(z)\right) = (z - \omega)^p + \sum_{k=1} \left(\frac{p+k}{p}\right)^n a_{p+k}(z - \omega)^{p+k},$$

$$m \in N_0.$$

Using Aouf et al derivative operator (see [3]), we can write for functions $f(z) \in \delta_p(\omega)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_{\omega,p}^0(\lambda, l)f(z) &= f(z), \\
 I_{\omega,p}^1(\lambda, l)f(z) &= \left(I_{\omega,p}^0(\lambda, l)f(z) \right) \left(\frac{1 - \lambda + l}{1 + l} \right) + \left(I_{\omega,p}^0(\lambda, l)f(z) \right) \frac{\lambda z}{1 + l} \\
 &= \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(p - 1) + l}{1 + l} \right) (z - \omega)^p \\
 &\quad + \sum_{k=1} \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(p + k - 1) + l}{1 + l} \right) a_{p+k} (z - \omega)^{p+k}, \\
 I_{\omega,p}^2(\lambda, l)f(z) &= \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(p - 1) + l}{1 + l} \right) (z - \omega)^p \\
 &\quad + \sum_{k=1} \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(p + k - 1) + l}{1 + l} \right)^2 a_{p+k} (z - \omega)^{p+k},
 \end{aligned}$$

and in general, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_{\omega,p}^n(\lambda, l)f(z) &= \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(p - 1) + l}{1 + l} \right)^n (z - \omega)^p \\
 &+ \sum_{k=1} \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(p + k - 1) + l}{1 + l} \right)^n a_{p+k} (z - \omega)^{p+k}, \quad n \in N_0, \lambda \geq 0, l \geq 0. \quad (4)
 \end{aligned}$$

With $\omega = 0, l = 0$ and $\lambda = 1$. It is trivial to show that

$$\frac{1}{p^n} I_{0,p}^n(1, 0)f(z) = D^{n+p}f(z).$$

Now, the authors wish to introduce the class $\delta_p(A, B, b, \omega, \lambda, l, n)$ consisting of functions $f(z)$ of the form (1) and satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}
 1 + \frac{1}{b} \left\{ \frac{(z - \omega) \left(\frac{1}{p^n} I_{\omega,p}^n(\lambda, l)f(z) \right)}{\left(\frac{1}{p^n} I_{\omega,p}^n(\lambda, l)f(z) \right)} - p \right\} &< \frac{1 + A(z - \omega)}{1 + B(z - \omega)} \\
 -1 \leq B < A \leq 1, \quad n \in N_0, \lambda \geq 0, l \geq 0, z \in U,
 \end{aligned}$$

where b is any non-zero complex number, $<$ denotes subordination and ω is an arbitrary fixed point in U . With various choices of parameters involved, this

class of functions would give birth to several existing ones by several authors, see [1], [3], [4].

Hence, by the definition of subordination it follows that $f \in \delta_p(A, B, b, \omega, \lambda, l, n)$ if and only if

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left\{ \frac{(z - \omega) \left(\frac{1}{p^n} I_{\omega,p}^n(\lambda, l) f(z) \right)}{\left(\frac{1}{p^n} I_{\omega,p}^n(\lambda, l) f(z) \right)} - p \right\} = \frac{1 + Ah(z - \omega)}{1 + Bh(z - \omega)} = p(z),$$

$z, h \in U, \quad (5)$

where $p(z)$ is as earlier defined in (2).

2. Main Results

In this section, we give the coefficient estimates for the classes $\delta_p(A, B, b, \omega, \lambda, l, n)$.

Theorem 1. *Let $f(z) \in \delta_p(A, B, b, \omega, \lambda, l, n)$, then for $k \geq 1, \lambda \geq 0, l \geq 0, -1 \leq B < A \leq 1, p \in N$ and $z \in U$:*

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{|b|(A - B)}{(1 - d^2) \left(\frac{1 + \lambda + l}{1 + l} \right)^n},$$

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{|b|^2(A - B)^2 + |b|(A - B)(1 + d)}{2(1 - d^2)^2 \left(\frac{1 + 2\lambda + l}{1 + l} \right)^n},$$

$$|a_4| \leq \frac{|b|^3(A - B)^3 + 3|b|^2(A - B)^2(1 + d) + 2|b|(A - B)(1 + d)}{2.3(1 - d^2)^3 \left(\frac{1 + 3\lambda + l}{1 + l} \right)^n},$$

$$|a_5| \leq \left(|b|^4(A - B)^4 + 4|b|^3(A - B)^3(1 + d) + 4|b|^2(A - B)^2(1 + d)^2 + |b|(A - B)(1 + d)^3 \right) \left(2.3.4(1 - d^2)^4 \left(\frac{1 + 4\lambda + l}{1 + l} \right)^n \right)^{-1}.$$

Proof. From (5)

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left\{ \frac{(z - \omega) \left(\frac{1}{p^n} I_{\omega,p}^n(\lambda, l) f(z) \right)}{\left(\frac{1}{p^n} I_{\omega,p}^n(\lambda, l) f(z) \right)} - p \right\} = 1 + \sum_{k=1} p_k(z - \omega)^k.$$

That is

$$\left\{ \frac{(z - \omega) \left(\frac{1}{p^n} I_{\omega,p}^n(\lambda, l) f(z) \right)}{\left(\frac{1}{p^n} I_{\omega,p}^n(\lambda, l) f(z) \right)} - p \right\} = \sum_{k=1} b p_k (z - \omega)^k. \tag{6}$$

Using (4) on (6), we have

$$\frac{\sum_{k=1} \frac{k}{p^n} \left(\frac{1+\lambda(p+k-1)+l}{1+l} \right)^n a_{p+k} (z - \omega)^{p+k}}{\frac{1}{p^n} \left[\left(\frac{1+\lambda(p-1)+l}{1+l} \right)^n (z - \omega)^p + \sum_{k=1} \left(\frac{1+\lambda(p+k-1)+l}{1+l} \right)^n a_{p+k} (z - \omega)^{p+k} \right]} = \sum_{k=1} b p_k (z - \omega)^k.$$

Dividing the numerator denominator of the L.H.S. by the factor $(z - \omega)^p$, then we have

$$\frac{\sum_{k=1} \frac{k}{p^n} \left(\frac{1+\lambda(p+k-1)+l}{1+l} \right)^n a_{p+k} (z - \omega)^k}{\frac{1}{p^n} \left[\left(\frac{1+\lambda(p-1)+l}{1+l} \right)^n + \sum_{k=1} \left(\frac{1+\lambda(p+k-1)+l}{1+l} \right)^n a_{p+k} (z - \omega)^k \right]} = \sum_{k=1} b p_k (z - \omega)^k. \tag{7}$$

By expanding (7) and equating the coefficient of the like powers, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1 + \lambda p + l}{1 + l} \right)^n a_{p+1} &= b \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(p - 1) + l}{1 + l} \right)^n p_1, \\ 2 \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(p + 1) + l}{1 + l} \right)^n a_{p+2} &= b \left(\frac{1 + \lambda p + l}{1 + l} \right)^n a_{p+1} p_1 + b \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(p - 1) + l}{1 + l} \right)^n p_2, \\ 3 \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(p + 2) + l}{1 + l} \right)^n a_{p+3} &= b \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(p + 1) + l}{1 + l} \right)^n a_{p+2} p_1 \\ &\quad + b \left(\frac{1 + \lambda p + l}{1 + l} \right)^n a_{p+1} p_2 \\ &\quad + b \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(p - 1) + l}{1 + l} \right)^n p_3, \\ 4 \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(p + 3) + l}{1 + l} \right)^n a_{p+4} &= b \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(p + 2) + l}{1 + l} \right)^n a_{p+3} p_1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ b \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(p + 1) + l}{1 + l} \right)^n a_{p+2} p_2 \\
 &+ b \left(\frac{1 + \lambda p + l}{1 + l} \right)^n a_{p+1} p_3 \\
 &+ b \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(p - 1) + l}{1 + l} \right)^n p_4.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, if we let $p = 1$ and using (3) on the above then

$$\begin{aligned}
 |a_2| &\leq \frac{|b|(A - B)}{(1 - d^2) \left(\frac{1 + \lambda + l}{1 + l} \right)^n}, \\
 |a_3| &\leq \frac{|b|^2(A - B)^2 + |b|(A - B)(1 + d)}{2(1 - d^2) \left(\frac{1 + 2\lambda + l}{1 + l} \right)^n}, \\
 |a_4| &\leq \frac{|b|^3(A - B)^3 + 3|b|^2(A - B)^2(1 + d) + 2|b|(A - B)(1 + d)^2}{2.3(1 - d^2)^3 \left(\frac{1 + 3\lambda + l}{1 + l} \right)^n}, \\
 |a_5| &\leq \left(|b|^4(A - B)^4 + 4|b|^3(A - B)^3(1 + d) + 4|b|^2(A - B)^2(1 + d)^2 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + |b|(A - B)(1 + d)^3 \right) \left(2.3.4(1 - d^2)^4 \left(\frac{1 + 4\lambda + l}{1 + l} \right)^n \right)^{-1}. \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

This complete the proof of Theorem 2.1.

If $n = 0$ in Theorem 2.1, above, then we have for $k \geq 1$ and $p = 1$.

Corollary 2. *Let $f \in \delta_1(A, B, b, \omega, \lambda, l, 0)$ then:*

$$\begin{aligned}
 |a_2| &\leq \frac{|b|(A - B)}{(1 - d^2)}, \\
 |a_3| &\leq \frac{|b|^2(A - B)^2 + |b|(A - B)(1 + d)}{2(1 - d^2)}, \\
 |a_4| &\leq \frac{|b|^3(A - B)^3 + 3|b|^2(A - B)^2(1 + d) + 2|b|(A - B)(1 + d)^2}{2.3(1 - d^2)^3}, \\
 |a_5| &\leq \left(|b|^4(A - B)^4 + 4|b|^3(A - B)^3(1 + d) + 4|b|^2(A - B)^2(1 + d)^2 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + |b|(A - B)(1 + d)^3 \right) \left(2.3.4(1 - d^2)^4 \right)^{-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Also, setting $n = d = 0$ and $p = 1$ in Theorem 1, we receive.

Corollary 3. *Let $f \in \delta_1(A, B, b, \omega, \lambda, l, 0)$, then*

$$\begin{aligned}
 |a_2| &\leq |b|(A - B), \\
 |a_3| &\leq \frac{|b|(A - B)[1 + |b|(A - B)]}{2}, \\
 |a_4| &\leq \frac{|b|(A - B)[2 + 3|b|(A - B) + |b|^2(A - B)^2]}{2.3}, \\
 |a_5| &\leq \frac{|b|(A - B)[1 + 4|b|(A - B) + 4|b|^2(A - B)^2 + |b|^3(A - B)^3]}{2.3.4}.
 \end{aligned}$$

With several other choices of the parameters involved both the existing and many new coefficient estimate could be obtained.

Our next result shows the relevance of our class $\delta_1(A, B, b, \omega, \lambda, l, 0)$ to the famous Fekete-Zsego Theorem.

Theorem 4. *Let $f \in \delta_1(A, B, b, \omega, \lambda, l, n)$, then*

$$\left| a_3 - \mu a_2^2 \right| \leq \frac{|b|(A - B) \left[|b|(A - B) + (1 + d) \left(\frac{1 + \lambda + l}{1 + l} \right)^n - 2\mu |b|(A - B) \left(\frac{1 + 2\lambda + l}{1 + l} \right)^n \right]}{2(1 - d^2)^2 \left(\frac{1 + 2\lambda + l}{1 + l} \right)^n \left(\frac{1 + \lambda + l}{1 + l} \right)^{2n}}.$$

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 2.1. With various choices of parameters involved, several connections of our class to the well known Fekete-Zsego Theorem could be obtained. □

If $n = 0$ in Theorem 4, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 5. *Let $f \in \delta_1(A, B, b, \omega, \lambda, l, 0)$, then*

$$\left| a_3 - \mu a_2^2 \right| \leq \frac{|b|(A - B) \left[|b|(A - B) + (1 + d) - 2\mu |b|(A - B) \right]}{2(1 - d^2)^2}.$$

Setting $n = d = 0$, we have

Corollary 6. *Let $f \in \delta_1(A, B, b, \omega, \lambda, l, 0)$, then*

$$\left| a_3 - \mu a_2^2 \right| \leq \frac{|b|(A - B) \left[1 + |b|(A - B) - 2\mu |b|(A - B) \right]}{2}.$$

Also, if $n = \lambda = 1$, and $l = 0$, we have

Corollary 7. Let $f \in \delta_1(A, B, b, \omega, 1, 0, 1)$

$$\left| a_3 - \mu a_2^2 \right| \leq \frac{|b|(A - B) \left[|b|(A - B) + 2(1 + d) - 6\mu|b|(A - B) \right]}{2.2.3(1 - d)^2}.$$

Setting $n = \lambda = 1$ and $l = d = 0$, we obtain

Corollary 8. Let $f \in \delta_1(A, B, b, \omega, 1, 0, 1)$

$$\left| a_3 - \mu a_2^2 \right| \leq \frac{|b|(A - B) \left[2 + |b|(A - B) - 6\mu|b|(A - B) \right]}{2.2.3}.$$

References

- [1] A. Akbarally, S. Cik Sohb and M. Ismail, On the properties of a class of p -valent functions defined by salagean differential operator, *Int. Journal of Math. Analysis*, **5**, No. 21 (2011), 1035-1045.
- [2] M. Acu and S.Owa, On some subclasses of univalent functions, *Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **6**, No. 3, Article 70 (2005), 1-14.
- [3] M.K Aouf, A.Shamandy, A.O Mostafa, and S.M Madian, A subclass of M-W starlike functions, *Acta Universitatis Apulensis*, No. 21 (2010), 135-142.
- [4] R.M. Goel and B.C. Mehok, A subclass of starlike functions with respect to symmetric points, *Tamkang J. Math.*, **13**, No. 1 (1982), 11-24.
- [5] S.Kanas, and F.Ronning, Uniformly starlike and convex functions and other related classes of univalent functions, *Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Sklodowska section A*, **53** (1999), 95-105.
- [6] A.T. Oladipo, On subclasses of univalent functions, *Advances in Applied Mathematical Analysis*, **4**, No. 2 (2009), 87-93.
- [7] A. T. Oladipo and D. Breaz, On the family of Bazilevic functions, *Acta Universitatis Apulensis*, No. 24 (2004).
- [8] A. T. Oladipo and S.O. Olatunji, On new subclasses of analytic functions with respect to other points defined by aouf et al. operator, *ICASTOR Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, **5**, No. 2 (2011) 245-253.
- [9] S.S. Seker and B. Eker, On a class of multivalent functions defined by Salagean Operator, *General Mathematics*, **15** (2007), 154-163.
- [10] C. Selvaraj and N. Vasanthi, Subclasses of analytic functions with respect to symmetric and conjugate points, *Tamkang Journal of Mathematics*, **42**, No. 1 (2011), 87-94.
- [11] J. K Wald, *On Starlike Functions*, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Delaware, New Ark, Delaware (1978).