

## $W_1$ -ELEMENTS IN A COMMUTATIVE RING WITH UNITY

W. Nazeer<sup>1</sup>, W. Khalid<sup>2</sup>, Shin Min Kang<sup>3§</sup>, W. Ahmad<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Division of Science and Technology

University of Education

Lahore, 54000, PAKISTAN

<sup>2,4</sup>Department of Mathematics

The University of Lahore

Pakpattan, 57400, PAKISTAN

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics and RINS

Gyeongsang National University

Jinju, 52828, KOREA

---

**Abstract:** In this paper, we introduce and study  $w_1$ -elements in a commutative ring with unity  $R$ . An element  $0 \neq x \in R$  is said to be a  $w_1$ -element of  $R$  if whenever  $xd = x$  for  $1 \neq d \in R$ , then there exists  $0 \neq z \in R$  such that  $dz = 0$ . We show that if  $\text{Ann}_R(x) = \text{Ann}_R(y)$  then  $x$  is a  $w_1$ -element of  $R$  if and only if  $y$  is a  $w_1$ -element of  $R$ , that every  $w_1$ -element of  $R$  is also a  $w_1$ -element of its polynomial ring  $R[x]$  and that if  $x^2$  is a  $w_1$ -element of  $R$  with  $J = \text{Ann}_R(x)$ , then  $x + J$  is a  $w_1$ -element of its quotient ring  $R/J$ .

**AMS Subject Classification:** 13A15, 13F05

**Key Words:** nilpotent element, annihilator

---

### 1. Introduction

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with unity. An element  $0 \neq x \in R$  is said to be a  $w_1$ -element of  $R$  if whenever  $xd = x$  for  $1 \neq d \in R$ , then there exists  $0 \neq z \in R$  such that  $dz = 0$ . For example,  $\bar{2} \in \mathbb{Z}_6$  is a  $w_1$ -element of  $\mathbb{Z}_6$  while  $\bar{3} \in \mathbb{Z}_6$  is not a  $w_1$ -element of  $\mathbb{Z}_6$  see Example 2.2.

---

Received: December 21, 2016

Revised: February 20, 2017

Published: March 31, 2017

© 2017 Academic Publications, Ltd.

url: [www.acadpubl.eu](http://www.acadpubl.eu)

<sup>§</sup>Correspondence author

We show that if  $xy$  is a  $w_1$ -element of  $R$  for  $0 \neq x, y \in R$ , then both  $x$  and  $y$  are  $w_1$ -elements of  $R$  (Proposition 2.3), if  $x^n$  is a  $w_1$ -element of  $R$  for  $0 \neq x \in R$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $x$  is a  $w_1$ -element of  $R$  (Corollary 2.4), if  $x$  is not a zero-divisor of  $R$  and  $y$  is a  $w_1$ -element of  $R$ , then  $xy$  is a  $w_1$ -element of  $R$  (Proposition 2.6), if zero is the only nilpotent element of  $R$  and  $0 \neq x \in R$  a  $w_1$ -element of  $R$ , then  $x^n$  is a  $w_1$ -element of  $R$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  (Proposition 2.7), if  $\text{Ann}_R(x) = \text{Ann}_R(y)$  for  $0 \neq x, y \in R$ , then  $x$  is a  $w_1$ -element of  $R$  if and only if  $y$  is a  $w_1$ -element of  $R$  (Proposition 2.9), an element  $0 \neq u \in R$  is a  $w_1$ -element of  $R$  if and only if  $u$  is a  $w_1$ -element of its polynomial ring  $R[x]$  (Proposition 2.10), an element  $0 \neq u \in R$  is a  $w_1$ -element of  $R$  if and only if  $u$  is a  $w_1$ -element of its polynomial ring  $R[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$  (Corollary 2.11), if  $0 \neq x \in R$  and  $J = \text{Ann}_R(x)$ , then  $x+J \in R/J$  is a  $w_1$ -element of  $R/J$  implies  $x$  is a  $w_1$ -element of  $R$  (Proposition 2.12), if  $0 \neq x \in R$  and  $J = \text{Ann}_R(x)$ , then  $x^2 \in R$  is a  $w_1$ -element of  $R$  implies  $x+J \in R/J$  is a  $w_1$ -element of  $R/J$  (Proposition 2.13), if  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism between two rings  $R$  and  $R$ , then an element  $0 \neq x \in R$  is a  $w_1$ -element of  $R$  if and only if  $\varphi(x)$  is a  $w_1$ -element of  $R$  (Proposition 2.14).

Our standard references for any undefined notation or terminology are [2] and [3].

## 2. Main results

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with unity. An element  $0 \neq x \in R$  is said to be a  $w_1$ -element of  $R$  if whenever  $xd = x$  for  $1 \neq d \in R$ , then there exists  $0 \neq z \in R$  such that  $dz = 0$ .

**Example 2.2.**  $\bar{2} \in \mathbb{Z}_6$  is a  $w_1$ -element of  $\mathbb{Z}_6$ . As  $\bar{2} \cdot \bar{4} = \bar{2}$ , there exists  $\bar{3} \in \mathbb{Z}_6$  such that  $\bar{4} \cdot \bar{3} = \bar{0}$ . While  $\bar{3} \in \mathbb{Z}_6$  is not a  $w_1$ -element of  $\mathbb{Z}_6$ . Indeed,  $\bar{3} \cdot \bar{5} = \bar{3}$  but there does not exist  $\bar{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_6$  such that  $\bar{5} \cdot \bar{a} = \bar{0}$ .

**Proposition 2.3.** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with unity. If  $xy$  is a  $w_1$ -element of  $R$  for  $0 \neq x, y \in R$ , then both  $x$  and  $y$  are  $w_1$ -elements of  $R$ .

*Proof.* If  $xd = x$  for  $1 \neq d \in R$ , then  $xyd = xy$ . By hypothesis, there exists  $0 \neq z \in R$  such that  $dz = 0$ . Thus  $x$  is a  $w_1$ -element of  $R$ . Similarly,  $y$  is also a  $w_1$ -element of  $R$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.4.** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with unity. If  $x^n$  is a  $w_1$ -element of  $R$  for  $0 \neq x \in R$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $x$  is a  $w_1$ -element of  $R$ .

*Proof.* If  $xd = x$  for  $1 \neq d \in R$ , then  $x^nd = x^n$ . By hypothesis, there exists  $0 \neq z \in R$  such that  $dz = 0$ . Thus  $x$  is a  $w_1$ -element of  $R$ .  $\square$

**Example 2.5.**  $\bar{2} \cdot \bar{4} = \bar{8} \in \mathbb{Z}_{10}$  is a  $w_1$ -element. As  $\bar{8} \cdot \bar{6} = \bar{8}$ , there exists  $\bar{5} \in \mathbb{Z}_{10}$  such that  $\bar{8} \cdot \bar{5} = \bar{0}$ . By Proposition 2.3,  $\bar{2}$  and  $\bar{4}$  are  $w_1$ -elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_{10}$ .

**Proposition 2.6.** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with unity and  $0 \neq x, y \in R$ . If  $x$  is not a zero-divisor of  $R$  and  $y$  is a  $w_1$ -element of  $R$ , then  $xy$  is a  $w_1$ -element of  $R$ .

*Proof.* If  $xyd = xy$  for  $1 \neq d \in R$ , then  $yd = y$  because  $x$  is not a zero-divisor of  $R$ . By hypothesis, there exists  $0 \neq z \in R$  such that  $dz = 0$ . Thus  $xy$  is a  $w_1$ -element of  $R$ . □

**Proposition 2.7.** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with unity and  $0 \neq x \in R$  a  $w_1$ -element of  $R$ . Further if zero is the only nilpotent element of  $R$ , then  $x^n$  is a  $w_1$ -element of  $R$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* If  $x^nd = x^n$  for  $1 \neq d \in R$ , then  $x^n(d-1) = 0$  implies  $(x(d-1))^n = 0$ . Since zero is the only nilpotent element of  $R$ , it follows that  $x(d-1) = 0$  implies  $xd = x$  see [2]. By hypothesis, there exists  $0 \neq z \in R$  such that  $dz = 0$ . Thus  $x^n$  is a  $w_1$ -element of  $R$ . □

**Definition 2.8.** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with unity and  $x \in R$ . The annihilator of  $x$  in  $R$  is denoted by  $Ann_R(x)$  and is defined as  $Ann_R(x) = \{r \in R \mid rx = 0\}$ . Note that  $Ann_R(x)$  is always an ideal of  $R$ .

**Proposition 2.9.** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with unity and  $Ann_R(x) = Ann_R(y)$  for  $0 \neq x, y \in R$ . Then  $x$  is a  $w_1$ -element of  $R$  if and only if  $y$  is a  $w_1$ -element of  $R$ .

*Proof.* If  $x$  is a  $w_1$ -element of  $R$  and  $yd = y$  for  $1 \neq d \in R$ . Then  $y(d-1) = 0$  implies  $d-1 \in Ann_R(y) = Ann_R(x)$ . It follows that  $x(d-1) = 0$  implies  $xd = x$  see [3]. By hypothesis, there exists  $0 \neq z \in R$  such that  $dz = 0$ . Thus  $y$  is a  $w_1$ -element of  $R$ . Conversely, if  $y$  is a  $w_1$ -element of  $R$  and  $xd = x$  for  $1 \neq d \in R$ . Then  $x(d-1) = 0$  implies  $d-1 \in Ann_R(x) = Ann_R(y)$ . It follows that  $y(d-1) = 0$  implies  $yd = y$ . By hypothesis, there exists  $0 \neq z \in R$  such that  $dz = 0$ . Thus  $x$  is a  $w_1$ -element of  $R$ . □

**Proposition 2.10.** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with unity. Then  $0 \neq u \in R$  is a  $w_1$ -element of  $R$  if and only if  $u$  is a  $w_1$ -element of the polynomial ring  $R[x]$ .

*Proof.* If  $ug(x) = u$  for  $1 \neq g(x) = g_0 + g_1x + \dots + g_nx \in R[x]$ , then  $ug_0 = u, ug_1 = 0, \dots, ug_n = 0$  see [1]. Since  $u$  is a  $w_1$ -element of  $R$ , there exists  $0 \neq z_0 \in R$  such that  $g_0z_0 = 0$ . Taking  $h(x) = z_0u \in R[x]$ , it is clear

that  $g(x)h(x) = 0$ . Hence  $u$  is a  $w_1$ -element of  $R[x]$ . Conversely, if  $uv = u$  for  $1 \neq v \in R \subset R[x]$ , then there exists  $0 \neq g(x) = g_0 + g_1x + \cdots + g_nx \in R[x]$  such that  $vg(x) = 0$ . Thus  $vg_i = 0$  for some  $0 \neq g_i \in R$ ;  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ . Hence  $u$  is a  $w_1$ -element of  $R$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.11.** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with unity. Then  $0 \neq u \in R$  is a  $w_1$ -element of  $R$  if and only if  $u$  is a  $w_1$ -element of the polynomial ring  $R[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ .

*Proof.* Follows from Proposition 2.10 and the fact that

$$R[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n] = R[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}][x_n]. \quad \square$$

**Proposition 2.12.** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with unity and  $J = \text{Ann}_R(x)$  for  $0 \neq x \in R$ . If  $x + J \in R/J$  is a  $w_1$ -element of  $R/J$ , then  $x$  is a  $w_1$ -element of  $R$ .

*Proof.* If  $xd = x$  for  $1 \neq d \in R$ , then  $(x + J)(d + J) = x + J$ . Since  $x + J$  is a  $w_1$ -element of  $R/J$ , there exists  $J \neq z + J \in R/J$  such that  $(d + J)(z + J) = J$ . It follows that  $dz \in J = \text{Ann}_R(x)$  implies  $d(zx) = 0$  where  $0 \neq zx \in R$ . Hence  $x$  is a  $w_1$ -element of  $R$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.13.** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with unity and  $J = \text{Ann}_R(x)$  for  $0 \neq x \in R$ . If  $x^2 \in R$  is a  $w_1$ -element of  $R$ , then  $x + J \in R/J$  is a  $w_1$ -element of  $R/J$ .

*Proof.* If  $(x + J)(d + J) = x + J$  for  $1 + J \neq d + J \in R/J$ , then  $xd - x \in J = \text{Ann}_R(x)$  implies that  $x^2d = x^2$  see [1]. Since  $x^2$  is a  $w_1$ -element of  $R$ , there exists  $0 \neq z \in R$  such that  $dz = 0$ . Thus  $(d + J)(z + J) = J$  where  $J \neq z + J \in R/J$ . Hence  $x + J$  is a  $w_1$ -element of  $R/J$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.14.** Let  $R$  and  $R'$  be any two commutative rings with unity and  $\varphi$  be an isomorphism from  $R$  to  $R'$ . An element  $0 \neq x \in R$  is a  $w_1$ -element of  $R$  if and only if  $\varphi(x)$  is a  $w_1$ -element of  $R'$ .

*Proof.* If  $\varphi(x)\varphi(d) = \varphi(x)$  for  $1_{R'} \neq \varphi(d) \in R'$ , then  $xd = x$  for  $1 \neq d \in R$ . Since  $x$  is a  $w_1$ -element of  $R$ , there exists  $0 \neq z \in R$  such that  $dz = 0$ . It follows that  $\varphi(d)\varphi(z) = 0_{R'}$  where  $0_{R'} \neq \varphi(z) \in R'$ . Hence  $\varphi(x)$  is a  $w_1$ -element of  $R'$ . Conversely, if  $xd = x$  for  $1 \neq d \in R$ , then  $\varphi(x)\varphi(d) = \varphi(x)$  for  $1_{R'} \neq \varphi(d) \in R'$ . Since  $\varphi(x)$  is a  $w_1$ -element of  $R'$ , there exists  $0_{R'} \neq \varphi(z) \in R'$  such that  $\varphi(d)\varphi(z) = 0_{R'}$ . It follows that  $dz = 0$  where  $0 \neq z \in R$ . Hence  $x$  is a  $w_1$ -element of  $R$ .  $\square$

### Acknowledgements

We would like to express our sincere thanks to The University of Lahore, Pakpattan Campus, Pakpattan for their support in this research work.

### References

- [1] J.A. Gallian, Contemporary Abstract Algebra, *Houghton Mifflin Company* (2006).
- [2] R. Gilmer, Multiplicative Ideal Theory, *Pure Appl. Math.*, **12**, *Marcel Dekker, Inc, New York* (1972).
- [3] I. Kaplansky, Commutative Rings, *The University of Chicago Press*, Chicago (1974).

