

INVESTIGATION OF SOME PROPERTIES OF
THE COHOMOLOGY OF $SO(n)$ AND
ITS CLASSIFYING SPACE

Ayşe Çobankaya

Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science and Literature
Çukurova University
Adana, TURKEY

Abstract: In this paper we determine those generators of $H^*(SO(n), \mathbb{Z}_2)$ which are connected by Steenrod operations. We also study some properties of $H^*(X_G)$ using the Leray - Serre spectral sequence of the fibration $X \rightarrow X_G \rightarrow B_G$.

AMS Subject Classification: 18G40, 57T10, 55R10

Key Words: steenrod operations, spectral sequence, Borel cohomology

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

In 1878 Lucas [1] gave a method to determine the value of $\binom{n}{m} \pmod{p}$ easily: Let m_0 and n_0 be the least non-negative remainders of m and $n \pmod{p}$, respectively. Then

$$\binom{n}{m} = \binom{\left\lfloor \frac{n}{p} \right\rfloor}{\left\lfloor \frac{m}{p} \right\rfloor} \binom{n_0}{m_0} \pmod{p},$$

where $\lfloor x \rfloor$ denotes the greatest integer $\leq x$, and we use the convention $\binom{r}{s} = 0$ if $r < s$.

Received: January 2, 2017

Revised: January 20, 2017

Published: February 9, 2017

© 2017 Academic Publications, Ltd.

url: www.acadpubl.eu

Expressing

$$n = n_0 + n_1p + n_2p^2 + \dots + n_dp^d$$

and

$$m = m_0 + m_1p + m_2p^2 + \dots + m_dp^d$$

in base p (so that $0 \leq m_i, n_i \leq p - 1$ for each i), this may also be expressed as

$$\binom{n}{m} \equiv \binom{n_0}{m_0} \binom{n_1}{m_1} \dots \binom{n_d}{m_d} \pmod{p}.$$

2. Main Results

Theorem 1 (Cohomology Leray-Serre Spectral Sequence, see [3]). *Let R be a commutative ring with unit. Suppose $F \hookrightarrow E \rightarrow B$ is a fibration, where B is path-connected and F is connected. Then there is a first quadrant spectral sequence of algebras, $\{E_r^{*,*}, d_r\}$, converging to $H^*(E, R)$ as an algebra, with $E_2^{p,q} \cong H^p(B, H^q(F, R))$: the cohomology of the space B with local coefficients in the cohomology of the fiber F .*

Remark 2. When G is connected (its classifying space), B_G is simply connected, hence the local coefficient system is simple. Therefore (for the Leray-Serre spectral sequence for the universal G bundle $E_G \rightarrow B_G$)

$$H^p(B_G, H^q(G, R)) \cong H^p(B_G, R) \otimes_R H^q(G, R),$$

when R is a field, see [3].

From now on all cohomologies will have coefficients in \mathbb{Z}_2 . We have

$$H^i(SO(n), \mathbb{Z}_2) = (\Delta(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{n-1}))^i.$$

For which, an additive basis is $\{(e_{i_1} \cdots e_{i_k}) \mid 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k, i_1 + i_2 + \dots + i_k = i\}$ (with obvious commutative multiplication), see [4].

Definition 3 (Steenrod Operations, see [5]). The operations Sq^i have the following properties:

1. $Sq^i : H^j(X, A, \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow H^{i+j}(X, A, \mathbb{Z}_2)$;
2. $Sq^0 = Id$ (identity homomorphism);
3. If $p < i$, $Sq^i(u) = 0$, for all $u \in H^p(X, A, \mathbb{Z}_2)$;

4. $Sq^i(u) = u^2$ (for all $u \in H^i(X, A, \mathbb{Z}_2)$);
5. $Sq^k(u \cup v) = \sum_{i+j=k} Sq^i(u) \cup Sq^j(v)$ ($u, v \in H^q(X, A, \mathbb{Z}_2)$);
6. Sq^i is natural homomorphism. If $f : (X, A) \rightarrow (Y, B)$, $H^{k+i}(f)Sq^i = Sq^iH^k(f)$;
7. $\delta^*Sq^i = Sq^i\delta^*$ where $\delta^* : H^*(A, \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow H^*(X, A, \mathbb{Z}_2)$.

Theorem 4 (see [4]). *Let k be a field of characteristic 2.*

1. For $e_i \in H^i(SO(n), k)$, one has

$$H^*(SO(n), k) = \Delta(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{n-1}).$$

2. $e_i^2 = e_{2i}$, ($e_i^2 = 0$ if $2i \geq n$), hence

$$H^*(SO(n), \mathbb{Z}_2) = \frac{\mathbb{Z}_2[x_1, x_3, \dots, x_{2m-1}]}{(e_1^{a_1}, e_3^{a_2}, \dots, e_{2m-1}^{a_m})},$$

for $m = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, a_i is the smallest power of 2 for which $a_i(2i - 1) \geq n$.

3. If $k = \mathbb{Z}_2$, then $Sq^j(e_i) = \binom{i}{j}_2 e_{i+j}$, for $j \leq i$, $i + j < n$, (0 , for $i + j \geq n$).

Let the generators of $H^*(SO(n), \mathbb{Z}_2)$ (e_i ($1 \leq i < n$)) be vertices and let us connect e_i and e_j if $i < j$ and $Sq^{j-i}e_i = e_j$. We will call this the graph of $H^*(SO(n); \mathbb{Z}_2)$

Theorem 5. *Graph of $H^*(SO(n); \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is connected for $n \neq 2^k$. For $n = 2^k$ graph is disconnected, with one isolated vertex corresponding to e_{2^k-1} .*

Proof. By induction. For, $n = 2, 3, 4$ the claim is true.

1. Suppose that for $n = 2^k$ claim is true. We prove the claim for $n + 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} H^*(SO(2^k), \mathbb{Z}_2) &= \Delta(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{2^k-1}), \\ H^*(SO(2^k + 1), \mathbb{Z}_2) &= \Delta(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{2^k}), \\ Sq^1 e_{2^k-1} &= \binom{2^k - 1}{1}_2 e_{2^k} = e_{2^k}, \\ Sq^2 e_{2^k-2} &= \binom{2^k - 2}{2}_2 e_{2^k} \\ &= \frac{(2^k - 2)!}{(2^k - 4)!2!} e_{2^k} = \frac{(2^k - 3)(2^k - 2)}{2} e_{2^k} \end{aligned}$$

$$=(2^k - 3)(2^{k-1} - 1)e_{2^k} = e_{2^k} \pmod{2}.$$

2. For $n \neq 2^k$ and $n + 1 \neq 2^k$, we prove that the claim is true:

$$\begin{aligned} H^*(SO(n), \mathbb{Z}_2) &= \Delta(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{n-1}), \\ H^*(SO(n + 1), \mathbb{Z}_2) &= \Delta(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n). \end{aligned}$$

Let $1 \leq 2^k - 1 \leq n - 1$. Since

$$Sq^{1-2^k+n}e_{2^k-1} = \binom{2^k - 1}{1 - 2^k + n}_2 e_n = e_n$$

(by Lucas's Theorem) for $n \neq 2^k$ and $n + 1 \neq 2^k$. So, our hypothesis is true.

3. Let $n \neq 2^k$ and $n + 1 = 2^k$. Then

$$H^*(SO(n + 1), \mathbb{Z}_2) = \Delta(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n),$$

$\forall i \leq 2^k - 1$. We will show that there is no path from e_i to e_n .

For $j \neq 0, j \leq i, i + j = n = 2^k - 1, Sq^j e_i = \binom{i}{j}_2 e_{i+j} = 0e_{2^k-1} = 0$, by Lucas' Theorem. □

Claim 6. *If $f : SO(n) \rightarrow X$ be a continuous map such that $e_{2^k-1} \in \text{Im } f^*, 0 < k < \frac{\ln n}{\ln 2}$ (where $f^* : H^*(X, \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow H^*(SO(n), \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is the induced homomorphism in cohomology). Then f^* is onto.*

Proof. By Lucas' theorem, for every $i \leq 2^{k-1} - 1$, we have $\binom{2^{k-1}-1}{i}_2 = 1$.

Since $Sq^i e_j = \binom{j}{i}_2 e_{i+j}, i \leq j$, for $i \leq 2^{k-1} - 1$, we obtain $Sq^i e_{2^{k-1}-1} = e_{2^{k-1}+i-1}$.

Since there are $a_1, a_3, \dots, a_{2^k-1} \in H^*(X)$ such that $f^*(a_{2^k-1}) = e_{2^k-1}$ with $0 < k < \frac{\ln n}{\ln 2}$. For $i \leq 2^{k-1} - 1$, we obtain $f^*(Sq^i a_{2^k-1}) = Sq^i(e_{2^k-1}) = e_{2^{k-1}-1+i}$, since the Steenrod squares are natural. Thus, f^* is onto. □

Definition 7 (see [3]). Given a fibration $F \hookrightarrow E \rightarrow B$, if the homomorphism $i^* : H^*(E, k) \rightarrow H^*(F, k)$ is onto, we say F is totally nonhomologous to zero (TNHZ) (in $F \hookrightarrow E \rightarrow B$ with respect to k)

Proposition 8 (see [3]). *Given a fibration $F \hookrightarrow E \rightarrow B$. F is totally nonhomologous to zero in E with respect to k if and only if the spectral sequence, $E_r(B, E, F)$ (with coefficients in k), collapses at the E_2 -term.*

Theorem 9 (Wu's Formula, see [4]). $H^*(BSO(n), \mathbb{Z}_2) = \mathbb{Z}_2[w_2, w_3, \dots, w_{n-1}]$,

$$Sq^j w_i = \sum_{k=0}^j \binom{i-k-1}{j-k}_2 w_{i+j-k} w_k \quad (0 \leq j \leq i)$$

(assuming $w_0 = 1$ and $w_1 = 0$).

Definition 10 (see [3]). Suppose $F \hookrightarrow E \rightarrow B$ is fibration and $x \in H^r(F)$. We say that x is transgressive if $d_2(x) = d_3(x) = \dots = d_r(x) = 0$ and $d_{r+1}(x) : H^r(F) \rightarrow H^{r+1}(B)$, $d_{r+1}(x) \neq 0$ The induced homomorphism from a submodule of $H^r(F)$ to a quotient of $H^{r+1}(B)$ is called transgression and is usually denoted by τ .

Alternative definition of the transgression map is:

Definition 11 (see [2]). Given a fibration $F \hookrightarrow E \xrightarrow{p} B$ with base B and fibre F . The long exact sequence of cohomology of the pair (E, F) :

$$\dots \rightarrow H^{r-1}(F) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^r(E, F) \rightarrow H^r(E) \rightarrow \dots$$

Let τ denote the homomorphism, $\tau : \delta^{-1}(\text{Im } p^*) \rightarrow \frac{H^r(B)}{\text{Ker } p^*}$, given by $\tau(x) = y + \text{Ker } p^*$ where $\delta(x) = p^*(y)$. The homomorphism τ is called the transgression.

Proposition 12 (see [2]). If $x \in H^*(F)$ is transgressive then $Sq^i(x)$ is also transgressive and $\tau(Sq^i(x)) = Sq^i(\tau(x))$ (coefficients in \mathbb{Z}_2).

Theorem 13 (see [3]). Given a fibration $F \hookrightarrow E \rightarrow B$ with B path connected and F connected, the following hold for its associated cohomology Leray-Serre spectral sequence

1. $E_n^{n,0} \cong \frac{H^n(B)}{\text{Ker } p^*}$;
2. $E_n^{0,n-1} \cong \delta^{-1}(\text{Im } p_0^*) \subseteq H^{n-1}(F)$;
3. $d_n : E_n^{0,n-1} \rightarrow E_n^{n,0}$ is the transgression.

Theorem 14 (see [3]). Given a fibration $F \hookrightarrow E \rightarrow B$ with B path connected and F connected, and for which the system of local coefficients on B is simple; then the composites

$$H^q(B, R) = E_2^{q,0} \rightarrow E_3^{q,0} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow E_{q+1}^{q,0} = E_\infty^{q,0} \subset H^q(E, R),$$

and

$$H^q(E, R) \rightarrow E_\infty^{0,q} = E_{q+2}^{0,q} \subset E_q^{0,q} \subset \dots \subset E_2^{0,q} = H^q(F, R)$$

are the homomorphisms, $p^* : H^q(B, R) \rightarrow H^q(E, R)$ and $i^* : H^q(E, R) \rightarrow H^q(F, R)$, respectively (Edge homomorphisms).

Theorem 15. *Let $X \hookrightarrow E \rightarrow B_G$ be a fibration such that $X = G = SO(n)$. Then $e_1 \in \text{Im } i^* \Leftrightarrow e_2 \in \text{Im } i^*$.*

Proof. The necessity is clear, by Claim 6.

Sufficiency. Let $e_1 \notin \text{Im } i^*$. We'll prove $e_2 \notin \text{Im } i^*$.

Assume that $d_2(e_1) = 0$. Then $e_1 \in E_3^{0,q}$ since $E_3^{0,q} = \ker d_2$, $e_1 \in E_4^{0,q}$ since $d_3(e_1) = 0$ and $E_4^{0,q} = \ker d_3$. If we continue in this way, then $e_1 \in E_\infty^{0,q}$. \square

Since $E_2^{1,0}$ is 0 so is $E_\infty^{1,0}$ Therefore $H^1(E) \cong E_\infty^{1,0} \cong E_2^{1,0}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 H^1(E) & \xrightarrow{i^*} & H^1(X) \\
 \cong \downarrow & \nearrow i & \\
 E_\infty^{0,1} & &
 \end{array}$$

The above diagram (i is edge homomorphism) is commutative. So there is $a_1 \in H^*(E)$ such that $i^*(a_1) = e_1$. Contradiction. Thus if $e_1 \notin \text{Im } i^*$ then $d_2(e_1) \neq 0$. Let $d_2 : E_2^{0,1} \rightarrow E_2^{2,0}$, $d_2(e_1) = w_2 \neq 0$. (so $E_2^{2,0} = 0$, hence $E_\infty^{2,0} = 0$) Since d_2 is transgression and e_1 is transgressive, we find that $Sq^1 e_1 = e_2$ is transgressive and $Sq^1 d_2 e_1 = d_3 Sq^1 e_1$. So $d_3 e_2 = Sq^1 w_2$. $Sq^1 w_2 = \binom{1}{1}_2 w_3 \cdot w_0 + \binom{0}{0}_2 w_2 \cdot w_1 = w_3$ (Since $w_1 = 0$ in $H^*(B_{SO(n)})$) $d_2 : E_2^{0,2} \rightarrow E_2^{2,1}$, $d_2(e_2) = 0$ and $d_3(e_2) \neq 0$. $e_2 \notin \text{Ker } d_3$, since $d_3(e_2) \neq 0$. $e_2 \in E_3^{0,2}$, since $e_2 \in E_2^{0,2}$ and $d_2(e_2) = 0$ $e_2 \notin E_4^{0,2}, \dots, e_2 \notin E_\infty^{0,2}$, because $d_3(e_2) \neq 0$. $E_2^{0,2} = H^2(SO(n)) = \langle e_2 \rangle$, $E_3^{0,2} = \text{Ker}\{E_2^{0,2} \rightarrow E_2^{2,1}\}$ $E_2^{0,2} = E_3^{0,2}$, since $d_2(e_2) = 0$. $E_4^{0,2} = \text{Ker}\{E_3^{0,2} \rightarrow E_3^{3,0}\}$, $d_3(e_2) \neq 0$, since $E_4^{0,2} = \{0\}$ $E_\infty^{0,2} = \{0\}$ since $E_\infty^{0,2} \subseteq E_4^{0,2} = 0$.

Also, obviously $E_\infty^{1,1} = 0$ since $E_2^{1,1} = 0$. Since $E_\infty^{0,2} = E_\infty^{1,1} = E_\infty^{2,0} = 0$, we see that $H^2(E) = 0$. Therefore $e_2 \notin \text{Im } i^*$.

References

- [1] E. Lucas, Théorie des Fonctions Numériques Simplement Périodiques, *American Journal of Mathematics*, **1**, No. 3 (1878), 197-240.
- [2] E.H. Spanier, *Algebraic Topology*, McGraw-Hill, 1966.
- [3] J. McCleary, *A User's Guide to Spectral Sequences*, Cambridge University Press, 2001.
- [4] M. Mimura, H. Toda, *Lie Groups, I and II*, American Mathematical Society, 1991.
- [5] N.E. Steenrod, *Cohomology Operations*, Lectures by N.E. Steenrod written and revised by D. B. A. Epstein. Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 50, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1962.

