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1. Introduction

In this paper we study the existence of a solution almost everywhere of the
following multidimensional mixed problem:

\[
\frac{\partial^2 u(t, x)}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} L(u(t, x)) = F(u(t, x)) \quad (t \in [0, T], x \in \Omega),
\]

\[u(0, x) = \varphi(x) \quad (x \in \Omega), \quad u_t(0, x) = \psi(x) \quad (x \in \Omega),\]

\[u(t, x)|_{\Gamma} = 0,
\]

where \(0 < T < +\infty\); \(x = (x_1, ..., x_n)\), \(\Omega - n\)- dimensional bounded domain with a sufficiently smooth boundary \(S\), \(\Gamma = [0, T] \times S\);

\[
L(u(t, x)) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial u(t, x)}{\partial x_j} \right) - a(x)u(t, x),
\]

and the functions \(a_{ij}(x)\) \((i, j = 1, n)\) \(a(x)\) are measurable and bounded in \(\Omega\) and in the domain \(\Omega\) satisfy the conditions

\[
a_{ij}(x) = a_{ji}(x), \quad a(x) \geq 0, \quad \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(x)\xi_i\xi_j \geq \alpha \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_i^2 \quad (\alpha = \text{const} > 0),
\]

\(\xi_i\) - are any real numbers; \(\varphi, \psi\) - the specified functions; \(F\) - is, in general, a nonlinear operator; \(u(t, x)\) - is the desired function.

In investigating the almost everywhere solution of problem (1) - (3), we will use the classes of functions \(\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}\) and \(\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}_1\), Introduced by K. Friedrichs (see [1, p. 38]).

**2. The Main Definitions and Notations**

The closure of the set of all continuously differentiable finite functions in \(\Omega\) in the norm \(W_2^1(\Omega)\) is called the class \(\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}(\Omega)\). It is obvious that \(\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}(\Omega) \subset W_2^1(\Omega)\).

Denote by \(\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}_1(Q_T)\) \((Q_T \equiv [0, T] \times \Omega)\) totality of all continuously differentiable functions are equal to zero in the \(\delta\)- neighbourhood of the lateral surface of the cylinder \(Q_T\), having the form: \(Q_{T,\delta} = [0, T] \times \Omega_\delta\), where \(\Omega_\delta\) is the collection of points \(\Omega\), removed from the boundary \(\Omega\) by a distance not exceeding \(\delta\). The closure \(\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}_1(Q_T)\) in the norm \(W_2^1(Q_T)\) is denoted by \(\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}_1(Q_T)\). Obviously, \(\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}_1(Q_T) \subset W_2^1(Q_T)\).

**Definition.** The function \(u(t, x) \in \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}_1(Q_T)\), belonging to the space \(L_2(Q_T)\) together with all its derivatives \(u_i(t, x), u_{x_i}(t, x)\) \((i = 1, n)\), \(u_{tx_i}(t, x)\) \((i = 1, n)\),
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$u_{x_ix_j}(t,x) \ (i,j = 1,n), \ u_{tt}(t,x), \ u_{tx_ix_j}(t,x) \ (i,j = 1,n)$, satisfying equation (1) almost everywhere in $Q_T$ and taking initial values (2) almost everywhere in $\Omega$ is called a solution almost everywhere of the problem (1) - (3).

It should be noted that many problems in the theory of elasticity, in particular, the problem of longitudinal vibration of an elastic-viscous inhomogeneous rod, the problem of longitudinal impact by an absolutely rigid body in an elastic-viscous inhomogeneous rod of finite length and variable cross section, the propagation of waves in a viscoelastic body, propagation pulses along nerve axons (neurons), etc., reduce to the solution of mixed problems for various particular cases of equation (1).

In the beginning, we will note some papers related to problem (1) - (3).

In [2] a mixed problem for the equation below was considered

$$u_{tt}(t,x) - \alpha \Delta u(t,x) - \Delta u_t(t,x)$$
$$= f(t,x,u(t,x),u_t(t,x),Du(t,x),D^2u(t,x),D^2u_t(t,x)).$$

Under certain special conditions with respect to the nonlinear function $f$ the existence of the solution of the problem under consideration for all $t > 0$ has been proved.

In [3], a mixed problem for the equation

$$u_{tt}(t,x) - \alpha \Delta u_t(t,x) - \Delta u(t,x) = f(t,x,u(t,x))$$

with a nonlinearity of the type $|u|^{p-1} \cdot u$ was considered. The conditions for the existence of a global weak solution of this problem are indicated.

Further, in [4] a special case of problem (1) - (3) is considered, when the operator $F$, appearing on the right-hand side of equation (1), is an operator of the type of a function generated by the function $f(t,x,u,u_t,u_x,u_{tx},u_{xx})$; the question of the existence and uniqueness of the solution almost everywhere of problem (1) - (3) is investigated, namely, by combining the generalized principle of compressed maps with the Schauder principle for any dimensions $n$ the existence theorem for small (that is, valid for sufficiently small values of $T$) and uniqueness as a whole (that is, valid for any finite value $T$) of the solution almost everywhere of the problem (1) - (3)) was proved, and using the method of a priori estimates for all dimensions $n$ the existence theorem on the whole of the solution of problem (1) - (3) almost everywhere was proved.
3. Results and Discussion

We will consider some well-known facts and will add a number of new auxiliary facts in order to investigate the solutions of problem almost everywhere (1) - (3).

1. As is known, the operator $L$, generated by the differential expression (4) and the boundary condition (3), has a countable system of negative eigenvalues

$$0 > -\lambda_1^2 \geq -\lambda_2^2 \geq \ldots \geq -\lambda_s^2 \geq \ldots \quad (0 < \lambda_s \to +\infty \text{ as } s \to \infty)$$

and the corresponding complete orthonormal in $L_2(\Omega)$ system of generalized eigenfunctions $v_s(x)$, and by the generalized eigenfunction $v_s(x)$ of the operator $L$ we mean a function $v_s(x)$ that is not identically zero, which belongs to the class $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ and for any function $\Phi(x)$ from $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ satisfies the integral identity.

$$\int_\Omega \left\{ \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial v_s(x)}{\partial x_i} \cdot \frac{\partial \Phi(x)}{\partial x_j} + a(x)v_s(x)\Phi(x) \right\} dx = \lambda_s^2 \int_\Omega v_s(x)\Phi(x)dx.$$ 

It is obvious that every solution almost everywhere of problem (1) - (3) has the form:

$$u(t, x) = \sum_{s=1}^\infty u_s(t)v_s(x),$$ \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $u_s(t) = \int_\Omega u(t, x)v_s(x)dx$ $(s = 1, 2, \ldots)$. Then, after applying the formal scheme of the Fourier method, finding the Fourier coefficients $u_s(t)$ of the desired solution almost everywhere $u(t, x)$ of problem (1)-(3) reduces to solving the following countable system of nonlinear integro-differential equations:

$$u_s(t) = \varphi_s + \frac{1}{\lambda_s^2}(1 - e^{-\lambda_s^2 t})\psi_s + \frac{1}{\lambda_s^2} \int_0^t \int_\Omega \mathcal{F}(u(\tau, x))[1 - e^{-\lambda_s^2(t-\tau)}]v_s(x)dxd\tau$$

$$(s = 1, 2, \ldots; t \in [0, T]),$$ \hspace{1cm} (6)

where

$$\varphi_s = \int_\Omega \varphi(x)v_s(x)dx, \quad \psi_s = \int_\Omega \psi(x)v_s(x)dx.$$ 

2. Proceeding from the definition of a solution almost everywhere of problem (1) - (3), the following lemma is easily proved.
Lemma. If \( u(t, x) \) is a solution almost everywhere of problem (1) - (3) and generalized derivatives \( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} a_{ij}(x) \) \((i, j, k = 1, n)\) are bounded in \( \Omega \), then the functions \( u_s(t) \) \((s = 1, 2, \ldots)\) satisfy the system (6) on \([0, T]\).

3. We denote by \( B_{\beta_0, \ldots, \beta_l}^{\alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_l} \) the set of all functions \( u(t, x) \) of the form (5), which are considered in \([0, T] \times \Omega\) and for which all the functions \( u_s(t) \in C^l([0, T]) \) and

\[
J_T(u) \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{l} \left\{ \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \left( \lambda_s^{\alpha_i} \cdot \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| u_s^{(i)}(t) \right| \right)^{\beta_i} \right\}^{1/\beta_i} < +\infty,
\]

where \( \alpha_i \geq 0, \ 1 \leq \beta_i \leq 2 \) \((i = 0, l)\). The norm in this set is defined as follows: \( \|u\| = J_T(u) \). Obviously, all these spaces are Banach spaces (see [5, p. 50])

4. We use the following notation:

\[
D(u(t, x), V(t, x)) \equiv \int_{\Omega} \left[ \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial u(t, x)}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial V(t, x)}{\partial x_j} + a(x)u(t, x)V(t, x) \right] dx,
\]

\[
D(u(t, x), u(t, x)) \equiv D(u(t, x))
\]

\[
= \int_{\Omega} \left[ \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial u(t, x)}{\partial x_i} \cdot \frac{\partial U(t, x)}{\partial x_j} + a(x)u^2(t, x) \right] dx.
\]

Then it is obvious that for any \( s \) \((s = 1, 2, \ldots)\)

\[
D \left( \frac{v_s(x)}{\lambda_s} \right) = \frac{1}{\lambda_s^2} \cdot D(v_s(x)) = 1.
\]

Since for any natural number \( N \)

\[
0 \leq D \left( z(t, x) - \sum_{s=1}^{N} D(z(t, x), \frac{1}{\lambda_s} v_s(x)) \cdot \frac{v_s(x)}{\lambda_s} \right) = D(z(t, x)) - 2 \sum_{s=1}^{N} \left[ D(z(t, x), \frac{1}{\lambda_s} v_s(x)) \right]^2
\]

\[
+ \sum_{s=1}^{N} \left[ D(z(t, x), \frac{1}{\lambda_s} v_s(x)) \right]^2 \cdot D \left( \frac{v_s(x)}{\lambda_s} \right)
\]
\[ D(z(t, x)) = D(z(t, x)) - \sum_{s=1}^{N} \left[ D(z(t, x), \frac{1}{\lambda_s} v_s(x)) \right]^2, \]

then

\[ \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \left[ D(z(t, x), \frac{1}{\lambda_s} v_s(x)) \right]^2 \leq D(z(t, x)). \quad (7) \]

Similarly to (7), the following inequality is proved:

\[ \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_s \psi_s)^2 \leq D(\psi(x), \psi(x)). \quad (8) \]

**Theorem.** Let 1. \( a_{ij}(x) \in C^2(\bar{\Omega}) \) (i, j = 1, n); \( a(x) \in C^1(\bar{\Omega}) \); \( S \in C^3 \); the eigenfunctions \( v_s(x) \) of the operator \( L \) under the boundary condition \( v_s(x)|_s = 0 \) are three times continuously differentiable on \( \bar{\Omega} \); \( \varphi(x) \in W^3_2(\Omega) \), \( \varphi(x), L\varphi(x) \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega) \); \( \psi(x) \in W^2_2(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{D}(\Omega) \).

2. The operator \( \mathcal{F} \) acts from the ball \( K(\|u - W\|_{B^1_{2,T}} \leq R) \) in \( L^2(Q_T) \) is continuous and bounded, where \( 0 < R < +\infty \),

\[ W(t, x) = \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \varphi_s + \frac{1}{\lambda_s^2} [1 - e^{-\lambda_s^2 t}] \psi_s \right\} \cdot v_s(x). \]

3. \( \frac{\sqrt{T}}{\lambda_1} \cdot \sup_{u \in K} \left\{ \|\mathcal{F}(u)\|_{L^2(Q_T)} \right\} \leq R. \)

4. For each \( u \in \mathcal{D}_1(Q_T), \mathcal{F}(u) \in \mathcal{D}_1(Q_T) \).

Then problem (1) - (3) has a solution almost everywhere.

**Proof.** First we take the following notation:

\[ \mathcal{P}(u(t, x)) \equiv \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_s^2} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} u(\tau, \xi) v_s(\xi) \cdot [1 - e^{-\lambda_s^2 (t-\tau)}] d\xi d\tau \cdot v_s(x), \quad (9) \]

\[ Q(u(t, x)) \equiv W(t, x) + \mathcal{P}(u(t, x)). \quad (10) \]

It follows from condition 1 of the theorem that \( W(t, x) \in B^1_{2,T} \). If for each \( u(t, x) \in K \) we denote

\[ \mathcal{P}(u(t, x)) = \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \tilde{u}_s(t) v_s(x), \]

\[ \mathcal{F}(u(t, x)) = \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \tilde{u}_s(t) v_s(x), \]

\[ \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{F}(u(t, x))) = \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \tilde{u}_s(t) v_s(x), \]

\[ \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{F}(u(t, x))) = \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \tilde{u}_s(t) v_s(x), \]

\[ \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{F}(u(t, x))) = \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \tilde{u}_s(t) v_s(x), \]
where
\[ \tilde{u}_s(t) = \frac{1}{\lambda_s^2} \int_0^t \int_\Omega \mathcal{F}(u(\tau, \xi))v_s(\xi) \cdot [1 - e^{-\lambda_s^2(t-\tau)}] d\xi d\tau, \]
then it is easy to obtain that for any natural \( s, N \) and for any \( u \in K, t \in [0, T] \):
\[ |\tilde{u}_s(t)| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_s^2} \cdot \sqrt{T} \cdot a_0, \quad |u'_s(t)| \leq \sqrt{T} \cdot a_0, \quad (11) \]
\[ \left\{ \sum_{s=N}^{\infty} \left( \lambda_s \cdot \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} |\tilde{u}_s(t)| \right)^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{\sqrt{T}}{\lambda_N^2} \cdot \left\{ \int_0^T \int_\Omega [\mathcal{F}(u(t, x))]^2 dx dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{\sqrt{T}}{\lambda_N^2} \cdot a_0, \quad (12) \]
where
\[ a_0 \equiv \sup_{u \in K} \left\{ \| \mathcal{F}(u) \|_{L_2(Q_T)} \right\}. \]

From the relations (11) and (12), by Theorem 1.1 of [4, p. 45] about compactness criteria of sets in spaces \( B_{\beta_0, \ldots, \beta_l}^{\alpha_0}, \ldots, \alpha_l, T \), the compactness of the set \( \mathcal{P} \mathcal{F} K \) \( B_{2, T}^1 \) follows.

Further, for any \( u, v \in K \), we have:
\[ \| Q(u) - W \|_{B_{2, T}^1} \leq \frac{\sqrt{T}}{\lambda_1^2} \cdot \| \mathcal{F}(u) \|_{L_2(Q_T)} \leq \frac{\sqrt{T}}{\lambda_1^2} \cdot a_0 \leq R, \quad (13) \]
\[ \| Q(u) - Q(v) \|_{B_{2, T}^1} \leq \frac{\sqrt{T}}{\lambda_1^2} \cdot \| \mathcal{F}(u) - \mathcal{F}(v) \|_{L_2(Q_T)}, \quad (14) \]
where the operator \( Q \) is defined by the relation (10).

It is clear from (13) that the operator \( Q \) converts the ball \( \mathcal{F} \) into itself, and (14) implies the continuity of the operator \( Q \) in the ball \( K \). Thus, the operator \( Q \) completely continuously transforms the ball \( K \) into itself. Consequently by the Schauder principle, the operator \( Q \) has at least one fixed point \( u(t, x) \) in the ball \( K \subset B_{2, T}^1 \). By the definition of the operator \( Q \):
\[ u = Q(u). \]

It is clear from (9) and (10) that \( u_s(t) = \int_\Omega u(t, x)v_s(x)dx \), the Fourier coefficients of the function \( u(t, x) \) satisfy the system (6) on \([0, T]\) by the system
\( \{v_s(x)\}_{s=1}^{\infty} \). Using this, we show that the function \( u(t, x) = \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} u_s(t)v_s(x) \) is a solution almost everywhere of problem (1) - (3). It is easy to show that \( u(t, x) \in \mathcal{D}_1(Q_T) \). Then, by condition 4 of the theorem, \( \mathcal{F}(u(t, x)) \in \mathcal{D}_1(Q_T) \). Using this and the relation \( L\psi_s(x) = -\lambda_s^2 \psi_s(x) \) we transform (by integrating by parts) the system (6) to the following form:

\[
\begin{align*}
    \psi_s(t) &= \varphi_s + \frac{1}{\lambda_s^2} (1 - e^{-\lambda_s^2 t}) \psi_s + \frac{1}{\lambda_s^3} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \mathcal{F}(u(\tau, x)) \times \\
    &\times \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( \frac{v_s(x)}{\lambda_s} \right) + \\
    &+ a(x) \mathcal{F}(u(\tau, x)) \cdot \frac{v_s(x)}{\lambda_s} \right] \cdot [1 - e^{-\lambda_s^2 (t-\tau)}] dx d\tau, \quad s = 1, 2, \ldots; \quad t \in [0, T].
\end{align*}
\]

(15)

From (15), using the inequalities (8) (for the functions \( \psi(x), L\varphi(x) \)) (7) (for the function \( z(t, x) = \mathcal{F}(u(t, x)) \)), we obtain:

\[
\begin{align*}
    \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \left( \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} |u_s(t)| \right) \leq 3 \cdot \left\{ \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \left( \lambda_s^3 \cdot \varphi_s \right)^2 + \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \left( \lambda_s \cdot \psi_s \right)^2 + \\
    + T \cdot \int_0^T \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \left( \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) \cdot \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}(u(\tau, x))}{\partial x_i} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( \frac{v_s(x)}{\lambda_s} \right) + \\
    + a(x) \mathcal{F}(u(\tau, x)) \cdot \frac{v_s(x)}{\lambda_s} \right] dx \right] d\tau \right\} \leq 3 \cdot \{D(L\varphi(x), L\varphi(x)) + \\
    + D(\psi(x), \psi(x)) + T \cdot \int_0^T \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \left[ D \left( \mathcal{F}(u(\tau, x)), \frac{v_s(x)}{\lambda_s} \right) \right]^2 d\tau \right\} \leq \\
    \leq 3 \cdot \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) \cdot \frac{\partial L\varphi(x)}{\partial x_i} \cdot \frac{\partial L\varphi(x)}{\partial x_j} + a(x)(L\varphi(x))^2 \right] dx + \\
    + \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) \cdot \frac{\partial \psi(x)}{\partial x_i} \cdot \frac{\partial \psi(x)}{\partial x_j} + a(x)\psi^2(x) \right] dx +
\end{align*}
\]
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\[ +T \cdot \int_0^T \int_\Omega \left[ \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) \cdot \frac{\partial F(u(\tau,x))}{\partial x_i} \cdot \frac{\partial F(u(\tau,x))}{\partial x_j} + 
\]

\[ +a(x)(F(u(\tau,x)))^2 \right] dxd\tau \right\}, \tag{16} \]

\[ \sum_{s=1}^\infty \left( \lambda_s^2 \cdot \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} |u_s'(t)| \right)^2 \leq 2 \cdot \left\{ \sum_{s=1}^\infty (\lambda_s^2 \cdot \psi_s)^2 + 
\]

\[ + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{s=1}^\infty \left( \int_\Omega \left[ \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) \cdot \frac{\partial F(u(\tau,x))}{\partial x_i} \times 
\]

\[ \times \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( \frac{v_s(x)}{\lambda_s} \right) + a(x)F(u(\tau,x)) \cdot \frac{v_s(x)}{\lambda_s} \right] dx \right)^2 d\tau \right\} \leq 

\[ \leq 2 \cdot \left\{ \|L\psi(x)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \int_0^T \sum_{s=1}^\infty \left[ \mathcal{D} \left( F(u(\tau,x)), \frac{v_s(x)}{\lambda_s} \right) \right]^2 d\tau \right\} \leq 

\[ \leq 2 \cdot \left\{ \int_\Omega \left[ \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( a_{ij}(x) \cdot \frac{\partial \psi(x)}{\partial x_j} \right) - a(x)\psi(x) \right]^2 dx + 
\]

\[ + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \left[ \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) \cdot \frac{\partial F(u(\tau,x))}{\partial x_i} \cdot \frac{\partial F(u(\tau,x))}{\partial x_j} + 
\]

\[ + a(x)(F(u(\tau,x)))^2 \right] dxd\tau \right\}. \tag{17} \]

Thus, it follows from (16) and (17) that \( u(t,x) \in B^{3,2}_{2,2,T} \).

We use the following notation:

\[ u_{p,q}(t,x) = \sum_{s=p}^q u_s(t)v_s(x) \quad (1 \leq p \leq q). \]

Then, using the inequality (18) (for \( k = 0,1,2,3 \)) and the inequality (21) (for \( r = 1 \)) from [1, p. 84,88], we obtain that for any \( t \in [0,T] \) \( 1 \leq p \leq q \):

\[ \|u_{p,q}(t,x)\|_{W^{3,2}_{2,2}(\Omega)}^2 \leq C_1 \cdot \left\{ J_0(u_{p,q}) + J_1(u_{p,q}) + J_2(u_{p,q}) + J_3(u_{p,q}) \right\} \leq \]
≤ C_2 \cdot \{J_0(u_{p,q}) + J_0(Lu_{p,q}) + J_1(u_{p,q}) + J_1(Lu_{p,q})\} = \\
= C_2 \cdot \left\{ \int_{\Omega} u_{p,q}^2(t,x)dx + \int_{\Omega} (Lu_{p,q}(t,x))^2 dx + \\
+ \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial u_{p,q}(t,x)}{\partial x_i} \cdot \frac{\partial u_{p,q}(t,x)}{\partial x_j} dx + \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(x) \times \\
\times \frac{\partial Lu_{p,q}(t,x)}{\partial x_i} \cdot \frac{\partial Lu_{p,q}(t,x)}{\partial x_j} dx \right\} ≤ C_2 \cdot \left\{ \sum_{s=p}^{q} u_s^2(t) + \sum_{s=p}^{q} (\lambda_s^2 \cdot u_s(t))^2 + \\
+ \sum_{s=p}^{q} \lambda_s^2 \cdot u_s^2(t) + \sum_{s=p}^{q} (\lambda_s^2 \cdot u_s(t))^2 \right\} ≤ \\
≤ C_2 \cdot \left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda_1^6} + \frac{1}{\lambda_1^2} + \frac{1}{\lambda_1^4} + 1 \right\} \cdot \sum_{s=p}^{q} \left( \lambda_s^3 \cdot \max_{0\leq t\leq T} |u_s(t)| \right)^2,

(18)

where C_1 > 0, C_2 > 0 – some constant numbers.

From (18), by virtue of the convergence of the numerical series

\[ \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \left( \lambda_s^3 \cdot \max_{0\leq t\leq T} |u_s(t)| \right)^2, \]

it follows that \( \|u_{p,q}(t,x)\|_{W_2^3(\Omega)} \) 0 evenly over \( t \in [0,T] \) as \( p,q \to +\infty \). Consequently, the series

\[ u(t,x) = \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} u_s(t)v_s(x) \]

and the series obtained by termwise differentiation by \( x_1,\ldots,x_n \) three times converge in \( L_2(\Omega) \) uniformly with respect to \( t \in [0,T] \).

Further, using the inequality (18) (for \( k = 0,1,2 \)) and the inequality (21) (for \( r = 1 \)) from [1, p. 84,88], we obtain that for any \( t \in [0,T] \) \( 1 \leq p \leq q \):

\[ \left\| \frac{\partial u_{p,q}(t,x)}{\partial t} \right\|^2_{W_2^3(\Omega)} \leq C_3 \cdot \left\{ J_0 \left( \frac{\partial u_{p,q}}{\partial t} \right) + J_1 \left( \frac{\partial u_{p,q}}{\partial t} \right) + J_2 \left( \frac{\partial u_{p,q}}{\partial t} \right) \right\} ≤ \\
≤ C_4 \cdot \left\{ J_0 \left( \frac{\partial u_{p,q}}{\partial t} \right) + J_0 \left( L \left( \frac{\partial u_{p,q}}{\partial t} \right) \right) + J_1 \left( \frac{\partial u_{p,q}}{\partial t} \right) \right\} ≤ \\
≤ C_4 \cdot \left\{ \sum_{s=p}^{q} (u_s'(t))^2 + \sum_{s=p}^{q} (\lambda_s^2 \cdot u_s'(t))^2 + \sum_{s=p}^{q} (\lambda_s \cdot u_s(t))^2 \right\} \leq \]
\[
\leq C_4 \cdot \left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda_4^4} + 1 + \frac{1}{\lambda_4^4} \right\} \cdot \sum_{s=p}^{q} \left( \lambda_s^2 \cdot \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} |u'_s(t)| \right)^2,
\]
where \( C_3 > 0, \quad C_4 > 0 \) - some constant numbers.

From (19), in view of the convergence of the numerical series
\[
\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \left( \lambda_s^2 \cdot \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} |u'_s(t)| \right)^2,
\]
it follows that \( \left\| \frac{\partial u_{p,q}(t,x)}{\partial t} \right\|_{W_2^2(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0 \) evenly over \( t \in [0,T] \) as \( p, q \rightarrow +\infty \).

Consequently, the series
\[
u_{s}(t, x) = \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} u'_s(t)v_s(x)
\]
and the series obtained by termwise differentiation with respect to \( x_1, \ldots, x_n \) twice, converge in \( L_2(\Omega) \) uniformly with respect to \( t \in [0,T] \).

As can be seen from the estimates (18) and (19):
\[
u(t, x) \in C ([0,T]; W_{3}^{3}(\Omega)), \quad \nu_{t}(t, x) \in C ([0,T]; W_{2}^{2}(\Omega)).
\]

Further, it is easy to get from system (6) that \( \forall t \in [0,T] \) and natural \( N \), almost everywhere in \( \Omega \):
\[
\frac{\partial^2 u_N(t, x)}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (L(u_N(t, x))) = \sum_{s=1}^{N} \left( \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{F}(u(t, \xi))v_s(\xi)d\xi \right) \cdot v_s(x),
\]
where \( u_N(t, x) = \sum_{s=1}^{N} u_s(t)v_s(x) \). Since \( \forall t \in [0,T], \mathcal{F}(u(t, x)) \in L_2(\Omega) \), passing to the limit in the metric of \( L_2(\Omega) \) in both parts of (20), we obtain that \( \forall t \in [0,T] \) almost everywhere in \( \Omega \):
\[
\frac{\partial^2 u(t, x)}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (L(u(t, x))) = \mathcal{F}(u(t, x)).
\]

It follows that \( u_{tt}(t, x) \in L_2(Q_T) \) and the function \( u(t, x) \) satisfies equation (1) almost everywhere in \( Q_T \). And the initial conditions (2) are satisfied in an even stronger sense, namely:
\[
\|u(t, x) - \varphi(x)\|_{W_{2}^{3}(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0, \quad \|u_{t}(t, x) - \psi(x)\|_{W_{2}^{2}(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow +0.
\]

Thus, the function \( u(t, x) \) is a solution almost everywhere of the problem (1)-(3). The theorem is proved.
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