

OSCILLATION CRITERIA FOR SECOND ORDER LINEAR GENERALIZED DIFFERENCE EQUATION

M. Maria Susai Manuel^{1 §}, P. Venkata Mohan Reddy²

^{1,2}Department of Mathematics

R.M.D. Engineering College

Kavaraipettai - 601 206, Tamil Nadu, S. INDIA

Abstract: In this paper, we obtain some oscillation criteria for the second order generalized linear difference equation

$$\Delta_{\ell}^2 u(k) + p(k)u(k) = 0 \quad (1)$$

where $k \in [0, \infty)$, $p \in L'([u_0, \infty))$ and ℓ is a positive real.

AMS Subject Classification: 39A10, 39A11

Key Words: generalized difference equation, oscillation, non-oscillation

1. Introduction

The basic theory of difference equations is based on the operator Δ defined as $\Delta u(k) = u(k+1) - u(k)$, $k \in \mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$. Eventhough many authors [1] and [14]-[16] have suggested the definition of Δ as

$$\Delta u(k) = u(k+\ell) - u(k), \quad k \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \ell \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}, \quad (2)$$

no significant progress took place on this line. But recently, when we took up the definition of Δ as given in (2) we developed the theory of difference equations in a different direction ([8]-[9]). For convenience, we labelled the operator Δ defined by (2) as Δ_{ℓ} and by defining its inverse Δ_{ℓ}^{-1} , many interesting results and applications in number theory were established (see [8], [10], [11], [12], [13]).

Received: April 16, 2017

Revised: April 29, 2017

Published: May 23, 2017

© 2017 Academic Publications, Ltd.

url: www.acadpubl.eu

[§]Correspondence author

In 1957, Z. Nehari [4] and Jiqin Deng [3] worked on Oscillation criteria for second order linear differential equations. In fact, in the last few years, several monographs and hundreds of papers have been written; see for example the monographs [see [1], [2]] and the papers [[5] - [7]]. But only very few papers are available on the qualitative properties of solutions of difference equations involving Δ_ℓ . To move forward on this area, in this paper, we study the oscillation property of the second order generalized linear difference equation (1).

Throughout this paper we use the following notations:

- (i) $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$, $\mathbb{N}(a) = \{a, a + 1, a + 2, \dots\}$,
- (ii) $\mathbb{N}_\ell(j) = \{j, j + \ell, j + 2\ell, \dots\}$,
- (iii) $\lceil x \rceil$ upper integer part of x .

2. Preliminaries

Definition 1. [8] Let $u(k)$, $k \in [0, \infty)$ be a real or complex valued function and $\ell \in (0, \infty)$. Then, the generalized difference operator Δ_ℓ is defined as

$$\Delta_\ell u(k) = u(k + \ell) - u(k). \tag{3}$$

Similarly, the generalized difference operator of the r^{th} kind is defined as

$$\Delta_\ell^r u(k) = \underbrace{\Delta_\ell(\Delta_\ell(\dots(\Delta_\ell u(k))\dots))}_{r \text{ times}}. \tag{4}$$

Definition 2. [8] Let $u(k)$, $k \in [0, \infty)$ be a real or complex valued function and $\ell \in (0, \infty)$. Then, the inverse of Δ_ℓ denoted by Δ_ℓ^{-1} is defined as follows.

$$\text{If } \Delta_\ell v(k) = u(k), \text{ then } v(k) = \Delta_\ell^{-1}u(k) + c_j, \tag{5}$$

where c_j is a constant for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_\ell(j)$, $j = k - \lceil \frac{k}{\ell} \rceil \ell$.

In general, $\Delta_\ell^{-n}u(k) = \Delta_\ell^{-1}(\Delta_\ell^{-(n-1)}u(k))$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}(2)$.

Lemma 3. [8] If the real valued function $u(k)$ is defined for all $k \in [a, \infty)$, then

$$\Delta_\ell^{-1}u(k) = \sum_{r=1}^{\lceil \frac{k-a}{\ell} \rceil} u(k - r\ell) + c_j, \tag{6}$$

where c_j is a constant for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_\ell(j)$, $j = k - a - \lceil \frac{k-a}{\ell} \rceil \ell$.

Theorem 4. If $\Delta_\ell v(k) = u(k)$ for $k \in [k_2, \infty)$ and $j = k - k_2 - \left\lceil \frac{k-k_2}{\ell} \right\rceil \ell$,
 then $v(k) - v(k_2 + j) = \sum_{r=0}^{\left\lceil \frac{k-k_2-j-\ell}{\ell} \right\rceil} u(k_2 + j + r\ell)$.

Lemma 5. [9]

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_\ell \{u(k)v(k)\} &= u(k + \ell)\Delta_\ell v(k) + v(k)\Delta_\ell u(k) \\ &= v(k + \ell)\Delta_\ell u(k) + u(k)\Delta_\ell v(k), \forall k \in \mathbb{N}_\ell(a). \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Definition 6. The solution $u(k)$ of (1) is called oscillatory if for any $k_1 \in [a, \infty)$ there exists a $k_2 \in \mathbb{N}_\ell(k_1)$ such that $u(k_2)u(k_2 + \ell) \leq 0$. The difference equation itself is called oscillatory if all its solutions are oscillatory. If the solution $u(k)$ is not oscillatory, then it is said to be nonoscillatory (i.e. $u(k)u(k + \ell) > 0$ for all $k \in [k_1, \infty)$).

3. Main Results

Theorem 7. If for large $k \in \mathbb{N}_\ell(a)$,

$$\sum_{s=k}^{\infty} p(s) \geq \frac{\alpha_0}{k} \tag{8}$$

where $\alpha_0 > \frac{1}{4}$, then (1) is oscillatory.

Proof. Assume that (8) holds. Let us assume that $u(k)$ be a non oscillatory solution of (1). Without loss of generality we assume that $u(k) > 0$ for all $k \geq k_0 > 0$. By (8) it is easy to see that there exists $k_1 \geq k_0$ such that

$$\sum_{s=k}^{\infty} p(s) \geq \frac{\alpha_0}{k} \quad \text{for} \quad k \geq k_1, \tag{9}$$

which yields that there exists an integer $n(k) \geq k$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s=k}^{\infty} p(s) - \sum_{s=k'}^{\infty} p(s) &\geq \frac{\alpha_1}{k} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\alpha_1^2}{k} - \frac{\alpha_1^2}{k'} \geq \frac{\alpha^2}{k} \quad \text{for} \quad k' \geq n(k) \\ \sum_{s=k}^{k'} p(s) &\geq \frac{\alpha_1}{k}, \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where $\alpha_0 \geq \alpha_1 \geq \alpha > \frac{1}{4}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Let } v(k) &= \frac{\Delta_\ell u(k)}{u(k)} \quad \text{for } k \geq k_0, \\ \Delta_\ell u(k) &= v(k)u(k) \quad \text{for } k \geq k_0, \text{ then} \\ \Delta_\ell^2 u(k) &= v(k+\ell)\Delta_\ell u(k) + \Delta_\ell v(k)u(k) \\ &= [v(k+\ell)v(k) + \Delta_\ell v(k)]u(k). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting this into (1), we get

$$[\Delta_\ell v(k) + v(k+\ell)v(k) + p(k)] = 0 \quad \text{for } k \geq k_0. \quad (11)$$

Summing (11) from k to k' , and by (10) we get

$$v(k) - v(k') = \sum_{s=k}^{k'} v(s+\ell)v(s) + \sum_{s=k}^{k'} p(s) \geq 0, \quad (12)$$

for $k' \geq n(k)$ and $k \geq k_1$. If there exists $k_2 \geq k_1$, such that $v(k_2) < 0$, then from (12) for $k \geq n(k_2)$, $v(k) < 0$. Therefore, $v(k)$ is eventually positive (or) eventually negative. If $v(k)$ is eventually negative, then there exists $k_3 \geq k$ such that $v(k) \leq 0$ for $k \geq k_3$ and

$$|v(k)| \geq \sum_{s=k_3}^k v(s+\ell)v(s) + \sum_{s=k_3}^k p(s) \quad \text{for } k \geq n(k_3) \quad (13)$$

which, with (10) yields

$$|v(k)| \geq \sum_{s=k_3}^k v(s+\ell)v(s) + \sum_{s=k_3}^k p(s) \geq \sum_{s=k_3}^k v(s+\ell)v(s) + \frac{\alpha_1}{k_3} \quad (14)$$

$$\text{i.e., } |v(k)| \geq \frac{\alpha_1}{k_3} \geq \frac{\alpha}{k} \quad \text{for } k \geq n(k_3).$$

Substituting this into (12), we obtain

$$|v(k)| \geq \sum_{s=n(k_3)}^k \frac{\alpha_1^2}{(s+\ell)s} + \frac{\alpha_1}{n(k_3)} \geq \frac{\tau_0^2 + \tau_0}{k} \quad (15)$$

for $k \geq n(n(k_3))$, where $\tau_0 = \alpha > \frac{1}{4}$.

If $v(k)$ is eventually positive, then there exists $k_4 \geq k_1$ such that $v(k) > 0$ for $k \geq k_4$, and from (10) and (12), we obtain

$$v(k) \geq \sum_{s=k}^{k'} v(s + \ell)v(s) + \sum_{s=k}^{k'} p(s) \geq \frac{\alpha}{k} \text{ for } k' \geq n(k) \text{ and } k \geq k_4 \tag{16}$$

Applying similar method as applied in getting (15), we obtain

$$|v(k)| \geq \frac{\tau_0^2 + \tau_0}{k} \text{ for } k \geq k_4. \tag{17}$$

Setting $\tau_i = \tau_{i-1}^2 + \tau_0$, $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ and taking $k_5 = \max \{n(n(k_3)), k_4\}$ from (15) and (17), we get

$$|v(k)| \geq \frac{\tau_1}{k} \text{ for } k \geq k_5.$$

By induction, from (14) and (16), we can prove that

$$|v(k)| \geq \frac{\tau_i}{k} \text{ for } k \geq k_5, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \dots \tag{18}$$

It is easy to see that, $|v(k)| = \infty$ for $k \geq k_5$. This contradiction yields that the proof is complete. □

Theorem 8. *If for large $k \in \mathbb{N}_\ell(a)$,*

$$\sum_{s=k}^{\infty} p(s) \leq \frac{1}{4k} \tag{19}$$

then (1) has an eventually positive solution.

Proof. Take $k_0 > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{s=k}^{\infty} p(s) \leq \frac{1}{4k} \quad k \geq k_0. \tag{20}$$

Define

$$v_0(k) = v_1(k) = \frac{1}{2k} \text{ for } k \geq k_0, \tag{21}$$

$$v_{i+2}(k) = \sum_{s=k}^{\infty} v_i(s)v_{i+1}(s + \ell) + \sum_{s=k}^{\infty} p(s) \text{ for } k \geq k_0. \tag{22}$$

which, together with (20) and (21), yield

$$\begin{aligned} v_2(k) &= \sum_{s=k}^{\infty} v_0(s)v_1(s + \ell) + \sum_{s=k}^{\infty} p(s) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{s=k}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s(s + \ell)} + \sum_{s=k}^{\infty} p(s) \leq \frac{1}{4k} + \frac{1}{4k} = \frac{1}{2k} = v_0(k). \end{aligned}$$

By induction, one can easily prove in-general that,

$$\sum_{s=k}^{\infty} p(s) \leq v_{i+1}(k) \leq v_i(k) \leq \frac{1}{2k} \quad \text{for } k \geq k_0, i = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Therefore, the sequence $\{v_i(k)\}$ has a limiting function $v(k)$ on i with

$$\sum_{s=k}^{\infty} p(s) \leq \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} v_{i+1}(k) = v(k) \leq \frac{1}{2k} \quad \text{for } k \geq k_0.$$

From (16), we find

$$v(k) = \sum_{s=k}^{\infty} v(s + \ell)v(s) + \sum_{s=k}^{\infty} p(s) \quad \text{for } k \geq k_0.$$

Operating both sides on Δ_ℓ , it follows that

$$\Delta_\ell v(k) = \Delta_\ell \left[\sum_{s=k}^{\infty} v(s + \ell)v(s) + \sum_{s=k}^{\infty} p(s) \right] = -v(k + \ell)v(k) - p(k).$$

$$\Delta_\ell v(k) + v(k + \ell)v(k) + p(k) = 0 \quad \text{for } k \geq k_0. \tag{23}$$

Set $u(k_0) = 1$, $u(k) = u_0 \prod_{r=1}^{\lceil \frac{k-a}{\ell} \rceil} [v(k - r\ell) + 1]$ for $k \geq k_0$.

Then $u(k) > 0$, $\Delta_\ell u(k) = v(k)u(k)$ for $k \geq k_0$. Hence,

$$\Delta_\ell^2(u(k)) = v(k + \ell)\Delta_\ell(u(k)) + \Delta_\ell v(k)u(k) = -p(k)u(k)$$

$$\Delta_\ell^2 u(k) + p(k)u(k) = 0 \quad \text{for } k \geq k_0. \tag{24}$$

Clearly, for $k \geq k_0$, $u(k) > 0$, is a positive solution of (1).

The proof is completed. □

Example 9. The second order linear generalized difference equation $\Delta_{\ell}^2 u(k) + \frac{3\ell^2}{(4k - \ell)(4k + 3\ell)} u(k) = 0$, and for $p(k) = \frac{3\ell^2}{(4k - \ell)(4k + 3\ell)}$, satisfies Theorem 8 and hence it has a positive solution. In fact $u(k) = \prod_{r=1}^{\lceil \frac{k-\ell}{\ell} \rceil} \frac{4(k - r\ell)}{4(k - r\ell) - \ell}$ with $u(0) = 1$ is a positive solution.

References

- [1] R.P. Agarwal, *Difference equations and inequalities, in: Theory, Methods and Applications, second ed., revised and expanded*, Maarcel Dekker, New York (2000).
- [2] R.P. Agarwal, P.J.Y. Wong, *Advanced Topics in Difference Equations*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, (1997).
- [3] Jiqin Deng, *Oscillation criteria for second order linear differential equation*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **271** (2002), 283-287.
- [4] Z. Nehari, *Oscillation criteria for second order linear differential equations*, *Tans, AMS*, **85** (1957), 428-445.
- [5] W. T. Patula, *Growth and oscillation properties of second order linear difference equations*, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **10**(1979), 55-61.
- [6] Sui Sun Cheng, Tze Cheung and Horng Jaan Li, *Oscillation criteria for second order difference equation*. *Funkcialaj Ekvacioj*, **34** (1991), 223-239.
- [7] B. G. Zhang, G. D. Chen, *Oscillation of certain second order nonlinear difference equation*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **199** (1996), 841-872.
- [8] M.Maria Susai Manuel, G.Britto Antony Xavier and E.Thandapani, *Theory of Generalized Difference Operator and Its Applications*, *Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, **20(2)** (2006), 163-171.
- [9] M.Maria Susai Manuel and G.Britto Antony Xavier, *Generalized Difference calculus of sequences of real and complex numbers*, *International Journal of Computational and Numerical Analysis and applications*, **6(4)** (2004), 401-415.
- [10] M.Maria Susai Manuel, Adem Kilicman, G.Britto Antony Xavier, R. Pugalarasu, D.S. Dilip, *On the Solution of Second Order Generalized Difference Equations*, *Advances in Difference Equation*, (2012) Article ID 105.
- [11] Manuel M.M.S, Xavier GBA, Pugalarasu R, Dilip DS, *An Application on the Second Order Generalized Difference Equations*, *Adv. Differ. Eq.*, (2013) Article ID 35.
- [12] M.M.S. Manuel, Adem Kilicman, K. Srinivasan and G. Dominic Babu, *Oscillation of Solutions of Some Generalized Non Linear α -Difference Equations*, *Advances in Difference Equation*, (2014), Article ID 109.
- [13] M.Maria Susai Manuel, G.Britto Antony Xavier, V.Chandrasekar and R.Pugalarasu, *On Generalized Difference Operator of Third Kind and its Applications to Number Theory*, *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **53(1)** (2009), 69-82.
- [14] Ronald E.Mickens, *Difference Equations*, Van Nostrand Reinhold Company, New York (1990).

- [15] *Saber N.Elaydi, An Introduction To Difference Equations, Second Edition, Springer, (1999).*
- [16] *Walter G.Kelley, Allan C. Peterson, Difference Equations, An Introduction with Applications, Academic Press, inc (1991).*