

## RIGHTIDEALS IN $\Gamma$ -SEMIGROUPS

V. Jyothi<sup>§</sup>, Y. Sarala<sup>2</sup>, Rao T. Nageshwara<sup>3</sup>, Rao D. Madhusudhana<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics

K.L. University

Guntur Dt., A.P., INDIA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics

NIT A.P., K.L. University

Guntur Dt., A.P., INDIA

---

**Abstract:** In This article to prove an equivalent of the krull- intersection theorem  $\bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} M^m = 0$  in 2.11 and thus it is shown that the  $\Gamma$  - semigroup with the krull - intersection property is nearly a principal  $\Gamma$  - rightideal  $\Gamma$  - semigroup. Every principal  $\Gamma$  - rightideal  $\Gamma$  - semigroup need not have the krull - intersection property and so it is researched what type of principal  $\Gamma$  - rightideal  $\Gamma$  - semigroups, not vitally containing an identity, have this intersection property. It is initiate in 2.11, 2.13 and 3.1, that the equivalent of the krull - intersection theorem is true under some additional conditions unlike in ring theory.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 20M10, 20M99

**Key Words:** principal  $\Gamma$ - rightideal,  $\Gamma$ - right Noetherian,  $\Gamma$ - right uniform

---

### 1. Introduction

A  $\Gamma$  -semigroup  $S$  is called a principal  $\Gamma$ -rightideal  $R$  is principal. Naturally one may ask whether it is possible to determine the structure of  $S$  by specializing some property of  $M$ . By virtue of the existence of the unique maximal  $\Gamma$ -rightideal. The influenced the author to prove an analogue of the krull inter-

---

Received: December 17, 2016

Revised: May 20, 2017

Published: May 23, 2017

© 2017 Academic Publications, Ltd.

url: [www.acadpubl.eu](http://www.acadpubl.eu)

<sup>§</sup>Correspondence author

section theorem ( $\bigcap_{m=1} M^m = 0$ ) and thus it is shown that the  $\Gamma$ -semigroup with the krull intersection property is nearly a principal  $\Gamma$ -rightideal  $\Gamma$ -semigroup.

## 2. Main Results

**Definition 2.1.** A  $\Gamma$  - semigroup  $S$  is called a principal  $\Gamma$  - rightideal if every right  $\Gamma$  - ideal  $R$  is principal. i.e  $R = f \cup f\Gamma$ s for some  $f \in S$ . Since the  $\Gamma$  -rightideals in a principal  $\Gamma$  - rightideal of  $S$  form a chain under set inclusion,  $S$  is either right simple or has a unique maximal proper  $\Gamma$  - right ideal  $M$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** If  $S$  is a principal  $\Gamma$  - rightideal in  $\Gamma$ - semigroup, then the  $\Gamma$ - rightideals form a chain under set inclusion.

*Proof.* If  $P$  and  $Q$  are any two  $\Gamma$  - rightideals then  $P \cup Q = e \cup e\Gamma S$  for some  $e \in S$ .

Since  $e \in P$  or  $e \in Q$ , we must have  $P \subseteq Q$  or  $Q \subseteq P$ .

**Remark 2.3.** The above theorem is not true. Suppose that  $S$  is not principal.

**Example 2.4.** Let us assume that  $S = \{0, p, q\}$  subject to the condition  $p^2 = p$ ;  $q^2 = p\alpha q = q\alpha p = 0$ ;  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  and  $0\beta s = s\beta 0 = 0$  for each  $s \in S$ ,  $\beta \in \Gamma$ .

Clearly  $(0, a) \cap (0, b) = 0$  and excepts  $S$  every other  $\Gamma$ - ideal is principal.

We shall now indicate the nature of elements of  $S$ , if  $S$  is a right Noetherian  $\Gamma$ - semigroup fulfills the property of being right uniform, and derive from this the nature of elements of principal rightideals in  $\Gamma$ -semigroups.

**Definition 2.5.** A  $\Gamma$ - semigroup  $S$  is called a  $\Gamma$ -right Noetherian if  $S$  fulfills ascending chain conditions on  $\Gamma$ -rightideals.

**Definition 2.6.** A  $\Gamma$ - semigroup  $S$  is called a  $\Gamma$ -rightuniform if every  $\Gamma$  - rightideal has nonempty intersection with every other  $\Gamma$ - right ideal.

If  $S$  has zero, this intersection should be nonzero. For any subsets  $B$  of a  $\Gamma$  - semigroup  $S$  we denote  $B^R = \{x \in S/B\Gamma x = 0\}$ .

**Lemma 2.7.** Let  $S$  be a  $\Gamma$  - right Noetherian semigroup including zero. If  $b^R \neq 0$ , then either  $b$  is nilpotent or  $\exists$  a positive integer  $k \ni (b^k)^R \cap (b^k\Gamma S \cup b^k) = 0$ .

*Proof.* By  $\Gamma$  - right Noetherian condition , the ascending chain of  $\Gamma$  - rightideals  $b^R \subset (b^2)^R \subset \dots$  terminates.  $\exists$  a positive integer  $k \ni (b^k)^R = (b^{k+1})^R = \dots$ .

Let  $x \in (b^k)^R \cap (b^k\Gamma S \cup b^k)$ .

If  $x = b^k$ ,  $b^{2k} = 0$  and hence  $b$  is nilpotent.

If  $x \neq b^k$ ;  $x = b^k \alpha y$  and also  $b^k \alpha x = 0$ ;  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ .

This implies that  $b^{2k} \alpha y = 0$  and so  $y \in (b^{2k})^R = (b^k)^R$ . Thus  $b^k \alpha y = x = 0$ ;  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . As an easy consequence of 2.2 and 2.6, we receive the next result.

**Theorem 2.8.** Let  $S$  be a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup including zero. Then, for any  $b \in S$ , either  $b^R = 0$  or  $b$  is nilpotent if  $S$  fulfills either of the following conditions:

- a)  $S$  is a  $\Gamma$ -right Noetherian and  $\Gamma$ -right uniform.
- b)  $S$  is a principal  $\Gamma$ -right ideal  $\Gamma$ -semigroup.

**Remark 2.9.** The theorem 2.8 fails if we leave the uniform condition from (a). Also 2.8 is not true if we suppose that every  $\Gamma$ -rightideal exclude  $S$  is principal in the condition (b). Both these facts can be shown by the example 2.4.

Let  $S$  be a principally generated  $\Gamma$ -semigroup; i.e  $S$  is of the form  $e \cup e \cup \Gamma S$  where  $e \in S$ . Since  $S$  is not right simple, therefore  $S$  has a unique maximal proper  $\Gamma$ -rightideal  $M$ , which is the union of all  $\Gamma$ -rightideals of  $S \not\subseteq e$ . If  $S \Gamma M \neq S$ , then  $S \Gamma M \subseteq M$  and hence  $M$  is a  $\Gamma$ -ideal. In this case  $M = b \cup b \Gamma S$ , then it is simple to show that  $M^n = b^n \cup b^n \Gamma S$  for each positive integer  $n$ . However  $\bigcap_{n=1} M^n$  need not be empty. If  $S \Gamma M = S$  and  $M = b \cup b \Gamma S$ , then it can be simply verified that  $M^n = b \Gamma S$  for each positive integer  $n > 1$ , which implies that  $\bigcap_{n=1} M^n \neq \emptyset$ . But if  $\bigcap_{n=1} M^n = \emptyset$  need not be empty. If  $S \Gamma M = S$  and  $M = b \cup b \Gamma S$ , then it can be simply proved that  $M^n = b \Gamma S$  for every positive integer  $n > 1$  which implies that  $\bigcap_{n=1} M^n \neq \emptyset$ . But if  $\bigcap_{n=1} M^n = \emptyset$  it will be observed below that  $S$  is nearly principal  $\Gamma$ -right ideal  $\Gamma$ -semigroup.

**Notation 2.10.** Let  $(S, \Gamma, M)$  assigned a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup with a unique maximal right ideal  $M$ . Throughout this paper we suppose that  $M$  exists.

**Theorem 2.11.** Let  $(S, \Gamma, M)$  be a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup such that  $S = e \cup e \Gamma S$  and  $M = b \cup b \Gamma S$ . Then  $\bigcap_{m=1} M^m = \emptyset$  if and only if:

- i) every  $\Gamma$ -rightideal is either  $b^m \Gamma S$  or  $(b^m \Gamma S \cup b^n)$ ;
- ii)  $M^p \neq M^q$  for any pair of natural numbers  $p$  and  $q$  and  $p \neq q$ ;
- iii)  $S \Gamma M \neq S$ .

If  $S$  has zero and  $M^r$  is zero then  $\bigcap_{m=1} M^m = 0$  and this  $\Gamma$ -idealstructure of  $S$  is as represented above.

*Proof.* Let  $B$  be a proper  $\Gamma$ -rightideal. Then  $B \subseteq M$ .

Assume that  $\cap_{m=1} M^m = \emptyset$ . Then there exist a least natural number  $r$  such that  $B \subseteq M^r$  and  $B \not\subseteq M^{r+1}$ , i.e.  $\exists$  an  $y \in B \ni y = b^r$  or  $y = b^r \alpha x$  where  $x \notin M$ ;  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . If  $y = b^r$ , then  $B = b^r \cup b^r \alpha S$ .

In the second case  $x \notin M$  implies  $x \cup x \Gamma S = S$ . Now  $y = b^r \alpha x$  and so  $y \Gamma S = b^r \alpha x \Gamma S = b^r \Gamma(S \setminus x) = b^r \Gamma S \setminus b^r \alpha x$ . Since  $y \Gamma S \subseteq B$ ,  $b^r \Gamma S \setminus b^r \alpha x \subseteq B$ . But  $b^r \alpha x \in B$ . Hence  $b^r \Gamma S \subseteq B \subseteq b^r \Gamma S \cup b^r$ . Thus  $B = b^r \Gamma S$  or  $b^r \Gamma S \cup b^r$ .

If  $\cap_{m=1} M^m = \phi$ , then the condition (ii) is obviously satisfied. Further more, from the introduction of this section, the condition (iii) is clear. Conversely, let  $\cap_{m=1} M^m$  be non-empty.

If  $\cap_{m=1} M^m = b^m \Gamma S \cup b^m$ , then  $M^m = M^{m+1}$ , which is a contradiction.

If  $\cap_{m=1} M^m = b^m \Gamma S$ , then  $M^{m+1} = b^m \Gamma S$ . Hence  $M^{m+1} = M^{m+2}$  which is a contradiction.

The remainder of the proof follows from the first part.

**Corollary 2.12.** If  $(S, \Gamma, M)$  is a  $\Gamma$ - semigroup with identity and  $M = b \Gamma S$ , then  $\cap_{m=1} M^m$  is empty if and only if:

- (i) every  $\Gamma$ -rightideal is of the form  $M^r$ .
- (ii)  $M^p \neq M^q$  for natural number  $p$  and  $q$  and  $p \neq q$ .
- (iii)  $S \Gamma M \neq S$ .

Not every principal  $\Gamma$ - right ideal  $\Gamma$ - semigroup  $(S, \Gamma, M)$  satisfies the property that  $\cap_{m=1} M^m$  is empty. For, let  $S = \{b, b^2, b^3 = b^4\}$  or  $S = \{1, b, b^2 = b^3\}$ .

The following theorem gives a class of principal  $\Gamma$ - rightideal  $\Gamma$ - semigroups with the above conditions.

**Theorem 2.13.** Let  $(S, \Gamma, M)$  be a left Noetherian  $\Gamma$ - semigroup without identity such that  $S \Gamma M \neq S$ ,  $S = e \cup e \Gamma S$  and  $M = b \cup b \Gamma S$ . Then  $\cap_{m=1} M^m = \phi$  (and hence the only  $\Gamma$ -right ideals of  $S$  are either  $M^r$  or  $b^r \Gamma S$ ) if either of the following condition is fulfilled:

- (i) there exists no  $p$  and  $q$  in  $S$  such that  $p = q \alpha p$  or  $p = p \alpha q$ .
- (ii)  $S$  is  $\Gamma$ - cancellative and  $S$  has no  $\Gamma$ - idempotents.

*Proof.* Since  $S \Gamma M \neq S$ ,  $M$  is an ideal and so  $M^m = b^m \cup b^m \Gamma S$ . Assume that  $\cap_{m=1} M^m \neq \phi$ . Then  $p = b \alpha t_1 = b^2 \alpha t_2 = \dots$ . By virtue of the condition (i) and (ii) it is clear that  $t_i \neq t_j$ . Then by  $\Gamma$ -left Noetherian condition the chain  $s_1 \cup S \Gamma t_1 \subset s_2 \cup S \Gamma t_2 \subset \dots$  terminates. So  $p = b^n \alpha t_n$ , where  $t_n \notin M$ . Then  $t_n = t_n \cup t_n \Gamma S = S$  which implies that  $p \Gamma S = b^n \alpha t_n \Gamma S = b^n \alpha (S \setminus t_n) = b^r \alpha S \setminus b^n \alpha t_n$ . Hence  $p \cup p \Gamma S = b^n \Gamma S$ . Since  $b^{2n} \in M^{2n+1}$ . Hence  $b^{2n} = b^{2n+1}$  or  $b^{2n} = b^{2n+1} \alpha s$ , which implies that the condition (i) can not fulfilled.

Assume condition (ii),evidently  $b^{2n} \neq b^{2n+1}$ . Then  $b^{2n} = b^{2n+1}\alpha s$  implies that  $b = b^2\alpha s$  by the cancellative condition. Now  $a \in M$  implies  $a = b^t\alpha q$  where  $q \notin M$ , by the  $\Gamma$  - left Noetherian condition ( otherwise  $a = b\alpha q, q \in M$  then  $q = abaq_1 \dots$  and so we have an ascending chain of  $\Gamma$ -left ideals  $q \cup S\Gamma q \subset q_1 \subset S\Gamma q_1 \subset \dots$ ) clearly  $b^t\alpha q = b = b^{t-1}\alpha(b^2\beta s)r q = b^t\alpha(b\beta s r q)$ . Since  $q \notin M, q \cup q \cup \Gamma S = S$ . Then  $b\alpha s\beta q = q$  or  $b\alpha s\beta q = q\alpha m$  where  $m \in S; \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . The first equality is not possible, since otherwise  $q \in M$ , a contradiction. Thus we have  $b^t\alpha q = b^t\alpha q\beta m$ . Then by the cancellative condition,  $q = q\alpha m$  and so  $q\alpha m = q\alpha m^2$ . Again by the cancellative condition,  $m = m^2$ , which is a contradiction by hypothesis.

**Remark 2.14** The theorem 2.13 fails if we drop the condition that  $S$  is cancellative in (i). Note the example where  $S = \{b, b^2, b^3 = b^4\}$ .

**Note 2.15.** In 2.13 condition (ii) always implies condition (i). The author doesn't know whether these two condition are equivalent.

### 3. Principal $\Gamma$ -Rightideal $\Gamma$ -Semigroups with Identity

In 2.12 we considered conditions under which  $\bigcap_{m=1} M^m = \phi$ , for a principal  $\Gamma$ -right ideal  $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $(S, \Gamma, M)$  with identity. In 2.13 we obtained a class of principal right ideal  $\Gamma$ -semigroups without identity having the krull- intersection property.

The purpose of this section is to show that theorem 2.13 can be proved with a weaker hypothesis if the  $\Gamma$ - semigroup has identity.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $(S, \Gamma, M)$  be a left Noetherian  $\Gamma$ -semigroup with identity suppose  $M = b\Gamma S$  and  $S$  satisfies either of the following conditions:

(i)  $S$  is cancellative.

(ii) there exists no element  $q$  in  $S$  such that  $b\alpha q = q$  and  $M^x \neq M^y$  for all positive integer  $x$  and  $y$  and  $x \neq y$ .

Then every element  $m \in M$  is of the form  $b^x\alpha q, x$  being a positive integer and  $q$  is a left union in  $S$ . Every  $\Gamma$ -rightideal is of the form  $b^t\Gamma S$ . If  $S\Gamma M \neq S$ , then every  $m \in M$  is of the form  $b^x\alpha q$  where  $q$  is a unit of  $S$ . Also every  $\Gamma$ -rightideal of  $S$  is of the form  $M^t$  and  $\bigcap_{m=1} M^m = \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* Let  $m \in M$ . Then  $m = bat_1$ . Evidently  $t_1 \neq m$  by condition (i). Condition (ii) also implies  $t_1 \neq m$ , since otherwise  $m = bam$  implies  $1 = b$  by the cancellative property. If  $t_1 \in M$ , then  $t_1 = bat_2$ . Proceeding in this manner, we obtain an ascending chain of  $\Gamma$  - leftideals  $S\Gamma t_1 \subset S\Gamma t_2 \subset \dots$  by

the left Noetherian condition this chain terminates. Hence  $m = b^x\alpha q$ ,  $q \notin M$ ,  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . Since every  $\Gamma$ -rightideal is included in the unique maximal  $\Gamma$ -rightideal  $M$ , we have  $q\alpha t = 1$ , i.e  $q$  is a  $\Gamma$ -left unit. Let  $A$  be a  $\Gamma$ -rightideal. If  $r \in A$ ,  $r = b^x\alpha q$  where  $q\alpha t = 1$ . Hence  $b^x = r\alpha t \in A$ . Let  $k = \min\{x/b^x \in A\}$ . Then  $A = b^k\Gamma S$ .

If  $S\Gamma M \neq S$ , then  $M$  is an ideal and so  $M^t = b^t\Gamma S$  for every  $t$ . Furthermore every  $r \in M$  is of the form  $b^x\alpha q$ .  $q$  must be a unit. For since  $q \notin M$  and so  $S\Gamma q \not\subseteq M$ . Hence  $S\Gamma q = S$ , which implies  $t\alpha q = 1$ .

If  $\bigcap_{m=1} M^m \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\bigcap_{m=1} M^m = M^t$  and so  $M^t = M^{t+1} = \dots$ . This leads to a contradiction by condition (ii). Also by condition (i)  $M^t = M^{t+1} \Rightarrow b^t = b^{t+1}\alpha y \Rightarrow 1 = b\alpha y \Rightarrow M = S$  a contradiction.

**Remark 3.2.** The hypothesis that there exist no  $r$  such that  $b\alpha r = r$  is essential in 3.1.

**Example 3.3.** For, condition the  $\Gamma$  - semigroup  $\{a, x, y, 1\}$  with the multiplication table

$\bullet$	$a$	$x$	$y$	$1$
$a$	$a$	$a$	$a$	$a$
$x$	$a$	$x$	$x$	$x$
$y$	$a$	$x$	$x$	$y$
$1$	$a$	$x$	$y$	$1$

In this  $\Gamma$ - semigroup the rightideals are  $y\Gamma S$ ,  $y^2\Gamma S$  and  $a\Gamma S$  and the unique maximal rightideal is  $y\Gamma S$ ;  $y\alpha a = a$  and  $a \neq y^n$  for any  $n$ .

**Remark 3.3.** Let us give an example [1; 109] illustrating the theorem for the  $\Gamma$ - semigroup  $(S, \Gamma, M)$  such that  $S\Gamma M = S$ . Let  $S = \{1, x, y\}$  subject to the condition  $x\alpha y = 1$ . Then  $M = y\Gamma S$  and there exist no  $b$  in  $S$  such that  $y\alpha b = b$ . Also  $S$  is cancellative. Every element of  $S$  is of the form  $y^i x^j$  where  $i, j = 0, 1, \dots$ ;  $x^0 = y^0 = 1$  and every  $\Gamma$ -rightideal is of the form  $y^i\Gamma S$ . However  $M^t = M = x\Gamma S$  forevery positive integer  $t$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** Let  $M$  be a nilpotent and  $M = a\Gamma S$  in a  $\Gamma$ - semigroup  $(S, \Gamma, M)$  with zero and identity. Then  $\bigcap_{n=1} M^n = 0$ . If  $S\Gamma M = S$ , then  $S$  is right simple, while if  $S\Gamma M \neq S$ , then  $S = G \cup M$ , where  $G$  is the group of units of  $S$  and  $M = \{a^r\alpha g : 1 \leq r \leq t; g \in G \text{ and } t \text{ is the index of nilpotency of } M\}$

*Proof.* Clearly  $\bigcap_{m=1} M^m = 0$ . If  $S\Gamma M = S$ , then  $0 = M^t = M$  and hence  $S$  is right simple.

To prove the last part:  $x \neq 0$ ;  $x \neq a^r$  and  $x \in M \Rightarrow x = a\alpha t$ .  $t \neq x$  since otherwise,  $x = a\alpha x \Rightarrow a^{t-1}\alpha x = 0 \Rightarrow a^{t-2}\alpha x = 0 \Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow a\alpha x = 0 \Rightarrow$

$x = 0$ . If  $t$  is not a unit,  $t = a\alpha S$  and thus  $x = a^2\alpha S$ . By proceeding in this manner, since  $a^t = 0$ , we must have  $x = a^r\alpha y$ , where  $y$  is a unit.

### References

- [1] A.H. Clifford and G.B. Preston, The Algebraic theory of semigroups, *Math. Surveys*, Amer. Math. Society, **1**, No. 7 (1967).
- [2] M. Satyanarayana, Principal right ideal semigroups, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **3**, No. 2 (1971), 549-553.

