

**ON THE HOPFICITY OF COMPLETELY DECOMPOSABLE  
TORSION-FREE ABELIAN GROUPS**

Evgeniy V. Kaygorodov<sup>1 §</sup>, Marina V. Chanchieva<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1,2</sup>Faculty of Physics and Mathematics

Department of Mathematics and Informatics

Gorno-Altai State University

649000, GASU, Lenkin Str. 1, Gorno-Altai, Altai Republic, RUSSIA

---

**Abstract:** The property of Hopficity is studied in a well-known class of Abelian groups – completely decomposable torsion-free groups. Examples of non-Hopfian completely decomposable torsion-free group are constructed.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 16Txx, 20Kxx

**Key Words:** Hopficity, Abelian group, completely decomposable groups, homogeneous group, torsion-free group

---

## 1. Introduction

In 1932 Swiss mathematician Heinz Hopf arised the following question: could the finitely generated group be isomorphic to its own factor group? Accordingly,  $G$  group is called *Hopfian* one if its any surjective endomorphism is the isomorphism. In a dual way,  $G$  group is called *co-Hopfian* one if its any injective endomorphism is the isomorphism.

---

Received: December 4, 2016

Revised: March 1, 2017

Published: March 19, 2017

© 2017 Academic Publications, Ltd.

url: [www.acadpubl.eu](http://www.acadpubl.eu)

<sup>§</sup>Correspondence author

The first general result concerning Hopf's question was represented by Malcev theorem stating the Hopficity of arbitrary finitely generated  $F$ -approximating group [1]. The first example of the finitely generated non-Hopfian group was given by Neumann [2]; non-Hopfian group constructed by him has two forming elements but requires the infinite set of defining relationships. Higman has constructed the example of non-Hopfian group with three forming and two defining relationships [3]. Minimal in this respect examples of non-Hopfian groups with two forming and one defining relationships were specified in Baumslag and Solitar paper [4]. Later results concerning non-Hopfian groups are given in [5], [6], [7].

Evidently that the start of Hopfian groups systematic study was given by Hirshon's works [8], [9], [10], [11], [12], [13], [13], [15] in which there were found many sufficient signs of two groups direct product Hopficity and arisen the open problems. The examples of super-Hopfian<sup>1</sup> groups are given in [8].

Investigations concerning the Hopfian Abelian groups are very few and have the unfinished pattern. Nevertheless, the results of these investigations are expressive and valuable. Works of Baumslag [16], [17], [18], Corner [19], Takashi and Irwin [20], Goldmith and Gong [21], [22], [23], [24], Braun and Strungmann [25] are the most significant. Specifically, Corner has constructed the beautiful and at first sight quite surprising examples of  $A$ ,  $B$  and  $C$  Hopfian Abelian torsion-free groups which are such that  $A \oplus B$ ,  $C \oplus C$  direct sums are not the Hopfian groups. Goldmith and Gong papers contain, along with Hopfian and *co-Hopfian*<sup>2</sup> Abelian groups, consideration of the super (co)-Hopfian and hereditarily (co)-Hopfian Abelian groups as well as some related problems.

In the present work we continue the Hopfian Abelian groups investigation which was begun in [26]. One condition of the completely decomposable torsion-free Abelian group Hopficity is presented in the second paragraph. The third paragraph contains the constructed examples of the non-Hopfian completely decomposable torsion-free Abelian groups.

Let's assume the following conditions. Hereinafter the term "group" defines the additively written Abelian group. All designations and terms are standard and comply with [27], [28], [29]. Necessary definitions and results are given as needed. Symbol  $\square$  means the proof end or its absence.

---

<sup>1</sup>Group is called the super-Hopfian (super-co-Hopfian) if its any epimorphic image is the Hopfian (co-Hopfian, respectively) group.

<sup>2</sup> $\mathbf{G}$  group is called the hereditarily Hopfian (hereditarily co-Hopfian) if any subgroup of  $\mathbf{G}$  group is Hopfian (co-Hopfian, respectively) one.

## 2. Sufficient Condition of Completely Decomposable Torsion-Free Group Hopficity

There are exist quiet wide classes of the periodic groups being rather describable with the help of invariants, however the torsion-free group classes with the sufficiently developed structural theory are few and relatively small. Class of the completely decomposable groups is one of them. Torsion-free  $A$  group is called the *completely decomposable* if such group is the result of rank 1 groups direct sum. Free and divisible groups without torsion represent the simple examples of completely decomposable groups.

The theorem below partially answers the following question: Under what conditions the completely decomposable torsion-free group is the Hopfian one?

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $A$  is the completely decomposable torsion-free group and all its homogeneous components have the finite rank at that, and set of types of group rank 1 direct summands satisfies the minimality condition. Then  $A$  is the Hopfian group.*

**Proving.** Let's write direct decomposition  $A = \bigoplus_{i \in I} A_i$ , where  $A_i$  –  $A$  group homogenous components. Under hypothesis of theorem, set of types  $\Omega(A) = \{t(A_i) \mid i \in I\}$  satisfies the minimality condition. For every  $k \in I$  it can be written  $A_k \otimes B_k$ , where  $B_k = \bigotimes_{i \neq k} B_i$ .

Assume on the contrary, that  $A$  group is non-Hopfian. Let's fix some epimorphism  $\phi$  of  $A$  group on itself which is not the automorphism. We'll prove that for any index  $k \in I$  and any non-zero element  $a_k \in A_k$  it is true that  $\phi(a_k) \notin B_k$ , i.e.  $\phi(a_k)$  has non-zero coordinate in  $A_k$  (specifically  $\phi(a_k) \neq 0$ ).

Let firstly index  $k \in I$  is such that type  $t(A_k)$  minimal in the set of types  $\Omega(A)$ . Assume that there was found the element  $a_k \in A_k$  with the following properties:  $\phi(a_k) \neq 0$  and  $\phi(a_k) \in B_k$ .

Recall that for every  $i \in I$  the decomposition  $A = A_i \oplus B_i$ . As the type  $t(A_k)$  is minimal among the types  $t(A_i)$ , then  $\text{Hom}(B_k, A_k) = 0$ . Let  $\pi_k: A \rightarrow A_k$  is projection relative to direct decomposition  $A = A_k \oplus B_k$ . Then  $A_k \subseteq \pi_k \phi A_k$  (or equivalently  $A_k \subseteq \pi_k \phi|_{A_k} A_k$ ), taking into account that  $\phi$  is the epimorphism. From  $a_k \in \text{Ker } A_k \pi_k \phi$  we conclude that  $r(\pi_k \phi A_k) = r(A_k / \text{Ker } \pi_k \phi) < r(A_k)$ . But this inequality is contrary to injection  $A_k \subseteq \pi_k \phi A_k$ .

It is well known that the set of homogeneous component types of completely decomposable torsion-free group is the distributive lattice [28] (§85). We know also that in the partially ordered set the minimality and inductivity conditions are the equivalents [30] (chapter I, §85), therefore further study will be carried out for induction. Namely, let's suppose now that  $k$  is such index that type

$t(A_k)$  is not the minimal in the set of types  $\Omega(A)$  and for all  $l$ , such that  $t(A_t) < t(A_k)$ , the statement is proven.

Let's first show the following. Let  $a_k$  is some non-zero element of homogeneous component  $A_k$ . If  $b$  is such element of  $A$  group that  $\phi(b) = a_k$ , it can be presented as  $b = b_k + y$ , where  $b_k \in A_k, y_k \in B_k$  and  $\phi(b) \in B_k$ . Note that  $b_k \neq 0$ . Assume the contrary condition. Relative to direct decomposition  $A = \bigoplus_{i \in I} A_i$  we'll write:  $b = b_1 + \dots + b_l + b_k + c_1 + \dots + c_t$ . Here  $b_1, \dots, b_l$  are such elements that  $\phi(b_1), \dots, \phi(b_l)$  have the non-zero coordinate in  $A_k$ . According to assumption, such elements definitely exist. Elements  $c_1, \dots, c_t$ , if any, are such that  $\phi(c_1), \dots, \phi(c_t)$  have zero coordinate in  $A_k$ , i.e.  $B_k$  contains the images of these elements ar epimorphism  $\phi$ .

Let's write direct decomposition  $A = A_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A_l \oplus A_k \oplus A'_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A'_t \oplus B$ , where  $A_j$  and  $A'_n$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, l$  and  $n = 1, \dots, t$  are essentially suitable direct summands  $A_i$  from decomposition  $A = \bigoplus_{i \in I} A_i$ ,  $B$  is additional summand. It is understandable that for all  $j$  the equalities  $t(B_j) < t(a_k)$  are true. According to induction assumption, the elements  $\phi(b_1), \dots, \phi(b_l)$  have non-zero coordinates in  $A_1, \dots, A_l$ , respectively. Let for the purpose of determinacy type  $t(b_1)$  is minimal among the types  $t(b_1), \dots, t(b_l)$ . Thus,  $\phi(b_1)$  has non-zero coordinate in  $A_1$ . Clear that among the elements  $c_1, \dots, c_t$  there exist at the least one such that its image at epimorphism  $\phi$  has non-zero coordinate in  $A_1$ . Let  $c_1$  is this element. Then  $t(c_1) < t(b_1) < t(a_k)$ . According to inductive assumption,  $\phi(c_1)$  has non-zero coordinate in  $A'_1$ . So, among the elements  $b_1, \dots, b_l, b_k, c_1, \dots, c_t$  it will be found at the least one such that its image at epimorphism  $\phi$  has non-zero coordinate in  $A'_1$ . Let's designate this element as  $x$ . Then  $t(x) < t(c_1)$ . Taking into account minimality of  $t(b_1)$  and that  $t(c_1) < t(a_k)$ , we get that  $x$  is one of the elements  $c_2, \dots, c_t$ . Let for the purpose of simplification  $x = c_2$ . Thus,  $t(c_2) < t(c_1) < t(a_k)$ . Hence conclude that  $\phi(c_2)$  has non-zero coordinate in  $A_2$ . Then by analogy for the elements  $c_2, \dots, c_t$  and, due to finiteness of their number, we get conflict. Hence  $b_1 = \dots = b_l = 0$ , and therefore  $b = b_k + c_1 + \dots + c_t = b_k + y$ . Recalling that the elements  $\phi(c_1), \dots, \phi(c_t)$  have non-zero coordinate in  $A_k$ , we get  $\phi(y) \in B_k$ .

Let's return to proving of our statement. Assume that there was found the element  $a_k \in A_k$  for which  $\phi(a_k) \neq 0$  and  $a_k \in B_k$ . Let's select in  $A$  group the element  $b$  with property  $\phi(b) = a_k$ . According to proven,  $b = b_k + y$ , where  $b_k \in A_k, y \in B_k$  and  $\phi(y) \in B_k$  (as it was already noted,  $b_k \neq 0$ ).

Applying lemma 86.8 [28], we'll get the direct decomposition  $A_k = \langle a_k \rangle * \oplus C$  for some  $C$  group. Let's write element  $b_k$  relative to this decomposition:  $b_k = a' + c_k$ . Here  $c_k \neq 0$ . Really, if  $c_k = 0$ , then  $a_k = \phi(b) = \phi(b_k) + \phi(y) = \phi(a') + \phi(y)$ . But  $a' \in \langle a_k \rangle * \oplus C$  and  $\phi(a_k) \in B_k$ , therefore  $\phi(a') \in B_k$ , Hence

$a_k \in B_k$  that is impossible. Further,  $\phi(b_k) = \phi(b) - \phi(y) \in \langle a_k \rangle * \oplus B_k$  and  $\phi(c_k) = \phi(b_k) - \phi(a') \in \langle a_k \rangle * \oplus B_k$ .

Now let's write the direct decomposition  $A_k = \langle a_k \rangle * \oplus \langle c_k \rangle * \oplus E$  for some  $E$  group. Then we'll perform the similar step. Namely, there exists the element  $d \in A$  with property  $\phi(d) = c_k$ . Then  $d = d_k + z$ , where  $d_k \in A_k, d_k \neq 0, \phi(z) \in B_k$ . Write  $d_k = a'' + c'' + e_k$  where  $a'' \in \langle a_k \rangle *, c'' \in \langle c_k \rangle *, e_k \in E$ . Here again  $e_k \neq 0$ , as from  $e_k = 0$  we get  $c_k = \phi(d) = \phi(d_k) + \phi(z) = \phi(a'') + \phi(c'') + \phi(z)$ , therefore  $c_k \in \langle a_k \rangle * \oplus B_k$ . But this is impossible. Consequently, there takes place the direct decomposition  $A_k = \langle a_k \rangle * \oplus \langle c_k \rangle * \oplus \langle e_k \rangle * \oplus G$  for some  $G$  group, and  $\phi(e_k) \in \langle a_k \rangle * \oplus \langle c_k \rangle * \oplus B_k$ .

By analogy we'll get the direct decompositions of homogenous component  $A_k$  with any amount of the rank 1 direct summands. But it is impossible due to finiteness of  $A_k$  group rank. So, we get conflict. Thus, we have proven that for any  $k \in I$  and any non-zero  $a_k \in A_k$  there will be  $\phi(a_k) \notin B_k$ .

Let's select now in  $A$  group such non-zero element  $a$ , that  $\phi(a) = 0$ . Write  $a = a_1 + \dots + a_n$ , where  $a_n \in A_i$ , and  $\phi(a_i) \neq 0$  for every  $I$  at that. Let for the purpose of determinacy the type  $t(a_1)$  is minimal among the types  $t(a_1), \dots, t(a_n)$ . We state that  $\phi(a_2) \in B_1, \dots, \phi(a_n) \in B_1$ . If, for example,  $\phi(a_2) \notin B_1$ , then  $\phi(a_2)$  has non-zero coordinate  $w$  in  $A_1$ . Thus,  $t(a_2) \leq t(w) = t(a_1)$ . Strict inequality is impossible on base of  $t(a_1)$  minimality. We have  $t(a_2) = \dots = t(a_n) = t(a_1)$ , hence  $\phi(a_2) \in B_1, \dots, \phi(a_n) \in B_1$ . It is clear that then  $\phi(a_1) \in B_1$ . We obtained that  $a_1 \in A_1$ , and  $\phi(a_1) \in B_1$ . But such situation is impossible as established above, therefore our assumption on  $A$  group non-Hopficity is incorrect.  $\square$

On base of proven theorem it is simply to understand that there exists the variety of non-Hopfian completely decomposable torsion-free groups. It is usefully to construct the examples of such groups.

### 3. Examples of Non-Hopfian Completely Decomposable Torsion-Free Group Hopficity

Prior to give the examples, let's formulate one auxiliary question and give the answer. Let  $A, B, C$  are the rank 1 torsion-free groups, and  $t(B) < t(A)$  and  $t(C) < t(A)$ . Let's explore when the epimorphism  $B \oplus C \rightarrow A$ .

As  $t(B) < t(A)$  and  $t(C) < t(A)$ ,  $B$  and  $C$  can be considered as the subgroups in  $A$ . In this case the epimorphism  $B \oplus C \rightarrow A$  existence is equivalence to  $t(B + C) = t(A)$  equality satisfaction. Really, let  $\phi: B \oplus C \rightarrow A$  is some epimorphism. Then,  $\phi(B \oplus C) = \phi(B) + \phi(C) = A$ . It is clear that the

limitations  $\phi|_B : B \rightarrow A$ ,  $\phi|_C : C \rightarrow A$  are essentially the monomorphisms. Consequently,  $\phi(B) \cong B$  and  $\phi(C) \cong C$ . If we consider that  $B$  and  $C$  are the subgroups in  $A$ , then  $\phi(B)$  and  $B$  are quasiequal groups, and similarly  $\phi(C)$  and  $C$  are quasiequal groups. Hence  $B + C$  and  $A$  are quasiequal groups too. Consequently,  $t(B + C) = t(A)$ .

Let's assume inversely that  $t(B + C) = t(A)$ . Then  $B + C$  and  $A$  are quasiequal groups. More precisely,  $B + C = nA$  for some natural number  $n$ . Now it is simply to construct the epimorphism  $B \oplus C \rightarrow A$ . Let  $\phi: B \oplus C \rightarrow B + C$  is sum of some isomorphisms  $B \cong B$  and  $C \cong C$ . Then let's take the isomorphism  $\psi: nA \rightarrow A$ ,  $\psi: na \rightarrow a$ . Composition  $\psi\phi$  will represent the epimorphism  $B \oplus C \rightarrow A$ .

Thus, the epimorphism  $B \oplus C \rightarrow A$  existence is equivalently to equality  $t(B + C) = t(A)$ , if  $B$  and  $C$  are considered as the subgroups in  $A$ .

The next simple fact is initiated by theorem 1.4 [31] which contains description of rank 1 torsion-free factor group.

**Lemma 3.1 [31, §1, exercise 1.6]** *If  $B$  and  $C$  are the subgroups of rank 1 torsion-free group, then  $t(B + C) = \sup\{t(B), t(C)\}$ .  $\square$*

This lemma along with the previous reasoning leads to such result.

**Consequence 3.2.** Let  $A$ ,  $B$  and  $C$  are the rank 1 torsion-free group, and  $t(B) < t(A)$  and  $t(C) < t(A)$ . Then the epimorphism  $B \oplus C \rightarrow A$  existence is equivalently to  $\sup\{t(B), t(C)\} = t(A)$  equality validity.  $\square$

Note that lemma 3.1 and consequence 3.2. can be apply to any finite set subgroups of rank 1 torsion-free group [31] (§1, exercise 1.6).

With the help of consequence 3.2 it is now easily to construct the non-Hopfian completely decomposable torsion-free groups where all homogeneous components have the finite rank. Namely, we can select the rank 1 torsion-free groups  $A_1^{(1)}, A_2^{(1)}, A_2^{(2)}$  in such way that the epimorphism  $A_2^{(1)} \oplus A_2^{(2)} \rightarrow A_1^{(1)}$  exists. Then let select the groups  $A_3^{(1)}, A_3^{(2)}, A_3^{(3)}$  and  $A_3^{(4)}$  for which the epimorphisms  $A_3^{(1)} \oplus A_3^{(2)} \rightarrow A_2^{(1)}, A_3^{(3)} \oplus A_3^{(4)} \rightarrow A_2^{(2)}$  exist. Then we repeat the analogical constructions for every from groups  $A_3^{(1)}, A_3^{(2)}, A_3^{(3)}, A_3^{(4)}$ , etc. Let  $A$  means the direct sum

$$\oplus A_1^{(1)} \oplus (A_2^{(1)} \oplus A_2^{(2)}) \oplus (A_3^{(1)} \oplus A_3^{(2)} \oplus A_3^{(3)} \oplus A_3^{(4)}) \oplus \dots$$

of all constructed with specified method groups  $A_i^{(j)}$ ,  $i, j \in N$ . Representation which transfers  $A_1^{(1)}$  group to zero, coincides on  $A_2^{(1)} \oplus A_2^{(2)}$  with any epimorphism  $A_2^{(1)} \oplus A_2^{(2)} \rightarrow A_1^{(1)}$ , on  $A_3^{(1)} \oplus A_3^{(2)}$  with any epimorphism  $A_3^{(1)} \oplus A_3^{(2)} \rightarrow A_2^{(1)}$ , etc., will be the epimorphism but not the automorphism of  $A$  group. Therefore,  $A$  is non-Hopfian group.

Specifically, assuming that  $t(A_1^{(1)}) = (1, 1, 1, 1, \dots)$ ,  $t(A_2^{(1)}) = (1, 0, 1, 0, \dots)$ ,  $t(A_2^{(2)}) = (0, 1, 0, 1, \dots)$ , etc., we'll get the specific example of non-Hopfian completely decomposable torsion-free group.

Evidently that the more sophisticated non-Hopfian completely decomposable groups exist. In the knots of the correspondent trees showing such groups, the direct sums of rank 1 any groups may be present.

### References

- [1] A.I. Malcev, On isomorphic matrix representations of infinite groups, *Recreational Mathematics*, **8** (1940), 405-422.
- [2] B.H. Neumann, A two-generator group isomorphic to a proper factor group, *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, **25** (1950), 247-248.
- [3] G. Higman, A finitely related group with an isomorphic proper factor group, *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, **26** (1951), 59-61.
- [4] G. Baumslag, D. Solitar, Some two-generator one-relator non-Hopfian groups, *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, **68** (1962), 199-201.
- [5] D. Meier, Non-Hopfian groups, *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, **26** (1982), 265-270.
- [6] Y. de Cornulier, Finitely presentable, non-Hopfian groups with Kazhdan's Property (T) and infinite outer automorphism group, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, **135** (2007), 951-959.
- [7] V.H. Mikaelian, On finitely generated soluble non-Hopfian groups, *Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, **166** (2010), 743-755.
- [8] R. Hirshon, Some theorems on Hopficity, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, **141** (1969), 229-244.
- [9] R. Hirshon, On Hopfian groups, *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, **32** (1970), 753-766.
- [10] R. Hirshon, A conjecture on Hopficity and related results, *Archiv der Mathematik (Basel)*, **22** (1971), 449-455.
- [11] R. Hirshon, The center and the commutator subgroup in Hopfian groups, *Arkiv för Matematik*, **9** (1971), 181-192.
- [12] R. Hirshon, The direct product of Hopfian group with a group with cyclic center, *Arkiv för Matematik*, **10** (1972), 231-234.
- [13] R. Hirshon, The direct product of a Hopfian group with p-group, *Archiv der Mathematik (Basel)*, **26** (1975), 470-479.
- [14] R. Hirshon, Some properties of endomorphisms in residually finite groups, *Journal of the Australian Mathematical Society. Series A*, **24** (1977), 117-120.
- [15] R. Hirshon, Misbehaved direct products, *Expositiones Mathematicae*, **20** (2002), 365-374.
- [16] G. Baumslag, Hopficity and Abelian groups, Topics in Abelian groups, *Proceedings of the New Mexico Symposium on Abelian Groups, Scott-Foresman-Chicago, New Mexico State University* (1962), 331-335.

- [17] G. Baumslag, On Abelian Hopfian groups. I, *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, **78** (1962), 53-54.
- [18] G. Baumslag, Products of Abelian Hopfian groups, *Journal of the Australian Mathematical Society*, **8** (1968), 322-326.
- [19] A.L.S. Corner, Three examples on Hopficity in torsion-free Abelian groups, *Acta Mathematica Academiae Scientiarum Hungaricae*, **16** (1965), 303-310.
- [20] J.M. Irwin, J. Takashi, A quasi-decomposable Abelian group without proper isomorphic quotient groups and proper isomorphic subgroups, *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, **29** (1969), 151-160.
- [21] B. Goldsmith, K. Gong, On super and hereditarily Hopfian and co-Hopfian Abelian groups, *Archiv der Mathematik*, **99** (2012), 1-8.
- [22] B. Goldsmith, K. Gong, On adjoint entropy of Abelian groups, *Communications in Algebra*, **40** (2012), 972-987.
- [23] B. Goldsmith, K. Gong, A note on Hopfian and co-Hopfian Abelian groups, AMS Forthcoming, Dublin (2012), 1-9.
- [24] B. Goldsmith, K. Gong, On some generalizations of Hopfian and co-Hopfian Abelian groups, *Acta Mathematica Hungarica*, 139 (2013), 393-398.
- [25] G. Braun, L. Strümgmann, The independence of the notions of Hopfian and co-Hopfian Abelian p-groups, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 143 (2015), 3331-3341.
- [26] E.V. Kaigorodov, Hopfian algebraically compact Abelian groups, *Algebra and Logic*, **52** (2014), 442-447.
- [27] L. Fuchs, Infinite Abelian Groups, Vol. I, Academic Press, New York (1970).
- [28] L. Fuchs, Infinite Abelian Groups, Vol. II, Academic Press, New York (1973).
- [29] P.A. Krylov, A.V. Mikhalev, A.A. Tuganbaev, Endomorphism Rings of Abelian Groups, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Boston, London (2003).
- [30] A.G. Kurosh, Lectures on General Algebra, Chelsea Publishing Co., New York (1963).
- [31] D.M. Arnold, Finite rank torsion free Abelian groups and rings, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 931, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York (1982).